

# Linux Kernel Development

Greg Kroah-Hartman  
[gregkh@linuxfoundation.org](mailto:gregkh@linuxfoundation.org)

[github.com/gregkh/kernel-development](https://github.com/gregkh/kernel-development)

49,000 files  
19,300,000 lines

3,711 developers  
430 companies

8,600 lines added

5,800 lines removed

2,100 lines modified

8,600 lines added

5,800 lines removed

2,100 lines modified

Every day

# 8.1 changes per hour

Kernel releases 3.15.0 – 4.0.0  
June 2014 – April 2015

9.5 changes per hour

3.16 release

# How we stay sane


## Time based releases

## Incremental changes





**New release every  
2½ months**





# “Longterm kernels”

One picked per year

Maintained for two years

3.10    3.14


developer

developer

developer

developer

developer



```
commit ecf85e481a716cfe07406439fdc7ba9526bbfaeb
Author:      Robert Jarzmik <robert.jarzmik@free.fr>
AuthorDate:  Tue Apr 21 20:33:10 2009 -0700
Commit:      Greg Kroah-Hartman <gregkh@suse.de>
CommitDate:  Thu Apr 23 14:15:31 2009 -0700
```

USB: otg: Fix bug on remove path without transceiver

In the case where a gadget driver is removed while no transceiver was found at probe time, a bug in `otg_put_transceiver()` will trigger.


Signed-off-by: Robert Jarzmik <robert.jarzmik@free.fr>  
Acked-by: David Brownell <dbrownell@users.sourceforge.net>  
Signed-off-by: Greg Kroah-Hartman <gregkh@suse.de>


```
--- a/drivers/usb/otg/otg.c
+++ b/drivers/usb/otg/otg.c
@@ -43,7 +43,8 @@ EXPORT_SYMBOL(otg_get_transceiver);
 void otg_put_transceiver(struct otg_transceiver *x)
 {
-    put_device(x->dev);
+    if (x)
+        put_device(x->dev);
 }
```


# Developer's Certificate of Origin


- (a) I created this change; or
- (b) Based this on a previous work with a compatible license; or
- (c) Provided to me by (a), (b), or (c) and not modified
- (d) This contribution is public.











# Top developers by quantity

H. Hartley Sweeten 1772

Jes Sorensen 838

Malcolm Priestley 753

Lars-Peter Clausen 638

Takashi Iwai 612

Geert Uytterhoeven 661

Fabian Frederick 568

Laurent Pinchart 515

Johan Hedberg 508

Daniel Vetter 498

Kernel releases 3.15.0 - 4.0.0

# Top Signed-off-by:

Greg Kroah-Hartman 10511

David S. Miller 5652

Mark Brown 3127

Linus Torvalds 2750

Andrew Morton 2629

Mauro Carvalho Chehab 2228

Daniel Vetter 2049

John Linville 1352

Rafael Wysocki 1011

Marcel Holtmann 971

Kernel releases 3.15.0 – 4.0.0

# Who is funding this work?

1. “Amateurs”	12.8%
2. Intel	11.0%
3. Red Hat	8.4%
4. Unknown Individuals	5.6%
5. Samsung	4.0%
6. Linaro	3.9%
7. SuSE	3.5%
8. Consultants	3.2%
9. IBM	2.7%
10. Vision Engraving	2.4%

# Who is funding this work?

11. Texas Instruments	2.2%
12. Google	2.1%
13. Renesas	2.0%
14. Free Electrons	1.9%
15. Freescale	1.7%
16. Oracle	1.1%
17. AMD	1.1%
18. FOSS OPFW	1.0%
19. Nvidia	0.9%
20. ARM	0.9%

# “Working upstream saves time and money”

Dan Frye – VP Open Systems, IBM


Dirk Hohndel – Chief Technologist, Intel



# Getting involved

Run the kernel.org release on your machine

# Getting involved



LINUX  
KERNEL

IN A NUTSHELL

*A Desktop Quick Reference*

# Getting involved

Documentation/HOWTO

Documentation/development-process

# Getting involved

[kernelnewbies.org](http://kernelnewbies.org)



# Getting involved

Google “write your first kernel patch”

