# fUML Activity Diagrams in RACR1

#### A RACR Solution of The TTC 2015 Model Execution Case

Christoff Bürger

Department of Computer Science, Faculty of Engineering, LTH

Lund University

Lund, Sweden

christoff.burger@cs.lth.se

<sup>1</sup> <u>https://github.com/christoff-buerger/racr</u>

# Background

# Reference attribute grammar controlled rewriting

- RAG-controlled rewriting = RAGs + rewriting
  - RAGs for declarative, cached analyses
  - graph rewriting for state changes
  - seamless combination:
    - use of analyses to deduce rewrites
    - rewrites automatically update analyses

>> incremental

## Graph rewriting in terms of RAGs

- AG = abstract syntax tree (AST)
- RAG = abstract syntax graph (ASG)
  - reference attributes induce semantic overlay graph on top of AST
  - enables deduction and analyses of graph structure (e.g., transitive closure analyses like control-flow)
  - >> rewrites change AST but
    - patterns are graphs (reference attributes)
    - reference edges change with AST

#### RACR

- reference implementation of RAG-controlled rewriting in *Scheme*
- *R6RS* library; API for:
  - ASG schema definition (AST schema + attribution)
  - ASG querying (AST + attributes)
  - rewriting (imperative/RAG-controlled/fixpoint;
     primitive/pattern-based; or combination of all)


https://github.com/christoff-buerger/racr

# Solution


#### General idea

- two RACR-based language processors
  - fUML Activity Diagram → Petri net compiler
  - Petri net interpreter (coloured, weighted nets)

# fUML Activity Diagram → Petri net



# fUML Activity Diagram → Petri net




# fUML Activity Diagram compiler


- attributes for:
  - name analysis (symbolic name resolution)
    - incoming & outgoing edges reference attributes
    - variables
  - type analysis (expression types)
  - well-formedness analysis (only TTC solution that rejects malformed diagrams)
  - Petri net generation


## Petri net interpreter


- attributes for:
  - name analysis
  - well-formedness analysis
  - enabled analysis (kind of name analysis)
- rewrites for execution (firing)
  - delete consumed tokens
  - add produced tokens


#### **ASG**














# **Evaluation**

#### Performance

Tasks Performed	Test Cases (testperformance_variant)				Time Spend	
(later tasks include previous ones)	1	2	3_1	3_2	(lowest / highest / average)	
Activity diagram parsing	831 / 831	871 / 871	875 / 875	718 / 718	41% / 86% / 50%	
Activity diagram well-formedness	926 / 95	1017 / 146	1079 / 204	739 / 21	3% / 11% / 7%	
Petri net generation	1042 / 116	1061 / 44	1196 / 117	741 / 2	0% / 6% / 4%	
Petri net well-formedness	1220 / 178	1230 / 169	1466 / 270	746 / 5	1% / 14% / 10%	
Petri net execution	2026 / 806	1776 / 546	1912 / 446	831 / 85	10% / 40% / 29%	
Petri net execution (enabled passes)	2618 / 1398	1344 / 114	1572 / 106	836 / 90	7% / 53% / 27%	

execution times in ms (cf. solution description)

## Lines of code

Source Code File	Solution Part (language task)	LOC		
Activity diagram language (507):			499	
analyses.scm: 255	AST specification	18	4%	
	ASG accessors (constructors, child & attribute accessors)	65	13%	
	Name analysis	32	6%	
	Type analysis	23	5%	
	Well-formedness	32	6%	
	Petri net generation		18%	
parser.scm: 219	Parsing		43%	
user-interface.scm: 33	Initialisation & execution	25	5%	
Petri net language (255):	:		200	
analyses.scm: 102	AST specification	9	5%	
•	ASG accessors (constructors, child & attribute accessors)	32	16%	
	Name analysis		7%	
	Well-formedness		5%	
	Enabled analysis	29	15%	
execution.scm: 43	ition.scm: 43 Running and firing semantics		16%	
user-interface.scm: $80$	Initialisation & Petri net syntax		17%	
	Read-eval-print-loop interpreter		10%	
	Testing nets (marking & enabled status)	24	12%	

no further software artefacts