



# AN1017: Zigbee<sup>®</sup> and Thread Coexistence with Wi-Fi

---

This application note describes the impact of Wi-Fi on Zigbee and Thread and methods to improve coexistence with Wi-Fi. First, design considerations to improve coexistence without direct interaction between Zigbee/Thread and Wi-Fi radios are described. These techniques are applicable both to the EM35x/EM358x and Mighty Gecko family (EFR32MGx). Next, Silicon Lab's Packet Traffic Arbitration (PTA) support to coordinate 2.4GHz RF traffic for co-located Zigbee/Thread and Wi-Fi radios is described. This PTA feature set is available for the EFR32MGx family.

## KEY POINTS

- Wi-Fi Impact on Zigbee/Thread
- Improving unmanaged coexistence
- Implementing managed coexistence

Additional details about the implementation of managed coexistence are available in an expanded version of this application note, *AN1017-NDA: ZigBee and Thread Coexistence with Wi-Fi*, available under non-disclosure from Silicon Labs technical support.

## Contents

1	Introduction .....	2
2	Wi-Fi Impact on Zigbee/Thread .....	3
3	Unmanaged Coexistence.....	8
3.1	Implement Frequency Separation .....	8
3.2	Operate Wi-Fi with 20MHz Bandwidth .....	8
3.3	Increase Antenna Isolation.....	9
3.4	Use Zigbee/Thread Retry Mechanisms.....	9
3.5	Remove FEM (or operate FEM LNA in Bypass) .....	9
4	Managed Coexistence .....	10
4.1	PTA Support Hardware Options.....	10
4.1.1	Single EFR32 Connected to Wi-Fi/PTA .....	13
4.1.2	Multiple EFR32s connected to Wi-Fi/PTA .....	13
4.1.3	Wi-Fi/PTA Considerations .....	13
4.2	PTA Support Software Setup .....	13
4.2.1	AppBuilder Configuration (PTA defaults after reset).....	14
4.2.2	Run-Time PTA Re-configuration.....	21
4.3	Coexistence Configuration Setup Examples for Different Wi-Fi/PTA Applications.....	25
5	Application Code Coexistence Extensions.....	31
5.1	Coexistence Custom CLI Console Commands.....	31
5.2	Prevent Sleep/Idle in SoC and xNCP/SPI Applications.....	31
5.3	TX PRIORITY Escalation.....	31
5.4	Multi-EFR32 Shared “PRIORITY” Signal .....	32
5.5	PWM for High Duty Cycle Wi-Fi .....	32
6	Coexistence Backplane Evaluation Board (EVB).....	33
6.1	+3.3V or +1.8V I/O to Wi-Fi/PTA Device.....	38
6.1.1	+3.3V I/O.....	38
6.1.2	+1.8V I/O.....	39
6.2	Logic Analyzer Observation .....	40
6.3	Single-EFR32 Radio .....	41
6.4	Multi-EFR32 Radio for 2-Wire PTA with active-low REQUEST.....	42
6.5	Multi-EFR32 Radio for typical 3-Wire PTA .....	43
6.6	Testing xNCP Applications.....	44
7	Single EFR32 Coexistence Test Results with a typical 3-Wire Wi-Fi/PTA.....	46
8	Conclusions .....	47

## 1 Introduction

The 2.4GHz ISM band supports Wi-Fi (IEEE 802.11b/g/n), Zigbee/Thread (IEEE 802.15.4), *Bluetooth®*, and Bluetooth low energy. The simultaneous and co-located operation of these different 2.4GHz radio standards can degrade performance of one or more of the radios. To improve interference robustness, each of the 2.4GHz ISM radio standards support some level of collision avoidance and/or message retry capability. At low data throughput rates, low power levels, and/or sufficient physical separation, these 2.4GHz ISM standards can co-exist without significant performance impacts. However, recent customer trends are making coexistence more difficult:

- Increased Wi-Fi transmit power level for “extended range”
  - +30dBm Wi-Fi Access Points are now common.
- Increased Wi-Fi throughput
  - Depending on achievable SNR, high throughput requirements for file transfers and/or video streaming may result in high Wi-Fi duty cycle within 2.4GHz ISM band.
- Integrating Wi-Fi, Zigbee, Thread, and Bluetooth low energy into the same device for gateway functionality
  - This is required by Home Automation and Security applications, and provides easier end-node commissioning using Bluetooth low energy.

This application note describes the impact of Wi-Fi on Zigbee and Thread and methods to improve coexistence with Wi-Fi on two Silicon Labs integrated circuits, the EM35x/EM358x and the Mighty Gecko family (EFR32MGx).


- The section **Unmanaged Coexistence** describes design considerations to improve coexistence without direct interaction between Zigbee/Thread and Wi-Fi radios.
- The section **Managed Coexistence** describes Silicon Lab’s PTA (Packet Traffic Arbitration) support to coordinate 2.4GHz RF traffic for co-located Zigbee/Thread and Wi-Fi radios. PTA support is available for the EFR32MGx only.

### Notes:

1. Current Bluetooth and Bluetooth low energy solutions operate at less than +10dBm transmit power level and implement automatic frequency hopping (AFH). Even with Bluetooth at +10dBm, Silicon Labs’ testing indicates minimal impact from co-located Bluetooth on 802.15.4 performance. 802.15.4 device join success remains at 100% and 802.15.4 message loss remains at 0%. 802.15.4 MAC retries increase slightly due to occasional co-channel and adjacent channel collisions between Bluetooth AFH and fixed 802.15.2 channel. However, the Wi-Fi coexistence discussion and solutions described in this application note can be applied equally well to Bluetooth coexistence. As such, all following solutions presented for “Wi-Fi Coexistence” can be applied to “Wi-Fi/Bluetooth Coexistence”.
2. This revision 1.2 application note describes EFR32 Zigbee and Thread coexistence support for EmberZNet PRO 6.2.0 and Thread 2.6.0. Not all coexistence support features in EmberZNet PRO 6.2.0 or Thread 2.6.0 are available in earlier EmberZNet PRO and Thread stack releases supporting coexistence (i.e., EmberZNet PRO 5.8.0–6.1.0 and Thread 2.1.0–2.5.0). **Section 4.2** notes the EmberZNet PRO and Thread stack releases where each coexistence support feature became available.

## 2 Wi-Fi Impact on Zigbee/Thread

World-wide, Wi-Fi (IEEE 802.11b/g/n) supports up to 14 overlapping 20/22MHz bandwidth channels across the 2.4GHz ISM band with transmit power levels up to +30dBm. Similarly, 2.4GHz Zigbee and Thread (based on IEEE 802.15.4) support 16 non-overlapping 2MHz bandwidth channels at 5MHz spacing with transmit powers up to +20dBm. These Wi-Fi and Zigbee/Thread channel mappings are shown in the following figure.



**Figure 1. 802.15.4 and 802.11b/g/n Channel Mapping (World-Wide)**

Actual channels available vary by country. For example, in the USA, Wi-Fi channels 1 through 11 are available and Zigbee channels 11 through 26 are available, although channels 25 and 26 require reduced transmit power levels to meet FCC requirements (North America only).

To better understand the effects of Wi-Fi on Zigbee/Thread, Silicon Labs' measured the impact of a 100% duty-cycled 802.11n (MCS3, 20MHz bandwidth) blocker transmitting at various power levels while receiving an 802.15.4 message transmitted at various power levels. The results for co-channel, adjacent channel, and "far-away" channel are shown in the following three figures. All 802.11n and 802.15.4 power levels are referenced to the Silicon Labs' Mighty Gecko (EFR32MGx) RF input. The test application was developed using Silicon Labs' EmberZNet PRO (Zigbee) stack with NodeTest running on the EFR32 DUT (Device Under Test) and a test script to control the DUT and RF test equipment. Since this is an 802.15.4 focused test, results are identical for Wi-Fi blocking Thread.




Figure 2. 100% Duty Cycled 802.11n Blocker with Desired 802.15.4 at Co-Channel





Figure 3. 100% Duty Cycled 802.11n Blocker with Desired 802.15.4 at Adjacent Channel



**Figure 4. 100% Duty Cycled 802.11n Blocker with Desired 802.15.4 at “Far-Away” Channel**

From these three figures, as well as other measurements using the EM35x/EM358x (not shown), the key observations about the impact of Wi-Fi on Zigbee/Thread are:

#### Co-Channel:

- EFR32MGx can receive an 802.15.4 signal down to 6 dB weaker than aggregate Wi-Fi transmit power (100% duty cycle).
- EM35x/EM358x with and without FEM (front-end module) can receive an 802.15.4 signal down to 6 dB weaker than aggregate Wi-Fi transmit power (100% duty cycle).
- 802.15.4 transmits can also be blocked by Wi-Fi transmit power tripping the 802.15.4 -75dBm Clear Channel Assessment (CCA) threshold.

#### Adjacent Channel:

- EFR32MGx can receive a -80dBm 802.15.4 signal with -35dBm or weaker Wi-Fi transmit power (100% duty cycle).
- EM35x/EM358x without FEM can receive a -80dBm 802.15.4 signal with -38dBm or weaker Wi-Fi transmit power (100% duty cycle), -43dBm or weaker with Skyworks SE2432L FEM LNA (Low Noise Amplifier) enabled.


#### “Far-Away” Channel:

- EFR32MGx can receive a -80dBm 802.15.4 signal with -15dBm or weaker Wi-Fi transmit power (100% duty cycle).
- EM35x/EM358x without FEM can receive a -80dBm 802.15.4 signal with -22dBm or weaker 100% Wi-Fi transmit power (100% duty cycle), -27dBm or weaker with Skyworks SE2432L FEM LNA enabled.

