

BART Coding Style Guide, Discussion and Suggestions (draft)

Weixiong Zheng

February 7, 2018

Contents

1	Introductions	4
2	Coding style	5
2.1	Briefs on what we follow with Google styles	5
2.2	Highlights	5
2.2.1	80-column-ish rule	5
2.2.2	Breaking up a parenthesis	6
2.2.3	Breaking up a general long line	6
2.3	Disagreement and modifications	7
2.3.1	Indent spaces for access specifiers	7
2.3.2	Function naming	7
2.3.3	Constant variable naming	7
2.3.4	Open curly brace position for classes, functions, conditionals	8
2.4	Further restrictions on horizontal spaces	9
2.4.1	Horizontal spaces	9
2.4.2	Use of parenthesis in conditionals	9
2.5	What's not covered	10
3	Doxygen documentation introductions	11
3.1	General information	11
3.2	Documentation	11
3.2.1	Class method (aka member function) documentation	11

<i>CONTENTS</i>	3
3.2.2 Class documentation	12
3.2.3 Class variable documentation	12
3.2.4 Hyper link usage	12
3.2.5 L ^A T _E X usage	13
4 Unit testings	14
5 Other suggestions	15
5.1 General suggestions	15
5.1.1 What about really long lines?	15
5.2 Useful things (maybe) for used-to-Python developers	16

Chapter 1

Introductions

After reading style guide from Google, I feel excited as well as upset at the same time. For most part, Google style gives a clear way to present the code to readers (essentially, the developers). Yet following Google style guide without thinking about it would also occasionally lead us to inconvenience or poor readability. Therefore, I would like to combine Google style guide with conventions seen in `deal.II` and `Libmesh` (they might follow some self-consistent style) so future coding would have a consistent style easy to maintain.

Nevertheless, coding style is not the only purpose of this document. It is rather written to provide demos about specifics in developing BART, such as how to write doxygen documentation, how to do unit testing, etc.

The rest of the file is arranged as follows: Chapter 2 introduces the coding style guide used in BART development; Chapter 3 introduces how doxygen is employed in documenting BART; Chapter 4 introduces how unit tests can be developed along with the code development; Chapter 5 gives other suggestions that are not covered by all other chapters.

Chapter 2

Coding style

2.1 Briefs on what we follow with Google styles

Most of the coding style follow Google styles. Some highlights are:

- Local variable naming: lower cases with underscores if needed.
- Class naming: mixed cases such as `class DemoClass`
- Class member variable naming: lower cases linked with and followed by an underscore `double a_demo_var_`
- Order of file inclusion: (corresponding header file→)STL header files→Third-party libraries (deal.II, Boost, etc.)→Other header files of current project.
- Indent. Two spaces for regular lines. No indent for macros.

2.2 Highlights

2.2.1 80-column-ish rule

Keep 80 columns ish as the maximum per row for and doxygen. You would have flexibility if the last word in the line starts before 80 but ends after 80. In such a case, you are the boss to determine what to do.

For coding, historically, 80 limitation was because of the machinery limit (rich people would afford 132-limitation machines). But it is kept so far because of its visual optimality. I would suggest avoiding coding too long for a line if possible, but 80 sometimes is a bit too tight.

2.2.2 Breaking up a parenthesis

In BART, we will have a lot of chances when we have to break up a parenthesis as there might just be too many parameters

Basically, if the first parameter of a function or conditional can be fitted in the first line, follow the example in https://google.github.io/styleguide/cppguide.html#Function_Declarations_and_Definitions. This actually happens quite often in BART.

So if you can fit at least the first parameter in the first line:

```
double func (double p1, double p2, double p3
             double p4, double p5);
```

That being said, after breaking up a line, the first character of the following line should match the first character following the parenthesis.

If the function name is too long such that even the first parameter cannot be fitted in the first line, Google style guide says leave the open parenthesis in the first line and start parameters in the second line with 4-space indent counting from the first character of the return type.

```
void this_function_has_super_loooooooooooooooooong_name (
    double p1,
    double p2);
```

2.2.3 Breaking up a general long line

Just use four extra spaces following the first letter of the previous line.

```
void some_func ()
{
    std::unique_ptr<SomeLongNameClass> =
        std::unique_ptr<SomeLongNameClass> (new SomeLongNameClass
        );
}
```

For braces, it follows the same rule. Just match the first characters of all the following lines.

```
// This example is valid since C++ 11
std::map<std::pair<int, int>, int> test_component_map = {
    ({0, 0}, 0), ({0, 1}, 1), ({0, 2}, 2), ({0, 3}, 3),
    ({1, 0}, 4), ({1, 1}, 5), ({1, 2}, 6), ({1, 3}, 7)};
```

or follow the parenthesis rule if a few item in the braces can be fitted in the first line

```
std::vector<std::vector<int>> demo_vec = {{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6,
7},
                                           {8, 9}};
```

2.3 Disagreement and modifications

2.3.1 Indent spaces for access specifiers

What I agree with Google is two spaces are used for a new line. Yet, Google style gives a suggestion that for access specifier with only one space for indent. This setting is actually anti text editor. Every time specifier with the colon are typed in, text editors (atom, XCode, Sublime Text) with knowing C++ syntax will automatically address the specifier to be with no indent. So what I suggest, which is also used in deal.II, Libmesh and MOOSE. It does not matter to vim and emacs users, but matters to text editor users.

