

Cpts570-hw2


Mengxiao Zhang-11651502

October 26, 2019

# 1 Programming and Empirical Analysis Part

## 1.1 Problem 1

### 1.1.1 a



Since I use LinearSVC, I cannot plot the number of support vectors. And finally I find the best C is 0.001.

### 1.1.2 b

When C is 0.001, the testing accuracy: 77.69%.

The corresponding confusion matrix:

699	9	2	56	16	0	204	1	12	1
2	943	1	31	6	0	14	1	1	1
17	6	256	10	258	0	444	0	8	1
21	17	3	818	57	1	77	2	2	2
0	2	8	31	760	1	195	0	3	0
0	1	0	1	0	830	2	98	12	56
90	4	21	44	140	0	675	0	26	0
0	0	0	0	0	11	0	970	0	19
4	5	2	8	12	10	34	13	911	1
0	0	0	0	0	7	3	83	0	907

### 1.1.3 c

The degree of 2, 3, 4:

```
'train accuracy' : array([1., 1., 1.]),  
'validation accuracy' : array([0.88141667, 0.87016667, 0.8565]),  
'test accuracy' : array([0.8755, 0.8671, 0.8477]),  
'Number of Support Vectors' :  
array([1841, 216, 2075, 1563, 2119, 959, 2810, 947, 498, 520], dtype = int32),  
array([1539, 169, 1720, 1301, 1815, 941, 2491, 857, 374, 463], dtype = int32),  
array([1362, 149, 1460, 1148, 1556, 993, 2245, 766, 321, 399], dtype = int32)]  
The best degree : 2
```

According to these data, we can know that with the kernel degree increase, the accuracy of validation and test are decrease, and the number of support vectors are also decrease. So that the degree 2 is the best one for this polynomial kernel SVM

## 1.2 Problem 2

```
train accuracy : 90.12083333333332%  
validation accuracy : 89.51666666666667%  
test accuracy : 90.0%
```

## 1.3 Problem 3

### 1.3.1 b

```
training Accuracy : 100%  
validation Accuracy : 65.00%  
testing Accuracy : 74.28571428571429%
```

### 1.3.2 d

```
training Accuracy : 86.40167%  
validation Accuracy : 97.014925%  
test Accuracy : 93.9338235%
```

From these data, we can not that with pruning the tree, the training accuracy is decrease but validation accuracy and testing accuracy are both increase. I think it is because of the overfitting, and when we do the pruning, it become more fittable.