

- **Question 1-1: Array of matching pairs**
  - Instead of sorting both arrays independently, quick-sort partitioning to match books to covers.
    - 1: Choose a random book as the pivot
    - 2: Partition all the covers into: Covers that are smaller than the book, covers that are equal to the book (this is the match), and covers that are larger than the book
    - 3: Partition the book in the same way: Books smaller than cover, book ,equal to cover(Match), and books larger than the cover
    - 4: Then recursive apply this partitioning to the left and right subarrays
    - 5: When an array has one element, it is already matched
  - Time Complexity:
    - Partitioning both arrays takes  $O(n)$ .
    - Each recursive call reduces the problem size by half which is  $O(\log n)$  levels of recursion.
    - Overall Complexity:  $O(n \log n)$
  - Hashtable approach: Hash table stores the books identifiers, and then iterate through the covers and check if the book exists within the hash table
    - $O(n)$  (BEST SOLUTION)
- **Question 1-2: ( $O(n)$ ) approach**
  - Traverses the array one time and swaps the elements as needed
    - If even: indices(i), ensure  $\text{arr}[i] \leq \text{arr}[i+1]$
    - If odd: indices(i), ensure  $\text{arr}[i] \geq \text{arr}[i+1]$
    - Swap when needed, that leads to  $O(n)$  time
  - Time complexity:
    - Loop runs  $O(n)$  times (One pass through the array).
    - Swaps occur at most  $O(n)$  times, each in constant  $O(1)$  time
    - Total Complexity:  $O(n)$
- **Question 2 is attached separately**
- **Question 3-1: Insertion sort on small arrays in merge sort**
  - A. Insertion sort has a worst-case time complexity of  $O(k^2)$  when sorting an array that is  $k$  length. Since there is  $n / k$  sublists the total time to sort all of these would be:
    - $(n / k) * O(k^2) = O(nk)$
    - Which means that sorting all these sublists using insertion sort would take  $O(nk)$  time
  - B. After sorting the  $n / k$  sublists, they need to be merged using the standard merge operation from merge sort
    - Perform a multiway merge of  $n / k$  sorted sublists of length  $k$
    - Then a  $k$ -way merge happens using a priority queue (min-heap) takes  $O(\log(n / k))$
    - Which means the worst case time complexity of merging is:  $O(n \log(n / k))$
  - C. The total runtime of the modified merge sort is :  $O(nk + n \log(n / k))$ 
    - Set:  $O(nk + n \log(n / k)) = O(n \log n)$

- Divide n:  $O(k + \log(n/k)) = O(\log n)$
- Rearrange:  $O(k) = O(\log n - \log(n/k))$   
 $O(k) = O(\log k)$   
 $k = O(\log n)$

This means the largest value of K allows the modified merge sort to maintain the same asymptotic complexity as standard merge sort =  $O(\log n)$ .