

# 1 Rational Functions on Hyperelliptics

The goal of this chapter is to look at rational functions on the curve  $y^2 = C(x)$  and to develop a reasonable notion of the order of a function in a point on the curve. We then give some basic properties for this order function before we introduce divisors and proceed to look at functions with a specified number of poles at infinity as a final preparation for the proof of associativity on **J**. xxx

## 1.1 Function Field and Order of Rational Functions

**Definition 1:** Define the ring of rational functions on the curve as

$$\mathcal{F} = K(x)[y] / (y^2 - C(x)).$$

As  $y^2 - C(x)$  is irreducible in  $K(x)[y]$ , this is a field and  $\mathcal{F} = K(x) + K(x)y$ , so write elements  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  as  $f = g + hy$  where  $g, h \in K(x)$ . Define  $\bar{f} = g - hy$ .

We will occasionally write things like  $K[x, y] \subset \mathcal{F}$  but xxx we always implicitly mean this in conjunction with  $y^2 = C(x)$ .

~

We now wish to define the order of a function  $f$  in a point  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}}(f) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $f \in \mathcal{F}^*$  and  $\mathcal{Q} \in H(\bar{K})$ . To this end we first construct a  $K$ -homomorphism

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{Q}} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \bar{K}((t))$$

with the intent of defining  $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}}(f) = \text{ord } \lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(f)$ .

**Reminder:** If  $\tau$  is a formal Laurent series of the form  $\tau = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i t^i$  then it has a unique squareroot of the form  $\sigma = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i t^i$  meaning  $\sigma^2 = \tau$ . Write  $\sigma = \sqrt{\tau} = 1 + \dots$

From now on we will use an ellipsis to denote terms of ascending order everywhere where we are not interested in the specifics.

**Definition 2:** Because  $C(\lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(x)) = (\lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(y))^2$  has to be fulfilled, we first decide on  $\lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(x)$  and deduce  $\lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(y)$ . For this, we distinguish between three cases for  $\mathcal{Q} \in H(\bar{K})$ :

1. Let  $\mathcal{Q} = (x_0, y_0) \in H_0(\bar{K})$  with  $y_0 \neq 0$  and consequently  $C(x_0) \neq 0$ .

Define  $\lambda_Q(x) = x_0 + t$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} C(\lambda_Q(x)) &= C(x_0 + t) \\ &= C(x_0) + \dots + t^5 \\ &= C(x_0)(1 + \dots) \\ &= y_0^2 \tau_1 \quad \text{with } \tau_1 \in K((t)). \end{aligned}$$

Define  $\lambda_Q(y) = y_0 \sigma_1$  where  $\sigma_1 = \sqrt{\tau_1}$ .

2. Let  $Q = (x_0, y_0) \in H_0(\overline{K})$  with  $y_0 = 0$ . Note that  $C(x_0) = 0$  and write  $C(x) = \prod_{i=1}^5 (x - \alpha_i)$  for  $\alpha_i \in \overline{K}$  and for instance  $\alpha_1 = x_0$ .

Define  $\lambda_Q(x) = x_0 + t^2$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} C(\lambda_Q(x)) &= t^2 \prod_{i=2}^5 (x_0 - \alpha_i + t^2) \\ &= \mu t^2 (1 + \dots) \end{aligned}$$

with  $\mu \in \overline{K}$  being  $\prod_{i=2}^5 (x_0 - \alpha_i) = C'(x_0)$  which is non-zero because our curve is non-singular. Write therefore  $C(\lambda_Q(x)) = \mu t^2 \tau_2$  with  $\tau_2 = 1 + \dots$  and define  $\lambda_Q(y) = \nu t \sigma_2$  with  $\sigma_2 = \sqrt{\tau_2}$  and  $\nu^2 = \mu$ .

3. Let  $Q = \infty$ . Define  $\lambda_Q(x) = \frac{1}{t^2}$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} C\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right) &= \frac{1}{t^{10}} + \frac{a}{t^8} + \frac{b}{t^6} + \frac{c}{t^4} + \frac{d}{t^2} + e \\ &= \frac{1}{t^{10}} (1 + \dots) \end{aligned}$$

So define  $\lambda_Q(y) = \frac{1}{t^5} \sigma_3$  with the notation  $\sigma_3 = \sqrt{\tau_3}$  as before.

**Definition 3:** For  $Q \in H(\overline{K})$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}^*$  define  $\text{ord}_Q(f) = \text{ord } \lambda_Q(f)$ .