The modification brings

```
class DemoClass
{
private:
    double p1;
};
```

2.3.2 Function naming

Google style about function naming (https://google.github.io/styleguide/cppguide.html#Function_Names) shows several examples by naming functions using mixed cases. For simple names, this works okay, but there are several potential cons. The first drawback is that it does not necessarily have readability. If the function name consists of multiple words, Google style is actually not easy to read. This is actually an issue as the name in BART is sometimes long and self-explaining. The second drawback is it is spelling prone if no auto-complete is enabled. Switching cases cause a potential issue on spelling if there's no proper auto-completion in IDE/text editor.

deal.II and Libmesh use lower cases with underscores linking different words in function names and keep it consistent. BART originally uses this and I think if consistency is kept, there's no reason to deny this style. For instance, the example function is then named as

```
void initialize_system_matrices_vectors ();
```

2.3.3 Constant variable naming

Google's style is weird that for normal variables, you use combination of lower cases and underscores with extra trailing underscore, which is clear. But for constant, it changes to leading k with mixed-case words. Still, I don't think mixing upper and lower cases is a good idea. So what I suggested and we agreed is:

```
const double k_this_is_a_constant_var;
```

The first rule is used by MOOSE and Libmesh.

2.3.4 Open curly brace position for classes, functions, conditionals

For open curly brace, Google suggests always not putting it in a new line. That being said, the open curly brace must always stay in the same line as the declaration. But this would look ugly if the parenthesis is long enough which has to be broken into pieces. Besides, the scope is therein not clear.

The modification is also widely used in Libmesh and deal.II to explicitly separate the scopes.

In summary, we have:

- If content is one line for if, while, loop, do not use braces but put the content in the **new line**.
- For functions, classes constructors and destructors, always use braces and open curly braces starts in the new line. If there's no content, the close curly brace stays in the same line as the open curly brace otherwise, put them different lines.
- Contents must not appear in the same line with either open or close curly braces.

For conditionals or loops, if the content is one line, do not use braces

```
// example 2 modified
if (loooooong_name_bool1 && loooooong_name_bool2 &&
    loooooong_name_bool3)
{
    demo_func1 ();
    demo_func2 ();
}

// example 3
void DemoClass::super_loooooooooooooooooong_name_func (
    double p1,
    double p2,
    double p3)
{
    demo_func1 ();
    demo_func1 ();
}

// example 4
class DemoClass ()
{}

// example 5 class constructor
DemoClass::DemoClass ()
{}

// example 6 class destructor
DemoClass::~~DemoClass ()
{}

// example 7
```



```
DemoClass::DemoClass ()
{
    initialize_func ();
}
```

2.4 Further restrictions on horizontal spaces

Google style does not give strict restrictions for horizontal spaces. To increase the readability, we restrict ourselves on some rules proposed in this section.

2.4.1 Horizontal spaces

Places where we have horizontal spaces

Logical operators.

```
if (!x && y)
```

Places we don't use horizontal spaces

There are certain places we don't want horizontal spaces.

Adjacent close brackets. Certain old compilers with old C++ standard required horizontal spaces. But modern compilers do not.

```
std::vector<std::vector<double>> vec_of_vec;
std::vector<std::shared_ptr<EquationBase<dim>>> equ_ptrs;
```

Adjacent parentheses.

```
int a = ((1+2)*(2+3));
```

2.4.2 Use of parenthesis in conditionals

Proper use of parenthesis would increase the readability. It's especially hard when multiple logical operations are involved. For instance,

```
if (a_expr && b_expr || c_expr && d_expr || e_expr)
{...}
```

would be more readable if it's changed to

```
if ((a_expr && b_expr) || (c_expr && d_expr) || e_expr)
{...}
```

2.5 What's not covered

Rest part that is not yet covered but being used in practice would follow the Google style guide <https://google.github.io/styleguide/cppguide.html>.

Chapter 3

Doxygen documentation introductions

3.1 General information

A default configuration file has been provided “doxygen_config”. To produce documentation, run `doxygen doxygen_config`. The documentation is then generated as `doc/html/annotated.html`.

In BART, we use Qt style for doxygen documentation. All the documentation should live in header files. Note that intermediate asterisks are not used.

