blimpy Test Coverage

Lukas Finkbeiner

April 30, 2020

1 Introduction and Background

Test coverage is good. We want more.

At various points the tone of this report will shift toward advice, in case some future intern wishes to contribute.

2 Methods

I isolate the script that I want to work on.

I recommend

3 Observations

The current areas of transformation are like this.

f (GHz)	Null Positions (cm)	f (GHz)	Null Positions (cm)
7.0	8.8, 15.15	9.5	8.9, 11.15, 13.35, 15.45, 17.7
7.2	11.95, 17.15	10.0	8.45, 10.45, 12.3, 14.35, 16.5
7.5	10.05, 14.4	10.5	9.95, 11.75, 13.5, 15.45, 17.3
8.0	10.15, 13.35, 16.7	11.0	9.35, 11.4, 12.65, 14.45, 16.2, 17.85
8.5	9.85, 12.7, 15.25	11.5	8.95, 10.45, 12.0, 13.8, 15.15, 16.8
9.0	9.3, 12.3, 14.25, 16.7	12.0	8.4, 9.85, 11.35, 12.85, 14.4, 15.9, 17.45

4 Current Efforts and Obstacles

Guppi sucks!! I HATE it!

5 Concluding Recommendations

In conclusion, we need some deprecation notices. We also need to exclude some methods from our tests.

6 Acknowledgments

Danny Price? Should I even have this section?