**Lemma 1:** Let  $f \in K[x, y] \subset \mathcal{F}$ ,  $f \neq 0$  and  $Q \in H_0(\overline{K})$ ,  $Q = (x_0, y_0)$ . Then

- (a)  $\text{ord}_Q f \geq 0$  and
- (b) if  $f(Q) = 0$  then  $\text{ord}_Q f \geq 1$ .

*Proof.*

- (a) Because  $Q \in H_0(\overline{K})$  we have  $\lambda_Q(x), \lambda_Q(y) \in \overline{K}[[t]]$  and with  $f \in K[x, y]$  we have  $\text{ord}_Q(f) \geq 0$ .
- (b) Write  $f = A(x, y)$ ,  $A(X, Y) \in K[X, Y]$ . First, let  $y_0 \neq 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_Q f &= \text{ord } A(\lambda_Q(x), \lambda_Q(y)) \\ &= \text{ord } A(x_0 + t, y_0 \sigma_1). \end{aligned}$$

But  $A(x_0 + t, y_0\sigma_1) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i t^i$  so with  $t = 0$  we get  $A(x_0, y_0) = a_0$  but the former is  $f(\mathcal{Q})$  which is 0, so  $a_0 = 0$  and the claim follows.

If  $y_0 = 0$  we would have  $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}} f = \text{ord} A(x_0 + t^2, \sqrt{\mu}t\sigma_2)$  instead. But like before, this means  $0 = f(\mathcal{Q}) = A(x_0, 0) = a_0$  so again  $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}}(f) \geq 1$ .

□

**Lemma 2:** Let  $f \in K(x)$ ,  $f \neq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{Q} \in H(\overline{K})$ . Then

- (a)  $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}}(f) = \text{ord}_{x_0} f(x)$  if  $\mathcal{Q} = (x_0, y_0) \in H_0(\overline{K})$  with  $y_0 \neq 0$ .
- (b)  $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}}(f) = 2\text{ord}_{x_0} f(x)$  if  $\mathcal{Q} = (x_0, 0) \in H_0(\overline{K})$ .
- (c)  $\text{ord}_{\infty}(f) = 2\text{ord}_0 f(\frac{1}{x}) = 2\text{ord}_{\infty} f(x)$ .

**Note** that the righthand sides of the equalities refer to the usual definition of the order of a rational function in a point  $x_0 \in \overline{K} \cup \{\infty\}$ . We renamed the infinity in order to avoid a clash of notations.

*Proof.* First take  $f \in K[x]$  and define  $e = \text{ord}_{x_0} f \in \mathbb{N}$  so  $f = (x - x_0)^e g(x)$  with  $g \in \overline{K}[x]$  and  $g(x_0) \neq 0$ .

- (a) If  $\mathcal{Q} = (x_0, y_0)$ ,  $y_0 \neq 0$  then  $\lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(f) = f(x_0 + t) = t^e g(x_0 + t)$  and so  $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}}(f) = e$  because  $g(x_0 + t) = g(x_0) + \dots$  with  $g(x_0) \neq 0$ .
- (b) Here  $\lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(f) = f(x_0 + t^2) = t^{2e} g(x_0 + t^2)$  and again  $g(x_0 + t^2) = g(x_0) + \dots$  so  $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}}(f) = 2e$ .
- (c) For  $\mathcal{Q} = \infty$  we have  $\lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(f) = f(\frac{1}{t^2}) = \frac{\alpha}{t^{2d}} + \dots$  with  $\alpha \neq 0$  if  $d = \deg f$ . Obviously,  $\text{ord}_0 f(\frac{1}{x}) = \text{ord}_0 \frac{\alpha + \dots}{x^d} = -d$  so  $\text{ord}_{\infty} f = 2\text{ord}_0 f(\frac{1}{x})$ .