# Getting involved

[kernelnewbies.org/KernelJanitors/ToDo](https://kernelnewbies.org/KernelJanitors/ToDo)

# Getting involved

Linux Driver Project

`drivers/staging/*/TODO`

# Getting involved

Eudyptula Challenge  
(little penguin)

<http://eudyptula-challenge.org/>





[github.com/gregkh/kernel-development](https://github.com/gregkh/kernel-development)



# Linux Kernel Development

Greg Kroah-Hartman  
[gregkh@linuxfoundation.org](mailto:gregkh@linuxfoundation.org)

[github.com/gregkh/kernel-development](https://github.com/gregkh/kernel-development)



I'm going to discuss the how fast the kernel is moving, how we do it all, and how you can get involved.

**49,000 files**  
**19,300,000 lines**

Kernel release 4.0.0

This was for the 3.19 kernel release, which happened February 8, 2015.

The 3.17 kernel is the only release we have had in the past 4 years that we went down in size, this has only happened twice in the past 10 years.

# 3,711 developers 430 companies

Kernel releases 3.15.0 – 4.0.0  
June 2014 – April 2015

This makes the Linux kernel the largest contributed body of software out there that we know of.

This is just the number of companies that we know about, there are more that we do not, and as the responses to our inquiries come in, this number will go up.

Have surpassed 400 companies for 2 years now.

**8,600 lines added**  
**5,800 lines removed**  
**2,100 lines modified**

Kernel releases 3.15.0 – 4.0.0  
June 2014 – April 2015

8,600 lines added  
5,800 lines removed  
2,100 lines modified

Every day

Kernel releases 3.15.0 – 4.0.0  
June 2014 – April 2015

# 8.1 changes per hour

Kernel releases 3.15.0 – 4.0.0  
June 2014 – April 2015

This is 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, for a full year.

We went this fast the year before this as well, this is an amazing rate of change.

Interesting note, all of these changes are all through the whole kernel.

For example, the core kernel is only 5% of the code, and 5% of the change was to the core kernel. Drivers are 55%, and 55% was done to them, it's completely proportional all across the whole kernel.



# 9.5 changes per hour

## 3.16 release

This past 3.16 release was the fastest we have ever created. That number shows just how well the Linux kernel development model is working. We are growing in developers and in how fast we are developing overall.


Now this is just the patches we accepted, not all of the patches that have been submitted, lots of patches are rejected, as anyone who has ever tried to submit a patch can attest to.

# How we stay sane

Time based releases  
Incremental changes



67 days to be exact, very regular experience.



How a kernel is developed.

Linus releases a stable kernel

- 2 week merge window from subsystem maintainers

- rc1 is released


- bugfixes only now

- 2 weeks later, rc2

- bugfixes and regressions

- 2 weeks later, rc3

And so on until all major bugfixes and regressions are resolved and then the cycle starts over again.



Greg takes the stable releases from Linus, and does stable releases with them, applying only fixes that are already in Linus's tree.

Requiring fixes to be in Linus's tree first ensures that there is no divergence in the development model.

After Linus releases a new stable release, the old stable series is dropped.

With the exception of “longterm” stable releases, those are special, the stick around for much longer...

# “Longterm kernels”

One picked per year  
Maintained for two years

3.10 3.14

I pick one kernel release per year to maintain for longer than one release cycle. This kernel I will maintain for at least 2 years.

This means there are 2 longterm kernels being maintained at the same time.

3.10 and 3.14 are the longterm kernel releases I am maintaining.

3.10 will stop being maintained in October.


Ben Hutchings is maintaining the 3.2 kernel as a longterm kernel for the Debian project.

The LTSI project is based on the longterm kernels.



Like mentioned before, we have almost 3000 individual contributors. They all create a patch, a single change to the Linux kernel. This change could be something small, like a spelling correction, or something larger, like a whole new driver.

Every patch that is created only does one thing, and it can not break the build, complex changes to the kernel get broken up into smaller pieces.



The developers send their patch to the maintainer of the file(s) that they have modified.

