

Edge Computing Feasibility on Remote Location Analysis

Prepared by: Tushar Kalita
Email: me@2sr.in

Institution: The Assam Kaziranga University
Report Date: 19-05-2025

Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary
2. Objectives
3. Scope & Data Considerations
4. Key Findings with Customized Insights
 - 4.1 Edge vs Cloud Computing Comparison
 - 4.2 Latency and Bandwidth Analysis
 - 4.3 Energy and Resource Consumption
 - 4.4 Deployment Feasibility in Remote Areas
 - 4.5 Use Cases in Remote Scenarios
 - 4.6 SWOT Analysis
5. Tools & Technologies Considered
6. Conclusion
7. Future Work
8. Contact

1. Executive Summary

This report presents a feasibility analysis of deploying **Edge Computing** in **remote or rural locations**. With increasing demands for real-time processing and reduced dependency on centralized cloud systems, edge computing has emerged as a promising architecture. The analysis focuses on factors like network latency, energy efficiency, deployment challenges, and real-world use cases. Visual insights and comparative analysis guide decision-makers toward understanding the practicality and benefits of edge infrastructures in underserved areas.

2. Objectives

- To evaluate the practicality and benefits of edge computing in geographically remote or resource-limited areas.
 - To compare edge computing with traditional cloud computing in terms of performance, reliability, and scalability.
 - To identify infrastructural and environmental challenges affecting edge deployment.
 - To provide insight into industries and applications that benefit the most from edge computing in such regions.
-

3. Scope & Data Considerations

This analysis includes:

- Theoretical evaluation of edge vs. cloud architectures.
 - Case studies from emerging markets and rural deployments.
 - Network topology and bandwidth analysis in remote terrains.
 - Hardware power profiles and environmental constraints.
 - Example use cases from healthcare, agriculture, and disaster response.
-

4. Key Findings with Customized Insights

4.1 Edge vs Cloud Computing Comparison

Parameter	Edge Computing	Cloud Computing
Latency	Low (~1-5ms)	High (30-100ms)
Bandwidth Usage	Reduced	Heavy
Real-time Support	Excellent	Limited
Infrastructure Cost	Moderate (once-off)	Recurring

Parameter	Edge Computing	Cloud Computing
Offline Capability	Yes	No

Insight: Edge is more suitable for time-sensitive applications where continuous internet is unavailable.

4.2 Latency and Bandwidth Analysis

Remote locations often suffer from weak backhaul infrastructure. Edge computing mitigates this by:

- Reducing round-trip latency
- Enabling offline-first operations
- Minimizing bandwidth consumption by processing data locally

Visual Insight:

Edge Latency Test

```
Pinging 192.168.225.1 with 32 bytes of data:
Reply from 192.168.225.1: bytes=32 time=2ms TTL=64
Reply from 192.168.225.1: bytes=32 time=2ms TTL=64
Reply from 192.168.225.1: bytes=32 time=2ms TTL=64
Reply from 192.168.225.1: bytes=32 time=2ms TTL=64
Reply from 192.168.225.1: bytes=32 time=2ms TTL=64

Ping statistics for 192.168.225.1:
    Packets: Sent = 5, Received = 5, Lost = 0 (0% loss),
    Approximate round trip times in milli-seconds:
        Minimum = 2ms, Maximum = 2ms, Average = 2ms
```

Cloud Bandwidth Test

```
Pinging aws.in [199.59.243.228] with 32 bytes of data:
Reply from 199.59.243.228: bytes=32 time=112ms TTL=238
Reply from 199.59.243.228: bytes=32 time=99ms TTL=238
Reply from 199.59.243.228: bytes=32 time=166ms TTL=238
Reply from 199.59.243.228: bytes=32 time=112ms TTL=238

Ping statistics for 199.59.243.228:
    Packets: Sent = 4, Received = 4, Lost = 0 (0% loss),
    Approximate round trip times in milli-seconds:
        Minimum = 99ms, Maximum = 166ms, Average = 122ms
```

```
Pinging akamai.com [2600:140f:7::17d4:a4f0] with 32 bytes of data:
Reply from 2600:140f:7::17d4:a4f0: time=64ms
Reply from 2600:140f:7::17d4:a4f0: time=94ms
Reply from 2600:140f:7::17d4:a4f0: time=39ms
Reply from 2600:140f:7::17d4:a4f0: time=70ms

Ping statistics for 2600:140f:7::17d4:a4f0:
    Packets: Sent = 4, Received = 4, Lost = 0 (0% loss),
Approximate round trip times in milli-seconds:
    Minimum = 39ms, Maximum = 94ms, Average = 66ms
```

Cloud Bandwidth Test



Your Internet speed is

810 Mbps

Latency

Unloaded

39
ms

Loaded

278
ms

Upload

Speed

130
Mbps

Client: Tikrikilla, IN 2409:40e6:20f:5972:7853:40f4:bef1:10f0 Jio
Server(s): Block EP Sector V, Saltlake, IN | Patna, IN | Nagpur, IN

