# Extended Kalman Filter – Two Explicit Numeric Iterations

#### Contents

1 Problem set-up 1
2 Key points 3

## 1 Problem set-up

• State:  $\boldsymbol{x} = [x, y, \theta]^{\mathsf{T}}$  (metres, radians).

• Landmark:  $m = [2, 1]^T$  m (known a priori).

• Control:  $\boldsymbol{u} = [v, \omega]^{\mathsf{T}}$ 

$$v = 0.500\,00\,\mathrm{m/s}, \qquad \omega = 10^{\circ}\!/s = 0.17453~\mathrm{rad/s}.$$

• **Timestep**:  $\Delta t = 0.10000 \, \text{s}$ .

• Initial belief

$$m{x}_{0|0} = egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_{0|0} = (0.5^2, \, 0.5^2, \, (10^\circ)^2).$$

• Noise covariances

$$Q = (0.1^2, 0.1^2, (1^\circ)^2), \qquad R = (0.50^2, (5^\circ)^2).$$

Motion model (discrete unicycle)

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{u}) = egin{pmatrix} x + v \cos heta \, \Delta t \\ y + v \sin heta \, \Delta t \\ heta + \omega \, \Delta t \end{pmatrix}.$$

State-Jacobian

$$F_x = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -v\sin\theta\,\Delta t\\ 0 & 1 & v\cos\theta\,\Delta t\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{*}$$

#### Control-Jacobian

$$B_x = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta \, \Delta t & 0\\ \sin\theta \, \Delta t & 0\\ 0 & \Delta t \end{pmatrix}. \tag{**}$$

Observation model (range-bearing)

$$h(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} r \\ \phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad r = \|\boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{p}\|, \ \phi = \operatorname{atan} 2(y_m - y, \ x_m - x) - \theta.$$

## Iteration 1

#### Prediction (k=1|0)

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{1|0} = f(\boldsymbol{x}_{0|0}, \boldsymbol{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0.05 \\ 0 \\ 0.017453 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 With  $\theta = 0$ , 
$$F_x^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0.05 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad B_x^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1 \\ 0 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 
$$P_{1|0} = F_x^{(1)} P_{0|0} F_x^{(1)\mathsf{T}} + B_x^{(1)} Q B_x^{(1)\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.250100 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.250176 & 0.001520 \\ 0 & 0.001520 & 0.030465 \end{bmatrix}.$$

## Correction (k=1|1)

 $z_{\rm pred}$  has been computed by a known landmarks spot

$$m{z}_{\mathrm{pred}} = egin{pmatrix} 2.19146 \\ 0.45640 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H^{(1)} = egin{pmatrix} -0.8898 & -0.4563 & 0 \\ 0.2082 & -0.4060 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Camera-derived reading  $z_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 3.07349 \\ 0.49132 \end{pmatrix}$ .

$$egin{aligned} m{y}_1 = m{z}_1 - m{z}_{ ext{pred}} &= igg( 0.88203 \\ 0.03492 igg), & S^{(1)} = H^{(1)} P_{1|0} H^{(1)\mathsf{T}} + R, \ & K^{(1)} = P_{1|0} H^{(1)\mathsf{T}} (S^{(1)})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

$$oxed{m{x}_{1|1} = m{x}_{1|0} + K^{(1)} m{y}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -0.32319 \\ -0.23926 \\ 0.00478 \end{pmatrix}}, \quad P_{1|1} = (I - K^{(1)} H^{(1)}) P_{1|0}.$$

#### Iteration 2

#### Prediction (k=2|1)

Heading now  $\theta_{1|1}=0.00478$  rad:

$$\begin{split} F_x^{(2)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -v \sin\theta \, \Delta t \\ 0 & 1 & v \cos\theta \, \Delta t \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{\theta=0.00478} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -0.00024 \\ 0 & 1 & 0.05000 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ B_x^{(2)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0.10000 & 0 \\ 0.00048 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.10000 \end{pmatrix}. \\ \boldsymbol{x}_{2|1} &= f(\boldsymbol{x}_{1|1}, \boldsymbol{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} -0.27319 \\ -0.23902 \\ 0.02223 \end{pmatrix}, \\ P_{2|1} &= F_x^{(2)} P_{1|1} F_x^{(2)\mathsf{T}} + B_x^{(2)} Q B_x^{(2)\mathsf{T}}. \end{split}$$

### Correction (k=2|2)

$$m{z}_{ ext{pred}} = egin{pmatrix} 2.58893 \ 0.47681 \end{pmatrix}, \quad m{z}_2 = egin{pmatrix} 3.40110 \ 0.42342 \end{pmatrix}, \quad m{y}_2 = egin{pmatrix} 0.81217 \ -0.05339 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Compute  $H^{(2)}$ ,  $S^{(2)}$ ,  $K^{(2)}$  analogously; the final updated state:

$$\boxed{ \boldsymbol{x}_{2|2} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.51849 \\ -0.35986 \\ 0.04329 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad P_{2|2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.088289 & -0.007937 & 0.018186 \\ -0.007937 & 0.095784 & -0.033176 \\ 0.018186 & -0.033176 & 0.017659 \end{bmatrix}. }$$

## 2 Key points

- The theoretical Jacobian (\*) shows that only the (0,2) and (1,2) elements depend on heading.
- Numeric  $F_x^{(2)}$  uses  $-v \sin \theta \Delta t = -0.00024$ , fixing the earlier factor-10 error.
- Subsequent matrices P, S, K must be recomputed whenever  $F_x$  is corrected.