

# Effect of DC Bias on Effective Capacitance of MLCCs

M. Fraser

## Contents

1. Introduction .....	1
1.1. Voltage, Current, and Resistance .....	1
1.2. Model of Capacitor .....	2
1.3. Research Question .....	3
2. RC Formula .....	3
2.1. Applications .....	3
2.2. Derivation .....	3
3. Experiment .....	4
3.1. Overview .....	4
3.2. Setup .....	4
3.3. Results .....	4
4. Simulation .....	5
4.1. Overview .....	5
4.2. Implementation .....	5
4.3. Results .....	6
5. Deriving New Formula .....	6
5.1. Overview .....	6
5.2. Derivation .....	6
5.3. Results .....	7
6. Generalization .....	7
7. Comparison of Methods .....	7
8. Conclusion .....	7

## Appendix

A Experimental Setup and Code .....	8
B Derivations .....	11

# 1. Introduction

Opening up any modern computer will reveal hundreds of electrical components, ranging from the miniscule resistors to large chips with hundreds of electrical contacts. Among these one shows up on nearly every circuit board. A multi-layer ceramic capacitor or MLCC is used in just about every circuit produced today. Both in precise high speed applications, such as on a CPU, and high power designs, like a DC-DC converter, they have a wide range of uses. Through their combination of ease of use in assembly, low cost, and small size, multi-layer ceramic capacitors have become an indispensable tool in circuit design.




Figure 1: MLCCs come in many shapes and sizes, with some as small as a grain of sand

Despite being mainly used in mass production of consumer products, MLCCs are simultaneously used in hobbyist designs. While nearly always coming in Surface Mount packages, though hole packages exist, making them easy to solder by hand. And while other types of capacitors exist, they do not offer the same characteristics.

## 1.1. Voltage, Current, and Resistance

To understand their function and why they are universally used, it is important to first understand the basic theory of how electricity flows. Voltage, a potential difference, causes current, the flow of electrons, through a conductor.




Figure 2: A popular cartoon representing voltage and amperage

When a voltage difference, such as from a battery, is applied across a conductor, current is allowed to flow unrestricted through that conductor. An electrical drawing known as a schematic can be used to represent these connections



Figure 3: Voltage across conductor

This is known as a short circuit. The amount of current flowing can be limited by using a resistor. A resistor is a component where the current flowing is directly proportional to the amount of voltage across the resistor. This relationship is shown in the following equation where  $V$  = voltage (measured in volts),  $I$  = current (measured in Amps), and  $R$  = Resistance (measured in ohms<sup>1</sup>).

$$V = I \cdot R \quad (1)$$

This relationship is known as Ohm's Law and again can be depicted in a schematic as shown in .

<sup>1</sup>An ohm has a symbol of  $\Omega$

PLACEHOLDER

Figure 4: Voltage across Resistor

As an example, if a 5V battery is connected to a  $100\Omega$  resistor, the current can be found.

$$V = I \cdot R$$

$$5V = I \cdot 100\Omega$$

$$\frac{5V}{100\Omega} = I$$

$$0.05A = I$$

As seen above knowing any two variables of this equation allows solving for the third. The equation can be manipulated to solve for Resistance,  $R = \frac{V}{I}$ , or current,  $I = \frac{V}{R}$ .

## 1.2. Model of Capacitor

A capacitor is a device where the current flowing through is proportional to the rate of change of voltage. That is,

$$I = C \frac{dv}{dt} \quad (2)$$

where  $C$  is the capacitance in Farads. When a capacitor is connected to a constant current source the voltage across the capacitor will increase at a constant rate. The schematic of this situation is depicted in Figure 5 and resulting graph in figure blank. Conversely when a capacitor provides a constant current the voltage decreases at a linear rate.

PLACEHOLDER

Figure 5: Voltage across Resistor

Functionally, a capacitor acts similarly to a battery in that it can be charged and then discharged.

