# Classical Cryptography

### András Zsolt Sütő, Azim Kazimli, Tobias Innleggen

Tuesday 18<sup>th</sup> March, 2025

#### Abstract

In this project, we investigate the effectiveness of using Haskell in implementing and breaking classical ciphers. Our report focuses on three historically significant ciphers: the Caesar cipher, the Vigenère cipher, and the Playfair cipher. We develop automated methods to recognize which cipher has been used on a given ciphertext by analyzing statistical features such as letter frequencies, n-gram patterns, entropy, and periodicity. Based on the identified cipher, we then apply appropriate cryptanalytic attacks: brute-force key search for Caesar and Playfair, and a combination of the Friedman test, Kasiski examination, and frequency analysis for Vigenère. We evaluate the success rate of these attacks and the performance of our Haskell implementations. (Final results will be inserted here upon completion, summarizing the accuracy and efficiency achieved.)

## Contents

1	Introduction	3
<b>2</b>	Implementing classical cryptography ciphers	3
	2.1 Caesar and Keyword cipher	3
	2.2 Vigenère Cipher	5
	2.3 Playfair cipher	6
3	Cipher recognition	9
4	Attacks	9
	4.1 Attack on Caesar and Keyword Cipher	9
	4.2 Attack on Vigenère Cipher	9
	4.3 Attack on Playfair Cipher	9
5	Running the program	9

6	Tests	10
7	Conclusion	11
Bi	ibliography	11

### 1 Introduction

The motivation behind this report is twofold. First, we aim to demonstrate that a functional language like Haskell can elegantly implement classical ciphers and their cryptanalysis. Second, we address a practical scenario: given an unknown piece of encrypted text, can we automatically determine the cipher used and subsequently crack it?

First we implement three classical ciphers:

- Caesar cipher is a simple monoalphabetic substitution cipher that shifts letters by a fixed offset; it was famously used by Julius Caesar and exemplifies the most basic substitution technique.
- Vigenère cipher extends this idea using a keyword to vary the shift across the message, making it a polyalphabetic cipher that was once considered "le chiffre indéchiffrable" (the indecipherable cipher) due to its resistance to simple frequency analysis.
- Playfair cipher is a digraph substitution cipher that encrypts pairs of letters using a 5x5 key matrix. This cypher mixes letter coordinates and thus complicates frequency patterns. [BR23]

Caesar is trivial to break with brute force, Vigenère requires analysis to uncover its repeating key, and Playfair demands strategic searching using a list of common words due to its larger key space. Both the ciphered text and the key are assumed to be in English.

We built a **cipher recognition** mechanism that inspects an unknown ciphertext and infers which cipher produced it. We base this recognition on statistical features of the ciphertext, such asletter frequency distributions, entropy and coincidence measures and periodicity, as explained in Section 3.

We measure the success rate of our attacks by testing each cipher-breaking method on sample ciphertexts and checking if the correct plaintext (or key) is recovered. We also profile the performance (time complexity and efficiency) of the Haskell solutions. Another aspect of our evaluation is the *ease of coding* and clarity of the solutions in Haskell. Throughout the project, we found that Haskell's expressive features (like higher-order functions, list comprehensions, and immutable data structures) allowed us to write cryptographic algorithms in a concise manner.

## 2 Implementing classical cryptography ciphers

Some more theory, some more citing like [?].

## 2.1 Caesar and Keyword cipher

The substitution cipher is a way of encrypting where the units of the plaintext is replaced with cipher text. There are several different kinds of substitution ciphers, but the one considered in this section is the Caesar and Keyword cipher. These ciphers are versions of the substitution cipher that works on single letters. The caesar cipher takes a int as key value, and the keyword

cipher generates a scrambled alphabet based on a keyword. To accommodate different cipher key formats in a uniform and maintainable way the typeclass CipherInput is used. The CipherInput typeclass specifies that any type used as a cipher key must implement the create function. This allows for treating multiple cipher types uniformly, making use of Haskell's polymorphism.

```
class CipherInput a where createCipherMap :: a -> M.Map Char Char
```

