

Deep Learning

Episode 4

Recurrent Neural Networks



Yandex
Data Factory


LAMBDA 



**British Hedgehog
Preservation Society**

Sequential data

- Time series
 - Financial data analysis
 - Demand prediction
 - Predict vehicle breakdown using sensor data
 - Medical sensors e.g. sugar level
- Text
 - Generating tweets, poetry
 - Sentiment analysis
 - See last lecture :)
- Spatio-temporal
 - Video
 - Precipitation maps
 - Ultrasonography
- Sound
 - Speech recognition
 - Text to speech
 - Music generation
 - Music recommendation
 - ...



Could go on all day

Time series @finance

Data:

- Stock ~~indices~~ indexes
- Commodities
- Forex

Objectives:

- Portfolio management
- Volatility targeting
- Estimating true value
- ...



Time series @finance

Data:

- Stock ~~indices~~ indexes
- Commodities
- Forex

Objectives:


- ~~Portfolio management~~ ~ trading stuff
- ~~Volatility targeting~~ ~ evaluating risk
- Estimating true value
- ...



Natural language as time series

Data:

- Literature
- Conversation
- Tweets
- Book scans
- Speech




Language model

Objective:

- Learn $P(\text{text})$

$$P(\text{text}) = P(w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n) = P(w_0) \cdot P(w_1 | w_0) \cdot P(w_2 | w_1 w_0) \cdot \dots \cdot P(w_n | \dots)$$



Language model

Why learning it?

- Detect languages as $P(\text{text}|\text{language})$
- Sentiment analysis $P(\text{text}|\text{happy})$
- Any text analysis you can imagine
- Generate texts!
 - Cool article <http://bit.ly/1K610Ie>
 - Generating clickbait: <http://bit.ly/21cZM70>

Language model

- Actual distribution

$$P(\text{text}) = P(w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n) = P(w_0) \cdot P(w_1 | w_0) \cdot P(w_2 | w_1 w_0) \cdot \dots \cdot P(w_n | \dots)$$

- Bag of words assumption (independent words)

$$P(\text{text}) = P(w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n) = P(w_0) \cdot P(w_1) \cdot P(w_2) \cdot \dots \cdot P(w_n)$$

- **Anything better?**

Language model

- Actual distribution

$$P(\text{text}) = P(w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n) = P(w_0) \cdot P(w_1 | w_0) \cdot P(w_2 | w_1 w_0) \cdot \dots \cdot P(w_n | \dots)$$

- Bag of words assumption (independent words)

$$P(\text{text}) = P(w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n) = P(w_0) \cdot P(w_1) \cdot P(w_2) \cdot \dots \cdot P(w_n)$$

- Markov assumption


$$P(\text{text}) = P(w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n) = P(w_0) \cdot P(w_1 | w_0) \cdot P(w_2 | w_1) \cdot \dots \cdot P(w_n | w_{n-1})$$

- also 3-gram, 5-gram, 100-gram


Can we learn* arbitrarily long dependencies?

* without infinitely many parameters


Hidden Markov Models: what is hidden




Hidden Markov Models: what is hidden




Recurrent neural network: one step



Recurrent neural network: one step




Recurrent neural network




Zoom-out
of previous slide


Recurrent neural network



Recurrent neural network




Recurrent neural network




We use **same weight matrices** for all steps

Recurrent neural network




Recurrent neural network



How can we represent words?

Recurrent neural network




Recurrent neural network

$$h_0 = \bar{0}$$

$$h_1 = \sigma(W_h \cdot h_0 + W_i \cdot t_0 + b)$$

$$h_2 = ?$$




Recurrent neural network

$$h_0 = \bar{0}$$

$$h_1 = \sigma(W_h \cdot h_0 + W_i \cdot t_0 + b)$$

$$h_2 = \sigma(W_h \cdot h_1 + W_i \cdot t_1 + b) = \sigma(W_h \cdot \sigma(W_h \cdot h_0 + W_i \cdot t_0 + b) + W_i \cdot t_1 + b)$$

$$h_{i+1} = \sigma(W_h \cdot h_i + W_i \cdot t_i + b)$$



Recurrent neural network


$$h_0 = \bar{0}$$

$$h_1 = \sigma(W_h \cdot h_0 + W_i \cdot t_0 + b)$$

$$h_2 = \sigma(W_h \cdot h_1 + W_i \cdot t_1 + b) = \sigma(W_h \cdot \sigma(W_h \cdot h_0 + W_i \cdot t_0 + b) + W_i \cdot t_1 + b)$$