We have about 700 different driver/file/subsystem maintainers



```
commit ecf85e481a716cfe07406439fdc7ba9526bbfaeb
Author:      Robert Jarzmik <robert.jarzmik@free.fr>
AuthorDate:  Tue Apr 21 20:33:10 2009 -0700
Commit:      Greg Kroah-Hartman <gregkh@suse.de>
CommitDate:  Thu Apr 23 14:15:31 2009 -0700

    USB: otg: Fix bug on remove path without transceiver

    In the case where a gadget driver is removed while no
    transceiver was found at probe time, a bug in
    otg_put_transceiver() will trigger.

    Signed-off-by: Robert Jarzmik <robert.jarzmik@free.fr>
    Aacked-by: David Brownell <dbrownell@users.sourceforge.net>
    Signed-off-by: Greg Kroah-Hartman <gregkh@suse.de>

--- a/drivers/usb/otg/otg.c
+++ b/drivers/usb/otg/otg.c
@@ -43,7 +43,8 @@ EXPORT_SYMBOL(otg_get_transceiver);
 void otg_put_transceiver(struct otg_transceiver *x)
 {
-    put_device(x->dev);
+    if (x)
+        put_device(x->dev);
 }
```

This is an example of a patch.

It came from Robert, was acked by David, the maintainer at the time of the usb on-the-go subsystem, and then signed off by by me before it was committed to the kernel tree.

The change did one thing, it checked the value of the pointer before it was dereferenced, fixing a bug that would have crashed the kernel if it had been hit.

This is also a “blame” trail, showing who changed each line in the kernel, and who agreed with that change.

If a problem is found, these are the developers that you can ask about it.

Because of this, every line in the Linux kernel can be traced back to at least two developers who are responsible for it.

This is better than any other body of code.

## Developer's Certificate of Origin


- (a) I created this change; or
- (b) Based this on a previous work with a compatible license; or
- (c) Provided to me by (a), (b), or (c) and not modified
- (d) This contribution is public.

This is what “Signed-off-by:” means. All contributions to the Linux kernel have to agree to this, and every single patch has at least one signed-off-by line, usually all have at least two.

This is also a “blame” trail, showing who changed each line in the kernel, and who agreed with that change.


If a problem is found, this is the developers that you can ask about it.

Because of this, every line in the Linux kernel can be traced back to at least two developers who are responsible for it. This is better than any other body of code.



After reviewing the code, and adding their own signed-off-by to the patch, the file/driver maintainer sends the patch to the subsystem maintainer responsible for that portion of the kernel.


We have around 150 subsystem maintainers



Linux-next gets created every night from all of the different subsystem trees and build tested on a wide range of different platforms.

We have about 150 different trees in the linux-next release.

Andrew Morton picks up patches that cross subsystems, or are missed by others, and releases his -mm kernels every few weeks. This includes the linux-next release at that time.



Every 3 months, when the merge window opens up, everything gets sent to Linus from the subsystem maintainers and Andrew Morton.

The merge window is 2 weeks long, and thousands of patches get merged in that short time.

All of the patches merged to Linus should have been in the linux-next release, but that isn't always the case for various reasons.

Linux-next can not just be sent to Linus as there are things in there that sometimes are not good enough to be merged just yet, it is up to the individual subsystem maintainer to decide what to merge.

