

To the Editor(s) –

We are writing to submit a revised version of our manuscript, “Space is the Place: Effects of Continuous Spatial Structure on Analysis of Population Genetic Data”. We thank the AE and reviewers for constructive comments, which we have addressed in detail, below. The main changes we have made have to do with our choice of model. We have added some more discussion of the biological motivation for the particular model of continuous space we use (with references to the ecological literature, where it appears) to the Methods (around (p. 3, l. 131)), and in the Discussion (at (p. 21, l. 744)). We have included more explanation of some of the more opaque consequences of a more realistic demographic model, around (p. 8, l. 343). Finally, we have added a substantial comparison to the discrete stepping-stone model, most of which is in the Appendix.

We have included a PDF of the revised version as well as a latex diff showing changes relative to the previous submission. Responses to reviewer comments can be found at the end of the revised PDF.

Sincerely,

C. J. Battey, Peter Ralph, and Andrew Kern