Generally, if  $f \in K(x)$  we can write  $f = \frac{p}{q}$  for  $p, q \in K[x]$  and we apply the above to  $p$  and  $q$ , subtracting  $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}} q$  from  $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}} p$ . □

**Lemma 3:** For  $f \in \mathcal{F}^*$  and  $\mathcal{Q} \in H(\overline{K})$  the order satisfies  $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\overline{f}) = \text{ord}_{\overline{\mathcal{Q}}}(\overline{f})$

*Proof.*

1. For  $\mathcal{Q} \in H_0(\overline{K})$  with  $y_0 \neq 0$  we've got  $\lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(x) = x_0 + t$  and  $\lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(y) = y_0\sqrt{\tau}$ . Therefore  $\lambda_{\overline{\mathcal{Q}}}(x) = x_0 + t = \lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(\overline{x})$  and  $\lambda_{\overline{\mathcal{Q}}}(y) = -y_0\sqrt{\tau} = \lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(\overline{y})$  so

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\overline{\mathcal{Q}}}(f(x, y)) &= f(\lambda_{\overline{\mathcal{Q}}}(x), \lambda_{\overline{\mathcal{Q}}}(y)) \\ &= \lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(f(\overline{x}, \overline{y})) \\ &= \lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(\overline{f}(x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

2. If  $\mathcal{Q} \in H_0(\overline{K})$  with  $y_0 = 0$  then  $\overline{\mathcal{Q}} = \mathcal{Q}$ . Write  $f = g(x) + h(x)y$  so

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(\overline{f}) = g(x_0 + t^2) - h(x_0 + t^2)\nu t\sqrt{\tau}.$$

Calling this  $l(t) = \lambda_Q(\bar{f})$  and looking at the construction of  $\lambda_Q$  we see that  $\tau$  sports only even powers of  $t$  so we see above that  $l(-t) = \lambda_Q(f)$ . As interchanging  $t$  with  $-t$  doesn't change the order, we're done.

3. For  $Q = \infty$ ,  $\tau = 1 + at^2 + bt^4 + ct^6 + dt^8 + et^{10}$  features only even powers as well, so again  $l(-t) = \lambda_Q(f)$  for  $l(t) = \lambda_Q(\bar{f}) = g(\frac{1}{t^2}) - h(\frac{1}{t^2})\frac{1}{t^5}\sqrt{\tau}$ . Finally,  $\lambda_Q(f)$  is equal to  $\lambda_{\bar{Q}}(f)$  since  $\infty = \overline{\infty}$ . Again  $\text{ord} l(t) = \text{ord} l(-t)$ .

□

**Lemma 4:** If  $f \in \mathcal{F}^*$  then the set  $\{Q \in H(\bar{K}) \mid \text{ord}_Q f \neq 0\}$  is finite and

$$\sum_{Q \in H(\bar{K})} \text{ord}_Q f = 0.$$

*Proof.* First take  $f \in K[x, y]$ ,  $f \neq 0$  and let  $Q = (x_0, y_0) \in H_0(\bar{K})$  with  $\text{ord}_Q f \neq 0$ . By Lemma 1 (a) we know that  $\text{ord}_Q f \geq 1$ . It follows that  $\text{ord}_Q(f\bar{f}) = \text{ord}_Q f + \text{ord}_Q \bar{f} \geq 1$ . Now since  $f = g + hy$ ,  $f\bar{f} = g^2 - h^2 C(x)$  which lies in  $K[x]$ , so by Lemma 2 we have  $\text{ord}_{x_0}(f\bar{f}) > 0$ . But there are only finitely many such  $x_0$  and so only finitely many  $y_0 = \pm\sqrt{C(x_0)}$ .

For  $f \in K(x, y)$ ,  $f = \frac{f_1}{f_2}$ ,  $f_1, f_2 \in K[x, y]$  we have  $\text{ord}_{x_0} f = \text{ord}_{x_0} f_1 - \text{ord}_{x_0} f_2$  so there are also only finitely many  $x_0$  for which this differs from zero.

For the second claim, give a name to our sum

$$s(f) = \sum_{Q \in H(\bar{K})} \text{ord}_Q f$$

and note that  $s(f) = s(\bar{f})$  due to Lemma 3 and the fact that we take the sum over all  $Q$ . Because  $\text{ord}_Q(f\bar{f}) = \text{ord}_Q(f) + \text{ord}_Q(\bar{f})$  we can see that

$$s(f\bar{f}) = s(f) + s(\bar{f}) = 2s(f).$$

But because  $f\bar{f} \in K(x)$  we can use Lemma 2 to write this out as

$$\begin{aligned} 2s(f) &= \sum_{Q \in H(\bar{K})} \text{ord}_Q f\bar{f} \\ &= 2 \sum_{\substack{x_0 \neq \infty \\ x_0 \neq \alpha_i}} \text{ord}_{x_0} f\bar{f} + 2 \sum_{x_0 = \alpha_i} \text{ord}_{x_0} f\bar{f} + 2 \sum_{x_0 = \infty} \text{ord}_{x_0} f\bar{f} \\ &= 2 \sum_{x_0 \in \bar{K} \cup \{\infty\}} \text{ord}_{x_0} f\bar{f}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\alpha_i$  are the points on which  $C(x)$  vanishes and since

$$\sum_{x_0 \in \bar{K} \cup \{\infty\}} \text{ord}_{x_0} g = 0$$

for any  $g \in K(x)$  we have  $2s(f) = 0$  meaning  $s(f) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