This models an ideal capacitor, a capacitor that behaves exactly as mathematically modelled, but real capacitors and especially multi-layer ceramic capacitors face non-idealities which change this model. One of the most important, yet often overlooked, ones in MLCCs is DC bias. DC bias causes the capacitance,  $C$ , to be reduced as a voltage is applied across the capacitor. This introduces a new curve, which is the capacitance versus voltage curve. Manufacturers of capacitors will provide this graph. An example of the DC Bias graph of a standard capacitor is shown in Figure 6.

These curves have a domain of  $0 < V < V_{\max}$  due to maximum ratings and capacitors being bidirectional.




Figure 6: DC Bias of  $22\mu\text{F}$  capacitor from Murata

Because Capacitance is in fact a function of voltage,  $C = C(V)$ , a more accurate model of a capacitor is  $I = C(V) \frac{dv}{dt}$ . This is important when there is large change in voltage across the capacitor.

### 1.3. Research Question

The effect of DC Bias is mainly used for noise suppression applications. In these applications, because DC bias has a relatively small range, it is sufficient to assume  $C$  as constant, functionally making the capacitor a derated version of itself. This can not be done when a device is first turned on, and a MLCC goes from 0V to  $V_{in}$ , a large voltage change. During this transition the capacitor has a large range of capacitance from  $C(0V)$  to  $C(V_{in})$ .

Supply voltage to stabilize before a component is activated

Often components will have an enable pin that will only allow the device to turn on when the voltage reaches a certain threshold. Meeting rigid timing requirements necessitates knowing how quickly the voltage across a capacitor will rise. The aim of this paper is to find **the effect DC Bias has on the effective capacitance of a MLCC during device turn on.**

## 2. RC Formula

### 2.1. Applications

Creating the constant current source in Section 1.2 for a capacitor can be difficult, so instead a resistor, as mentioned in Section 1.1, can be used to limit voltage increasing across a capacitor. Resistors, similar to capacitors, are relatively cheap and come in small package sizes. Combining these two components creates a circuit often referred to as an RC Circuit. The diagram of this is shown in Figure 7.




Figure 7: Schematic of RC Circuit

This circuit is often used to limit noise in a system in order to prevent unexpected

behavior. Here it will be used to slow down the rise time of voltage across a capacitor.

The voltage across the resistor and capacitor add to  $V_{in}$ , Kirchoff's law. Using this, it is possible to mathematically model the circuit as shown below.

$$V_R + V_C = V_{in}$$

$$V_C = V_{in} - V_R$$

Substituting in the formula from Section 1.1 for  $V_R$  we get:

$$V_C = V_{in} - IR$$

And finally using the equation for a capacitor from Section 1.2 to replace  $I$ :

$$V_C = V_{in} - RC \frac{dV}{dt}$$

The equation in this form isn't very helpful because it not possible to easily input a time and get an output voltage. Because of this, the equation is almost always manipulated into the RC formula, shown below. This process is explained in Section 2.2.

$$V_C(t) = V_{in} \cdot \left(1 - e^{-\frac{t}{RC}}\right) \quad (3)$$

This equation makes it easy to get the output voltage at a specific time of an ideal capacitor. It is worth noting that this is the charging form of the equation and there is another form for discharging of a capacitor.

### 2.2. Derivation

The formula in Section 2.1 can be derived using the following process.