The caesar cipher is constructed by writing the alphabet twice, once as the normal alphabet and once with the letters shifted by a number of steps or even reversed. To create this mapping a concrete implementation of the earlier defined CipherInput typeclass for the input type Int. This instance allows integers to serve as keys for the caesar cipher. The function generates a cipher map by splitting the regular alphabet at the rotation point, and then recombining the two segments into a shifted alphabet. The shifted alphabet is then zipped with the regular alphabet, forming the mapping between the plaintext letters and the cipher letters.

```
instance CipherInput Int where
    createCipherMap :: Int -> M.Map Char Char
    createCipherMap rotation =
        let baseAlphabet = ['A'..'Z']
            (firstPart, secondPart) = splitAt (rotation 'mod' 26) baseAlphabet
            flippedAlphabet = secondPart ++ firstPart
    in M.fromList $ zip baseAlphabet flippedAlphabet
```

The keyword cipher is constructed by reordering the alphabet based on a given keyword, ensuring that each letter appears only once while preserving the remaining order of the alphabet. To create this mapping, a concrete implementation of the earlier defined CipherInput typeclass is provided for the input type String. This instance allows strings to serve as keys for the keyword cipher. The function generates a cipher map by extracting unique letters from the keyword, appending the remaining letters of the alphabet in order, and then zipping this modified sequence with the regular alphabet to form the mapping between plaintext and cipher letters.

```
instance CipherInput String where
    createCipherMap :: String -> M.Map Char Char
    createCipherMap keyword =
        let baseAlphabet = ['A'...'Z']
            uniqueKeyword = map toUpper . nub $ keyword
            remainingLetters = baseAlphabet \\ uniqueKeyword
            cipherAlphabet = uniqueKeyword ++ remainingLetters
    in M.fromList $ zip baseAlphabet cipherAlphabet
```

The generateCipherTextFromMap function transforms plaintext using a cipher mapping by filtering out non-alphabetic characters and replacing valid ones through M.lookup, which returns a Maybe Char. The use of mapMaybe ensures only successful lookups (Just values) are kept, while non-alphabetic or unmapped characters are discarded. The encrypted output is then formatted into five-character chunks omitting punctuation and spaces using a recursive chunk function. This is done in order to disguise word boundaries from the plaintext as well as to help avoid transmission errors.

The encryptCaesarAndKeyword function orchestrates this by generating the cipher map with createCipherMap and passing it to generateCipherTextFromMap. Haskell's Maybe type makes this implementation safe by forcing explicit handling of missing values, preventing common lookup errors found in imperative languages.

```
generateCipherTextFromMap :: String -> M.Map Char Char -> String
generateCipherTextFromMap plainTxt pairs =
   let filteredText = mapMaybe (\c -> if isAlpha c then M.lookup (toUpper c) pairs else
        Nothing) plainTxt
   in unwords (chunk 5 filteredText)

chunk :: Int -> String -> [String]
   chunk _ [] = []
   chunk n str = take n str : chunk n (drop n str)

encryptCaesarAndKeyword :: CipherInput p => p -> String -> String
encryptCaesarAndKeyword key plaintext =
   let cipherMap = createCipherMap key
   in generateCipherTextFromMap plaintext cipherMap
```

The decryption process of both the caesar and keyword cipher is straightforward, as it involves inverting the cipher map used for encryption. This is exactly what happens in the functions below. The main decryption function, decryptCaesarAndKeyword, first generates the key map using createCipherMap, then inverts it using invertCipherMap, which swaps the keys and values by converting the map to a list of pairs with M.toList, mapping each (k, v) pair to (v, k), and reconstructing a new map with M.fromList. This functional approach is both concise and efficient because it avoids explicit loops and operates in a single pass over the map structure. The decryptCipherText function then applies the decryption map while preserving spaces and punctuation, using map to iterate over the text and fromMaybe to handle unmapped characters safely. This ensures that decryption is expressive and declarative, leveraging Haskell's built-in higher-order functions and persistent data structures to process transformations in a clear and optimized manner without unnecessary state mutations.

## 2.2 Vigenère Cipher

```
module Vigenere where
```

Unlike a simple monoalphabetic shift, the Vigenère cipher uses multiple shifts determined by a repeating keyword. In Haskell, this design naturally translates into a few elegant higher-order functions, list manipulations, and local bindings.

