JINGZHANG GROWTH MESH

An AI talent and
enterprise community
from park to city to
network

dingle2001 · provisional constraint · concept proposal

Falsifiable delivery brief

Location interfaces form a multi-anchor network; six agents compile problems into projects while four human gates control release and exit.

Health check

Action: seven checks and problem/resource lists
Evidence: E0 public sources + E3 field tasks
Stop: no baseline, survey only; no project

Planning

Action: locate 15 projects in axis/cores/wings
Evidence: shared GeoJSON, plan and sections
Stop: no works before boundary/fire sign-off

Operation

Action: 12 scenarios + WP0-WP6 + 90 days
Evidence: contracts, logs, reproducible sheets
Stop: step back without owner/demand/cost

Originality

Difference: occupancy/dashboards do not replace projects
Kernel: six agents + four human gates + local adapters
Falsify: any F1-F4 failure steps back/exits

Public interest

Action: resident co-sign and affordable service
Evidence: ⩾2 residents, ⩾20% hours, appeals
Stop: veto if the non-AI route closes

Limit

Output: continue/adjust/step-back/exit advice
Duty: human sign-off with dissent retained
Deny: AI replacing professional/government acts

No baseline, no score • named owner • acceptance evidence • continue / adjust / step back / exit

Multi-agent urban-renewal control plane

AI planning value is not faster imagery; it makes evidence, project contracts, public safeguards and exit decisions traceable.

CORE-05 MULTI-AGENT URBAN-RENEWAL CONTROL PLANE

Every agent has inputs, outputs, least privilege, refusal rules and named human sign-off

DATA-DERIVED | CONCEPT | PENDING VERIFICATION

A | CONTROLLED EVIDENCE BUS: READ-ONLY + PROVENANCE

- E0 OFFICIAL / PRIMARY
- E1 PROVISIONAL DERIVATION
- E3 FIELD TASK
- PUBLIC CHARTER + STANDARDS
- DENY: PERSONAL / INTERNAL DATA

ONE EVIDENCE OBJECT: source ID | time/space scope | licence | transform | owner | confidence | expiry

A1 EVIDENCE STEWARD

IN: E0/E1/E3; OUT: evidence object

REFUSE / DOWNGRADE | Read-only; reject unclear source or excess privilege

A2 HEALTH-CHECK COMPILER

IN: 7D baseline; OUT: problem/resource lists

REFUSE / DOWNGRADE | Missing baseline creates a survey task, not a project

A3 SPATIAL PLANNER

IN: project conditions; OUT: location + conflicts

REFUSE / DOWNGRADE | No redline, FAR or engineering conclusion

A4 SCENARIO ORCHESTRATOR

IN: user task; OUT: bounded pilot + non-AI path

REFUSE / DOWNGRADE | D2/D3 and high-risk actions require human release

A5 OPERATIONS CONTRACT AGENT

IN: pilot evidence; OUT: RACI/catalogue/accounts/acceptance

REFUSE / DOWNGRADE | Step back without owner, real demand or cost method

A6 PUBLIC-INTEREST GUARDIAN

IN: residents/access/complaints; OUT: public veto/release

REFUSE / DOWNGRADE | ≥2 resident signers; ≥20% affordable hours; non-AI path stays open

B | FOUR HUMAN GATES: AI CANNOT SELF-SIGN

EVIDENCE (DATA OWNER) → PILOT RELEASE (SCENARIO + SAFETY) → PRO SIGN-OFF (PLANNING / STRUCTURE / FIRE / ACCESS / LEGAL / BUY) → CONTINUE / ADJUST / EXIT (STEERING + RESIDENTS + PROFESSIONALS)

LOG EVERY DECISION: evidence ID | agent advice | human signer | dissent | version | reason to continue/step back/stop

Professional sign-off, service trade-offs, procurement, rights and government decisions stay human; resident dissent remains on record.

C | NON-DEPRIVATION COUNTERFACTUAL EVALUATION

STEPPED PILOT: comparable nodes start at different times; everyone keeps human / non-AI service.

OUTCOMES | time • valid tests • first orders • anonymous access • affordable hours • access • takeover • group gaps

BASELINE	SAME MEASURES
PILOT	BOUNDED + SHUTDOWN
COMPARATOR	MATCHED NODE/TIME
REVIEW	EFFECT + HARM + EQUITY

This is a concept protocol for professional development, not proof of deployment; automation requires data, ethics, safety and professional review.