$$h_{i+1} = \sigma(W_h \cdot h_i + W_i \cdot t_i + b)$$

$$P(t_4) = \text{softmax}(W_{out} \cdot h_3 + b_{out})$$




Recurrent neural network

$$Loss = \sum_{t_i} \log P(t_i) \cdot [t_i = t_4^{true}]$$

$$P(t_4) = \text{softmax}(W_{out} \cdot h_3 + b_{out})$$

$$h_{i+1} = \sigma(W_h \cdot h_i + W_i \cdot t_i + b)$$




Recurrent neural network

**How do we
train it?**

$$Loss = \sum_{t_i} \log P(t_i) \cdot [t_i = t_4^{true}]$$

$$P(t_4) = \text{softmax}(W_{out} \cdot h_3 + b_{out})$$

$$h_{i+1} = \sigma(W_h \cdot h_i + W_i \cdot t_i + b)$$




**WHAT ARE WE DOING TODAY,
BRAIN?**

**THE SAME THING WE DO EVERY DAY, PINKY.
BACKPROPAGATE**


memegenerator.net

Backpropagation through time




Truncated BPTT

Reality: roll for T steps,
then truncate
(T=10? 20? 1000?)




STATISTICAL LEARNING

Gentlemen, our learner overgeneralizes because the VC-Dimension of our Kernel is too high, Get some experts and minimize the structural risk in a new one. Rework our loss function, make the next kernel stable, unbiased and consider using a soft margin




NEURAL NETWORKS

STACK
MORE
LAYERS




What is layer, again?




Layers


Where to stick more layers?




More layers




Too f**king complicated



2-layer RNN



BPTT again




BPTT Again

$$h_{i+1} = \sigma(W_h \cdot h_i + W_i \cdot t_i + b)$$

$$\frac{\delta Loss}{\delta w} = \frac{\delta Loss}{\delta P(t_4)} \cdot \frac{\delta P(t_4)}{\delta h_3} \cdot \left(\dots \right)$$


?!)



BPTT Again

$$h_{i+1} = \sigma(W_h \cdot h_i + W_i \cdot t_i + b)$$

$$\frac{\delta Loss}{\delta w} = \frac{\delta Loss}{\delta P(t_4)} \cdot \frac{\delta P(t_4)}{\delta h_3} \cdot \left(\frac{\delta h_3}{\delta w} \cdot \right)$$




BPTT Again

$$h_{i+1} = \sigma(W_h \cdot h_i + W_i \cdot t_i + b)$$

consider h_2 constant


$$\frac{\delta Loss}{\delta w} = \frac{\delta Loss}{\delta P(t_4)} \cdot \frac{\delta P(t_4)}{\delta h_3} \cdot \left(\frac{\delta h_3}{\delta w} \cdot \right)$$



BPTT Again

$$h_{i+1} = \sigma(W_h \cdot h_i + W_i \cdot t_i + b)$$


$$\frac{\delta Loss}{\delta w} = \frac{\delta Loss}{\delta P(t_4)} \cdot \frac{\delta P(t_4)}{\delta h_3} \cdot \left(\frac{\delta h_3}{\delta w} + \frac{\delta h_3}{\delta h_2} \cdot \frac{\delta h_2}{\delta w} \right)$$



BPTT Again

$$h_{i+1} = \sigma(W_h \cdot h_i + W_i \cdot t_i + b)$$


$$\frac{\delta Loss}{\delta w} = \frac{\delta Loss}{\delta P(t_4)} \cdot \frac{\delta P(t_4)}{\delta h_3} \cdot \left(\frac{\delta h_3}{\delta w} + \frac{\delta h_3}{\delta h_2} \cdot \frac{\delta h_2}{\delta w} + \text{Your guess?} \right)$$



BPTT Again

$$h_{i+1} = \sigma(W_h \cdot h_i + W_i \cdot t_i + b)$$

$$\frac{\delta Loss}{\delta w} = \frac{\delta Loss}{\delta P(t_4)} \cdot \frac{\delta P(t_4)}{\delta h_3} \cdot \left(\frac{\delta h_3}{\delta w} + \frac{\delta h_3}{\delta h_2} \cdot \frac{\delta h_2}{\delta w} + \frac{\delta h_3}{\delta h_2} \cdot \frac{\delta h_2}{\delta h_1} \cdot \frac{\delta h_1}{\delta w} + \dots \right)$$




Gradient explosion and vanishing


$$h_{i+1} = \sigma(W_h \cdot h_i + W_i \cdot t_i + b)$$

$$\frac{\delta Loss}{\delta w} = \frac{\delta Loss}{\delta P(t_4)} \cdot \frac{\delta P(t_4)}{\delta h_3} \cdot \left(\frac{\delta h_3}{\delta w} + \frac{\delta h_3}{\delta h_2} \cdot \frac{\delta h_2}{\delta w} + \frac{\delta h_3}{\delta h_2} \cdot \frac{\delta h_2}{\delta h_1} \cdot \frac{\delta h_1}{\delta w} + \dots \right)$$


- Many sigmoids near 0 or 1
 - Gradients $\rightarrow 0$
 - Not training for long-term dependencies
- Many nonzero values
 - Derivative stacks to >1
 - Gradients $\rightarrow \text{inf}$
 - Weights $\rightarrow \text{shit}$




RNN step




RNN step



Residual RNN step




Residual RNN step




Difference:

- Preserving information through time is now effortless.
- Gradients do not vanish.
- Much harder to get rid of something (reset cell to zero), when you need to do so.