## 1.2 Divisors and Lemmas

**Definition 4:** The divisor of a function  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  is the formal sum

$$(f) = \sum_{\mathcal{Q} \in H(\overline{K})} \text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}} f \cdot \mathcal{Q}$$

where the empty sum is written  $(f) = 0$  in case  $f = 0$ . Thanks to Lemma 4 the sum is finite and the sum of coefficients is 0.

Points  $\mathcal{Q} \in H(\overline{K})$  with a positive coefficient in  $(f)$  are called zeroes of  $f$  while those with a negative coefficient are called poles.

**Lemma 5:** If  $f \in \mathcal{F}^*$  has no poles then  $f$  is constant.

*Proof.* With  $f = g + hy$ ,  $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}} f \geq 0$  for every  $\mathcal{Q} \in H(\overline{K})$  we take a look at  $f + \bar{f} = 2g \in K(x)$  and  $f\bar{f} = g^2 - h^2C \in K(x)$  and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}}(f + \bar{f}) &\geq \min\{\text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}} f, \text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}} \bar{f}\} \\ &= \min\{\text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}} f, \text{ord}_{\bar{\mathcal{Q}}} f\} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

with Lemma 3 and similarly

$$\text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}}(f\bar{f}) = \text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}} f + \text{ord}_{\bar{\mathcal{Q}}} f \geq 0.$$

Both are greater than zero because  $f$  has no poles and with the help of Lemma 2 we conclude that  $\text{ord}_{x_0}(f + \bar{f}) \geq 0$  and  $\text{ord}_{x_0}(f\bar{f}) \geq 0$  respectively.

But in  $\overline{K}(x)$ , a function  $q$  with  $\text{ord}_{x_0} q \geq 0$  for every  $x_0 \in \overline{K} \cup \{\infty\}$  must be constant, so both  $f + \bar{f}$  and  $f\bar{f}$  are constant functions. Since  $f$  is a root of  $(T - f)(T - \bar{f}) = T^2 - (f + \bar{f})T + f\bar{f}$  which lies in  $\overline{K}[T]$ ,  $f$  lies in  $\overline{K}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6:** If  $f \in \mathcal{F}^*$  has at most one pole at  $\infty$  meaning  $\text{ord}_{\infty} f \geq -1$  and none for any other  $\mathcal{Q} \in H_0(\overline{K})$  meaning  $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}} f \geq 0$  then  $f$  is a constant.

*Proof.* With  $f = g + hy$  such that  $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}} f \geq 0$  for every  $\mathcal{Q} \in H_0(\overline{K})$  we can assume that  $\text{ord}_{\infty} f = -1$  and  $\lambda_{\infty}(f) = \frac{1}{t} + \dots$ . If the trailing coefficient of  $\lambda_{\infty}(f)$  were different from one, we can always xxx where  $\alpha \in \overline{K}$ . Due to Lemma 5 we assume that  $\alpha \neq 0$  and may even take  $\alpha = 1$  by replacing  $f$  with  $\frac{f}{\alpha}$ .

Now remember that  $\lambda_{\infty}(x) = \frac{1}{t^2}$  so  $\lambda_{\infty}(x - f^2) = \frac{\beta}{t} + \dots$ ,  $\beta \in \overline{K}$  and finally we have a regular power series  $\lambda_{\infty}(x - f^2 - \beta f)$  so

$$\text{ord}_{\infty}(x - f^2 - \beta f) \geq 0$$

and for any other  $\mathcal{Q} \in H_0(\overline{K})$  we have

$$\text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}}(x - f^2 - \beta f) \geq \min\{\text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}}(x), \text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}}(f^2), \text{ord}_{\mathcal{Q}}f\}$$

which is positive by virtue of the prerequisite on  $f$  and  $\lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(x) = x_0 + \dots$ . The previous Lemma now implies  $x - f^2 - \beta f \in \overline{K}$  so we see  $x = f^2 + \beta f$  as a polynomial  $x = X(f)$  with  $X(T) \in \overline{K}[T]$ .

