

NASA GSFC FLIGHT SOFTWARE SYSTEMS BRANCH

FSW VERSION DESCRIPTION DOCUMENT

CFS LC APPLICATION

BUILD: LC 2.1.2

RELEASE DATE: 10/7/2020

1.0 FSW VERSION DESCRIPTION

1.1 PURPOSE AND SUMMARY

The purpose of this build is to continue to refine the cFS Limit Checker (LC) application product. This build provides various bug fixes and enhancements, but does not include any new functionality. The primary purpose of this release is to ensure compatibility between the LC application and cFS Bootes.

This document serves as the notification of the Build 2.1.2 release of the cFS LC application.

Limit Checker (LC) version 2.1.2 is compatible with cFE builds 6.8.0 and above and OSAL builds 5.0 and above.

1.2 NEW/CHANGED FUNCTIONALITY IN THIS VERSION

Table 1.2-1 identifies the DCRs that have been implemented in this FSW version. For each DCR the "Key" column shows the corresponding DCR in the GSFC cFS tracking system.

Table 1.2-1 – DCRs Implemented in this Version

Key	Summary	Description
GSFCCFS-1061	Limit Checker build tests were not up to date with the latest RDL	The build verification tests failed due to a change made to the FtoTValue and TtoFValue in the Watchpoint Results Table. Updates to these procedures are needed.
GSFCCFS-1070	LC readme needs updates	Readme file contains out of date information on sources for cFE and OSAL.
GSFCCFS-1091	LC_OperData and LC_AppData may be accessed before they are initialized	LC_AppMain will not run LC_AppInit, where LC_OperData and LC_AppData are both memset, after CFE_ES_RegisterApp fails to return CFE_SUCCESS. However, later in the LC_AppMain function, even after the register fail, both LC_OperData and LC_AppData have items that are accessed: if ((LC_OperData.HaveActiveCDS) && (LC_AppData.CDSSavedOnExit == LC_CDS_SAVED)) Thus, when the register fails, they are both accessed without initialization.
GSFCCFS-1115	LC_ManageTables has no brief in the header file	LC_ManageTables has not brief in the lc_cmds.h file. It is the only function missing the 'brief' comment.
GSFCCFS-1159	LC does not build against cFE 6.8 OMIT_DEPRECATED=true and -Werror	
GSFCCFS-1213	Add files to generate LC doxygen documentation	Add config file required to generate doxygen html documentation.
GSFCCFS-1221	LC does not compile on test machine	LC does not compile on the VxWorks testing machine. Error messages below. Seems to be related to a difference in

		platform. lc/fsw/src/lc_custom.c: In function 'LC ExecuteRTS':
		lc/fsw/src/lc_custom.c:59: error: cast increases required alignment of target type lc/fsw/src/lc_custom.c:62: error: cast increases required alignment of target type lc/fsw/src/lc_custom.c:66: error: cast increases required alignment of target type
GSFCCFS-1222	LC CMakeLists file does not build tables	
GSFCCFS-1228	LC_SampleAP_t structure needs explicit padding	The LC_SampleAP_t structure has three uint16 arguments which create implicit padding in this structure. A uint16 Spare entry should be added to explicitly align this structure which will prevent the compiler from determining the location of this 2-byte pad.

1.3 MISSING PLANNED FEATURES AND KNOWN PROBLEMS

Table 1.3-1 identifies currently open DCRs that are not addressed in this build. Any workarounds that may apply are identified.

Information on currently open DCRs is available at:

https://etdjira.gsfc.nasa.gov/projects/GSFCCFS/issues

Note that this is a restricted website that requires a server account. Additional DCRs may have been submitted after preparation of this VDD. A cFS LC DCR report containing a listing of open DCRs is available upon request for customers who do not have access to the restricted server. Please contact Elizabeth Timmons, elizabeth.timmons@nasa.gov.

Table 1.3-1 - Currently open DCRs

Key	Summary	Description
GSFCCFS-1183	LC has static code analysis findings	In analysis done on 7/10/2020, CodeSonar flagged the following findings.
GSFCCFS-1107	lc_app.c has several functions with multiple return statements	Functions with multiple return statements: - LC_TableInit - LC_CreateResultTables - LC_CreateDefinitionTables - LC_CreateTaskCDS - LC_LoadDefaultTables