3.2 Documentation

3.2.1 Class method (aka member function) documentation

Generally it looks like

```
/*!
One space for indent. Put necessary descriptions for the
function.

\param p1 Briefly describe what p1 is or what it is for.
\return Void. If it's not void, describe the return briefly.

\note This is optional. Describe something important you think
       developer should be aware of. If one line is not long enough,
       second line should
match the slash on the first line.
\todo This is optional. Describe non-trivial things that need
to be completed
in the future.
*/
```

```
void some_function(double p1);
```

3.2.2 Class documentation

For class documentation, an extra brief description about what this class provides should be provided. Furthermore, author and date, up to month, of completing the class should be added. If there exists authorship, add your name and date following the existing ones separated by commas. Here's example

```
/*! This class provide a demo on doxygen documentation (one
line).
*/
This is a demo class. Put more detailed description here.

\param p1 A demo parameter.

\note Something to note optionally.
\todo Something to do optionally.

\author Weixiong Zheng, second_author_name
\date 2017/11, some_other_date
*/
class DemoClass (double p1)
{
    ...
};
```

3.2.3 Class variable documentation

Keep the documentation for one-line. There are two options. The first one is for longer one-line:

```
/*! This is a long one-line description for the following
variable
double demo_variable_;
```

If the description is short, you can also put the description following the variable declaration as

```
double demo_variable_; /*!< This is a short one.
```

If one-line is not enough (for instance, you want to add a webpage for readers for further reading), use a detailed declaration.

3.2.4 Hyper link usage

Sometimes, hyper-link is super useful. In such a case, we create them obeying the following rules:

- Every hyper link should have a short name

- Color for that name should be blue and bold

Here is an example:

```






```

`...` creates an environment setting bold mode for the page name represented by the dots.

3.2.5 \LaTeX usage

This part is not really a style guide, but rather a introduction. Sometimes, it's rather nice to have mathematical symbols in sentences or equations in paragraphs. Here is how to use:

- `\f$symbol\f$` for symbols.
- `\f[equation\f]`

Here's an example

```






```

Chapter 4

Unit testings

4.1 Unit testing in BART

Current BART implementation utilize CTest to establish test suites.

In addition, a GTest-based methodology is under development in parallel.

Chapter 5

Other suggestions

5.1 General suggestions

- Use caution when using `auto`. If you know the type, spell it out; if you don't, get to know it and spell it out. At least it would not correctly refer to `active_cell_iterator`.
- Never use `size_t` unless the intension is for retrieving memory usage. For loops, use `int`.
- Maybe it's time to migrate from `std_cxx11` to `std`.
- Use `std::unique_ptr` instead of `std::shared_ptr` unless necessary.
- Every class, member function and member variable should have proper documentation using doxygen in Qt style.

5.1.1 What about really long lines?

Google style says nothing about this as this situation would be rare and maybe people tend to avoid it. deal.II never has this problem as it does not have the limit on how many columns to use per row as most people read source code with doxygen and it still has good readability in html. Libmesh, on the other hand, does not have very long line code per row. For us, we sometimes has

```
pre_jacobi = std::shared_ptr<PETScWrappers::PreconditionJacobi>
(new PETScWrappers::PreconditionJacobi)
```

In situations like this, we can create an alias for the type with `typedef`. For instance

```
// Create the alias
typedef PETScWrappers::PreconditionJacobi PJacobi;
...
// when you use it, life is much easier
pre_jacobi = std::shared_ptr<PJacobi> (new PJacobi);
```

In summary, if the type name is longer than 20 characters, alias is advised to be used.

After using alias, long line would be rare in BART. In those rare cases, such as reporting information on screen using `pcout`, match the operators

```
pcout << "This is the first line showing breaking a long line"
      << "We come to the second line" << std::endl;
```

5.2 Useful things (maybe) for used-to-Python developers

C++11 and later simplifies a lot of things from old standards.

Lambda expression. Take the following example sorting a series of pairs of integers. We sort the pairs according to the `std::pair<T, T>::second`

```
// pairs
std::vector<std::pair<int, int>> pairs;
...// some assigning-value process
std::sort (pairs.begin(), pairs.end(),
           [](std::pair<int, int>& p1, std::pair<int, int>& p2)
           {return p1.second < p2.second;});
```

Brace initializations.

```
std::vector<int> vec_int = {1, 2, 3};
std::vector<std::vector<int>> id_map = {{1, 2}, {2, 2}};
```

Range based for loop. Beside the index based for loop, range-based (since C++ 11) is also very useful. It works for a lot of data types including maps.

```
for (auto m : mymap)
{
    auto key = m.first;
    auto val = m.second;
}
```

or even more Pythonic if C++ 17 is supported

```
for (auto &[key, val] : mymap)
{...}
```