Forget hidden states?

Kacper Gibas, Sam Kierans and Billy Yan

2020

Contents

1	Why hide?	2
2	"fastlane"	5
3	citations/references	6

Chapter 1

Why hide?

A basic RNN [1] achieves memory by receiving 2 sets of inputs; new information input and previous hidden state(context units) and returning 2 sets of outputs the output and the new hidden state.

LSTM [2] perhaps the most successful type of RNN, uses hidden states and gates to retain information for arbitrary timesteps. This allows LSTMs ,when combined with other techniques such as transformers [3] become state of the art in practically all areas of a.i. research where it is applicable. Is a hidden state essential for retaining information for many timesteps?

What we aim to do is retain information for many timesteps without relying on hidden states.

Let's use the simplest method for getting the information from past timesteps: don't use a blank version of the neural network for a new timestep. Add the value of the neuron to the input to the activation function.

i.e. for a particular neuron $n_{at} = f(n_{at-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} inputs_i \odot weights_{ai} + bias_a)$

f(x) is the activation function,

 n_{at-1} is the value of the neuron n_a from the previous timestep, n_{at} is the new value of n_a for this timestep,

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} inputs_{i} \odot weights_{ai}$ is the sum of the elements of the Hadamard or elementwise product of input to n_{a} : $inputs_{i}$ and weights connected to n_{a} : $weights_{ai}$, and $bias_{a}$ is the bias of the neuron n_{a} .

The only difference between this and a "plain vanilla" simple neural network is that we add a n_{at-1} to the input of the activation function. This shouldn't be too much extra work in practice, the value of n_{at-1} would be in the tensor of neurons if you don't clear, deconstruct or delete it.

Can a network that works this way hold information for arbitrary timesteps? let's assume $e = \pi = 3$ (insert other ridiculous and covenient approximation/assumption of choice), and let the speculating/"gedankenexperiment" begin.

One way to try and prove that it is possible for this type of network to hold information for arbitrary timesteps is to show for a neuron n_a , n_{at} can be equal to n_{at-1} . If n_{at} is equal to n_{at-1} for some non conflicting conditions for **any** timestep; we can have infinite timesteps statisfy the conditions¹. Therefore we can hold information for arbitrary timesteps.

First we ignore $inputs_i$, $weights_{ai}$ and $bias_a$ considering just the activation function, we need to at least show: $n_{at} = f(n_{at-1})$ is possible, sadly we are forced to use non-linear activation functions in most neural networks, or else the entire network becomes a linear regression model; a useful tool but usually not what most recurrent neural networks are trying to model.

A non-linear activation function would in theory change the input at least bit by bit through every timestep because of its non-linearity until it becomes useless as a memory. So back to the drawing board?

No, we are saved by the saviour of deep learning: reLU [4] !!!, for $n_{at} = \text{reLU}(n_{at-1})$ to be true we just need $n_{at-1} \geq 0$ because reLU is linear and has a gradient of 1 as long as $n_{at-1} \geq 0$.

Next, we need the conditions for $\sum_{i=1}^{n} inputs_{i} \odot weights_{ai} + bias_{a} = 0$, because $n_{at} = f(n_{at-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} inputs_{i} \odot weights_{ai} + bias_{a})$, would be then equal to $n_{at} = f(n_{at-1})$ which we know can be true.

So lets assume $bias_a = 0$,

then we need the conditions for $\sum_{i=1}^{n} inputs_{i} \odot weights_{ai}$ to equal to zero, that can be achieved if either $inputs_{i}$ or $weights_{ai}$ are filled with 0, if all elements of the weight tensor are 0 then that neuron receives no useful input.

If the input tensor is filled with zeros instead for a network made of fullyconnected layers that would mean no new information gets introduced to any neurons from that layer onwards;

We can get around this by assuming only some input elements are 0, and the weight elements that correspond to the non 0 input elements equal to zero

e.g. if
$$inputs = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $weights_a = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

the sum of the Hadamard product of the 2 tensors is 0 even though not all elements are 0, because the weights that correspond to the non-zero inputs are 0. In other words we need sparse connections.

Going over the conditions needed, we need reLU, $n_{at-1} \geq 0$, $bias_a = 0$, sparse connections and elements of input tensor equal to 0, or probably more relaxed criteria of reLU or some variation of reLU, $n_{at-1} \geq 0$, $bias_a$ close to 0, sparse connections and some elements of input tensor close to 0 for senarios where the

¹as long as the conditons the previous timestep has to satisfy do not interfere with the conditions the current timestep has to fulfil, we can then have an infinitely long chain of timesteps that all realise the conditions.

information isn't needed indefinitely.

Looking at these conditions non of them are conflicting so storing information for many timesteps appears possible.

Sadly this is still a dream model of "spherical cows in a vacuum". There is still much more to do.

Firstly, will the network learn to keep the inputs to a neuron at 0 if it needs to that information some time later? (probably?, but we don't know how to prove it with any level of rigour)

Secondly, will the network learn to keep bias close to 0 to preserve information? (probably?, but we don't know how to prove it with any level of rigour)

Thirdly, will the neural network learn to work the way we want it to in the first place? (absolutely no idea, but "wE lEaVe It AS An ExErcISe fOR THe ReaDeR")

Chapter 2

"fastlane"

So we decided to try and design a new variant of RNN, that can fit the criteria and has a few other features. We are going to refer to these as "fastlane networks". We ditched a layered structure, instead we have an order for the neurons to "fire" in and every neuron can have connections with any other neuron(no connections to itself, no point in doing so).

to be continued...

Chapter 3

citations/references

- [1] Elman, Jeffrey L. (1990). "Finding Structure in Time". https://crl.ucsd.edu/~elman/Papers/fsit.pdf
- [2] Hochreiter, Sepp; Schmidhuber, Jürgen (1997-11-01). "Long Short-Term Memory". Neural Computation. https://www.bioinf.jku.at/publications/older/2604.pdf
- [3] Polosukhin, Illia; Kaiser, Lukasz; Gomez, Aidan N.; Jones, Llion; Uszkoreit, Jakob; Parmar, Niki; Shazeer, Noam; Vaswani, Ashish (2017-06-12). "Attention Is All You Need" https://papers.nips.cc/paper/7181-attention-is-all-you-need.pdf
- [4] Xavier Glorot, Antoine Bordes and Yoshua Bengio (2011). Deep sparse rectifier neural networks http://proceedings.mlr.press/v15/glorot11a/glorot11a.pdf