Quiz 9: Operational Semantics for Commands

Graded

Student

Abhinav Shripad

Total Points

4 / 5 pts

Question 1

Sequential Composition

Resolved 4/5 pts

- → + 2 pts Showed the final result for (c1c2)c3
- → + 2 pts Showed the final result for the c1(c2c3)
 - + 1 pt If one doesn't terminate, the other doesn't as well.
 - + 0 pts Incorrect/Not Attempted

© Regrade Request

Submitted on: May 13

Sir in the last line of the solution, I wrote the termination condition.

write it formally.

Reviewed on: May 14

Q1 Sequential Composition

5 Points

Show that *Sequential Composition* of commands is *associative*; that is, given any table γ , executing either c_1 ; $(c_2; c_3)$ or $(c_1; c_2)$; c_3 will result (if they terminate) in the *same* table. (And if one doesn't terminate, neither does the other).

[Hint: You may make assumptions such as:

$$\gamma$$
 $-[c_1]
ightarrow \gamma_1$, and γ_1 $-[c_2]
ightarrow \gamma_2$, and γ_2 $-[c_3]
ightarrow \gamma_3$.]

lets compare

(gamma -->(c1) gamma1) (c2;c3)

and

(gamma -->(c1;c2) gamma2)(c3), we show both result in same table gamma3, Assuming both sequences of commands terminate

From the assumptions given in the problem we get (assumption 1 and 2) (gamma -->(c1;c2) gamma2) and now combining it with third assumption of (gamma2 -->(c3) gamma3) we get (gamma -->(c1) gamma1) (c2;c3) gamma3,

Second expression of (gamma -->(c1;c2) gamma2)(c3) using the 2rd assumption gives

(gamma-->(c1;c2) gamma2) (c3) gives gamma3,

Hence both the sequence gives the same table gamma3, Hence they are assosiative.

If one of c1,c2,c3 doesnt terminate then neither does (c1;c2);c3 and c1;)c2;c3).