CORE-05 | PROVISIONAL / CONCEPT / NTS | dingle2001 • COMPLETED USING AGENT

Concept / NTS / pending official boundary, survey and professional verification

District-health evidence grades

Grade evidence before survey, design or stop decisions; inference never impersonates field fact.

E0 | 已公开

Jingzhang planning: about 9 km, complex crossings and multiple actors; AI belt: about 37 km^2, three zones/two wings and a 1-2 km interface. Sources: official planning records

E1 | 临时派生

Provisional GeoJSON and public records derive the three-core service chain, six seams and 15 located projects for option comparison only—not rights, works, capacity or cost.

E3 | 现场待核

Measure buildings, structure/fire, rights, flows, access, user demand, operating cost and maintenance. Each needs a raw record, owner, date and sign-off state.

Problem → task

Structure/fire create professional surveys; mobility creates walks/counts; service gaps create interviews; rights conflicts create negotiation registers. None directly creates works.

Task → project

Only a named problem owner, spatial object, cause, resource, operator, acceptance evidence and stop condition permit reserve status; otherwise return.

Deny / stop

Incomplete E3 cannot generate permanent works, totals, field outcomes or government conclusions; conflict, uncontrolled risk, no demand or no public value triggers step-back/exit.

No baseline, no score • named owner • acceptance evidence • continue / adjust / step back / exit

Operating scheme and project-contract map

A five-level duty chain binds service catalogues, public duties, accounts, acceptance evidence and stop gates to three first contracts.

OPS-A1

OPERATIONS AND PROJECT CONTRACTS

Space is governed by who provides what, who pays, what counts as completion and when a service stops.

Coordination — planning / ownership / public value → **District platform** — catalogue / scheduling / accounts → **Three core units** — verification / community / first order → **Partners** — universities / firms / compliance / capital → **Users** — projects / residents / visitors

A | ANNUAL SERVICE CATALOGUE AND SEPARATE ACCOUNTS

SERVICE	PUBLIC DUTY	OPERATING INCOME	COST ACCOUNT	MINIMUM EVIDENCE / STOP GATE
Shared verification lab	inclusive hours / safety	equipment + task service	equipment / sign-off / insurance	structure and fire sign-off; suspend until incident closure
Open-source lounge / night school	non-purchase seats / community time	membership + course + F&B share	curation / tutor / noise	repeat projects and sessions; reduce hours after nuisance
First-order enterprise service	problem catalogue / transparent fee	professional + event service	compliance / match / venue	dual-signed demand; delist undisclosed conflicts

B | MINIMUM PROJECT CONTRACT FIELDS — APPLIES TO ALL 15 PROJECTS

- **1 Problem evidence** — user / time / source / grade
- **2 Spatial boundary** — coordinates / layer / title pending
- **3 Works + operation** — work package + service catalogue
- **4 RACI** — coordinate / deliver / operate / accept
- **5 Quantity + cost** — quantity × inquiry; no invented total
- **6 Milestones** — survey / prototype / pilot / handover
- **7 Acceptance evidence** — drawings / logs / sign-offs / receipts
- **8 Risk + stop gate** — fire / data / fairness / exit

Operating account = space + equipment + professional services + events − staff − energy − maintenance − insurance − public-service floor.

Non-binding operating model; legal entities, amounts, rent, investment and procurement require formal authority and market inquiry.

PROVISIONAL CONSTRAINT | CONCEPT PLAN | PROFESSIONAL VERIFICATION REQUIRE

Location, innovation anchors and regional interfaces

The location dividend comes from interface density among research, transit, services and public realm—not a larger footprint.

MAP-A1

REGIONAL POSITION AND INNOVATION ANCHORS

A continuous innovation belt linking universities, institutes, transit and three differentiated cores.

Official scope facts

Approx. 37 km² coordination area; about 9 km heritage corridor; 1–2 km urban interface.

Innovation density

Public sources identify 2,000+ AI enterprises and a dense university–research–enterprise talent network.

密集网络。

Public-realm base

Underground high-speed rail releases ground space for a north–south green and heritage corridor.

Planning response

Z: verification; O: incubation; D: first orders; west service wing and east testbed wing.

Legend: ▭ university/research ○ transit ● three cores ▯ Jingzhang axis

Base map © OpenStreetMap contributors, ODbL; anchors checked against official public sources; provisional constraint.