In a real world environment, Wi-Fi is typically not 100% duty cycle and only approaches 100% duty cycle during file transfers or video stream in low Wi-Fi SNR conditions. In the above three figures, the EFR32MGx (or EM35x/EM358x) receive sensitivity varies as the Wi-Fi blocker turns ON/OFF. The net result is the ability to see weaker signals when Wi-Fi is OFF, but not when strong Wi-Fi is ON (actively transmitting).

The following figure illustrates the receive range of a node (blue node) near a strong Wi-Fi transmitter. Relative to the blue 802.15.4 node, the area inside the green circle represents the receive range when Wi-Fi is ON. The area between the green and yellow circles represents the receive range when Wi-Fi is OFF. From this figure:

- The green node is always receivable by the blue node.
- The yellow node is only receivable by the blue node when Wi-Fi is OFF.
- The red node is never receivable by the blue node.
- The yellow and red nodes are always receivable by the green node.



**Figure 5. EFR32MGx or EM35x/EM358x Receiver Desensitized when Wi-Fi Transmitting**

Depending on each node's type (coordinator, router, or end device) and the Wi-Fi duty cycle, the impact of strong Wi-Fi turning ON/OFF will vary.

In a Zigbee network:

- Coordinator: Tasked with network creation, the control of network parameters, and basic maintenance, in addition to performing an application function, such as aggregating data or serving as a central control point or gateway.
- Router: In addition to running an application function, a Router can receive and retransmit data from other nodes.
- End Device Typically a battery-powered device (Sleepy End Device) running an application function and able to talk to a single parent node (either the Coordinator or a Router). End Devices cannot relay data from other nodes.

In a Thread network:

- Border Router: Provides Thread Network node connectivity to other devices in external networks (e.g., Internet access).
- Router: In addition to running an application function, a Router can receive and retransmit data from other nodes and provide join and security capability. When routing function is not needed by network, a Router can downgrade to a Router-Eligible End Device.
- Router-Eligible End Device: In addition to running an application function, a Router-Eligible End Device can receive and retransmit data from other nodes. When additional routers needed by network, a Router-Eligible End Device can upgrade to a Router.
- Sleepy End Device: Typically a battery- powered device running an application function and able to talk to a single parent node (either a Border Router, Router, or Router-Eligible End Device). Sleepy End Devices cannot relay data from other nodes.

Two Zigbee cases are considered below, but many other cases are possible.

#### **Case 1: Zigbee Coordinator near strong Wi-Fi plus three end-nodes**

For this case, Figure 5 is composed of:

- Coordinator: Blue node
- End Devices: Green, Yellow, and Red nodes

In this simple network, each end device attempts to join the network formed by the coordinator. However, the red node is outside of receive range and cannot join. With Wi-Fi OFF, both the green and yellow nodes successfully join the network and have no issues sending messages to the coordinator. Regardless of Wi-Fi ON/OFF duty cycle, the green node remains successful sending messages to the coordinator.

With Wi-Fi ON/OFF at low-duty cycle, some messages from the yellow node are periodically blocked, but Zigbee retry mechanisms are effective in getting the messages to the coordinator. However, with Wi-Fi ON/OFF at high-duty cycle, many messages from the yellow node are blocked and Zigbee retry mechanisms may be exhausted. Even when retry mechanisms are successful, the message latency increases. If the yellow node is a battery powered sleepy end device, it must remain active longer to execute retries, reducing battery life.

**Case 2: Zigbee Coordinator near strong Wi-Fi, router within always receive range, plus two end-nodes**

For this case, Figure 5 is composed of:

- Coordinator: Blue node
- Router: Green node
- End Devices: Yellow and Red nodes

In this simple network, the green router forms a route directly to the coordinator, maintained regardless of Wi-Fi ON/OFF duty cycle. With Wi-Fi OFF, the yellow node forms a route directly to the blue coordinator at a lower route cost than a route via the green router. The red node cannot be received by the coordinator and its messages are also routed through the router to the coordinator.

With Wi-Fi OFF, the green router, the yellow node, and the red node (via the green router) have no issues sending messages to the coordinator. Regardless of Wi-Fi ON/OFF duty cycle, the green router and the red node (via the green router) remain successful sending messages to the coordinator. With Wi-Fi ON/OFF at low-duty cycle, some messages from the yellow node are periodically blocked, but Zigbee retry mechanisms are effective in getting the messages to the coordinator.

With Wi-Fi ON/OFF at high-duty cycle, many messages from the yellow node are blocked and Zigbee retry mechanisms may be exhausted. If Wi-Fi ON/OFF stays at high-duty cycle for sufficient time, the network responds by restructuring the yellow node to route messages to the coordinator via the router. However, this route rediscover takes time and messages may be lost. As long as Wi-Fi ON/OFF remains high-duty cycle, the yellow node messages will continue to go through the router, which forwards messages to the coordinator.

However, when Wi-Fi ON/OFF returns to low-duty cycle, the network will, due to lower route cost, return to the original structure with the yellow node sending messages directly to the coordinator.

Under conditions with Wi-Fi ON/OFF switching between low and high duty cycles, the network may switch back and forth between these two route states. During these switching events, messages from the yellow end-node to the coordinator are lost.



## 3 Unmanaged Coexistence

The unmanaged coexistence recommendations that follow provide guidance on how to maximize the EFR32MGx or EM35x/EM358x message success with strong nearby Wi-Fi.

### 3.1 Implement Frequency Separation

From the observations in the previous section, co-channel operation of 802.15.4 with 100% duty cycle Wi-Fi blocks most of the 802.15.4 messages and must be avoided. Also, EFR32MGx tolerates a 20 dB stronger Wi-Fi signal in “far-away” channel case than in adjacent channel case. The 802.15.4 network performance is improved by maximizing the frequency separation between the Wi-Fi network and the 802.15.4 network.

If the Wi-Fi and 802.15.4 radios are implemented with a common host (MCU controlling both radios), then the host should attempt to maximize the frequency separation. For Wi-Fi networks, the access point (AP) establishes the initial channel and, in auto channel configuration, is free to move the network to another channel using the Channel Switch Announcement, introduced in 802.11h, to schedule the channel change.

For Thread networks, frequency separation implementation depends on the application layer. For Zigbee networks, the coordinator establishes the initial channel. However, when implemented by the product designer, a Network Manager function, which can be on the coordinator or on a router, can solicit energy scans from the mesh network nodes and initiate a network channel change as necessary to a quieter channel.

**Note:** The Network Manager function is not a mandatory feature, but rather must be implemented using tools/functions provided by the stack.

Details on mesh network channel frequency agility can be found in:

- <http://community.silabs.com/t5/Wireless-Knowledge-Base/How-does-Frequency-Agility-work-in-the-EmberZNet-PRO-stack/ta-p/113319>
- <http://community.silabs.com/t5/Wireless-Knowledge-Base/How-can-I-test-frequency-agility/ta-p/113295>

### 3.2 Operate Wi-Fi with 20MHz Bandwidth

Since Wi-Fi 802.11n uses OFDM sub-carriers, third-order distortion products from these sub-carriers extend one bandwidth on each side of the Wi-Fi channel. 802.11n can operate in 20MHz or 40MHz modes. If operated in 40MHz mode, 40MHz of the 80MHz ISM band is consumed by the Wi-Fi channel. However, an additional 40MHz on each side can be affected by third-order distortion products. These third-order products can block the 802.15.4 receiver and is the primary reason adjacent channel performance is 20 dB worse than “far-away” channel performance.

In proposing 40MHz mode for 802.11n, the Wi-Fi standard anticipated potential issues with other 2.4GHz ISM devices when Wi-Fi operated in 40MHz mode. During association, any Wi-Fi station can set the **FortyMHz Intolerant** bit in the HT Capabilities Information. This bit informs the Wi-Fi access point that other 2.4GHz ISM devices are present, forcing the entire Wi-Fi network to 20MHz mode.

If the Wi-Fi and 802.15.4 radios are implemented with a common host, then the host should have the Wi-Fi radio set the **FortyMHz Intolerant** bit during association to force the Wi-Fi to 20MHz mode, improving the 802.15.4 performance.

If the application requires Wi-Fi to operate in 40MHz mode, frequency separation must be maximized by placing Wi-Fi channels and 802.15.4 channel at opposite ends of the 2.4GHz ISM band.

From Silicon Labs’ managed coexistence testing, 802.15.4 performance with 40MHz Wi-Fi, for the same Wi-Fi RF duty cycle, is comparable to 802.15.4 performance with 20MHz Wi-Fi. While 802.15.4 performance with 100% Wi-Fi RF duty cycle is inherently impaired, 40MHz Wi-Fi, for the same target Wi-Fi data rate, has a lower RF duty cycle than 20MHz Wi-Fi, providing the 802.15.4 radio more frequent and longer time gaps for successful transmits and receives.

### 3.3 Increase Antenna Isolation

Also, from the observations in section **Wi-Fi Impact on Zigbee/Thread**, minimizing the Wi-Fi energy seen by the 802.15.4 RF input improves the 802.15.4 receive range. For example, in the “far-away” channel case with 100% Wi-Fi duty cycle, a -80dBm 802.15.4 message can be received when the Wi-Fi energy at EFR32MGx input is -15dBm or less. If the Wi-Fi transmit power level is +10dBm, 25 dB or more antenna isolation between the Wi-Fi transmitter and 802.15.4 RF input is sufficient to always receive a -80dBm 802.15.4 signal, Wi-Fi ON or OFF.

Increased antenna isolation can be achieved by:

- Increasing the distance between antennas. In open-space, far-field, power received is proportional to  $1/R^2$ , where R is distance between antennas.
- Taking advantage of antenna directionality. A monopole antenna provides a null along the axis of the antenna, which can be directed toward the Wi-Fi antenna(s).