$$\begin{aligned} V_C &= V_{in} - RC \frac{dV_C}{dt} \\ -V_{in} &\quad -V_{in} \\ V_C - V_{in} &= -RC \frac{dV_C}{dt} \\ \div RC &\quad \div RC \\ \frac{V_C - V_{in}}{RC} &= -\frac{dV}{dt} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot dt \quad \cdot dt \\
\frac{(dt)(V_C - V_{in})}{RC} &= -dV \\
\div (V_C - V_{in}) \quad \div (V_C - V_{in}) \\
\frac{dt}{RC} &= \frac{-dV}{V_C - V_{in}} \\
\text{Take integral} \\
\int_0^t \frac{dt}{RC} &= \int_0^{V_C} \frac{-dV_C}{V_C - V_{in}} \\
\text{Integrate} \\
\frac{t}{RC} &= -\ln(V_C - V_{in}) - \ln(-V_{in}) \\
\text{Combine logarithms} \\
\frac{t}{RC} &= -\ln\left(\frac{V_C - V_{in}}{-V_{in}}\right) \\
\text{Combine ln} \quad \text{Combine ln} \\
-\frac{t}{RC} &= \ln\left(\frac{V_C - V_{in}}{-V_{in}}\right) \\
\text{Combine ln} \quad \text{Combine ln} \\
e^{-\frac{t}{RC}} &= \frac{V_C - V_{in}}{-V_{in}} \\
\cdot (-V_{in}) \\
-V_{in} \cdot e^{-\frac{t}{RC}} &= V_C - V_{in} \\
\cdot (-1) \quad \cdot (-1) \\
V_{in} - V_{in} \cdot e^{-\frac{t}{RC}} &= V_C \\
\text{Common factor of } V_{in} \\
V_{in}\left(1 - e^{-\frac{t}{RC}}\right) &= V_C
\end{aligned}$$

## 3. Experiment

### 3.1. Overview

An experiment allows the greatest degree of accuracy in determining the behavior of a capacitor in the real-world but is also the costliest and most time consuming. If the appropriate component needs to be chosen from a large selection, than buying and testing them all is unrealistic.

Despite this shortcoming, an experiment is invaluable in that it will always provide the highest degree of accuracy to how a component

will behave in the real world. Due to this, the results from this experiment will be the benchmark for the methods used in Section 4 and Section 5.

### 3.2. Setup

A printed circuit board, designed for a RC circuit, was populated with a  $1k\Omega$  resistor and a  $22\mu F$  capacitor with a rated voltage of 6.3V. The circuit board was then held in place with exposed positive and negative wires. A power supply was set to 5V with no current limit and the negative side of the power supply and PCB were connected. A 10x oscilloscope probe with a ground spring was connected across the capacitor while another 10x probe was connected between the two power wires. The capacitor was discharged by shorting the two ends using metal tweezers. The positive side of the power supply was then put in contact with the resistor side of the PCB and a waveform was obtained. This setup is depicted in Figure 8. More details on the PCB and oscilloscope used can be found in Appendix A.




Figure 8: Probing of MLCC using ground spring

### 3.3. Results

As expected, the voltage rises faster than an ideal  $22\mu F$  capacitor. A comparison is shown in the figure between an ideal  $22\mu F$  capacitor and the real capacitor.




Figure 9: Caption

Using this graph we are able to try to find a capacitor of a lower value that is more accurate to the curve. Using as point on the curve, a capacitor that will have an equivalent amount of rise time to get to that point can be calculated using the RC formula. Here a point of (0.03669s, 4.511V) was chosen and the values of  $t = 0.03669\text{s}$  and  $V = 4.511\text{V}$  were substituted into the formula shown below.

$$4.511\text{V} = 5\text{V} \cdot \left(1 - e^{\frac{-0.03669\text{s}}{1000\Omega \cdot C}}\right)$$

Solving for  $C$  yielded result of  $C \approx 16\mu\text{F}$ . The curve from this value of capacitor is plotted in Figure 10.




Figure 10: Caption

The gap between the two curves shows that the original RC formula, even with an adjusted capacitance, is not sufficient for modelling DC Bias.

## 4. Simulation

### 4.1. Overview

The speed of modern computing has made simulation in a variety of situations useful. Due to the efficiency of processing repeated operations, computer software is perfect for circuit simulation. In this section, python, a modern programming language, will be used to simulate an ideal capacitor and then a capacitor with DC bias.