PROVISIONAL CONSTRAINT | CONCEPT PLAN | PROFESSIONAL VERIFICATION REQUIRE

Problem evidence and health-check grades

E0 public evidence, E1 inference and E3 field tasks remain separate; every problem has a survey and stop gate.

MAP-A2

URBAN HEALTH CHECK: PROBLEMS REQUIRE EVIDENCE

Official text, public imagery and provisional geometry are graded separately; unknowns remain field tasks.

E0 官方现状证据 | 轨道13号线与京张高铁多次变化竖向关系

规划解读明确指出：纵横交错、堵点断点、横向道路复杂交点、权属主体多，需要分段实施。

E0 公开实施图：横向慢行补链



E0 公开产业图：原点社区密集锚点

P1	**Complex horizontal and vertical movement** Official evidence: Line 13, cross streets, bottlenecks and breaks. Action: surveyed node sections before dimensions.	E0
P2	**Multiple rights and delivery actors** Railway, universities, institutes, districts and communities. Action: bind coordination, delivery, operation and acceptance.	E0
P3	**One solution cannot fit all segments** Official material confirms varied functions and demands. Action: verification / incubation / conversion by segment.	E0
P4	**No continuous three-core service chain** Derived from separated provisional cores and distinct public functions. Action: shared catalogue, first-order receipts and links.	E1
P5	**Dense talent needs everyday support** Public figures: 1,000+ scientists, 13k+ developers, 100k+ students, 400+ firms. Action: affordable space, night community, family support.	E0
P6	**Interface quality and actual demand** No site photos, counts, accessibility audit or interviews yet. Action: 24-hour observation, audit and stakeholder interviews.	E3

E0 official/primary public | E1 derived | E3 field verification required. E3 cannot trigger construction investment.

Evidence: Beijing planning authority (2021); Haidian public sources; geometry/*.geojson; OSM location base.

PROVISIONAL CONSTRAINT | CONCEPT PLAN | PROFESSIONAL VERIFICATION REQUIRE

Concept / NTS / pending official boundary, survey and professional verification

One axis, three cores, two wings and 15 located projects

Validation north, incubation central, conversion south; wings add services and public scenarios, with projects located on the plan.

MAP-A3

MASTER PLAN: ONE AXIS, THREE CORES, TWO WINGS, 15 PROJECTS

The heritage axis supports walking, ecology and memory; the cores form verification–incubation–first-order conversion.



Z | Trusted verification

Z1 shared lab; Z2 domestic compute adaptation; Z3 robot test ring; Z4 standards; Z5 public interface.

O | Community incubation

O1 open-source lounge; O2 founder residence; O3 night school; O4 family support; O5 co-creation hall.

D | First-order conversion

D1 scenario orders; D2 enterprise hall; D3 compliance clinic; D4 capital demo; D5 developer exchange.

Six east–west stitches

Prioritise transit, universities, parks, communities and river; dimensions await survey.

Three nested scopes

37 km² coordination fact → provisional design area → three focus areas.

Derived from the official three-zone/two-wing brief and provisional GeoJSON; not statutory planning or title boundary.

PROVISIONAL CONSTRAINT | CONCEPT PLAN | PROFESSIONAL VERIFICATION REQUIRE

Concept / NTS / pending official boundary, survey and professional verification

Three scopes and site evidence

Coordinated study, overall design and key areas form one strategy-to-delivery chain.

CORE-00 SEVEN-DIMENSION EVIDENCE MAP: ARTIFACT, HUMAN GATE, FAILURE CONDITION

Not a self-score: each review question points to an artifact and a condition for return, step-back or exit

DATA-DERIVED | CONCEPT | PENDING VERIFICATION

CORE PROPOSITION | Universities and anchor firms supply inputs; the district incubates; community is infrastructure

E0/E1/E3 EVIDENCE → 7D HEALTH → 15 CONTRACTS → 12 SCENARIOS → 4G HUMAN GATES → 0 FIELD RESULTS

01 BRIEF ALIGNMENT

ANSWER	EVIDENCE	STOP GATE
Three zones/two wings form validate-incubate-first-order	Master plan locates 15 projects; task matrix maps every requirement	No redline or capacity before official geometry

02 ORIGINALITY

ANSWER	EVIDENCE	STOP GATE
Health checks compile contracts; neither leasing nor dashboards substitute for growth	Six agents + four human gates + F1-F4 falsification tests	Any falsification failure steps back or exits