We define vigenere, which takes a higher order function op, a key and a plaintext, and returns the ciphertext. We rely on cycle to stream each character of the key infinitely, then pair it with each plaintext character. The recursive helper enc uses pattern matching to either shift a character or leave them as-is, depending on whether it is an alphabetical letter - this is an elegant way of replacing manual loop constructs.

```
vigenere :: (Int -> Int -> Int) -> String -> String
vigenere op key = enc (cycle key)
where
   enc _ [] = []
   enc [] _ = []
   enc (k:ks) (t:ts)
   | isAlpha t = shift k t : enc ks ts
   | otherwise = t : enc (k:ks) ts
```

Here, shift calculates the offset by converting the key character k to uppercase and subtracting 'A'. We conditionally decide the base code point (uppercase or lowercase) for the plaintext letter and then wrap around with modular arithmetic. Because all values are passed immutably, we avoid side effects and can directly transform each character.

```
shift k t =
  let base = if isUpper t then ord 'A' else ord 'a'
    offset = ord (toUpper k) - ord 'A'
  in chr $ base + mod ((ord t - base) 'op' offset) 26
```

By passing an operator (+ for encryption or - for decryption) we generalized our cipher logic into one function, therefore encoding and decoding are defined as specializations of **vigenere** with the appropriate operator:

```
vigenereEncode :: String -> String -> String
vigenereEncode key text = vigenere (+) key text

vigenereDecode :: String -> String -> String
vigenereDecode key text = vigenere (-) key text
```

Since each transformation is defined as a pure function, data flows in one direction without side effects. The pattern matching in enc clarifies when to apply shifts (only on alphabetic characters) and when to keep a character intact.

## 2.3 Playfair cipher

In our Haskell implementation of the Playfair cipher, we express the algorithm in a functional style, highlighting Haskells strengths in handling complex string manipulations and modular code design.

The core components of our implementation include:

- **Table Construction:** We generate a 5 x 5 table from a user-supplied keyword by removing duplicate letters and appending the remaining letters of the alphabet (with 'J' treated as 'I'). This process is performed by the **createTable** function.
- **Text Preparation:** The plaintext is filtered to remove non-letter characters, converted to uppercase, and normalized (replacing 'J' with 'I') using the prepareText function.
- Digram Generation: The normalized text is split into pairs (digrams) with the

makeDigrams function. When duplicate letters occur within a pair, a filler (typically 'X') is inserted to ensure proper encryption.

• Encryption and Decryption: Depending on whether a pair of letters is in the same row, same column, or forms the corners of a rectangle in the table, we perform the appropriate substitution. This logic is implemented in the encryptDigram and decryptDigram functions, while the overall encryption and decryption are handled by the encrypt and decrypt functions.

We begin with the module declaration:

```
module Playfair where
```

Below are the key functions used in our implementation:

```
import Data.Char (toUpper, isAlpha)
import Data.List (nub)

-- The alphabet for the cipher (note that 'J' is omitted)
alphabet :: [Char]
alphabet = filter (/= 'J') ['A'...'Z']
```

**Table Construction:** The createTable function builds the 5 x 5 table by first cleaning the keyword and then appending any missing letters.

**Text Preparation:** The prepareText function filters and normalizes the input text.

**Digram Generation:** The makeDigrams function splits the text into pairs (digrams), inserting an 'X' when two consecutive letters are identical or when padding is required.

Finding Character Positions: The helper function chunksOf is used to break lists into chunks and findPosition locates a character within the  $5\times5$  table.

```
chunksOf :: Int -> [a] -> [[a]]
chunksOf _ [] = []
chunksOf n xs = take n xs : chunksOf n (drop n xs)
```

Encryption and Decryption: The functions encryptDigram and decryptDigram perform the letter substitutions based on the rules of the Playfair cipher.

```
encryptDigram :: [[Char]] -> String -> String
encryptDigram table [a,b] =
 let (rowA, colA) = findPosition table a
      (rowB, colB) = findPosition table b
 in if rowA == rowB then
        - Same row: shift right
      [ table !! rowA !! ((colA + 1) 'mod' 5)
       , table !! rowB !! ((colB + 1) 'mod' 5) ]
     else if colA == colB then
        - Same column: shift down
       [ table !! ((rowA + 1) 'mod' 5) !! colA
       , table !! ((rowB + 1) 'mod' 5) !! colB ]
     else
       -- Rectangle: swap columns
      [ table !! rowA !! colB
        table !! rowB !! colA ]
encryptDigram _ _ = error "Invalid digram length"
decryptDigram :: [[Char]] -> String -> String
decryptDigram table [a,b] =
 let (rowA, colA) = findPosition table a
      (rowB, colB) = findPosition table b
 in if rowA == rowB then
       -- Same row: shift left
       [ table !! rowA !! ((colA - 1) 'mod' 5)
       , table !! rowB !! ((colB - 1) 'mod' 5) ]
     else if colA == colB then
        - Same column: shift up
       [ table !! ((rowA - 1) 'mod' 5) !! colA
       , table !! ((rowB - 1) 'mod' 5) !! colB ]
     else
       -- Rectangle: swap columns
       [ table !! rowA !! colB
        table !! rowB !! colA ]
decryptDigram _ _ = error "Invalid digram length"
```