### 4.2. Implementation

The charging of the capacitor can be broken into small time steps where each time step has value of  $t_{\text{step}}$ . This will act as a replacement to  $dt$ . Each  $t_{\text{step}}$ , the voltage across the capacitor,  $V$ , will increase by a calculated amount. Using this, a sequence of voltage values can be obtained,  $V_0, V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{\# \text{ of steps}}$ . Each  $V_n$  corresponds to a time of  $t_{\text{step}} \cdot n$ .

To find the change in voltage each time step, the equation  $I = C \frac{dV}{dt}$  is manipulated to isolate the change in voltage. This yields  $dV = I * \frac{dt}{C}$ . Current is calculated using ohm's law to get  $I = \frac{V_{\text{in}} - V_n}{R}$ . Using these equations we get the following sequence.

$$V_0 = 0\text{V}$$

$$V_{n+1} = V_n + \frac{V_{\text{in}} - V_n}{R} \cdot \frac{t_{\text{step}}}{C} \quad (4)$$

All simulations will have a time domain of  $0 \leq t < 100\text{ms}$ . Using the desired total number of time steps,  $t_{\text{step}}$  can be calculated with  $t_{\text{step}} = \frac{100\text{ms}}{\# \text{ of steps}}$ . This is implemented in python with  $R = 1\text{k}\Omega$ ,  $C = 22\mu\text{F}$ , and  $V_{\text{in}} = 5\text{V}$ . Code can be found in Appendix A. Figure 11 shows the number of steps as 5, 10, 20, and 50.




Figure 11: Simulations with increasing number of time steps and decreasing  $t_{\text{step}}$

By using this method, the capacitance can easily be changed to be any arbitrary function with time or voltage as the argument. In this case, the capacitance becomes a function of voltage. The new sequence is shown below.

$$V_0 = 0V$$

$$V_{n+1} = V_n + \frac{V_{\text{in}} - V_n}{R} \cdot \frac{t_{\text{step}}}{C(V_n)}$$

Using the DC Bias curve from the manufacturer, the same from Section 1.2, we can use a linear function to approximate the capacitance based on voltage. The approximation chosen was

$$C(V) = 22\mu\text{F} - (2.33\mu\text{F} \cdot V) \quad (5)$$

This approximation is shown in Figure 12 compared to the manufacturer.




Figure 12: Linear approximation of DC bias

Using this approximation, the sequence becomes

$$V_0 = 0V$$

$$V_{n+1} = V_n + \frac{V_{\text{in}} - V_n}{R} \cdot \frac{t_{\text{step}}}{22\mu\text{F} - 2.33\mu\text{F} \cdot V_n}$$

### 4.3. Results




Figure 13: Simulation versus actual

Using this approximation, a simulation is run using 200 time steps across a 100ms period,  $t_{\text{step}} = 500\mu\text{s}$ . The curve obtained is compared with the actual curve in Figure 13.

This appears to be much closer to the actual capacitor than what would be predicted for a  $22\mu\text{F}$  capacitor.

## 5. Deriving New Formula

### 5.1. Overview

In this section we will attempt to derive a formula for the same method used in the second part of the previous section

### 5.2. Derivation

In Section 4.2, the approximation  $C(V) = 22\mu\text{F} - (2.33\mu\text{F} \cdot V)$  was used. We will continue to use a linear approximation but transfer to an equation form with arbitrary values.  $22\mu\text{F}$  and  $-2.3\mu\text{F}$  are replaced with  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  respectively. Thus the new formula is  $C(V) = C_1 - C_2 \cdot V$ . This formula replaces the constant  $C$ .

$$V_C = V_{\text{in}} - R(C_1 - C_2 \cdot V_C) \frac{dV_C}{dt}$$

Using the derivation shown in Appendix B the following formula is found.



$$V_{in} \left( 1 - e^{\frac{C_2 V_C - t}{C_1 - C_2 V_{in}}} \right) = V_C$$

Due to the output voltage being both inside and outside the exponent it is difficult to isolate  $V_C$ , though time can be found based on time. This is out of the scope of this paper. The formula where time is isolated is shown below.

$$t = RC_2 V_c - (R)(C_1 - C_2 V_{in}) \left( \ln \left( \frac{V_{in} - V_C}{V_{in}} \right) \right)$$

This formula is convenient for getting a time at a specified voltage, which relates to the enable pin as referenced in Section 1.2. We can generate a series of value 0, 1, 2, 3, ..., 99. each value is divided by 20 to get 0, 0.05, 0.1, 0.15 ..., 4.95.

