CONTEXT-FREE

GRAMMARS

Recall: Regular languages had regexes as a representation We saw that for some non-regular languages, we could write grammars to represent them. A grammar is a 4-tuple of the form (NT, T, R, S) Start symbol non-terminals set of production rules

Each production rule in a context-free grammar has a non-terminal on the left,

followed by :== or -> , and

Some Sequence of terminals 4 non-terminals on the right

We gave a grammar for $\lambda = \frac{2}{3}\omega | \omega \text{ contains as many a's as b's } \subseteq \{a, b\}^*$ as follows S:= E asb bsa ss G= (NT, T, R, S) Where NT = SS3 T= \\a, b \\\ R = S S = E, S = aSb, S = bSa, S = SS

| The main use of CFGs is in parsing. | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| For example, I might want to recognize the language | 5 |
| all strings with balanced parentheses | J |
| But the same CFG from above does not work for this! | |
| () = 5 w contains an equal number of '(' and ')', and '(' and | |
| This is a very verbose description. | |

What is a CFG for L?

$$S = \mathcal{E} | (S) | SS$$

 $G = \{S\}, \{(,)\}, \{S = \mathcal{E}, S = (S), S = SS\}, S\}$
How do we prove that $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}_G$?

1) Show that every string we L(G) is s.t. we Lo.

Describble cases for ω : $\omega = \varepsilon$: $\varepsilon = \varepsilon$

$$\omega = (\omega / (:))$$

$$\omega = \omega' = \infty$$

$$\omega = \omega'$$
:

$$\omega = (\omega')$$
: Let ω_p be the leftmost prefix $g(\omega')$ st.
 $\#'('(\omega_p)) = \#')'(\omega_p)$

(a)
$$\omega_p = \omega : S :== (S)$$
 $S :== \omega'$

(b)
$$\omega_p \neq \omega$$
: $S := \omega_p$ $S := SS$