Residual RNN step




Residual RNN step




Residual RNN step



Residual RNN step




Residual RNN step



What we drew


$$\text{update}(x_i, h_{i-1}) = \tanh(W_h^{\text{update}} \cdot h_{i-1} + W_{\text{inp}}^{\text{update}} \cdot x_i + b^{\text{update}})$$



What we drew

$$\text{update}(x_i, h_{i-1}) = \tanh(W_h^{\text{update}} \cdot h_{i-1} + W_{\text{inp}}^{\text{update}} \cdot x_i + b^{\text{update}})$$


$$\text{forget}(x_i, h_{i-1}) = \sigma(W_h^{\text{forget}} \cdot h_{i-1} + W_{\text{inp}}^{\text{forget}} \cdot x_i + b^{\text{forget}})$$



What we drew

$$\text{update}(x_i, h_{i-1}) = \tanh(W_h^{\text{update}} \cdot h_{i-1} + W_{\text{inp}}^{\text{update}} \cdot x_i + b^{\text{update}})$$

$$\text{forget}(x_i, h_{i-1}) = \sigma(W_h^{\text{forget}} \cdot h_{i-1} + W_{\text{inp}}^{\text{forget}} \cdot x_i + b^{\text{forget}})$$



**How to compute
h_new?**


What we drew

$$\text{update}(x_i, h_{i-1}) = \tanh(W_h^{\text{update}} \cdot h_{i-1} + W_{\text{inp}}^{\text{update}} \cdot x_i + b^{\text{update}})$$

$$\text{forget}(x_i, h_{i-1}) = \sigma(W_h^{\text{forget}} \cdot h_{i-1} + W_{\text{inp}}^{\text{forget}} \cdot x_i + b^{\text{forget}})$$

$$h_i(x_i, h_{i-1}) = \text{forget}(x_i, h_{i-1}) \cdot h_{i-1} + \text{update}(x_i, h_{i-1})$$

LSTM




2 hidden states:

- Cell (“private” state)
- Output (“public” state)

4 blocks:

- Update
- Forget gate
- Input gate
- Output gate


LSTM



$$\begin{aligned}
 i_t &= \text{Sigm}(\theta_{xi}x_t + \theta_{hi}h_{t-1} + b_i) \\
 f_t &= \text{Sigm}(\theta_{xf}x_t + \theta_{hf}h_{t-1} + b_f) \\
 o_t &= \text{Sigm}(\theta_{xo}x_t + \theta_{ho}h_{t-1} + b_o) \\
 g_t &= \text{Tanh}(\theta_{xg}x_t + \theta_{hg}h_{t-1} + b_g) \\
 c_t &= f_t \otimes c_{t-1} + i_t \otimes g_t \\
 h_t &= o_t \otimes \text{Tanh}(c_t)
 \end{aligned}$$


Where are the gates?

LSTM



$$\begin{aligned}
 i_t &= \text{Sigm}(\theta_{xi}x_t + \theta_{hi}h_{t-1} + b_i) \\
 f_t &= \text{Sigm}(\theta_{xf}x_t + \theta_{hf}h_{t-1} + b_f) \\
 o_t &= \text{Sigm}(\theta_{xo}x_t + \theta_{ho}h_{t-1} + b_o) \\
 g_t &= \text{Tanh}(\theta_{xg}x_t + \theta_{hg}h_{t-1} + b_g) \\
 c_t &= f_t \otimes c_{t-1} + i_t \otimes g_t \\
 h_t &= o_t \otimes \text{Tanh}(c_t)
 \end{aligned}$$

GRU



$$z_t = \sigma (W_z \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t])$$


$$r_t = \sigma (W_r \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t])$$

$$\tilde{h}_t = \tanh (W \cdot [r_t * h_{t-1}, x_t])$$

$$h_t = (1 - z_t) * h_{t-1} + z_t * \tilde{h}_t$$

Okay, the gradients no longer vanish
except they still do

But how do we deal with exploding grads?



Ideas?

Gradient clipping

At each time tick,

- check if grad abs value is more than ... 5?
- If so, clip it
 - large positive is now 5,
 - large negative -5
- How large is too large?
 - Reduce clipping threshold until explosions disappear

Gradient clipping

Where do I clip?

- Clip each element of $\delta L / \delta w$
- Clip each element of $\delta h_{i+1} / \delta h_i$
- Clip whole $\delta L / \delta w$ by norm
 - If $\left\| \frac{\delta L}{\delta w} \right\| > 5$, scale $\frac{\delta L}{\delta w} / \left\| \frac{\delta L}{\delta w} \right\| \cdot 5$

Generating stuff

Easy:

- Names, small phrases
- Orthographically correct delirium

Medium:

- Grammatically coherent text
- Resembling particular author

Hard:

- C/C++ source code
- Music
- Organic molecules
- LaTeX articles
- Your course projects

Nuff

Coding time!