### 5.3. Results




Figure 14: Caption

The result of this formula is plotted. As expected, the results of using this formula are functionally identical to the simulation.

## 6. Generalization

Up to this point, only one value of capacitor has been analyzed, but the aim of this paper is to be able to accurately predict the behavior of any MLCC capacitor. To do this, the values of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  in equation something must be able to be generated based on characteristics of a capacitor. The two main factors influencing multi-layer ceramic capacitors are their size and their classification.

Compare capacitance vs. voltage of different types of capacitors (x5r, x7r, 0805, 0603)

Try to find a general formula that can fit the DC bias curves.

## 7. Comparison of Methods

The Simulation and derivation are likely very similar in results. They appear based on the experiment to better than a constant capacitance at either end ( $V_{max}$  or 0V)


## 8. Conclusion

How this could be useful in my life and elsewhere

Why it might not be accurate (This focused on bulk capacitors which might not be as common for enable pins due to size, Model might not be accurate because capacitance might not immediately change with dc applied across the capacitor (maybe experiment with this with different resistor values and see if C from RC stays the same))


Something else?

## A Experimental Setup and Code





*Figure 1: Probing setup*

A Saleae Logic MSO oscilloscope was used in combination with a PCBite probe and Saleae probe. Alligator clips from a power supply were used.



*Figure 2: PCB layout*



```

1  import csv
2
3  file = open("data/sim-50.csv", mode= "w", newline = "")
4  writer = csv.writer(file)
5
6  #1k ohm
7  R = 1e3
8  #5V
9  V = 5
10 #22uf
11 C = 22e-6
12
13 #100ms
14 end = 0.1
15 steps = 50
16 dt = end/steps
17 t = 0
18
19 #voltage across capacitor
20 Vc = 0
21
22 writer.writerow([0, 0])
23
24 #DC Bias capacitor
25 for i in range(steps):
26     #Voltage and thus current across resistor during this step
27     Vr = V-Vc
28     I = Vr/R
29
30     #Linear approximation of capacitance due to DC bias
31     C_bias = 22e-6 - (2.33e-6 * Vc)
32
33     #Based on current and amount of time, increment voltage
34     dv = (I*dt)/C
35     Vc = Vc + dv
36
37     #record result
38     t = t + dt
39     writer.writerow([t, Vc])
40
41
42
43 file.close()

```

This python script was used to generate data for all five simulation results shown in Section 4 Figure 11 and Figure 13. The variable  $C$  in line 34 was changed to  $C_{bias}$  to account for DC bias.

## B Derivations

$$\frac{-dt}{R(C_1 - C_2 \cdot V_C)} = \frac{dV}{V_C - V_{\text{in}}}$$

$$\frac{-dt}{R} = \frac{(C_1 - C_2 V_C) \cdot (dV)}{V_C - V_{\text{in}}}$$

$$\int_0^t \frac{-dt}{R} = \int_0^{V_C} \frac{(C_1 - C_2 V_C) \cdot (dV)}{V_C - V_{\text{in}}}$$