### 3.4 Use Zigbee/Thread Retry Mechanisms

The 802.15.4 specification requires retries at the MAC layer, which are implemented in Silicon Labs' EmberZNet PRO stack. To further improve message delivery robustness, Silicon Labs EmberZNet PRO stacks also implements NWK retries, wrapping the MAC retries. The user application can also take advantage of APS retries, wrapping the NWK retries. More information on the retry mechanisms can be found at:

- <https://www.silabs.com/documents/public/user-guides/ug103-02-appdevfundamentals-zigbee.pdf> (Section 4.4)
- <http://community.silabs.com/t5/Wireless-Knowledge-Base/How-does-the-EmberZNet-stack-retry-work/ta-p/113287>

These retry mechanisms are effective at improving message delivery. However, under high interference conditions, message latency increases.

For Thread networks, 802.15.4 retries at the MAC layer still apply. However, other message retry mechanisms depend on the application layer.

### 3.5 Remove FEM (or operate FEM LNA in Bypass)

EFR32MGx can deliver nearly +20dBm transmit power and has excellent receiver sensitivity without an external FEM. However, many EM35x/EM358x applications utilize an external FEM to increase transmit power to +20dBm for increased range (in regions where this is permitted, e.g. the Americas). The additional FEM LNA receive gain also improves sensitivity. However, this additional gain also degrades the EM35x/EM358x linearity performance in the presence of strong Wi-Fi.

As an example, a Skyworks' SE2432L FEM combined with an EM35x/EM358x provides increased transmit power and, when no blockers are present, increased receiver sensitivity. However, in the presence of strong 100% duty cycle Wi-Fi, EM57x/EM358x with SE2432L shows 5 dB degradation in receiver sensitivity when compared to EM57x/EM358x only, for both adjacent and “far-away” channel cases.

For best receive sensitivity in the presence of strong Wi-Fi blockers, either eliminate the FEM or operate the FEM LNA in bypass mode. This recommendation is a trade-off as receive sensitivity without Wi-Fi blockers is improved with FEM LNA gain enabled.

## 4 Managed Coexistence

The market trends of higher Wi-Fi transmit power, higher Wi-Fi throughput, and integration of Wi-Fi and 802.15.4 radios into same device has the following impacts:

- Advantages:
  - Host can implement frequency separation between Wi-Fi and 802.15.4.
  - Co-located Wi-Fi radio can force Wi-Fi network to operate with 20MHz bandwidth.
  - Co-located Wi-Fi and 802.15.4 radios can communicate pending and/or in-progress activity on 2.4GHz ISM (Industrial, Scientific, and Medical) transmits and receives.
- Disadvantages:
  - Higher Wi-Fi transmit power requires greater antenna isolation.
  - Higher Wi-Fi throughput results in higher Wi-Fi duty cycle.
  - Antenna isolation is usually limited by the size of the product (only 15-20 dB isolation is not unusual).

Assuming frequency separation achieves the “far-away” channel case and Wi-Fi only uses 20MHz bandwidth, a +30dBm Wi-Fi transmit power level at 100% duty cycle requires 45 dB antenna isolation to receive -80dBm 802.15.4 messages. This is generally not achievable in small devices with co-located Wi-Fi and 802.15.4.

Managed Coexistence takes advantage of communication between the co-located Wi-Fi and 802.15.4 radios to coordinate each radio's access to the 2.4GHz ISM band for transmit and receive. For the EFR32, Silicon Labs has implemented a coordination scheme compatible with Wi-Fi devices supporting PTA (Packet Traffic Arbitration). This PTA-based coordination allows the EFR32 to signal the Wi-Fi device when receiving a message or wanting to transmit a message. When the Wi-Fi device is made aware of the EFR32 requiring the 2.4GHz ISM band, any Wi-Fi transmit can be delayed, improving Zigbee/Thread message reliability.

The first section discusses PTA support hardware options, the second discusses PTA support software setup, and the third section provides test results for EFR32 PTA implementation under various Wi-Fi operating conditions.

### Notes:

1. PTA support software is only available for EFR32MGx under EmberZNet PRO 5.8.0 or later (Zigbee) and in Silicon Labs Thread 2.1.0 or later (Thread). Section **PTA Support Software Setup** notes the EmberZNet PRO and Thread stack releases where each coexistence support feature became available.
2. While PTA support software is not available for EM3x, EM3x does support the TXA (TX\_ACTIVE) and legacy RHO (Radio Hold OFF) features. The following links describe existing EM3x coexistence support features:
  - <http://community.silabs.com/t5/Mesh/WiFi-and-Zigbee-coordination/td-p/146392>
  - <http://community.silabs.com/t5/Mesh-Knowledge-Base/EM3xx-Designing-for-radio-network-coexistence/ta-p/158864>

### 4.1 PTA Support Hardware Options

PTA is described in IEEE 802.15.2 (2003) Clause 6 and is a recommendation, not a standard. 802.15.2 originally addressed coexistence between 802.11b (Wi-Fi) and 802.15.1 (Bluetooth Classic) and does not describe an exact hardware configuration. However, 802.15.2 recommends that the PTA implementation consider the following:

- TX REQUEST from 802.11b to PTA and TX REQUEST from 802.15.1 to PTA
- TX CONFIRM from PTA to 802.11b and TX CONFIRM from PTA to 802.15.1
- STATUS information from both radios:
  - Radio state [TX, RX, or idle]
  - Current and future TX/RX frequencies
  - Future expectation of a TX/RX start and duration
  - Packet type
  - Priority (Fixed, Randomized, or QoS based)

In considering radio state, transmit/receive, and frequencies, 802.15.2 describes the following.

**Table 1. IEEE 802.15.2 2.4GHz ISM Co-Located Radio Interference Possibilities**

Co-located 802.11b State	Co-Located 802.15.1 State			
	Transmit		Receive	
	In-Band	Out-of-Band	In-Band	Out-of-Band
<b>Transmit</b>	Conflicting Transmits  Possible packet errors	No Conflict	Conflicting Transmit-Receive  Local packet received with errors	Conflicting Transmit-Receive  Local packet received with errors or no errors if sufficient isolation for frequency separation
<b>Receive</b>	Conflicting Transmit-Receive  Local packet received with errors	Conflicting Transmit-Receive  Local packet received with errors or no errors if sufficient isolation for frequency separation	Conflicting Receives  Possible packet errors	No Conflict

From the above table, the frequency separation recommendations from the section **Unmanaged Coexistence** remain required for managed coexistence:

- 802.15.2 “In-Band” is equivalent to Co-Channel operation, which showed significant Wi-Fi impact on co-channel 802.15.4
- 802.15.2 “Out-of-Band” covers both Adjacent and “Far-Away” Channel operation, which showed ~20 dB improvement in “Far-Away” Channel vs. Adjacent Channel

As such, for Managed Coexistence, Silicon Labs recommends continuing to implement all of the Unmanaged Coexistence recommendations.

- Frequency Separation
- Operate Wi-Fi in 20MHz Bandwidth
- Antenna Isolation
- Zigbee/Thread Retry Mechanisms
- FEM LNA in Bypass

In reviewing existing PTA implementations, Silicon Labs finds the PTA master implementation has been integrated into many Wi-Fi devices, but not all Wi-Fi devices support a PTA interface. The following figure shows the most common Wi-Fi/PTA implementations supporting Bluetooth.




Figure 6. Typical Wi-Fi/Bluetooth PTA Implementations

#### 1-Wire PTA

In 1-Wire, the Wi-Fi/PTA device asserts a GRANT signal when Wi-Fi is not busy transmitting or receiving. When GRANT is asserted, the Bluetooth radio is allowed to transmit or receive. This mode does not allow external radio to request the 2.4GHz ISM and is not recommended.

#### 2-Wire PTA

In 2-Wire, the REQUEST signal is added, allowing the Bluetooth radio to request the 2.4GHz ISM band. The Wi-Fi/PTA device internally controls the prioritization between Bluetooth and Wi-Fi and, on a conflict, the PTA can choose to either GRANT Bluetooth or Wi-Fi.

#### 3-Wire PTA

In 3-Wire, the PRIORITY signal is added, allowing the Bluetooth radio to signify a high or low priority message is either being received or transmitted. The Wi-Fi/PTA device compares this external priority request against the internal Wi-Fi priority, which may be high/low or high/mid/low and can choose to either GRANT Bluetooth or Wi-Fi.

**Note:** PRIORITY can be implemented as static or directional (enhanced) priority. As of PTA support implementation in EmberZNet PRO 5.8.0 or later and Silicon Labs Thread 2.1.0 or later, Silicon Labs' EFR32 only supports static priority.

- Static: PRIORITY is either high or low during REQUEST asserted for the transmit or receive operation.
- Directional: PRIORITY is either high or low for a typically 20µs duration after REQUEST asserted, but switches to low during receive operation and high during transmit operation.

For platforms, such as Wi-Fi data routers that can achieve high Wi-Fi duty-cycles, as well as IoT hubs that stream Bluetooth audio, implementing PRIORITY is highly recommended as it provides the Wi-Fi/PTA device with insight on the EFR32 REQUEST. PRIORITY is also configurable, both at compile-time and at run-time, to address various product optimization requirements. However, given the relatively low RF duty cycle of 802.15.4, static PRIORITY may not be necessary for platforms that do not experience high Wi-Fi duty cycles nor support Bluetooth audio streaming, freeing a GPIO pin on the EFR32 and SoC.

