

COM SCI 260B HW 4 Solution

Ashish Kumar Singh (UID:105479019)

May 22, 2022

Problem 1. ϵ -differential privacy

Solution 1. We sample Z uniformly from the interval $[-\frac{C}{\epsilon}, \frac{C}{\epsilon}]$. Its pdf can be defined as:

$$pdf(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\epsilon}{2C} & \text{for } z \in [-\frac{C}{\epsilon}, \frac{C}{\epsilon}] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

To check sensitivity, we compare probability of neighbourhood dataset X and X' ,

$$\frac{Pr[M(X) = a]}{Pr[M(X') = a]} = \frac{Pr[f(X) + Z = a]}{Pr[f(X') + Z = a]}$$
$$\frac{Pr[M(X) = a]}{Pr[M(X') = a]} = \frac{Pr[Z = a - f(X)]}{Pr[Z = a - f(X')]}$$

Using pdf we can get only three possible values for above equation, 0,1 or ∞

$$\frac{Pr[M(X) = a]}{Pr[M(X') = a]} = \begin{cases} 0 \\ 1 \\ \infty \end{cases}$$

which is independent of ϵ , the issue is when $a - f(X)$ lies in range $[-\frac{C}{\epsilon}, \frac{C}{\epsilon}]$ but $a - f(X')$ lies outside. hence the mechanism is **not ϵ -differentially private**.

Problem 2. Exponential Mechanism guarantee

Solution 2. For 2ϵ -differentially private exponential mechanism we know that,

$$Pr[M_E(X, u, R) = \gamma] \propto \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon u(X, \gamma)}{\Delta u}\right)$$

To find probability of bounded utility, we can sum all valid γ , as follows:

$$Pr[u(M_E(X, u, R)) \leq C] \propto \sum_{\gamma \in R: u(X, \gamma) \leq C} \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon u(X, \gamma)}{\Delta u}\right)$$

On removing proportionality,

$$Pr[u(M_E(X, u, R)) \leq C] = \frac{\sum_{\gamma \in R: u(X, \gamma) \leq C} \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon u(X, \gamma)}{\Delta u}\right)}{\sum_{\gamma \in R} \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon u(X, \gamma)}{\Delta u}\right)}$$

We can upper bound the numerator by using total items $|R|$ and lower bound the denominator as there exist atleast one γ which gives optimum utility $OPT_u(X)$

$$Pr[u(M_E(X, u, R)) \leq C] \leq \frac{|R| \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon C}{\Delta u}\right)}{\exp\left(\frac{\epsilon OPT_u(X)}{\Delta u}\right)}$$

$$Pr[u(M_E(X, u, R)) \leq C] \leq |R| \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon(C - OPT_u(X))}{\Delta u}\right)$$

Using $C = OPT_u(X) - \frac{\Delta u}{\epsilon}(\ln|R| + t)$ in above equation we get,

$$Pr[u(M_E(X, u, R)) \leq OPT_u(X) - \frac{\Delta u}{\epsilon}(\ln|R| + t)] \leq |R| \exp(-\ln|R| - t)$$

$$Pr[u(M_E(X, u, R)) \leq OPT_u(X) - \frac{\Delta u}{\epsilon}(\ln|R| + t)] \leq |R| e^{-\ln|R|} e^{-t}$$

$$Pr[u(M_E(X, u, R)) \leq OPT_u(X) - \frac{\Delta u}{\epsilon}(\ln|R| + t)] \leq e^{-t}$$

which proves the required guarantee.

Problem 3. Median income

Solution 3a. Since each person income is integer in range $[0, N]$, If n is odd then changing one person's income can change the median atmost by N , if n is even the the same would change atmost by $N/2$, hence the sensitivity is atmost N .

$$S_1(f) = \max_{X, X'} \|f(X) - f(X')\|_1$$

$$S_1(f) = N$$

Solution 3b. Since the sensitivity from above is N , we have to add Laplacian noise (N/ϵ) to achieve ϵ -differential privacy. But this is too much noise and the error with actual median will be very high. The added noise is directly proportional to N , hence achieving ϵ -differential privacy through Laplacian mechanism is not scalable for median reporting.

Solution 3c. We can define a utility function to give the negative of the minimum L0 norm of difference with X when median is γ ,

$$u(X, \gamma) = -\min_{Y: \text{median}(Y)=\gamma} \|X - Y\|_0$$

Sensitivity can be computed as follows,

$$S_1(f) = \max_{X, X'} |u(X, \gamma) - u(X', \gamma)|$$

$$S_1(f) = |\min_{Z: \text{median}(Z)=\gamma} \|X' - Z\|_0 - \min_{Y: \text{median}(Y)=\gamma} \|X - Y\|_0|$$

We know that $\|X - X'\|_0 = 1$, as they are neighbouring dataset.

Lets assume $\min_{Y: \text{median}(Y)=\gamma} \|X - Y\|_0 = c$. Using inequality for L0 norm, we can write:

$$\|X' - Y\|_0 \leq \|X' - X\|_0 + \|X - Y\|_0$$

$$\|X' - Y\|_0 \leq 1 + c$$

There exists a Y with above inequality, then Z (argmin) should also satisfy it,

$$\|X' - Z\|_0 \leq 1 + c$$

Using these in the equation for sensitivity, we get,

$$S_1(f) \leq |1 + c - c|$$

$$S_1(f) \leq 1$$

Hence the sensitivity is atmost 1 which is independent of N, n .

Solution 3d. We can use the same utility as in previous question but with 90 percentile condition.

$$u(X, \gamma) = -\min_{Y: 90\text{percentile}(Y)=\gamma} \|X - Y\|_0$$

Sensitivity can be computed as follows,

$$S_1(f) = \max_{X, X'} |u(X, \gamma) - u(X', \gamma)|$$

$$S_1(f) = |\min_{Z: 90\text{percentile}(Z)=\gamma} \|X' - Z\|_0 - \min_{Y: 90\text{percentile}(Y)=\gamma} \|X - Y\|_0|$$

We know that $\|X - X'\|_0 = 1$, as they are neighbouring dataset.

Lets assume $\min_{Y: 90\text{percentile}(Y)=\gamma} \|X - Y\|_0 = c$. Using inequality for L0 norm, we can write:

$$\|X' - Y\|_0 \leq \|X' - X\|_0 + \|X - Y\|_0$$

$$\|X' - Y\|_0 \leq 1 + c$$

There exists a Y with above inequality, then Z (argmin) should also satisfy it,

$$\|X' - Z\|_0 \leq 1 + c$$

Using these in the equation for sensitivity, we get,

$$S_1(f) \leq |1 + c - c|$$

$$S_1(f) \leq 1$$

Hence the sensitivity is atmost 1 which is independent of N, n .