## A. Type 1 Extreme Value Shocks, Choice Probabilities, and Expected utility

This section justifies and derives the expression for utility in  $(\ref{eq:initial})$ , choice probabilities, expected utility, etc. The idea is that we can recast the planner as choosing cutoff values for the preference shock as the planner directly choosing the migration rates. I do everything below in a simplified form with an agent picking between locations j and j'. And to simplify the notation, I'm not carrying around all the states.

First, the ordinal way to think of the planner's problem is that the planner is choosing J-1 cut-off values  $\nu_j^j(s)$  for each state (s and current location j). The J-1 is because for J locations, one is redundant. In my presentation below, it is only a two location situation, so there is only one cutoff value.

The cutoff value then works in the following way where:  $\nu^j + \nu^j(s) > \nu^{j'}$ , then you move to j otherwise go to j'. Another, perhaps clearer way to write this is  $\nu^j(s) \geq \nu^{j'} - \nu^j$ . So, for example, if your preference for location j is very large, this inequality is satisfied and you go to j. Otherwise, if this inequality is not satisfied (you have a very large  $\nu^{j'}$  shock, then go to j'.

Now we can go back an forth between the cut-off values described above and migration rates. Given some joint density over the preference shocks  $\phi$ , the probability that people go to location j is:

$$\mu_{j,j}(s) = \text{Prob}\{\nu^j + \nu_j^j(s) \ge \nu^{j'}\} = \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\nu^j + \nu_j^j(s)} \phi(\nu^j, \nu^{j'}) d\nu^{j'} \right] d\nu^j \tag{1}$$

where the internal part of the bracket stays: "fix a  $\nu^j$ , then how many guys have  $\nu^{j'}$  below  $\nu^j + \nu^j_j(s)$ " or in words "for the given  $\nu^j$ , how many want to go to j." Then there is the outer part says "add up all those guys for each  $\nu^j$ ." I do this many times, always get confused, so say it again. With the Type 1 extreme value distribution, we can evaluate the integral in (1) and then compute the migration rates as a function of the cut-off values and expected utility as a function of the migration rates as in (??). Below we do this in several steps.

- 1. Train's book is here https://eml.berkeley.edu/books/choice2.html which is a very good resource for working with the Type 1 extreme value distribution. Most of the discussion below simply fills in the holes from aspects of the book with a more explicit derivation.
- 2. The Type 1 extreme value pdf is:

$$\phi(\nu) = \sigma^{-1} \exp(-\sigma^{-1}\nu) \exp(-\exp(-\sigma^{-1}\nu))$$
 (2)

$$\Phi(\nu) = \exp(-\exp(-\sigma^{-1}\nu)) \tag{3}$$

and then let's insert this into (1) and realizing that the inner term of (1) is the cdf  $\Phi$  evaluated at the cut-off point of  $\nu^j + \nu^j_i(s)$ .

$$\Prob\{\nu^{j} + \nu^{j}_{j}(s) \ge \nu^{j'}\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(\nu^{j} + \nu^{j}_{j}(s))\phi(\nu)d\nu^{s}$$

$$\Prob\{\nu^{j} + \nu^{j}_{j}(s) \ge \nu^{j'}\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-\exp(-\sigma^{-1}(\nu^{j} + \nu^{j}_{j}(s))))\sigma^{-1}\exp(-\sigma^{-1}\nu^{j})\exp(-\exp(-\sigma^{-1}\nu^{j}))d\nu^{j}$$

$$(4)$$

(5)

Now if you collect terms on the exps you get something like

$$\text{Prob}\{\nu^{j} + \nu_{j}^{j}(s) \ge \nu^{j'}\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma^{-1} \exp\left[-\sigma^{-1}\nu^{j} - \exp(-\sigma^{-1}\nu^{j})(1 + \exp(-\sigma^{-1}\nu_{j}^{j}(s)))\right] d\nu^{j} \tag{6}$$