#### 4-Wire PTA

In 4-Wire, the FREQ signal is added, allowing the Bluetooth radio to signify an “in-band” or “out-of-band” message is either being received or transmitted. Silicon Labs recommends maximizing frequency separation, making the FREQ signal mute. Silicon Labs' EFR32 does not support the FREQ signal and, for any 4-wire Wi-Fi/PTA with a FREQ input, Silicon Labs recommends asserting the FREQ input to the Wi-Fi/PTA.

#### 4.1.1 Single EFR32 Connected to Wi-Fi/PTA

Additional details about the implementation of managed coexistence are available in an expanded version of this application note, *AN1017-NDA: ZigBee and Thread Coexistence with Wi-Fi*, available under non-disclosure from Silicon Labs technical support.

#### 4.1.2 Multiple EFR32s connected to Wi-Fi/PTA

Additional details about the implementation of managed coexistence are available in an expanded version of this application note, *AN1017-NDA: ZigBee and Thread Coexistence with Wi-Fi*, available under non-disclosure from Silicon Labs technical support.

#### 4.1.3 Wi-Fi/PTA Considerations

Additional details about the implementation of managed coexistence are available in an expanded version of this application note, *AN1017-NDA: ZigBee and Thread Coexistence with Wi-Fi*, available under non-disclosure from Silicon Labs technical support.

### 4.2 PTA Support Software Setup

EmberZNet PRO 5.8.0 and later, and Thread 2.1.0 and later contain EFR32 PTA support, enabling customers to implement EFR32 PTA configured for target Wi-Fi/PTA platform. **However, not all PTA support features are available in all revisions.**

**Note:** *While coexistence PTA support is also available in EmberZNet PRO 6.0.0 and Thread 2.4.0, use in those stacks is NOT recommended. In those stacks, the PTA signals can be incorrect, resulting in EFR32 not gaining protected TX or RX and/or incorrectly holding 2.4GHz band from Wi-Fi.*

Please refer to the following table for PTA support features available in a particular release:

**Table 2. PTA Coexistence Features Available in EmberZNet PRO and Thread Release**

PTA Feature	Configure		EmberZNet PRO										Thread							
	AppBuilder	Run-Time API [3]	5.8.0	5.8.1	5.9.0	5.9.1	5.9.2	510.0	5.10.1	6.1.0	6.2.0	2.1.0	2.1.1	2.2.0	2.2.1	2.3.0	2.3.1	2.5.0	2.6.0	
RHO pin settings: enable/disable, polarity, port and pin	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
REQUEST pin settings: Enable/disable, polarity, port and pin	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
REQUEST signal is shared	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
REQUEST signal max backoff mask	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
GRANT pin settings: Enable/disable, polarity, port and pin	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Abort transmission mid packet if GRANT is lost	✓	✓					✓	✓	✓	✓	✓					✓	✓	✓	✓	
PRIORITY pin settings: Enable/disable, polarity, port and pin	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
PRIORITY signal is shared	✓		[4]	[4]	[4]	[4]	[4]	[4]	[4]	✓	✓	[4]	[4]	[4]	[4]	[4]	[4]	✓	✓	
PRIORITY assertion based on TX/RX traffic	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Receive retry REQUEST enabled <sup>[1]</sup>	✓	✓					✓	✓	✓	✓	✓					✓	✓	✓	✓	
Receive retry timeout mask	✓	✓					✓	✓	✓	✓	✓					✓	✓	✓	✓	
REQUEST high PRIORITY on receive retry	✓	✓					✓	✓	✓	✓	✓					✓	✓	✓	✓	

PTA Feature	Configure		EmberZNet PRO								Thread								
	AppBuilder	Run-Time API [3]	5.8.0	5.8.1	5.9.0	5.9.1	5.9.2	5.10.0	5.10.1	6.1.0	6.2.0	2.1.0	2.1.1	2.2.0	2.2.1	2.3.0	2.3.1	2.5.0	2.6.0
Disable ACKing when GRANT deasserted, RHO asserted, or REQUEST not secured (shared REQUEST only)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Disable REQUEST (force holdoff)		✓			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Synch MAC to GRANT (MAC holdoff)		✓				✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
REQUEST/PRIORITY Assert (Preamble/Synch or Address Detection)		✓			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
CCA/GRANT TX PRIORITY Escalation Threshold [2]		✓					✓	✓	✓	✓	✓					✓	✓	✓	✓
MAC Fail TX PRIORITY Escalation Threshold [2]		✓					✓	✓	✓	✓	✓					✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>[1] For EmberZNet PRO 6.1.0 or earlier and Thread 2.5.0 or earlier, SoC and xNCP/SPI applications require an event to disable sleep/Idle. See Section <u>Prevent Sleep/Idle in SoC and xNCP/SPI Applications</u> for details.</b>																			
<b>[2] Requires additional application code. See Section <u>TX PRIORITY Escalation</u> for details.</b>																			
<b>[3] For Run-Time API options not supported by selected EmberZNet PRO or Thread release, the corresponding ptaOptions bit fields are RESERVED and must be written to 0.</b>																			
<b>[4] Requires additional application code. See Section <u>Multi-EFR32 Shared “PRIORITY” Signal</u> for details.</b>																			

#### 4.2.1 AppBuilder Configuration (PTA defaults after reset)

To enable EFR32 PTA coexistence support with **EmberZNet PRO 5.8.0–5.9.2** or **Thread 2.1.0–2.2.1**:

1. Open AppBuilder from within Simplicity Studio V4.
2. Open the desired EFR32 application.
3. Select the **Plugins** tab.
4. Under **HAL** plugins, enable the **Coexistence Configuration** plugin, showing the following coexistence configuration options:

Name: Coexistence Configuration

Quality: Production ready

Description:

This plugin provides an interface for a customer to configure their coexistence GPIO interface. Customers should make sure that the GPIO pins chosen here do not conflict with any other GPIO used in their application. NOTE: This plugin is production quality for Zigbee but is currently alpha quality in Thread.

Options: [Reset to defaults](#)

☐ RHO(Radio Hold Off) signal enabled

☐ RHO(Radio Hold Off) active high

RHO(Radio Hold Off) signal GPIO port: C

RHO(Radio Hold Off) signal GPIO pin:[0-15] 11

☒ REQUEST signal enabled

☐ REQUEST signal is shared

☒ REQUEST signal active high

REQUEST signal GPIO port: C

REQUEST signal GPIO pin:[0-15] 10

REQUEST signal max backoff mask:[0-255] 15

☒ GRANT signal enabled

☐ GRANT signal active high

GRANT signal GPIO port: F

GRANT signal GPIO pin:[0-15] 3

☒ PRIORITY signal enabled

☒ PRIORITY signal active high

PRIORITY signal GPIO port: D

PRIORITY signal GPIO pin:[0-15] 12

☐ Receive retry REQUEST enabled

Receive retry timeout(millisecond):[0-255] 16

☒ REQUEST high PRIORITY on receive retry

☐ Abort transmission mid packet if GRANT is lost

☒ TX high PRIORITY

☒ RX high PRIORITY

☒ Disable ACKING when GRANT deasserted, RHO asserted, or REQUEST not secured (shared REQUEST only)

Figure 7. Coexistence Configuration Plugin Settings

In **EmberZNet PRO 5.8.0–5.9.2** and **Thread 2.1.0–2.2.1**, the EFR32 PTA coexistence feature is enabled at firmware startup.

In addition to the above steps to enable EFR32 PTA coexistence support, **EmberZNet PRO 5.10.0–5.10.1** or **Thread 2.3.0–2.3.1** require:

1. “Packet Trace Arbitration” in HAL Configuration plugin set to “0” (disabled).

Name: HAL Configuration

Quality: Production Ready

Description:

This plugin provides HAL configuration options for EFR32. It is selectable for EM3xx but does not contribute anything to the project.

Options: [Reset to defaults](#)

Antenna Diversity: 0

Packet Trace Arbitration: 0

Radio Hold Off: 0



## 2. Enable the EFR32 PTA coexistence support:

- For SoC applications:

Insert a “halPtaSetEnable(1);” in emberAfMainInitCallback() (see Section [SoC Application](#))

- For xNCP applications, either:

Enable the PTA during xNCP firmware startup:

- Insert a “halPtaSetEnable(1);” in emberAfMainInitCallback() (see Section [SoC Application](#))

OR


- Enable the PTA from Host application:
- Define the PTA enable value and send an EZSP PTA enable command (see Section [Zigbee Network Coprocessor Application using EZSP API](#)):

```
uint8_t ENABLE_PTA_VALUE[1] = {1};
ezspSetValue(EZSP_VALUE_ENABLE_PTA, 1, ENABLE_PTA_VALUE);
```

**Note:** *While coexistence PTA support is also available in [EmberZNet PRO 6.0.0](#) and [Thread 2.4.0](#), use in those stacks is **NOT recommended**. In those stacks, the PTA signals can be incorrect, resulting in EFR32 not gaining protected TX or RX and/or incorrectly holding 2.4GHz band from Wi-Fi.*

In **EmberZNet PRO 6.1.0 or later** and **Thread 2.5.0 or later**, the coexistence feature setup moved from the Coexistence Configuration plugin to the Hardware Configurator. To configure the coexistence feature in **EmberZNet PRO 6.1.0 or later** and **Thread 2.5.0 or later**:

1. Enable the Coexistence Configuration plugin under the “HAL” section of “Plugins” tab:



2. Open the project's .hwconf file into Hardware Configurator and select “Default Mode Peripherals” view
3. Insure “Coexistence” in “Radio” section is enabled:



4. Double click on “Coexistence” to open coexistence properties:

Properties of Coexistence	
Property	Value
Owned by	
▲ REQUEST	
REQUEST signal	PC10
REQUEST assert signal level	High
Enable REQUEST shared mode	False
Max REQUEST backoff mask [0-255]	15 (0xF)
Assert time between REQUEST and RX/TX start (us) [BLE only]	500 (0x1F4)
Enable REQUEST receive retry	True
REQUEST receive retry timeout(ms)	16 (0x10)
REQUEST receive retry assert PRIORITY	True
▲ GRANT	
GRANT signal	PF3
GRANT assert signal level	Low
Abort transmission mid-packet if GRANT is lost	False
Disable ACKing when GRANT deasserted, RHO asserted, or REQUEST not secured (shared REQUEST only)	True
▲ PRIORITY	
PRIORITY signal	PD12
PRIORITY assert signal level	High
Enable PRIORITY shared mode	False
Assert PRIORITY when transmitting packet	False
Assert PRIORITY when receiving packet	True
▲ Radio Hold Off	
RHO signal	Disabled
RHO assert signal level	High

The **EmberZNet PRO 6.1.0 or later and Thread 2.5.0 or later** coexistence properties map to **EmberZNet PRO 5.8.0-5.10.1 and Thread 2.1.0-2.3.1** Coexistence Configuration plugin as follows:

EmberZNet PRO 6.1.0 or later and Thread 2.5.0 or later Hardware Configurator		EmberZNet PRO 5.8.0-5.10.1 and Thread 2.1.0-2.3.1 Coexistence Configuration
Section	Property	Plugin Field
REQUEST	REQUEST signal	REQUEST signal enabled REQUEST signal GPIO port REQUEST signal GPIO pin
	REQUEST assert signal level	REQUEST signal active high
	Enable REQUEST shared mode	REQUEST signal is shared
	Max REQUEST backoff mask [0-255]	REQUEST signal max backoff mask[0-255]
	Assert time between REQUEST and RX/TX start (us) [BLE only] <b>Note: EFR32MGx BLE coexistence only, no effect on Zigbee or Thread coexistence.</b>	-
	Enable REQUEST receive retry	Receive retry REQUEST enabled
	REQUEST receive retry timeout(ms)	Receive retry timeout (milliseconds) [0-255]
	REQUEST receive retry assert PRIORITY	REQUEST high PRIORITY on receive retry
GRANT	GRANT signal	GRANT signal enabled GRANT signal GPIO port GRANT signal GPIO pin
	Grant assert signal level	GRANT signal active high
	Abort transmission mid-packet if GRANT is lost	Abort transmission mid packet if GRANT is lost
	Disable ACKing when GRANT deasserted, RHO asserted, or REQUEST not secured (shared REQUEST only)	Disable ACKing when GRANT deasserted, RHO asserted, or REQUEST not secured (shared REQUEST only)
PRIORITY	PRIORITY signal	PRIORITY signal enabled PRIORITY signal GPIO port PRIORITY signal GPIO pin
	PRIORITY assert signal level	PRIORITY signal active high
	Enable PRIORITY shared mode	See Section <b>Multi-EFR32 Shared “PRIORITY” Signal</b> .
	Assert PRIORITY when transmitting packet	TX high PRIORITY
	Assert PRIORITY when receiving packet	RX high PRIORITY
Radio Hold Off	RHO signal	RHO (Radio Hold Off) signal enabled RHO (Radio Hold Off) signal GPIO port RHO (Radio Hold Off) signal GPIO pin
	RHO assert signal level	RHO (Radio Hold Off) active high

**Note:** This revision 1.1 application note uses the **EmberZNet PRO 5.8.0-5.10.1 and Thread 2.1.0-2.3.1** Coexistence Configuration Plugin field names to describe the AppBuilder configurable options. Consult the table above for mapping to equivalent **EmberZNet PRO 6.1.0 or later and Thread 2.5.0 or later** coexistence properties.

The coexistence configuration AppBuilder configurable options are:

- **RHO (Radio Hold Off)**
  - **RHO (Radio Hold Off) signal enabled**
    - If selected, RHO is mapped to GPIO pin and is used by PTA implementation.
    - If not selected, RHO is not mapped to GPIO pin and RHO is always deasserted.
  - **RHO (Radio Hold Off) active high**
    - If selected, RHO is asserted when RHO GPIO pin is high (> V<sub>ih</sub>).
    - If not selected, RHO is asserted when RHO GPIO pin is low (< V<sub>il</sub>).
  - **RHO (Radio Hold Off) signal GPIO port and RHO (Radio Hold Off) signal GPIO pin**

- Select RHO port and pin matching circuit board configuration.
- To minimize PTA impact to other EFR32 peripherals, recommended RHO port and pin are:

EFR32 Package	RHO Port/Pin
QFN48	PC11
QFN32	PC10

- **REQUEST**

- **REQUEST signal enabled**

- If selected, REQUEST is mapped to GPIO pin and is used by PTA implementation.
- If not selected, REQUEST is not mapped to GPIO pin.

- **REQUEST signal is shared**

- If selected, REQUEST is shared and implements open-drain or open-source I/O for multi-EFR32 radio applications.
- If active low, REQUEST is open-drain and an external 1 kΩ ±5% pull-up is required.
- If active high, REQUEST is open-source and an external 1 kΩ ±5% pull-down is required.
- If not selected, REQUEST is not shared and implements a push-pull output for single EFR32 radio applications.

- **REQUEST signal active high**

- If selected, REQUEST GPIO pin is driven high (> Voh) when REQUEST is asserted.
- If not selected, REQUEST GPIO pin is driven low (< Vol) when REQUEST is asserted.

- **REQUEST signal GPIO port and REQUEST signal GPIO pin**

- Select REQUEST port and pin matching circuit board configuration.
- To minimize PTA impact to other EFR32 peripherals, recommended REQUEST port and pin are:

EFR32 Package	REQUEST Port/Pin
QFN48	PC10
QFN32	PC11

- **REQUEST signal max backoff mask[0-255]**

- REQUEST signal max backoff determines the random REQUEST delay mask (only valid if REQUEST signal is shared).
- Random delay (in μs) is computed by masking the internal random variable against the entered mask.
- The mask should be set to a value of 2<sup>n</sup>-1 to insure a continuous random delay range.

- **GRANT**

- **GRANT signal enabled**

- If selected, GRANT is mapped to GPIO pin and is used by PTA implementation.
- If not selected, GRANT is not mapped to GPIO pin and GRANT is always asserted.

- **GRANT signal active high**

- If selected, GRANT is asserted when GRANT GPIO pin is high (> Vih).
- If not selected, GRANT is asserted when GRANT GPIO pin is low (< Vil).

- **GRANT signal GPIO port and GRANT signal GPIO pin**

- Select GRANT port and pin matching circuit board configuration.
- To minimize PTA impact to other EFR32 peripherals, recommended GRANT port and pin are:

EFR32 Package	GRANT Port/Pin
QFN48	PF3
QFN32	PB15

- **Abort transmission mid packet if GRANT is lost**

- **Do not attempt use in EmberZNet PRO 5.8.0–5.9.1 or Thread 2.1.0–2.2.1 (firmware crash possible).**
- If selected, losing GRANT during an 802.15.4 TX will abort the 802.15.4 TX.
- If not selected, losing GRANT after the initial evaluation at end of CCA will not abort the 802.15.4 TX.

- **PRIORITY**

- **PRIORITY signal enabled**

- If selected, PRIORITY is mapped to GPIO pin and is used by PTA implementation.
- If not selected, PRIORITY is not mapped to GPIO pin.
- **PRIORITY signal active high**
  - If selected, PRIORITY GPIO pin is driven high ( $> V_{oh}$ ) when PRIORITY is asserted.
  - If not selected, PRIORITY GPIO pin is driven low ( $< V_{ol}$ ) when PRIORITY is asserted.
- **PRIORITY signal GPIO port and PRIORITY signal GPIO pin**
  - Select REQUEST port and pin matching circuit board configuration.
  - To minimize PTA impact to other EFR32 peripherals, recommended PRIORITY port and pin are:

EFR32 Package	PRIORITY Port/Pin
QFN48	PD12
QFN32	PB14

- **Enable PRIORITY shared mode**

**Note:** Shared PRIORITY is directly selectable in **EmberZNet PRO 6.1.0 or later and Thread 2.5.0 or later**. For earlier stacks, see Section 5.4, **Multi-EFR32 Shared “PRIORITY” Signal**.

- If enabled, PRIORITY is shared and implements open-drain or open-source I/O for multi-EFR32 radio applications.
- If active low, PRIORITY is open-drain and an external  $1\text{ k}\Omega \pm 5\%$  pull-up is required.
- If active high, PRIORITY is open-source and an external  $1\text{ k}\Omega \pm 5\%$  pull-down is required.
- If not enabled, PRIORITY is not shared and implements a push-pull output for single EFR32 radio applications.

- **TX high PRIORITY**

- If selected, PRIORITY is asserted during 802.15.4 TX.
- If not selected, PRIORITY is deasserted during 802.15.4 TX.

- **RX high PRIORITY**

- If selected, PRIORITY is asserted during 802.15.4 RX.
- If not selected, PRIORITY is deasserted during 802.15.4 RX.

- **Receive Retry**

- **Receive retry REQUEST enabled**

- **While also available in EmberZNet PRO 5.8.0–5.9.1 and Thread 2.1.0–2.2.1, use in those stacks is NOT recommended:** If radio receives a unicast packet destined for a different radio, the “Receive retry REQUEST” feature incorrectly triggers and holds the 2.4GHz band.
- **In SoC and xNCP/SPI applications using EmberZNet PRO 6.1.0 or earlier and Thread 2.5.0 or earlier** REQUEST can be held far longer than the programmed time-out, due to device sleep/idle triggering during REQUEST hold. This long REQUEST hold can be avoided by creating an application event that prevents sleep/idle. See Section **Prevent Sleep/Idle in SoC and xNCP/SPI Applications** for details.
- If selected, REQUEST is held after a corrupted receive packet or, in EmberZNet PRO 6.2.0 or later and Thread 2.6.0 later, after a successful receive packet with GRANT denied until time-out expires or another packet is received.