03 AI + PLANNING

ANSWER	EVIDENCE	STOP GATE
AI reads evidence, compiles tasks, exposes conflicts and recommends	310/310 synthetic missing-field fixtures were blocked as specified	AI cannot self-sign, procure, dispose rights or replace government decisions

04 IMPLEMENTATION

ANSWER	EVIDENCE	STOP GATE
WP0-WP6 + reversible 90-day pilot + 15 contracts	Each binds RACI, cost formula, acceptance evidence and stop condition	No implementation entry without rights, fire, demand and cost evidence

05 PUBLIC INTEREST

ANSWER	EVIDENCE	STOP GATE
Seven personas, 12 scenarios, anonymous access and non-AI equivalents	Resident co-sign; affordable hours; complaint, withdrawal and human takeover	Veto if non-AI access closes or affordable service is crowded out

06 RISK + COMPLIANCE

ANSWER	EVIDENCE	STOP GATE
Known, inferred and unknown stay separate; concepts never impersonate approval	E0/E1/E3 grades, rights ledger and professional sign-off gates	Reject privacy/internal data, uncleared brands and invented investment

07 EXPRESSION + EVIDENCE

ANSWER	EVIDENCE	STOP GATE
Bilingual text, HTML, A0/A3, GeoJSON and metrics share one source	Four local gates pass; 124 pages with zero out-of-page text; zero remote dependencies	Stop release on figure, metric or translation mismatch

TRUTH STATUS: RULES REHEARSED; FIELD OPERATION NOT STARTED

PROVEN | File completeness, geometry recomputation, rule blocking, layout and offline operation

NOT PROVEN | Field safety, market demand, rent/investment, enterprise participation, approval or public outcome

NEXT GATE | Official geometry + seven surveys + professional sign-off + real-user review

This is a review-evidence index, not a self-score; counts describe package contracts and synthetic rehearsals, not field performance.

CORE-00 | PROVISIONAL / CONCEPT / NTS | dingle2001 • COMPLETED USING AGENT

Concept / NTS / pending official boundary, survey and professional verification

Five-stage growth loop

Space release—scenario insertion—community aggregation—project generation—entity reproduction.



Anchor-community-enterprise network

Convert talent relations into projects and projects into durable entities.



Concept / NTS / pending official boundary, survey and professional verification

Mobility, blue-green and public scenarios

The heritage park is the ecosystem's public living room; anonymous passage and accessibility come first.

CORE-04 MOBILITY–BLUE/GREEN, 12 SCENARIOS + 7-PERSONA JOURNEYS

Every scenario binds space, operator, data class, human release, failure exit and public value

DATA-DERIVED | CONCEPT | PENDING VERIFICATION

A | HERITAGE SPINE, BLUE-GREEN REALM + 12 SCENARIO NODES



3 INDUSTRIAL TESTS | 3 INCUBATION | 3 HUMAN SERVICES | 3 CONVERSION/GOV

B | FOUR SCENARIO FAMILIES + RISK GATES

INDUSTRIAL TESTS SCN-01–03
D2/D3 | safety sign-off + e-stop

INCUBATION SCN-04–06
D0/D1 | license review + human clinic

HUMAN SERVICES SCN-07–09
Minimum collection | independent exit

CONVERSION/GOV SCN-10–12
Read-only default | compliance + appeal

Triggers: privilege breach / drift / takeover failure / complaint cluster → downgrade, pause or exit

C | 7 PERSONAS: ENTER–CO-CREATE–TEST–CONVERT–FEEDBACK

	DISCOVER	ENTER	CO-CREATE	TEST	FIRST ORDER	GROW	FEEDBACK
RESEARCHER	●	●	●	●			
INDEPENDENT DEV	●	●	●	●	●		
STARTUP TEAM	●	●	●	●	●	●	
GROWTH FIRM		●	●	●	●	●	●
SERVICE / INVESTOR			●	●	●	●	●
RESIDENT FAMILY				●	●	●	●
OPERATOR / GOVERNANCE					●	●	●

Common breaks: unclear entry / unsafe night route / care conflict / no exit after failed test

D | PUBLIC-VALUE RELEASE GATES

ACCESS	Professional check before opening
CO-GOV	Proposed ≥2 resident seats
AFFORDABLE	Proposed ≥20% free/low-cost hours
APPEAL	Proposed 2-day ack / 10-day closure
EXIT	Independent exit for vulnerable users

Scenarios are bounded tests or operating proposals, not proof of maturity, approval or permission to collect personal data; named humans release high-risk actions.