# Top developers by quantity

2 N	May 30	Robert P. J. Da	(1.4K)	should "create_proc_read_entry" enforce read-only semantics?	1772
4 N	May 30	Jules Colding	(3.3K)	LSI MegaRAID problem	838
5 N	May 30	Thomas	(1.7K)	should "create_proc_read_entry" enforce read-only semantics?	753
6 N	May 30	Hagen Paul Pfei	(3.5K)	[PATCH] INTERNUDE_CACHE_SHIFT redefinition for hot spot statu	638
7 N	May 30	Zoltan Boszorm	(0.5K)	Re: Kernel 2.6.21.7 does not work with 8GB RAM on Intel 965W	612
8 N	May 30	Jules Colding	(3.3K)	LSI MegaRAID problem	661
9 Ns	May 30	Matthias Kahlc	(2.4K)	[PATCH] drivers/block/ub.c: use list_for_each_entry()	568
10 N	May 30	Matthias Kahlc	(2.4K)	[PATCH] drivers/block/ub.c: use list_for_each_entry()	515
11 N	May 30	Matthias Kahlc	(2.4K)	[PATCH] drivers/block/ub.c: use list_for_each_entry()	508
12 N	May 30	Robert Hancock	(4.0K)	[PATCH -mm] 2/2: PCI: disable decode of IO/memory during BAR si	498
13 N	May 30	Zoltan Boszorm	(0.5K)	Re: Kernel 2.6.21.7 does not work with 8GB RAM on Intel 965W	498
14 N	May 30	Bill Nottingham	(4.5K)	[PATCH] drivers/video: fix comparisons between unsigned and	498
15 N	May 30	Bill Nottingham	(4.5K)	[PATCH] drivers/video: fix comparisons between unsigned and	498
16 N	May 30	Bill Nottingham	(4.5K)	[PATCH] drivers/video: fix comparisons between unsigned and	498
17 N	May 30	Bill Nottingham	(4.5K)	[PATCH] drivers/video: fix comparisons between unsigned and	498
18 N	May 30	Bill Nottingham	(4.5K)	[PATCH] drivers/video: fix comparisons between unsigned and	498
19 N	May 30	Bill Nottingham	(4.5K)	[PATCH] net: fix comparisons of unsigned < 0	498
20 N	May 30	Bill Nottingham	(4.5K)	[PATCH] net: fix comparisons of unsigned < 0	498
21 N	May 30	Bill Nottingham	(4.5K)	[PATCH] net: fix comparisons against unsigned	498
22 N	May 30	Bill Nottingham	(4.5K)	[PATCH] net: fix comparisons against unsigned	498
23 N	May 30	Takashi Iwai	(1.5K)	isa: fix comparison of unsigned	498
24 N	May 30	Takashi Iwai	(1.5K)	isa: fix comparison of unsigned	498
25 N	May 30	Takashi Iwai	(1.5K)	isa: fix comparison of unsigned	498
26 N	May 29	Robert Hancock	(4.0K)	[PATCH -mm] 2/2: PCI: disable decode of IO/memory during BAR si	498
27 N	May 29	Robert Hancock	(4.0K)	[PATCH -mm] 2/2: PCI: disable decode of IO/memory during BAR si	498
28 N	May 29	Robert Hancock	(4.0K)	[PATCH -mm] 2/2: PCI: disable decode of IO/memory during BAR si	498
29 N	May 29	Robert Hancock	(4.0K)	[PATCH -mm] 2/2: PCI: disable decode of IO/memory during BAR si	498
30 N	May 29	Robert Hancock	(4.0K)	[PATCH -mm] 2/2: PCI: disable decode of IO/memory during BAR si	498
31 N	May 29	Robert Hancock	(4.0K)	[PATCH -mm] 2/2: PCI: disable decode of IO/memory during BAR si	498
32 N	May 29	Robert Hancock	(4.0K)	[PATCH -mm] 2/2: PCI: disable decode of IO/memory during BAR si	498
33 N	May 30	Salvador Mark	(9.4K)	initial: convert early_uart to serial: set DTR in uart for serial console	498
34 N	May 29	Yinchai Lu	(2.3K)	[PATCH 3/5] x86: initial fixmap support	498
35 N	May 29	Yinchai Lu	(2.3K)	[PATCH 3/5] x86: initial fixmap support	498
36 N	May 29	Yinchai Lu	(2.3K)	[PATCH 3/5] x86: initial fixmap support	498
37 N	May 29	Yinchai Lu	(2.3K)	[PATCH 3/5] x86: initial fixmap support	498
38 N	May 29	Yinchai Lu	(2.3K)	[PATCH 3/5] x86: initial fixmap support	498
39 N	May 30	Wang Zhengyu	(12K)	[resend] [AGPGART] intel_agp: use table for device probe	498
40 N	May 30	Wang Zhengyu	(19K)	[resend] [AGPGART] intel_agp: clean up table for device probe	498
41 N	May 30	Dave Airlie	(2.0K)	[git pull] drm fixes for 2.6.22-rc3	498
42 N	May 29	Matt Helsley	(8.2K)	[RFC][PATCH] Replacing the /proc/<pid/self>/exe symlink code	498