Finally, the overall encrypt and decrypt functions tie these components together:

```
encrypt :: String -> String -> String
encrypt keyword text =
  let tableFlat = createTable keyword
    table = chunksOf 5 tableFlat
    prepared = prepareText text
    digrams = makeDigrams prepared
in concatMap (encryptDigram table) digrams

decrypt :: String -> String -> String
decrypt keyword text =
  let tableFlat = createTable keyword
    table = chunksOf 5 tableFlat
    digrams = chunksOf 2 (prepareText text)
in concatMap (decryptDigram table) digrams
```

In summary, our Playfair cipher implementation in Haskell clearly demonstrates how breaking down a problem into small, composable functions can result in clean, modular, and maintainable code. By leveraging Haskell's strong type system and functional abstractions, we can model classical encryption algorithms in an elegant and concise manner.

## 3 Cipher recognition

This section is about cypher recognition.

#### 4 Attacks

This section will have the implementation for the attacks on the ciphers.

### 4.1 Attack on Caesar and Keyword Cipher

As the key size for the Caesar is only one, we can use a brute force attack to break the cipher. We iterate over all possible keys and decrypt the ciphertext with the given key. We then return the key and the plaintext. This function returns a list of tuples, where the first element is the key and the second element is the plaintext.

## 4.2 Attack on Vigenère Cipher

## 4.3 Attack on Playfair Cipher

## 5 Running the program

This section (our main file) provides an interface for encoding and decoding messages using different cipher techniques, including Vigenère, Substitution, and Playfair ciphers. The program will prompt the user to enter a key and a message, then display the encoded and decoded results for each cipher method.

```
module Main where

import Vigenere
import CaesarAndKeywordCipher
import Playfair
```

```
import VigenereBreaker
import PlayfairBreaker
import CaesarAndKeywordCipherBreaker
main :: IO ()
main = do
 putStrLn "\nEnter the key for the Vigenere cipher:"
 key <- getLine
 putStrLn "\nEnter the message to encode:"
 message <- getLine
 let substitutionEncoded = encryptCaesarAndKeyword key message
 let substitutionDecoded = decryptCaesarAndKeyword key substitutionEncoded
 let vignereEncoded = vigenereEncode key message
 let vignereDecoded = vigenereDecode key vignereEncoded
 let playfairEncoded = encryptPlayfair key message
 let playfairDecoded = decryptPlayfair key playfairEncoded
 putStrLn $ "\nSubstitution encoded : " ++ substitutionEncoded
 putStrLn $ "Substitution decoded : " ++ substitutionDecoded ++ "\n"
 putStrLn $ "Vignere encoded : " ++ vignereEncoded
 putStrLn $ "Vignere decoded : " ++ vignereDecoded ++ "\n"
 putStrLn $ "Playfair encoded : " ++ playfairEncoded
 putStrLn $ "Playfair decoded : " ++ playfairDecoded ++ "\n"
```

#### 6 Tests

We now use the library QuickCheck to randomly generate input for the ciphers, and test whether they work correctly. We can also use QuickCheck to test attacks maybe?

```
module Tests where
import Vignere
import Substitution
import Playfair
import Test.Hspec
import Test.QuickCheck
-- Define the functions that are referenced in the tests
somenumbers :: [Int]
somenumbers = [1..10]
funnyfunction :: Int -> Int
funnyfunction n = n * 2
myreverse :: [a] -> [a]
myreverse [] = []
myreverse (x:xs) = myreverse xs ++ [x]
main :: IO ()
main = hspec $ do
 describe "Basics" $ do
    it "somenumbers should be the same as [1..10]" $
     somenumbers 'shouldBe' [1..10]
    it "if n > - then funnyfunction n > 0" $
     property (n \rightarrow n > 0 ==> funnyfunction n > 0)
    it "myreverse: using it twice gives back the same list" $
      property $ \str -> myreverse (myreverse str) == (str::String)
```

# 7 Conclusion

Here we conclude what has to be concluded.

# References

 $[\mathrm{BR23}]$  R. Banoth and R. Regar. Classical and modern cryptography for beginners. Springer, 2023.