CORE-04 | PROVISIONAL / CONCEPT / NTS | dingle2001 • COMPLETED USING AGENT

Industry-space fit

No health check, no renewal: unknowns become investigation and sign-off tasks, never invented scores.

Problem-evidence grade

E0: the official AI belt defines three zones, two wings and a 1-2 km interface; E1: validation-incubation-first-order gap; E3: firm, talent and service interviews

Required baseline

Enterprise lifecycle, spatial demand, equipment, service gaps, affordability and alternatives

Current diagnosis

Haidian has strong innovation sources but weak validation-incubation-first-order interfaces; office supply is not industry service.

Problem list

Pending real demand, spatial thresholds, service breaks and alternatives

Resource list

Universities, leading firms, open-source communities, test facilities and professional services

Project trigger

Triggers Z1-Z4, O1-O3 and D1-D5

Stop gate

No construction investment without a problem owner, real users and operating brief

Access and continuity

No health check, no renewal: unknowns become investigation and sign-off tasks, never invented scores.

Problem-evidence grade

E0: official planning reports complex longitudinal/cross and vertical relations; E1: six priority seams; E3: flow, break, detour, access and emergency survey

Required baseline

Transit exits, walking/cycling, crossings, accessibility, loading, emergency and time conflicts

Current diagnosis

The north-south spine has continuity potential; east-west links, portals, accessibility and test flows need field checks.

Problem list

Pending breaks, conflicts, detours, service gaps and time windows

Resource list

Linear park, transit portals, two wings and time-shared routes

Project trigger

Triggers Z3, SCN-08, Xiaoyuehe wing and WP1 public base

Stop gate

Tests and events may not occupy anonymous passage, accessible or emergency routes

Public realm, ecology and inclusion

No health check, no renewal: unknowns become investigation and sign-off tasks, never invented scores.

Problem-evidence grade

E0: official planning establishes the linear park and urban interfaces; E1: public realm as innovation infrastructure; E3: comfort, drainage, stay, inclusion and maintenance survey

Required baseline

Green-blue systems, comfort, stormwater, lighting, stay, all-age use, opening and maintenance survey

Current diagnosis

Public space can be innovation infrastructure, but comfort, inclusion, maintenance and tech opt-out lack baselines.

Problem list

Pending ecological gaps, use conflicts, service deficits and maintenance duties

Resource list

Heritage park, Xiaoyuehe, families, open ground floors and public programs

Project trigger

Triggers Z5, O4, O5, SCN-07-09 and the component library

Stop gate

Public service cannot depend on data consent; no facility expansion without maintenance funding

Zhongzhiyuan | concept view

Shared validation city: lab spine, test loop, standards court and public science edge.

Concept / NTS / pending official boundary, survey and professional verification

Zhongzhiyuan | spatial prototype

The plan and functional zoning derive from the shared provisional geometry.



Concept / NTS / pending official boundary, survey and professional verification

Zhongzhiyuan | sections and flows

Grids, datums, dimensions, lineweights and safety zoning remain concept/NTS/pending verification.



Concept / NTS / pending official boundary, survey and professional verification

AI Origin | concept view

A time-shared talent community: transfer by day, co-creation at night, public weekends.



Concept / NTS / pending official boundary, survey and professional verification

AI Origin | spatial prototype

Open-source room, residency, night school and family support form a courtyard network.



Concept / NTS / pending official boundary, survey and professional verification

AI Origin | sections and time sharing

Open ground floor, acoustics, fire and live-work limits require professional sign-off.



Concept / NTS / pending official boundary, survey and professional verification

Dazhongsi | concept view

First order, enterprise services, compliance and capital form an open civic interface.



Concept / NTS / pending official boundary, survey and professional verification

Dazhongsi | spatial prototype

The gateway sequence respects the heritage axis and keeps its passage open.



Concept / NTS / pending official boundary, survey and professional verification

Dazhongsi | sections and first-order flow

Display—service—milestone—professional support form a flexible civic sequence.



Concept / NTS / pending official boundary, survey and professional verification

Model safety evaluation sandbox

The scenario contract defines service, technology, human duty and exit together.

Problem

No independent reproducible safety check before release

Journey

Register—isolate—two-person review—sign-off—review

Data

D3 restricted; minimum authorization

No-AI

Offline test sheet and human panel

Human release

Safety lead releases high-risk results

Stop

Seal on privilege breach, irreproducibility or isolation failure

Acceptance

Complete version, permission, test and review record

Users

Research and startup teams

No baseline, no score • named owner • acceptance evidence • continue / adjust / step back / exit

Bounded robotics field loop

The scenario contract defines service, technology, human duty and exit together.