First part

$$\int_0^t \left( \frac{-dt}{R} \right) = -\frac{t}{R}$$

Split in two

$$\int_0^{V_C} \frac{C_1 - C_2 V_C}{V_C - V_{\text{in}}} dV$$

First part ( $C_1$ )

$$\int_0^{V_C} \frac{C_1}{V_C - V_{\text{in}}} dV$$

$$C_1 \ln(|V_C - V_{\text{in}}|) - C_1 \ln(|-V_{\text{in}}|)$$

$$C_1 \ln(V_{\text{in}} - V_C) - C_1 \ln(V_{\text{in}})$$

Second part ( $C_2 V_C$ )

$$\int_0^{V_C} \frac{-C_2 V_C}{V_C - V_{\text{in}}} dV$$

$$-C_2 \int_0^{V_C} \frac{V_C}{V_C - V_{\text{in}}} dV$$

$$-C_2 \int_0^{V_C} \frac{V_C - V_{\text{in}}}{V_C - V_{\text{in}}} + \frac{V_{\text{in}}}{V_C - V_{\text{in}}} dV$$

$$-C_2 \int_0^{V_C} 1 + \frac{V_{\text{in}}}{V_C - V_{\text{in}}} dV$$

Second Part A (1)

$$-C_2 \int_0^{V_c} 1 dV$$

$$-C_2 V_c$$

Scnd part B ( $V_{in}$ )

$$-C_2 \int_0^{V_c} \frac{V_{in}}{V_C - V_{in}} dV$$

$$-C_2 V_{in} \int_0^{V_c} \frac{1}{V_C - V_{in}} dV$$

$$-C_2 V_{in} \ln(|V_C - V_{in}|) + C_2 V_{in} \ln(|-V_{in}|)$$

$$-C_2 V_{in} \ln(V_{in} - V_C) + C_2 V_{in} \ln(V_{in})$$

Putting it all together

$$\frac{-t}{R} = C_1 \ln(V_{in} - V_C) - C_1 \ln(V_{in}) - C_2 V_{in} \ln(V_{in} - V_C) + C_2 V_{in} \ln(V_{in}) - C_2 V_c$$

$$\frac{-t}{R} = \ln(V_{in} - V_C)(C_1 - C_2 V_{in}) - \ln(V_{in})(-C_1 + C_2 V_{in}) - C_2 V_c$$

$$\frac{-t}{R} = \ln(V_{in} - V_C)(C_1 - C_2 V_{in}) + \ln(V_{in})(C_1 - C_2 V_{in}) - C_2 V_c$$

$$\frac{-t}{R} = (C_1 - C_2 V_{in})(\ln(V_{in} - V_C) + \ln(V_{in})) - C_2 V_c$$

$$\frac{-t}{R} = (C_1 - C_2 V_{in}) \left( \ln \left( \frac{V_{in} - V_C}{V_{in}} \right) \right) - C_2 V_c$$

Either find based on t like this ...

$$t = RC_2 V_c - (R)(C_1 - C_2 V_{in}) \left( \ln \left( \frac{V_{in} - V_C}{V_{in}} \right) \right)$$

or *sort of* for  $V_C$  like ...

$$\frac{-t}{R} + C_2 V_c = (C_1 - C_2 V_{in}) \left( \ln \left( \frac{V_{in} - V_C}{V_{in}} \right) \right)$$

$$\frac{\frac{-t}{R} + C_2 V_c}{C_1 - C_2 V_{in}} = \ln \left( \frac{V_{in} - V_C}{V_{in}} \right)$$

$$e^{\frac{\frac{-t}{R} + C_2 V_c}{C_1 - C_2 V_{in}}} = \frac{V_{in} - V_C}{V_{in}}$$

$$V_{\text{in}} e^{\frac{\bar{R}^t + C_2 V_c}{C_1 - C_2 V_{\text{in}}}} = V_{\text{in}} - V_C$$

$$V_{\text{in}} e^{\frac{\bar{R}^t + C_2 V_c}{C_1 - C_2 V_{\text{in}}}} - V_{\text{in}} = -V_C$$

$$-V_{\text{in}} e^{\frac{\bar{R}^t + C_2 V_c}{C_1 - C_2 V_{\text{in}}}} + V_{\text{in}} = V_C$$

$$V_{\text{in}} \left( 1 - e^{\frac{\bar{R}^t + C_2 V_c}{C_1 - C_2 V_{\text{in}}}} \right) = V_C$$