This is tedious, but almost done. First, using antiderivative calculator will take care of this.<sup>1</sup> A more subtle approach is to note that this is essentially the same as the pdf—which we know integrates up to one—except for the constant term  $(1 + \exp(-\sigma^{-1}\nu^j(s)))$ . Either which way, when you compute this you have that:

$$\mu_{j,j}(s) = \text{Prob}\{\nu^j + \nu_j^j(s) \ge \nu^{j'}\} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\sigma^{-1}\nu_j^j(s))} \tag{7}$$

which is the standard Type 1 extreme value share formula. First note that if the cut-off  $\nu_j^j(s)$  becomes arbitrarily large, then this value converges to one, so everyone stays in location j. Second, notice if dispersion parameter  $\sigma$  becomes arbitrarily large, the share converges to a pure lottery, half go one way half go another way. Finally the probability of going to location j' is

$$\mu_{j',j}(s) = \frac{\exp(-\sigma^{-1}\nu_j^j(s))}{1 + \exp(-\sigma^{-1}\nu_j^j(s))} \tag{8}$$

3. Next we need to compute expected utility associated with the migration pattern above. Note this is not a simple calculation because one needs to take into account that only the highst relative (or max) values migrate to a destination. So to compute expected utility, we first need to construct the following density:

$$\operatorname{Prob}\{\nu^{j} \mid \nu^{j} + \nu_{j}^{j}(s) \ge \nu^{j'}\} \tag{9}$$

which is the probability of  $\nu^j$ , conditional on  $\nu^j + \nu^j_j(s)$  being greater than the random variable  $\nu^{j'}$ . Now we can construct this density in the following way, using Bayes rule we have that

$$Prob\{\nu^{j} \mid \nu^{j} + \nu_{j}^{j}(s) \ge \nu^{j'}\} = \frac{Prob\{\nu^{j}, \nu^{j} + \nu_{j}^{j}(s) \ge \nu^{j'}\}}{Prob\{\nu^{j} + \nu_{j}^{j}(s) \ge \nu^{j'}\}}$$
(10)

and one important thing to notice is that we have already computed the value in the denominator as it's the same as the stay in location j probability in (7). Then the object in the top is closely related to the way we computed the earlier density. This is:

$$Prob\{\nu^{j} \mid \nu^{j} + \nu_{j}^{j}(s) \ge \nu^{j'}\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\nu} \left[ \int^{\nu^{j} + \nu_{j}^{j}(s)} \phi(\nu^{j}, \nu^{j'}) d\nu^{j'} \right] d\nu^{j}$$
(11)

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\nu} \sigma^{-1} \exp\left[-\sigma^{-1}\nu^{j} - \exp(-\sigma^{-1}\nu^{j})(1 + \exp(-\sigma^{-1}\nu^{j}_{j}(s)))\right] d\nu^{j}$$
 (12)

where (to repeat my self) the first line says, fix a  $\nu^j$  and add up all the guys that go to j for that given value. Then add up all the  $\nu^j$  up to the point of  $\nu$ . This is the joint density of  $\nu$ s that are movers and values up that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The way to see the form is to note it takes  $\alpha \exp(-\alpha x + \exp(-\alpha x)\beta)$  for which the antiderivative is  $\frac{\exp(-\beta \exp(-\alpha x))}{\beta}$ .

point. Now notice this is the same form of the integral as before, so this density is expressed as:

$$\operatorname{Prob}\{\nu^{j} < \nu , \nu^{j} + \nu_{j}^{j}(s) \ge \nu^{j'}\} = \frac{\exp[-(1 + \exp(-\sigma^{-1}\nu_{j}^{j}(s))) \exp(-\sigma^{-1}\nu)]}{1 + \exp(-\sigma^{-1}\nu_{j}^{j}(s))}. \tag{13}$$

Then combining this probability with probability of being a stayer gives the following.

$$Prob\{\nu^{j} < \nu \mid \nu^{j} + \nu_{i}^{j}(s) \ge \nu^{j'}\} = \exp[-(1 + \exp(-\sigma^{-1}\nu_{i}^{j}(s))) \exp(-\sigma^{-1}\nu)]$$
(14)

$$= \exp[-(\mu_{j,j}(s))^{-1} \exp(-\sigma^{-1}\nu)]$$
(15)

where the last line substitutes in the "ACR" like insight that the centering parameter relates to the share of migrants. Now the expected value of  $\nu^j$  conditional on staying in j is

$$E(\nu^{j}|\nu^{j} + \nu_{j}^{j}(s) \ge \nu^{j'}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \nu^{j} d \exp[-(\mu_{j,j}(s))^{-1} \exp(-\sigma^{-1}\nu^{j})].$$
(16)