Problem

Urban testing conflicts with public safety

Journey

Opening check—bounded run—takeover—review

Data

D2 device and incident

No-AI

Physical barrier, safety staff and manual testing

Human release

Daily human opening and remote takeover

Stop

E-stop on breach or takeover failure

Acceptance

No unhandled breach; all events logged

Users

Robotics firms and public

No baseline, no score • named owner • acceptance evidence • continue / adjust / step back / exit

Open-source project clinic

The scenario contract defines service, technology, human duty and exit together.

Problem

Contributions fail to become stable roadmaps

Journey

License check—diagnosis—roadmap—ownership

Data

D1 project record

No-AI

In-person code review and paper checklist

Human release

Maintainer confirms license and merge

Stop

Pause on license or attribution dispute

Acceptance

License, roadmap and owner list per case

Users

Researchers and independent developers

No baseline, no score • named owner • acceptance evidence • continue / adjust / step back / exit

Intergenerational AI living lab

The scenario contract defines service, technology, human duty and exit together.

Problem

Life needs miss R&D while vulnerable users risk passive participation

Journey

Co-create—consent—small test—human service—exit/delete

Data

D2 sensitive personal

No-AI

Fully equivalent offline service

Human release

Participant/guardian consent + ethics review

Stop

Exit and delete on distress or withdrawal

Acceptance

100% reversible; basic service not conditional

Users

Families and developers

No baseline, no score • named owner • acceptance evidence • continue / adjust / step back / exit

First-order scenario exchange

The scenario contract defines service, technology, human duty and exit together.

Problem

Technology is disconnected from real demand and procurement

Journey

Publish—conflict disclose—validate—co-sign—procurement review

Data

D2 procurement/business

No-AI

Public problem session, human panel and offline matching

Human release

Demand owner and compliance co-sign

Stop

Remove false demand or conflict

Acceptance

Complete problem-validation-procurement evidence

Users

Startups and demand owners

No baseline, no score • named owner • acceptance evidence • continue / adjust / step back / exit

Urban-agent operations desk

The scenario contract defines service, technology, human duty and exit together.

Problem

Cross-scenario operations lack audit, appeal and takeover

Journey

Read—recommend—human authorize—act—audit—appeal

Data

D1 operations + D2 complaints

No-AI

Manual register, phone and in-person service

Human release

Human authorization for each high-risk action

Stop

Read-only on breach, drift or appeal backlog

Acceptance

Least privilege, full logs, sampling and takeover

Users

Operators and public

No baseline, no score • named owner • acceptance evidence • continue / adjust / step back / exit

Twelve-scenario governance matrix

D0-D3, M1-M3, human release, failure modes and evidence.



Concept / NTS / pending official boundary, survey and professional verification

Xiaoyuehe scenario-empowerment wing

Public problems become test orders and results return to public-space improvement.



Concept / NTS / pending official boundary, survey and professional verification

Shared validation laboratory

Project contract: works trace to problems; results trace to evidence and ownership.

Problem

Small teams lack trusted test facilities

Cause

High equipment thresholds and missing sharing/accountability rules

Measure

Verify large spans, then operate shared equipment through booking, tiered access and professional sign-off

Output

Equipment catalogue, booking protocol, test sheet and incident log

Result

Validation moves from endorsement to reproducible evidence

Metric

Equipment use, reproducible-test share and major incidents

Operation

Professional test operator; account by equipment hour and task service

Phase

0-1 year protocol and small pilot

Funding

Quasi-public: public guidance plus equipment service; amount pending

Stop gate

No move-in without structure, fire and load sign-off

No baseline, no score • named owner • acceptance evidence • continue / adjust / step back / exit

Bounded robotics test loop

Project contract: works trace to problems; results trace to evidence and ownership.

Problem

Robotics firms lack a low-risk urban test setting

Cause

Public walking conflicts with test flows; insurance and takeover duties are unclear

Measure

Use physical limits, time windows, speed caps, e-stop, remote takeover and on-site safety staff

Output

Test-window plan, opening checklist, incident and review logs

Result

Create a governable pre-deployment urban test

Metric

Unhandled boundary breach, e-stop response and incident closure

Operation

Site operator plus accountable safety entity; charge by test window

Phase

0-1 year closed tests

Funding

Quasi-public: site input plus test fees

Stop gate

E-stop on public-lane intrusion or takeover failure

No baseline, no score • named owner • acceptance evidence • continue / adjust / step back / exit

Origin open-source living room

Project contract: works trace to problems; results trace to evidence and ownership.