Do the same thing with  $\lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(y) = \frac{1}{t^5}\sqrt{\tau} = \frac{1}{t^5} + \dots$  so

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(y - f^5) &= \frac{\gamma}{t^4} + \dots, \\ \lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(y - f^5 - \gamma f^4) &= \frac{\delta}{t^3} + \dots\end{aligned}$$

so finally  $\lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(y - f^3 - \gamma f^2 - \delta f)$  is a power series again and must actually be in  $\overline{K}$ . Like before,  $y = f^3 + \gamma f^2 + \delta f = Y(f)$  with  $Y(T) \in \overline{K}[T]$ .

Combined we have  $Y(f)^2 = C(X(f))$  but  $K$  is algebraically closed, so either  $f \in \overline{K}$  or  $Y(T)^2 = C(X(T))$ . The latter can't be true since xxx proves/says  $X, Y \in \overline{K}$  but both are of degree strictly higher than one.  $\square$

**Lemma 7:** If  $f \in \mathcal{F}^*$  has at most two poles at  $\infty$  then  $f$  is of the form  $f = \alpha + \beta x$  with  $\alpha, \beta \in K$ .

*Proof.*  $\square$

**Lemma 8:** If  $f \in \mathcal{F}^*$  has at most three poles at  $\infty$  then  $f$  is of the form  $f = \alpha + \beta x$  with  $\alpha, \beta \in K$ .

*Proof.*  $\square$

**Lemma 9:** If  $f \in \mathcal{F}^*$  has at most four poles at  $\infty$  then  $f$  is of the form  $f = \alpha + \beta x + \gamma x^2$  with  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in K$ .

*Proof.*  $\square$

**Minilemma 1:** If  $f$  is such that  $\lambda_{\infty}(f) = \gamma t^e + \dots$  then  $\lambda_{\infty}(\overline{f}) = -\gamma t^e + \dots$

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda_{\infty}(\overline{f}) = \tilde{\gamma} t^e + \dots$ . Since  $f + \overline{f} = 2p$  and  $\text{ord}_{\infty}(p)$  is always even for  $p \in K(x)$ , we must have  $(\gamma + \tilde{\gamma})t^{-3} + \dots = \sigma t^{-2} + \dots$  so  $\gamma = -\tilde{\gamma}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2:** Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}^*$  with  $(f) = \frac{***}{3\infty}$ . Then  $f = \alpha + \beta x$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f = p + qy$  with  $p, q \in K(t)$ . We have  $\lambda_\infty(f + \bar{f}) = \sigma t^{-2} + \dots$  so  $p = \frac{1}{2}(f + \bar{f}) = \alpha + \beta x$ .

Now, consider  $f - \bar{f} = 2qy$ . We get  $\lambda_\infty(f - \bar{f}) = 2\lambda_\infty(q)t^{-5}\sqrt{\tau} = 2\gamma t^{-3} + \dots$  and since  $\sqrt{\tau}$  is a series of the form  $1 + \dots$  we must have  $\lambda_\infty(q) = \gamma t^2 + \dots$

Suppose  $q \neq 0$ , this implies  $\frac{1}{q} = \tilde{\delta} + \tilde{\epsilon}x$ . Rewriting to accomodate for  $q = 0$  gives  $q = \frac{\epsilon}{x - \delta}$  with  $\delta, \epsilon \in K$  as well so

$$f = \alpha + \beta x + \frac{\epsilon}{x - \delta}y$$

However, now  $\lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(f) = \alpha + \beta(t^2 + \delta) + \epsilon\sqrt{\mu}\frac{t\sqrt{\tau}}{t^2}$  for  $\mathcal{Q} = (\delta, 0)$  which is equal to  $\epsilon\sqrt{\mu}t^{-1} + \dots$ . If  $\epsilon \neq 0$  ( $\mu$  is non zero anyway) this means that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a new (true) pole for  $f$  which contradicts  $(f) = \frac{***}{3_\infty}$ , so  $q$  must be 0.  $\square$

**Lemma 3:** Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}^*$  with  $(f) = \frac{****}{4_\infty}$ . Then  $f = \alpha + \beta x + \gamma x^2$ .

*Proof.* (different function  $f$ ): With  $\lambda_\infty(f) = \gamma t^{-4} + \dots$  so  $\lambda_\infty(f - \gamma x^2)$  being of the form  $\sigma t^{-3} + \dots$  we fall into the case above and the claim follows.  $\square$

---