**Note:** This feature is useful to hold 2.4GHz band clear while remote device re-transmits a packet, maximizing the opportunity to receive an uncorrupted retry packet from remote device, reducing 2.4GHz RF traffic and improving battery life.

- If not selected, REQUEST is not held after a corrupted receive packet or, in EmberZNet PRO 6.2.0 or later and Thread 2.6.0 later, after a successful receive packet with GRANT denied.

- **Receive retry timeout (milliseconds) [0-255]**

- Selects the timeout for REQUEST hold after a corrupted receive packet.

**Note:** 16ms is recommended to allow for maximum 802.15.4 packet duration and MAC retry random delay.

**Note:** Many Wi-Fi/PTA implementations have a maximum GRANT timeout, which should be set to received retry timeout plus 6ms to allow for maximum size corrupted packet, maximum random delay, and maximum size retry packet.

- **REQUEST high PRIORITY on receive retry**

- If selected, PRIORITY is asserted during REQUEST hold after a corrupted receive packet or, in EmberZNet PRO 6.2.0 or later and Thread 2.6.0 later, after a successful receive packet with GRANT denied.
- If not selected, PRIORITY is deasserted during REQUEST hold after a corrupted receive packet or, in EmberZNet PRO 6.2.0 or later and Thread 2.6.0 later, after a successful receive packet with GRANT denied.

- **Other**

- **Disable ACKing when GRANT deasserted, RHO asserted, or REQUEST not secured (shared REQUEST only)**

- If selected, the ACK to a valid RX packet, requiring an ACK, is not transmitted if GRANT is deasserted, RHO is asserted, or REQUEST is not secured (shared REQUEST only)

Note: This feature allows completing an 802.15.4 message, regardless of PTA signals, in order to minimize additional retries from remote device, reducing 2.4GHz RF traffic and improving battery life

- If not selected, the ACK to a valid RX packet requiring an ACK is transmitted regardless of GRANT, RHO, or REQUEST state

5. Complete other AppBuilder application setups and generate.

6. The coexistence configuration is saved in the application's .h file.

#### 4.2.2 Run-Time PTA Re-configuration

The following PTA options, which can be configured at compile time via AppBuilder, can also be re-configured at run-time:

- Receive retry timeout (milliseconds) [0-255]
- Disable ACKing when GRANT deasserted, RHO asserted, or REQUEST not secured (shared REQUEST only)
- Abort transmission mid packet if GRANT is lost
- TX high PRIORITY
- RX high PRIORITY
- REQUEST high PRIORITY on receive retry
- Receive retry REQUEST enabled
- RHO(Radio Hold Off) signal enabled

For descriptions of the above PTA options fields, see Section [AppBuilder Configuration \(PTA defaults after reset\)](#).

The following PTA options cannot be configured via AppBuilder and can only be configured at run-time:

- Enable or disable PTA
- Disable REQUEST (force holdoff)
- Synch MAC to GRANT (MAC holdoff)
- REQUEST/PRIORITY Assert (Preamble/Synch or Address Detection)
- CCA/GRANT TX PRIORITY Escalation Threshold (Requires additional application code. See Section [TX PRIORITY Escalation](#) for details.)
- MAC Fail TX PRIORITY Escalation Threshold (Requires additional application code. See Section [TX PRIORITY Escalation](#) for details.)

The descriptions of the above PTA options fields is:

- **Disable REQUEST (force holdoff)**
  - If not set (default), REQUEST operates as per descriptions in Section [Single EFR32 Connected to Wi-Fi/PTA](#) and Section [Multiple EFR32s connected to Wi-Fi/PTA](#).
  - If set, REQUEST stays disabled, effectively halting all radio TX/RX functions.
- **Synch MAC to GRANT (MAC holdoff)**
  - **Do not attempt use in EmberZNet PRO 5.9.0 and Thread 2.2.0 (firmware crash possible).**
  - If not set (default), Synch MAC to GRANT is disabled for 802.15.4-compliant random MAC delays.
  - If set, MAC CCA/TX is delayed until GRANT is asserted, synching all Zigbee TX operations with GRANT.
    - Synch MAC to GRANT is not strictly 802.15.4 compliant as it prevents random MAC delay execution.
    - Synch MAC to GRANT should only be enabled during known, higher priority, Wi-Fi or BT interfering activity and disabled as soon as such activity completes.
- **REQUEST/PRIORITY Assert (Preamble/Synch or Address Detection)**
  - If set to 0 (00b, default and recommended):
    - REQUEST during RX is asserted at Preamble/Synch.
    - PRIORITY during RX is asserted at Preamble/Synch, as per "RX high PRIORITY" setting.
  - If set to 1 (01b) or 3 (11b) [requires "RX high PRIORITY" set to high priority (1)]:
    - REQUEST during RX is asserted at Address Detection (for this radio).

- PRIORITY during RX is asserted at Address Detection (for this radio).
- If set to 2 (10b) [requires “RX high PRIORITY” set to low priority (0)]:
  - REQUEST during RX is asserted at Preamble/Synch.
  - PRIORITY during RX is asserted at Address Detection (for this radio).
- **CCA/GRANT TX PRIORITY Escalation Threshold**
  - Requires additional application code (see Section [TX PRIORITY Escalation](#) for details).
  - If set to 0 (000b, default):
    - CCA/GRANT TX PRIORITY Escalation is disabled.
    - PRIORITY during TX is asserted as per “TX high PRIORITY” setting.
  - If set between n=1 (001b) to 7 (111b) [requires “TX high PRIORITY” set to low priority (0)]:
    - CCA/GRANT TX PRIORITY Escalation is enabled.
    - PRIORITY during TX becomes asserted high after n MAC failures due to four CCA and/or GRANT denial failures.
    - PRIORITY during TX remains asserted high until a successful MAC TX and RX ACK.
- **MAC Fail TX PRIORITY Escalation Threshold**
  - Requires additional application code (see Section [TX PRIORITY Escalation](#) for details).
  - If set to 0 (00b, default):
    - CCA/GRANT TX PRIORITY Escalation is disabled.
    - PRIORITY during TX is asserted as per “TX high PRIORITY” setting.
  - If set to n=1 (01b) to 3 (11b) [requires “TX high PRIORITY” set to low priority (0)]:
    - CCA/GRANT TX PRIORITY Escalation is enabled.
    - PRIORITY during TX is asserted high after n MAC failures due to CCA (four CCA failures) or MAC ACK fails (four MAC TX and RX ACK failures).
    - PRIORITY during TX remains asserted high until a successful MAC TX and RX ACK.

The API function calls for re-configuring coexistence PTA vary based on SoC, EZSP, or TMSP application.

**Note:** For Run-Time API options not supported by selected EmberZNet PRO or Thread release, the corresponding ptaOptions bit fields are **RESERVED** and must be written to 0. See [Table 2](#) in [PTA Support Software Setup](#) for details on options supported relative to releases.

#### 4.2.2.1 SoC Application

The following two SoC API function calls enable and disable the PTA at run-time:

```
bool halPtaIsEnabled(void);
EmberStatus halPtaSetEnable(bool enabled);
```

The following two SoC API function calls re-configure the PTA at run-time:

```
HalPtaOptions halPtaGetOptions(void);
EmberStatus halPtaSetOptions(HalPtaOptions options);
```

Where HalPtaOptions is an uint32\_t with the following bitmap definition:

PTA Feature	Bit Position	Size (bits)
Receive retry timeout (milliseconds) [0-255]	0	8
Disable ACKing when GRANT deasserted, RHO asserted, or REQUEST not secured (shared REQUEST only)	8	1
Abort transmission mid packet if GRANT is lost	9	1
TX high PRIORITY	10	1
RX high PRIORITY	11	1
REQUEST high PRIORITY on receive retry	12	1
Receive retry REQUEST enabled	13	1
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For EmberZNet PRO 6.1.0 or earlier and Thread 2.5.0 or earlier, SoC and</li> </ul>		



PTA Feature	Bit Position	Size (bits)
xNCP/SPI applications require an event to disable sleep/idle <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See Section <b>Prevent Sleep/Idle in SoC and xNCP/SPI Applications</b> for details.</li> </ul>		
RHO(Radio Hold Off) signal enabled	14	1
Reserved (Reserved bits MUST be written 0)	15	1
Disable REQUEST (force holdoff)	16	1
Synch MAC to GRANT (MAC holdoff)	17	1
REQUEST/PRIORITY Assert (Preamble/Synch or Address Detection)	18	2
CCA/GRANT TX PRIORITY Escalation Threshold <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Requires additional application code.</li> <li>See Section <b>TX PRIORITY Escalation</b> for details.</li> </ul>	20	3
Reserved (Reserved bits MUST be written 0)	23	2
MAC Fail TX PRIORITY Escalation Threshold <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Requires additional application code.</li> <li>See Section <b>TX PRIORITY Escalation</b> for details.</li> </ul>	25	2
Reserved (Reserved bits MUST be written 0)	27	5

#### 4.2.2.2 Zigbee Network Coprocessor Application using EZSP API

The following two EZSP (EmberZNet Serial Protocol) API function calls enable and disable the PTA and re-configure the PTA at run-time:

```
EzspStatus ezspGetValue(EzspValueId valueId, uint8_t *valueLength, uint8_t *value);
EzspStatus ezspSetValue(EzspValueId valueId, uint8_t valueLength, uint8_t *value);
```

Where `valueId` and `valueLength` have the following PTA related options:

EZSP Value ID	Value	Length (bytes)	Description
EZSP_VALUE_ENABLE_PTA	0x31	1	Enable (1) or disable (0) packet traffic arbitration.
EZSP_VALUE_PTA_OPTIONS	0x32	4	Set packet traffic arbitration configuration options.