C_TableInit has an it/else if without an else clause and its behavior is undefined So at the end of the LC_TableInit function there is an odd branching So, seemedo coded here> if (LC_CDS_ENABLED) {	GSFCCFS-1105	LC_CreateDefinitionTables may call table register twice, but same error results if both calls fail	LC_CreateDefinitionTables may call table register twice for both WDT and ADT; however it only does it if the first call fails AND LC_OperData.HaveActiveCDS == TRUE, then it calls it a second time which will produce an error if it also fails. However, when LC_OperData.HaveActiveCDS == FALSE it produces the same error with only 1 call. Should these be different error IDs?
be moved to their own file calls while running. These calls have many different variations and effects upon what happens in LC_TableInit. This makes it very difficult to unit test because there is too much variation in the helper functions to attempt to keep adequate track of what may or may not be happening. If these helper functions were moved to their own .c file they could be wrapped and stubbed for testing LC_TableInit. This would simplify the unit testing process.	GSFCCFS-1104	an else clause and its behavior is	So at the end of the LC_TableInit function there is an odd branching <pre><pseudo coded="" here=""> if (LC_CDS_ENABLED) { if (LC_CDS_RESTORED) { restored event } else if (LC_CDS_UPDATED) { default event } // nothing else here !!! } else { CDS disabled event } So, the question is: Can we have a scenario where LC_CDS_ENABLED is TRUE, but both LC_CDS_RESTORED and LC_CDS_UPDATED are FALSE? And IF SO: What is the desired behavior here?? This is a situation where the lack of an else clause on an "else if" most definitively is cause for concern. If that scenario cannot exist, then it would seem an "else if" is not required. Unfortunately, due to the convoluted nature of this function and those that it calls, it is would be difficult to</pseudo></pre>
LGSECCES_L100 LUndate LC Unit Tests	GSFCCFS-1102		calls while running. These calls have many different variations and effects upon what happens in LC_TableInit. This makes it very difficult to unit test because there is too much variation in the helper functions to attempt to keep adequate track of what may or may not be happening. If these helper functions were moved to their own .c file they could be wrapped and stubbed for testing LC_TableInit.

GSFCCFS-1099	LC_SbInit casts every Status to unsigned int in every event message	LC_SbInit is casting the Status in every event send to an unsigned int. The cast appears unnecessary, CFE_EVS_SendEvent takes a variable length of arguments because it does a formatting of the string with the values sent AND the format in the send events is %08X (print 8 characters in upper case hex).
GSFCCFS-1098	LC_SbInit uses separate returns for every error	LC_SbInit has a return statement for every failure possibility. Also it sets the status at the start to CFE_SUCCESS, but then at then end it has a return(CFE_STATUS).
GSFCCFS-1097	LC_EvsInit has two returns within function	LC_EvsInit has two paths, both use a return. Consolidate into 1 return only.
GSFCCFS-1096	LC_SbInit sets LC_OperData.CmdPipe to 0, even though LC_OperData already did a memset to 0	LC_SbInit which is (ONLY!) called from LC_AppInit sets LC_OperData.CmdPipe = 0; However, this is not necessary because LC_AppInit called CFE_PSP_MemSet(&LC_OperData, 0, sizeof(LC_OperData_t)); Therefore, the LC_OperData.CmdPipe is already 0 because there are no changes to it in between the two lines of code.
GSFCCFS-1095	LC_AppInit uses returns in several locations	LC_AppInit uses several returns within the function. Reduce this to one return at the end.
GSFCCFS-1094	LC Doxygen docs out of date, missing LCX updates	The Doxygen documentation for LC does not include the LCX updates. There is a PowerPoint presentation about the updates, but the Doxygen files continue to mention 'LC_ACTION_NOT_MEASURED' instead of 'LC_ACTION_STALE' which came from the LCX update.
GSFCCFS-1093	Migrate LC unit tests to distributed UT Assert	
GSFCCFS-1086	'(uint32) &RTSRequest' cast in LC_ExecuteRTS does not work in 64-bit	The function 'void LC_ExecuteRTS(uint16 RTSId)' does not work under 64-bit build due to the casting of the RTSRequest pointer into a uint32. Removal of this casting (3 times within the function) allows the unit tests 'LC_SampleSingleAP_Test_ActiveRequestRTS' and 'LC_ExecuteRTS_Test' to pass instead of segfaulting. It is unknown how this affects functionality in 64-bit of the program outside of unit testing.
GSFCCFS-1075	LC Sets the TtoFValue when it transitions from STALE to FALSE	While testing LC against cFE 6.6, the lc_noaction test procedure failed because values were contained in the TtoFValue when they were not expected. The transition from STALE to FALSE seemed to set these values.

