## **Memo 3 Rubric**

Special requirements for Memo 3 (Data Center Management):

Acceptable	Flawed	Unacceptable
This memo will have all of the	Exhibits any one of the following	Exhibits more than one of the
standard memo requirements	errors:	following errors:
AND meet the following <b>special</b>	Uses material from the reviewed	
requirements	article in the review without	
	quotation marks.	
A minimum of 4 Recommended	Has less than 4 articles, or any	Has less than 3 articles
articles/white papers that are	articles that are not related to	
related to the memo topic	the topic of the memo	
All articles/white papers have	Inaccurate references (I cannot	Missing any of the article
complete references (I want to	find the article through the	references
be able to go directly to the	reference)	
material)		
Each article is accompanied by:	Missing any one of the required	Missing any two of the required
1) a paragraph describing the	elements from the Acceptable	elements
content of the article (what I will	requirements	
learn if I read the article) and 2)		
a description of the strengths		
and weaknesses of the article		
(this is the annotated part –		
what did you find most useful		
about the article and its content,		
what are the		
flaws/drawback/failings/issues		
of the article).		
At least two different sources for	All articles from only one source	
articles are used (so if you use		
Gartner for an article you must		
find at least one other article		
from another source).		
A minimum of 3 "repositories" in	Missing one repository	Missing more than 1 repository
the Background/Justification		
section that can serve as sources		
of additional articles, white		
papers and reference materials		
on the topic of the memo		

## Example:

Experts in Business-Critical Continuity, "Precision versus comfort cooling",

http://www.emersonnetworkpower.com/en-US/Brands/Liebert/Documents/White%20Papers/data-center-cooling 24644-R09-10.pdf (accessed on January 4, 2015)

This article discussed blah, blah blah. I found the strengths to be clear discussion and blah, blah. However, the article failed to consider blah, blah which I think is really important because blah, blah, blah.