Where PTA configuration options are an `uint32_t` with the following bitmap definition:

PTA Feature	Bit Position	Size (bits)
Receive retry timeout (milliseconds) [0-255]	0	8
Disable ACKing when GRANT deasserted, RHO asserted, or REQUEST not secured (shared REQUEST only)	8	1
Abort transmission mid packet if GRANT is lost	9	1
TX high PRIORITY	10	1
RX high PRIORITY	11	1
REQUEST high PRIORITY on receive retry	12	1
Receive retry REQUEST enabled <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For EmberZNet PRO 6.1.0 or earlier, SoC and xNCP/SPI applications require an event to disable sleep/idle.</li> <li>See Section <b>Prevent Sleep/Idle in SoC and xNCP/SPI Applications</b> for details.</li> </ul>	13	1
RHO(Radio Hold Off) signal enabled	14	1
Reserved (Reserved bits MUST be written 0)	15	1
Disable REQUEST (force holdoff)	16	1



PTA Feature	Bit Position	Size (bits)
Synch MAC to GRANT (MAC holdoff)	17	1
REQUEST/PRIORITY Assert (Preamble/Synch or Address Detection)	18	2
CCA/GRANT TX PRIORITY Escalation Threshold <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Requires additional application code.</li> <li>See Section <b><u>TX PRIORITY Escalation</u></b> for details.</li> </ul>	20	3
Reserved (Reserved bits MUST be written 0)	23	2
MAC Fail TX PRIORITY Escalation Threshold <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Requires additional application code.</li> <li>See Section <b><u>TX PRIORITY Escalation</u></b> for details.</li> </ul>	25	2
Reserved (Reserved bits MUST be written 0)	27	5

#### 4.2.2.3 Thread Network Coprocessor Application using TMSP API

The following two TMSP (Thread Management Serial Protocol) API function calls enable and disable the PTA at run-time:

```
bool halPtaIsEnabled(void);
EmberStatus halPtaSetEnable(bool enabled);
```

The following two TMSP API function calls re-configure the PTA at run-time:

```
HalPtaOptions halPtaGetOptions(void);
EmberStatus halPtaSetOptions(HalPtaOptions options);
```

Where HalPtaOptions is an uint32\_t with the following bitmap definition:

PTA Feature	Bit Position	Size (bits)
Receive retry timeout (milliseconds) [0-255]	0	8
Disable ACKing when GRANT deasserted, RHO asserted, or REQUEST not secured (shared REQUEST only)	8	1
Abort transmission mid packet if GRANT is lost	9	1
TX high PRIORITY	10	1
RX high PRIORITY	11	1
REQUEST high PRIORITY on receive retry	12	1
Receive retry REQUEST enabled <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For Thread 2.5.0 or earlier, SoC and xNCP/SPI applications require an event to disable sleep/idle.</li> <li>See Section <b><u>Prevent Sleep/Idle in SoC and xNCP/SPI Applications</u></b> for details.</li> </ul>	13	1
RHO(Radio Hold Off) signal enabled	14	1
Reserved (Reserved bits MUST be written 0)	15	1
Disable REQUEST (force holdoff)	16	1
Synch MAC to GRANT (MAC holdoff)	17	1
REQUEST/PRIORITY Assert (Preamble/Synch or Address Detection)	18	2
CCA/GRANT TX PRIORITY Escalation Threshold <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Requires additional application code.</li> <li>See Section <b><u>TX PRIORITY Escalation</u></b> for details.</li> </ul>	20	3
Reserved (Reserved bits MUST be written 0)	23	2
MAC Fail TX PRIORITY Escalation Threshold <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Requires additional application code.</li> <li>See Section <b><u>TX PRIORITY Escalation</u></b> for details.</li> </ul>	25	2

PTA Feature	Bit Position	Size (bits)
Reserved (Reserved bits MUST be written 0)	27	5

### 4.3 Coexistence Configuration Setup Examples for Different Wi-Fi/PTA Applications

#### Example 1: Configure EFR32 PTA support to operate as single EFR32 with typical 3-Wire Wi-Fi/PTA

- Single EFR32 radio
- RHO unused
- REQUEST unshared, active high, PC10
  - Compatible 3-Wire Wi-Fi/PTA devices sometimes refer to this signal as RF\_ACTIVE or BT\_ACTIVE (active high)
- GRANT, active low, PF3
  - Compatible 3-Wire Wi-Fi/PTA devices sometimes refer to this signal as WLAN\_DENY (deny is active high, making grant active low)
- PRIORITY, active high, PD12
  - Compatible 3-Wire Wi-Fi/PTA devices sometimes refer to this signal as RF\_STATUS or BT\_STATUS (active high)
  - **Note:** PRIORITY is static, not directional. If operated with a 3-Wire Wi-Fi/PTA expecting directional:
    - Static high PRIORITY is interpreted as high PRIORITY and always in TX mode, regardless of actual TX or RX
    - Static low PRIORITY is interpreted as low PRIORITY and always in RX mode, regardless of actual TX or RX
- Other options enabled to maximize 802.15.4 performance:
  - 802.15.4 RX and TX both at high priority
  - Receive retry REQUEST enabled with 16ms time-out and high priority
  - Enabled ACKing when GRANT deasserted

Name: Coexistence Configuration

Quality: Production ready

Description:

This plugin provides an interface for a customer to configure their coexistence GPIO interface. Customers should make sure that the GPIO pins chosen here do not conflict with any other GPIO used in their application. NOTE: This plugin is production quality for Zigbee but is currently alpha quality in Thread.

**Options:** [Reset to defaults](#)

☐ RHO(Radio Hold Off) signal enabled

☐ RHO(Radio Hold Off) active high

RHO(Radio Hold Off) signal GPIO port:

RHO(Radio Hold Off) signal GPIO pin:[0-15]

☒ REQUEST signal enabled

☐ REQUEST signal is shared

☒ REQUEST signal active high

REQUEST signal GPIO port:

REQUEST signal GPIO pin:[0-15]

REQUEST signal max backoff mask:[0-255]

☒ GRANT signal enabled

☐ GRANT signal active high

GRANT signal GPIO port:

GRANT signal GPIO pin:[0-15]

☒ PRIORITY signal enabled

☒ PRIORITY signal active high

PRIORITY signal GPIO port:

PRIORITY signal GPIO pin:[0-15]

☒ Receive retry REQUEST enabled

Receive retry timeout(milliseconds):[0-255]

☒ REQUEST high PRIORITY on receive retry

☐ Abort transmission mid packet if GRANT is lost


☒ TX high PRIORITY

☒ RX high PRIORITY

☐ Disable ACKing when GRANT deasserted, RHO asserted, or REQUEST not secured (shared REQUEST only)

**Figure 8. EFR32 PTA Support Configured to Operate as Single EFR32 with Typical 3-Wire Wi-Fi/PTA**

The logic analyzer capture in the following figure shows the PTA interface, Wi-Fi radio state, and EFR32 radio state for an EFR32 radio configured for typical 3-Wire Wi-Fi/PTA:



**Figure 9. Example 802.15.4 TX for Single EFR32 typical 3-Wire Wi-Fi/PTA Logic Analyzer Capture**

Where:

**REQUEST:** active high, push-pull REQUEST output  
**nGRANT:** active low GRANT input  
**PRIORITY:** active high PRIORITY output  
**TXA:** EFR32 FEM TX Active control signal (configured via FEM Control plugin)  
**RXA:** EFR32 FEM RX Active control signal (configured via FEM Control plugin)  
**FRC\_DFRAME:** EFR32 Frame Control Data Frame signal (packet trace frame/synch)  
**FRC\_DOUT:** EFR32 Frame Control Data Out signal (packet trace data)  
**WiFi\_TXA:** Wi-Fi TX Active signal


This logic analyzer sequence shows:

1. Wi-Fi starts a transmit, but is immediately pre-empted (WiFi\_TXA pulse) by higher priority 802.15.4 transmit asserting REQUEST and PRIORITY.
2. GRANT is asserted by Wi-Fi/PTA.
3. EFR32 radio completes CCA and CCA passes and GRANT is asserted.
4. EFR32 radio proceeds with transmit (RXA deasserts, followed by TXA assert).
5. After transmit, EFR32 waits for ACK (TXA deasserts, followed by RXA assert).
6. EFR32 receives ACK (second FRC\_DFRAME pulse). *<= 802.15.4 TX message successfully completed*
7. EFR32 deasserts PRIORITY and REQUEST.
8. Wi-Fi/PTA deasserts GRANT.

## Example 2: Configure EFR32 PTA support to operate with multi-radio 2-Wire PTA with active-low REQUEST

- Multiple EFR32 radios (external 1 kΩ ±5% pull-up required on REQUEST)
- RHO unused
- REQUEST shared, active low, PC10
- GRANT, active low, PF3
- PRIORITY unused
- Other options enabled to maximize 802.15.4 performance:
  - Receive retry REQUEST enabled with 16ms time-out
  - Enabled ACKing when GRANT is deasserted

Name: Coexistence Configuration

Quality:  Production ready

Description:

This plugin provides an interface for a customer to configure their coexistence GPIO interface. Customers should make sure that the GPIO pins chosen here do not conflict with any other GPIO used in their application. NOTE: This plugin is production quality for Zigbee but is currently alpha quality in Thread.