⚙ Settings

2580MB ↕

260MB ↕

Edge Bandwidth Test

INTERNET SPEED TEST RESULT



↓ DOWNLOAD

1469.66
Mbps

↻ JITTER

1.00
ms

↻ PING

1.00
ms

↑ UPLOAD

1481.84
Mbps

May 19 2025 7:03 AM UTC

LOCAL TEST : speed-test.local.2sr.in

"The reduced latency and local processing capability of edge computing make it highly suitable for time-sensitive operations in areas with poor connectivity. As demonstrated in [1], edge-AI integration not only reduces latency but also alleviates bandwidth stress by processing most of the data locally before selectively offloading to the cloud."

4.3 Energy and Resource Consumption

Typical edge devices (e.g., Raspberry Pi, Jetson Nano, industrial IoT gateways) consume:

- Power: 5–15W
- Operable on solar/battery setups
- Use passive cooling → less maintenance

In contrast, centralized cloud servers require high energy and air-conditioned data centres.

4.4 Deployment Feasibility in Remote Areas

Challenges:

- Limited grid electricity
- Harsh weather environments
- Lack of local technical support

Solutions:

- Rugged edge hardware
- Solar-powered micro data centres
- Containerized workloads for easy updates

“Remote and rural areas typically face infrastructure constraints like unreliable power and weak network coverage. According to [3], edge computing can bridge the digital divide by reducing reliance on cloud data centers, enabling local decision-making and service delivery even in disconnected scenarios.”

4.5 Use Cases in Remote Scenarios

- **Healthcare:** Portable diagnostics, health monitoring in rural clinics.
- **Agriculture:** Smart irrigation, crop health detection via local ML models.
- **Disaster Relief:** Drones with edge analytics for search and rescue.
- **Education:** Offline learning hubs with edge-hosted content.

“In the agriculture domain, edge computing has proven to be effective in automating farm activities through localized intelligence. Research in [5] illustrates how edge solutions can improve crop monitoring and irrigation control, especially in regions where full-time connectivity is unavailable.”

4.6 SWOT Analysis

Strengths	Weaknesses
Low latency	Limited compute power
Offline operation	Initial hardware cost
Resilient to network failures	Technical skill requirement
Opportunities	Threats
Emerging rural tech markets	Hardware obsolescence
Government digital initiatives	Weather/environment hazards

“One of the key strengths of edge computing lies in its adaptability for cooperative use in low-resource environments. As described in [2], collaborative edge models allow devices in remote villages to share workloads and maintain reliability despite limited individual capacity.”

5. Tools & Technologies Considered

- **Hardware:** Jetson Nano, Raspberry Pi, Intel NUC
- **Software:** Kubernetes, Docker, TensorFlow Lite
- **Network:** LoRa, 4G/5G, Wi-Fi Mesh, Satellite uplinks
- **Data Sources:** Case studies, open datasets (Edge Impulse, Io Bench)

6. Conclusion

Edge computing represents a transformative opportunity for improving digital access and automation in remote regions. Despite deployment hurdles, its decentralized nature ensures resilience, privacy, and faster response times. For rural healthcare, agriculture, and emergency services, edge architectures are not just feasible—they are essential.

7. Future Work

- Real-life pilot deployments with benchmark data
 - Optimization models for hybrid edge-cloud systems
 - Incorporating AI/ML for predictive maintenance and automation
 - Integration with local renewable energy sources
-

9. Reference

- [1] A. Biswas, B. P. Rimal, and M. Maier, "Feasibility Study of Edge Computing Empowered by Artificial Intelligence," **Journal of Cybersecurity and Privacy**, vol. 8, no. 8, pp. 94–109, Aug. 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/2504-2289/8/8/94>
- [2] M. N. Islam, S. A. Madani, and M. S. Hossain, "Collaborative Edge Computing for Smart Villages," in **Proc. Int. Conf. on Advances in Computing, Communications and Informatics**, Jan. 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/348697675>
- [3] S. Kumar, "Edge Computing in Rural Areas: Closing the Digital Divide," **IoT For All**, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.iotforall.com/edge-computing-rural-areas-closing-digital-divide>
- [4] J. Premsankar, M. Di Francesco, and T. Taleb, "Edge Computing: A Systematic Mapping Study," **Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience**, vol. 33, no. 7, pp. e7741, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/cpe.7741>
- [5] A. F. Tzounis, N. Katsoulas, T. Bartzanas, and C. Kittas, "Edge Computing: A Tractable Model for Smart Agriculture?," **Computers and Electronics in Agriculture**, vol. 162, pp. 33–45, Apr. 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2589721719300339>

9. Contact

Tushar Kalita

Website: 2sr.in

Email: me@2sr.in

Student – B.Tech in CSE

The Assam Kaziranga University

Email: ET22BTHCS054@KAZIRANGAUNIVERSITY.IN