GSFCCFS-772	LC: Add requirement for handling byte-swapped inputs	One of our instruments is producing byte-swapped values in their housekeeping data and they want us to monitor some values using LC. I looked at the LC code and it appears to handle byte-swapped inputs. However, the feature isn't mentioned in the User's Guide or the LC requirements. Walt said he never tested that feature because it wasn't in the requirements.
GSFCCFS-770	LC - platform-endian bytes	LC should support numerical telemetry data types that are platform-endian. While it would be possible to get the same effect with an #if macro block, it would make the table very hard to read.
GSFCCFS-769	LC - more deterministic behavior	Currently LC will process messages when they are received, which is fine, generally. Also, currently, LC uses a single pipe for commands and for watchpoint telemetry. LC doesn't process action points until it receives a command message.
		But in deterministic environments it may be better to have LC be commanded to read telemetry as well as be commanded to process action points. This will necessitate having a separate message pipe for commanding (which the main loop would block on) and a telemetry pipe (which would accumulate telemetry until the command to read.)
		The default LC behavior should remain the same (process messages as they arrive.) This should be a compile-time option, or perhaps run-time command-able.
GSFCCFS-768	LC: support 64-bit types	LC currently does not support 64-bit integers or floats (doubles).
GSFCCFS-753	LC - improve events, generate debug events	LC's (complex) processing of watchpoints and actionpoints is opaque unless you use a code debugger. It would be very helpful to generate debug events.
		Also LC's event definitions are that the events are of a particular type (such as LC_ART_REGISTER_ERR_EID), whereas EventType should be a separate parameter and the event #defines be generalized such as LC_ART_REGISTER_EID so that debug messages can be produced using the same EID's.

GSFCCFS-744	LC Transitions Active Action Points to Passive When Application is in Passive Mode	During a project rehearsal there were several APs that were commanded "active" while the LC application state was in "passive" mode. Before operations could command the application state to "active" mode, some of the APs that were activated and had "tripped" causing the AP to transition back to passive mode. The purpose of changing a "tripped" APs state from active to passive is to prevent an RTS from getting initiated more than once. In "passive" mode, LC performs all limit tests as in "active" mode, but no stored command sequences are invoked as the result of AP failures. Having the AP's state transition while the application is in passive mode will make enabling APs with a low threshold while LC is in passive mode very difficult. The rational for this design feature (LRO heritage) needs to be clearly understood and documented. The LC user's guides (both doxygen and word/pdf) do not make this design feature clear. If no rational exists this design feature should be removed

2.0 DELIVERED PRODUCTS

Table 2-1 identifies the locations of FSW products relevant to this FSW Build. The version or date of the Build and where the product can be located are provided. Changes from a previous VDD are identified.

Table 2-1 – Delivered Products and their Locations

Software Element	Changed with this Version?	New Version or Date	Location
Source Code of this FSW Build	Yes	2.1.2	https://github.com/nasa/LC
Doxygen Documentation	Yes	N/A	https://github.com/nasa/LC
Unit Test Data	Yes	2.1.2	https://github.com/nasa/LC
FSW Make Files	Yes	2.1.2	https://github.com/nasa/LC

3.0 INSTALLATION PROCEDURES

In order to build and install the LC application, it must be added to the cFE CMake build system. This is done by modifying the TGTX_APPLIST in the cFE targets.cmake file. This is shown in the trivial example below.

```
SET(TGT1_NAME cpu1)
SET(TGT1_APPLIST lc)
SET(TGT1_FILELIST cfe_es_startup.scr)
```

After LC is added to the targets.cmake file, it is built and installed using the standard cFE CMake build instructions. These instructions are available in cFE CMake documentation:

https://github.com/nasa/cFE/blob/main/cmake/README.md

4.0 CONFIGURATION SUMMARY AND VERSION IDENTIFICATION

This software can be found in the LC GitHub repository (https://github.com/nasa/LC) under the tag "2.1.2".

Verification of the version can be done by sending an LC NOOP command that produces an event message containing the version information. In addition, the initialization event message generated during the application startup provides the version information.

ACRONYMS

ACS	Attitude Control System
C&DH	Command and Data Handling
cFS	Core Flight System
	Configuration Management
	Commercial Off-The-Shelf
CPU	Central Processing Unit
	Discrepancy/Change Request
	Engineering Test Unit
	Flight Software Branch
	Flight Software
	Goddard Space Flight Center
	Integration & Test
	Limit Checker
	Portable Operating System Interface
	Real-Time Operating System
	Symmetric Multiprocessing
	Telemetry and Command
	To Be Determined
	Universal Resource Locator
טט٧	Version Description Document