Kernel releases 3.15.0 – 4.0.0

Hartley – comedi  
 Jes – wireless driver  
 Malcom – wireless driver  
 Laurent – video camera drivers  
 Geert – janitorial  
 Lars – sound  
 Fabian –  
 Johan –  
 Daniel – intel graphics  
 Takashi – sound core and drivers

Top Signed-off-by:		
Greg Kroah-Hartman	10511	
David S. Miller	5652	
Mark Brown	3127	
Linus Torvalds	2750	
Andrew Morton	2629	
Mauro Carvalho Chehab	2228	
Daniel Vetter	2049	
John Linville	1352	
Rafael Wysocki	1011	
Marcel Holtmann	971	
Kernel releases 3.15.0 – 4.0.0		

Greg – driver core, usb, staging

David – networking, isa

Mark – embedded sound

Linus – everything

Andrew – everything

Daniel – Intel graphics

Mauro – v4l

John – wireless networking

Rafael – ACPI / power management

Marcel - Bluetooth

# Who is funding this work?

1. "Amateurs"	12.8%
2. Intel	11.0%
3. Red Hat	8.4%
4. Unknown Individuals	5.6%
5. Samsung	4.0%
6. Linaro	3.9%
7. SuSE	3.5%
8. Consultants	3.2%
9. IBM	2.7%
10. Vision Engraving	2.4%

Kernel releases 3.15.0 – 4.0.0

So you can view this as either 18% is done by non-affiliated people, or 82% is done by companies.

Now to be fair, if you show any skill in kernel development you are instantly hired.

Why this all matters: If your company relies on Linux, and it depends on the future of Linux supporting your needs, then you either trust these other companies are developing Linux in ways that will benefit you, or you need to get involved to make sure Linux works properly for your workloads and needs.



# Who is funding this work?

11. Texas Instruments	2.2%
12. Google	2.1%
13. Renesas	2.0%
14. Free Electrons	1.9%
15. Freescale	1.7%
16. Oracle	1.1%
17. AMD	1.1%
18. FOSS OPFW	1.0%
19. Nvidia	0.9%
20. ARM	0.9%

Kernel releases 3.15.0 – 4.0.0

Vision Engraving (Hartley 1519 patches)  
Google, 1700 patches

FOSS Outreach Program for Women 966  
patches  
20 women interns / students


# “Working upstream saves time and money”

Dan Frye – VP Open Systems, IBM  
Dirk Hohndel – Chief Technologist, Intel

# Getting involved

Run the kernel.org release on your machine

# Getting involved



This book tells you how to build and install a kernel on your machine.

Free online

# Getting involved

`Documentation/HOWTO`

`Documentation/development-process`

These documents in the kernel source directory are the best place to start if you want to understand how the development process works, and how to get involved.

The HOWTO file has links to almost everything else you ever wanted..

# Getting involved

kernelnewbies.org



<http://www.kernelnewbies.org>

# Getting involved

Google “write your first kernel patch”

This is a video of a talk I gave at FOSDEM, going through the steps, showing exactly how to create, build, and send a kernel patch.

# Getting involved

[kernelnewbies.org/KernelJanitors/ToDo](https://kernelnewbies.org/KernelJanitors/ToDo)

So you know how to create a patch, but what should you do? The kernel janitors has a great list of tasks to start with in cleaning up the kernel and making easy patches to be accepted.



# Getting involved

## Linux Driver Project

`drivers/staging/*/TODO`

The staging tree also needs a lot of help, here are lists of things to do in the kernel for the drivers to be able to move out of the staging area.

Please always work off of the linux-next tree if you want to do these tasks, as sometimes they are already done by others by the time you see them in Linus's tree.

# Getting involved

Eudypptula Challenge  
(little penguin)

<http://eudypptula-challenge.org/>

Google “Linux kernel challenge” to find the site, if you can't remember Eudypptula.

It is a series of programming challenges, all run through email that starts out with a “Hello World” kernel module, and gets more complex from there. Over 4000 people are currently taking the challenge, and is a lot of fun if you don't know where to start out.

You need knowledge of C, but that's about it.



[github.com/gregkh/kernel-development](https://github.com/gregkh/kernel-development)

Obligatory Penguin Picture