Now to compute this integral we need to do several more things. First, compute the pdf. Second, compute the integral via a change in variables and then make connection with Euler's constant. First the CDF takes the general form

$$\exp[-\beta \exp(-\alpha x)] \tag{17}$$

(18)

and then the associated PDF takes the form

$$\beta \alpha \exp[-\beta \exp(-\alpha x) - \alpha x] \tag{19}$$

and the integral that we want to compute is

$$\beta \alpha \int \exp[-\beta \exp(-\alpha x) - \alpha x] x dx \tag{20}$$

Now we want to connect this integral with Euler's constant which is

$$-\int \exp(-y)\ln y dy = \gamma \tag{21}$$

4. https://www.randomservices.org/random/special/ExtremeValue.html helps. As does this one with integrals of functions of exponentiation

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List\_of\_integrals\_of\_exponential\_functions

5. So first notice that we can express the integral in (20) as

$$\beta \alpha \int \exp[-\beta \exp(-\alpha x)] \exp[-\alpha x] x dx$$
 (22)

by just using rules of exponents. Next here is the proposed change of variables where

$$y = \beta \exp(-\alpha x) \tag{23}$$

$$\frac{y}{\beta} = \exp(-\alpha x) \tag{24}$$

$$x = \frac{-1}{\alpha} \ln \left( \frac{y}{\beta} \right) \tag{25}$$

$$\frac{dx}{dy} = \frac{-1}{\alpha} \frac{1}{y} \tag{26}$$

And notice that the domain of integration for this is now  $[\infty, 0]$  (yes, the infinity is at the bottom), but we have to flip giving rise to a minus sign. Now inserting this change of variable into (22) we have

$$-\beta \alpha \int_0^\infty \exp(-y) \left(\frac{y}{\beta}\right) \left(\frac{-1}{\alpha} \ln\left(\frac{y}{\beta}\right)\right) \left(\frac{-1}{\alpha} \frac{1}{y}\right) dy \tag{27}$$

and then notice how the terms cancel leaving

$$-\int \exp(-y) \ln\left(\frac{y}{\beta}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) dy. \tag{28}$$

Almost there. The final step is to expand out the stuff in the  $\ln$  function giving.

$$-\frac{1}{\alpha} \int \exp(-y) \ln y \, dy + \frac{\ln \beta}{\alpha} \int \exp(-y) \, dy \tag{29}$$

Then given the domain of integration and the form of these integrals, the first integral is Euler's constant, the second integral just sums up to one giving us:

$$\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} + \frac{\ln \beta}{\alpha} \tag{30}$$

Now let's get some intuition behind this formula. Recall that  $\alpha=\sigma^{-1}$  and that  $\beta=\frac{1}{\mu_{j,j}(s)}$ . So first notice if no one chooses j', everyone is choosing j, then  $\beta$  equals one and then the formula implies that the expected value of the preference draw those going to j is  $\sigma\gamma$  which is the standard formula for the mean of a Type 1 extreme value. Good. Then notice as  $\mu_{j,j}(s)$  decreases, less people are staying in j and  $\beta$  becomes larger. Why is it increasing? Remember this is the mean of the preference shock in j, so as out migration rate increases (less  $\mu_{j,j}(s)$ , higher  $\mu_{j',j}(s)$ , than those remaining in j are more selected and hence the expected value of the preference shock is larger. Even better.

6. To summarize the main result, the expected values in a location j conditional on a migration rate are

$$\mathbb{E}(\nu^{j}|\nu^{j} + \nu_{j}^{j}(s) \ge \nu^{j'}) = \sigma\gamma + \sigma \ln \left(\frac{1}{\mu_{j,k}(s)}\right)$$
(31)

And the **expected value across all locations**, conditional on the migration rates (which is a function of the cutoff value  $\nu^j(s)$ ) is

$$E[\nu \mid \mu_{j'j}(s)] = \sigma \gamma + -\sigma \sum_{j'} \mu_{j'}(s) \log \left(\mu_{j'j}(s)\right)$$
(32)

which takes the familiar log sum formulation that arises in the Type 1 extreme value models. And most importantly,

this is cast all in terms of the migration rates, not cutoff values.

Revised: May 24, 2022

5