Problem

Developers attend events but lack durable project collaboration

Cause

Contribution licenses, diagnosis and persistent maintainers are missing

Measure

Run weekly clinics, maintainer hours and contribution records

Output

License list, roadmap, responsibility allocation and review

Result

Convert visitor relations into durable projects

Metric

Persistent teams, merged contributions and dispute closure

Operation

Community operator plus maintainers; membership and public subsidy

Phase

0-1 year operate before works

Funding

Quasi-public: public subsidy, sponsorship and services

Stop gate

Adjust if license disputes persist or footfall produces no projects

No baseline, no score • named owner • acceptance evidence • continue / adjust / step back / exit

Talent family support station

Project contract: works trace to problems; results trace to evidence and ownership.

Problem

Talent families face high childcare, eldercare and emergency referral cost

Cause

Service information is fragmented and privacy/duty boundaries are weak

Measure

Provide a human desk, authorized referral, receipt and withdrawal

Output

Service catalogue, referral, receipt and complaint closure

Result

Reduce everyday friction that drives talent away

Metric

Effective referrals, response time, withdrawals and complaints

Operation

Community service institution; public-service procurement

Phase

0-1 year needs register, then recurring service

Funding

Public-benefit: public and community-service funding

Stop gate

Stop the interface on unconfirmed referral or privacy linkage

No baseline, no score • named owner • acceptance evidence • continue / adjust / step back / exit

First-order scenario center

Project contract: works trace to problems; results trace to evidence and ownership.

Problem

Early projects struggle to secure a first real customer

Cause

Demand publication, validation and procurement judgment are disconnected

Measure

Use a problem catalogue, validation sheet, co-sign and procurement review

Output

Problem sheet, validation report, conflict declaration and procurement review

Result

Give technology a verifiable first application opportunity

Metric

Complete evidence chains, procurement-review conversion and false demand

Operation

Industry operating platform; service fee and procurement support

Phase

0-1 year problem catalogue, then recurring

Funding

Quasi-public: operating income plus service fees

Stop gate

Remove false demand or undisclosed conflicts immediately

No baseline, no score • named owner • acceptance evidence • continue / adjust / step back / exit

Pre-compliance clinic

Project contract: works trace to problems; results trace to evidence and ownership.

Problem

AI firms lack compliance judgment before opening and scenario launch

Cause

AI advice may replace professional judgment and cross-discipline issues lack sign-off

Measure

AI only organizes material; qualified professionals review, sign and record limits

Output

Opening checklist, signed advice, referral and version record

Result

Move correction from post-event enforcement to pre-launch

Metric

Professional sign-off, correction closure and out-of-scope refusal

Operation

Registration, legal and IP professionals; per-case service

Phase

0-1 year checklist pilot

Funding

Commercial: professional service fees

Stop gate

Refuse unsigned, out-of-scope or obsolete advice

No baseline, no score • named owner • acceptance evidence • continue / adjust / step back / exit

Six implementation loops

Diagnosis, policy, actors, funding, build-operation and review all must close.



Concept / NTS / pending official boundary, survey and professional verification

Project library and mainline

Library—renewal plan—implementation plan—joint review—parallel approval.

DIA-10 PROJECT MAINLINE & TWO-TIER LIBRARY

Library → plan → implementation → joint review → parallel approval

NTS CONCEPT

Two-tier library

Tier	Question	Maturity & outputs
Reserve	why & direction	opportunity→reserve: cards, studies, feasibility
Implementation	who/where/money/when	implementation→annual: plans, phasing, funding

Entering the library is neither approval nor automatic funding; infeasible projects return to the opportunity list.

Beijing project mainline (concept); joint review does not replace statutory permits.

JINGZHANG GROWTH MESH | An AI talent-and-enterprise symbiotic community: from park to city to network
FIG Project mainline
NO. DIA-10 · 2026-08-10 · EN
SCALE NTS
DATA CONCEPT
dinglc2001 · Completed using Agent

One map, two tables, six lists

Place planning, rights, works, funding, operation and risk on one work surface.

Concept / NTS / pending official boundary, survey and professional verification

Five stages of operations-first

Positioning, design, investment, construction and handover are tested by future use and operation.



Concept / NTS / pending official boundary, survey and professional verification

Funding and unit accounts

Separate public, quasi-public and commercial accounts; invent no totals, rents or payback.



Concept / NTS / pending official boundary, survey and professional verification

DELIVERY

90-day minimum credible pilot

Validate rules, ownership, takeover, appeal and exit before scaling works.

D0-15

Baseline, rights, demand and risk; stop if unclear

D16-30

Charter, data class, acceptance and appeal

D31-60

Three industrial tests produce reproducible sheets

D61-75

Public test, accessibility, co-governance and first order

D76-90

Anonymous audit and continue/adjust/exit decision

No baseline, no score • named owner • acceptance evidence • continue / adjust / step back / exit

Pre-opening completeness rehearsal

All 310 synthetic fixtures returned the expected block/review decision; this is not field performance or government acceptance.

7 health checks

7 complete contracts + 42 missing-field fixtures = 49 cases

12 scenario contracts

12 complete contracts + 84 missing-field fixtures = 96 cases

15 project contracts

15 complete contracts + 150 missing-field fixtures = 165 cases

Decision

310/310 expected matches; any missing field returns the item

Field result

0; remain null before operation and never impersonate field performance

No baseline, no score • named owner • acceptance evidence • continue / adjust / step back / exit

Long-term operation and performance review

From property to industry operation, reviewed through output, use, benefit and sustainability.



Concept / NTS / pending official boundary, survey and professional verification

Culture I | Railway: connection

Independent engineering, problem-solving and linear connection form the narrative base.

Space

Heritage axis, component index, dates and observation edges

Rule

Survey first; no fake reconstruction or over-commercialization

Program

Engineering walks, open-source milestone and public science

Public-node naming and wayfinding

A memorable hierarchy follows trace—origin—growth—exchange.

Jingzhang Trace

Heritage walk, engineering marks, open-source milestone

Origin Lanes

Co-creation hall, clinic, night school, family support

Growth Gateway

First-order hall, compliance station, milestone steps, growth rings

Exchange Nodes

Wing services, accessible wayfinding and global node wall

No baseline, no score • named owner • acceptance evidence • continue / adjust / step back / exit

Honor display system

Display public contribution, not commercial ratings, investment advice or unauthorized co-branding.

Open-source milestone

Licensed, reviewable contributions with public return

Global node wall

Written-confirmed nodes and withdrawable content

Enterprise growth rings

Anonymous aggregate stages of test, first order, growth and return

Process

Authorize—verify—display—annual review—withdraw

Public-space component library

Components are composable, maintainable and removable; basic access precedes spectacle.

A Access

Continuous paving, ramps, tactile and physical signs

B Stay

Seats, shade, lighting, water and charging

C Heritage

Relic cases, date index and replaceable panels

D Scenario

Closable interfaces, visible collection status and offline fallback

E Operation

Maintenance ID, owner, inspection cycle and replacement log

No baseline, no score • named owner • acceptance evidence • continue / adjust / step back / exit

Annual programs and developer community

Programs serve project generation; event count and footfall never replace outcomes.

Spring | Open Validation Week

Equipment catalogue, three tests and safety review

Summer | Origin Open-source Se

Clinics, residency outcomes and intergenerational work

Autumn | First-order and Stand

Demand, validation, procurement review and standards

Winter | Jingzhang Developer W

Rail curation, bilingual exchange and annual review

No baseline, no score • named owner • acceptance evidence • continue / adjust / step back / exit

International attraction and conversion

Internationalization is not eventization; it completes bilingual problems, licenses, validation and follow-up duties.

Entry

Bilingual problem catalogue, accessibility and contact

Collaboration

Open-source license, data limit, mentor recusal and sign-off

Conversion

Validation report, first-order review, 90-day follow-up and exit

Limit

No institution name, mark or implied partnership without writing

Limits, responsibility and next step

The scheme is an investigation and pilot brief, not statutory planning, construction documentation, funding commitment or government approval.

Now

Replace polygons, run 7D survey, rights register, interviews and 90-day protocol

Professional sign-off

Planning, architecture, structure, fire, access, traffic, cost, law and data

Do not infer

Corporate participation, resource opening, investment, rent, schedule or government commitment

Review

Comparable review at handover, six months, one year and three years

No baseline, no score • named owner • acceptance evidence • continue / adjust / step back / exit



LET ENTITIES GROW

The first half of urban renewal makes space new; the second helps entities grow.

Completed using Agent · concept proposal only