### Options

[Reset to defaults](#)

☐ RHO(Radio Hold Off) signal enabled

☐ RHO(Radio Hold Off) active high

RHO(Radio Hold Off) signal GPIO port: C

RHO(Radio Hold Off) signal GPIO pin:[0-15] 11

☒ REQUEST signal enabled

☒ REQUEST signal is shared

☐ REQUEST signal active high

REQUEST signal GPIO port: C

REQUEST signal GPIO pin:[0-15] 10

REQUEST signal max backoff mask:[0-255] 15

☒ GRANT signal enabled

☐ GRANT signal active high

GRANT signal GPIO port: F

GRANT signal GPIO pin:[0-15] 3

☐ PRIORITY signal enabled

☒ PRIORITY signal active high

PRIORITY signal GPIO port: D

PRIORITY signal GPIO pin:[0-15] 12

☒ Receive retry REQUEST enabled

Receive retry timeout(milliseconds):[0-255] 16

☒ REQUEST high PRIORITY on receive retry

☐ Abort transmission mid packet if GRANT is lost

☒ TX high PRIORITY

☒ RX high PRIORITY

☐ Disable ACKing when GRANT deasserted, RHO asserted, or REQUEST not secured (shared REQUEST only)

Figure 10. EFR32 PTA Support Configures to Operate with Multi-radio 2-Wire PTA with active-low REQUEST

The logic analyzer capture in the following figure shows the PTA interface, Wi-Fi radio state, and EFR32 radio state for an EFR32 radio configured for multi-radio 2-Wire PTA with active-low REQUEST:




Figure 11. Example 802.15.4 RX for Multi-EFR32 2-Wire Wi-Fi/PTA with active-low REQUEST Logic Analyzer Capture

Where:

**nREQUEST:** active low, shared (open-drain) REQUEST input/output  
**nGRANT:** active low GRANT input  
**TXA:** EFR32 FEM TX Active control signal (configured via FEM Control plugin)  
**RXA:** EFR32 FEM RX Active control signal (configured via FEM Control plugin)  
**FRC\_DFRAME:** EFR32 Frame Control Data Frame signal (packet trace frame/synch)  
**FRC\_DOUT:** EFR32 Frame Control Data Out signal (packet trace data)  
**nWiFi\_RXA:** Wi-Fi RX Active signal  
**WiFi\_TXA:** Wi-Fi TX Active signal

This logic analyzer sequence shows:

1. 802.15.4 packet is detected (FRC\_DFRAME asserted) while Wi-Fi is receiving a packet (nWiFi\_RXA asserted).
2. Shared REQUEST signal is tested and found not asserted by another EFR32 radio, so receiving EFR32 radio asserts REQUEST.
3. Wi-Fi ACK is transmitted (WiFi\_TXA asserted) during 802.15.4 receive (no Wi-Fi TX pre-emption or higher priority Wi-Fi activity).
4. After Wi-Fi ACK completes, GRANT is asserted by Wi-Fi/PTA.
5. 802.15.4 receive is completed but CRC failed as packet was corrupted by co-located Wi-Fi ACK transmit during receive.
6. Since PTA configured with *Receive retry REQUEST enabled* using 16ms time-out, REQUEST is held up to 16ms for 802.15.4 retry with 2.4GHz quiet (Wi-Fi held off).
7. Wi-Fi continues to receive packets (nWiFi\_RXA asserts), but does not ACK while 802.15.4 radio has GRANT.
8. After 3.5ms gap for end-node ACK time-out and MAC random delay, the 802.15.4 retry packet arrives and is received without error.
9. 802.15 ACK is transmitted (TXA asserted). *<= 802.15.4 RX message successfully completed*
10. After 802.15.4 ACK completes, REQUEST is deasserted, followed by GRANT deassert.

### Example 3: Configure EFR32 PTA support to operate with multi-radio typical 3-Wire PTA

- Multiple EFR32 radios (external 1 k $\Omega$   $\pm$ 5% pull-down required on REQUEST and external 1 k $\Omega$   $\pm$ 5% pull-down required on PRIORITY)
- RHO unused
- REQUEST shared, active high, PC10
- GRANT, active low, PF3
- PRIORITY shared (see Section **Multi-EFR32 Shared “PRIORITY” Signal**), active high, PD12
- Other options enabled to maximize 802.15.4 performance:
  - Receive retry REQUEST enabled with 16ms time-out
  - Enabled ACKing when GRANT deasserted

Name: Coexistence Configuration

Quality: Production ready

Description:

This plugin provides an interface for a customer to configure their coexistence GPIO interface. Customers should make sure that the GPIO pins chosen here do not conflict with any other GPIO used in their application. NOTE: This plugin is production quality for Zigbee but is currently alpha quality in Thread.

Options: [Reset to defaults](#)

☐ RHO(Radio Hold Off) signal enabled

☐ RHO(Radio Hold Off) active high

RHO(Radio Hold Off) signal GPIO port:

RHO(Radio Hold Off) signal GPIO pin [0-15]:

☒ REQUEST signal enabled

☒ REQUEST signal is shared

☒ REQUEST signal active high

REQUEST signal GPIO port:

REQUEST signal GPIO pin [0-15]:

REQUEST signal max backoff mask [0-255]:

☒ GRANT signal enabled

☐ GRANT signal active high

GRANT signal GPIO port:

GRANT signal GPIO pin [0-15]:

☒ PRIORITY signal enabled

☒ PRIORITY signal active high

PRIORITY signal GPIO port:

PRIORITY signal GPIO pin [0-15]:

☒ Receive retry REQUEST enabled

Receive retry timeout(milliseconds) [0-255]:

☒ REQUEST high PRIORITY on receive retry

☐ Abort transmission mid packet if GRANT is lost

☒ TX high PRIORITY

☒ RX high PRIORITY

☐ Disable ACKing when GRANT deasserted, RHO asserted, or REQUEST not secured (shared REQUEST only)

Figure 12. EFR32 PTA Support Configured to operate with Multi-radio typical 3-Wire PTA

## 5 Application Code Coexistence Extensions

This section describes application code available to extend the coexistence solution for particular applications. The application code files are available to download via: <http://github.com/SiliconLabs/AN1017-extensions>

### 5.1 Coexistence Custom CLI Console Commands

In both Host and SoC applications, custom CLI commands can be added to support run-time console control of PTA enabled/disabled, PTA run-time configuration (ptaOptions), and PTA debug counters. These custom CLI commands are useful in manual testing of various coexistence configurations, but also support run-time reconfiguration. To add such custom CLI commands to application, download and follow the instructions in *pta-custom-cli.c*.

MAC and APS stack counters are documented in the stack API documentation. The six coexistence PTA-specific debug counters have the following meaning:

Counter Index	Meaning
EMBER_COUNTER_PTA_LO_PRI_REQUESTED	Occurrences of REQUEST asserted with low priority
EMBER_COUNTER_PTA_HI_PRI_REQUESTED	Occurrences of REQUEST asserted with high priority
EMBER_COUNTER_PTA_LO_PRI_DENIED	Occurrences of GRANT denied with low priority REQUEST
EMBER_COUNTER_PTA_HI_PRI_DENIED	Occurrences of GRANT denied with high priority REQUEST
EMBER_COUNTER_PTA_LO_PRI_TX_ABORTED	Occurrences of TX aborted by GRANT deasserted with low priority REQUEST
EMBER_COUNTER_PTA_HI_PRI_TX_ABORTED	Occurrences of TX aborted by GRANT deasserted with high priority REQUEST

**Note:** In EmberZNet PRO 6.0.0 and 6.1.0, the MAC Retry counter (EMBER\_COUNTER\_MAC\_TX\_UNICAST\_RETRY) always reports zero. In EmberZNet PRO 5.10.1 or earlier and EmberZNet PRO 6.2.0 or later, the MAC Retry counter correctly reports MAC retries.

### 5.2 Prevent Sleep/Idle in SoC and xNCP/SPI Applications

**Note:** For EmberZNet PRO 6.1.0 or earlier and Thread 2.5.0 or earlier, SoC and xNCP/SPI applications require an event to disable sleep/idle. For EmberZNet PRO 6.2.0 or later and Thread 2.6.0 or later, this application code adding an event to disable sleep/idle is not required.

As described in Section **Single EFR32 Connected to Wi-Fi/PTA** and Section **Multiple EFR32s connected to Wi-Fi/PTA**, the “Receive Retry REQUEST” feature can produce REQUEST holds longer than the programmed REQUEST hold time-out for SoC and xNCP/SPI applications. These long REQUEST holds can be problematic for some Wi-Fi devices, but can be avoided by adding an always active event to the application. To add an always active event to the application, download and follow the instructions in *prevent-idle-sleep.c*.

### 5.3 TX PRIORITY Escalation

To improve Wi-Fi performance with coexistence Zigbee/Thread, it is possible to start all Zigbee/Thread TX messages at low priority. However, to avoid blocking all Zigbee/Thread TX messages during busy Wi-Fi, TX PRIORITY Escalation will escalate TX to high priority after a programmable number of CCA/GRANT or MAC failures. Then, after a successful TX message, de-escalate TX back to low priority.

As described in Section **Multiple EFR32s connected to Wi-Fi/PTA**, TX PRIORITY Escalation can be controlled only at run-time via “CCA/GRANT TX PRIORITY Escalation Threshold” and “MAC Fail TX PRIORITY Escalation Threshold” fields of ptaOptions. When using this feature, “TX high PRIORITY” field must be set to 0 to avoid driving PRIORITY high on all TX messages.

However, in addition to setting the run-time programmable thresholds in ptaOptions, application code is required to support TX PRIORITY Escalation. To add **TX PRIORITY Escalation** to the application, download and follow the instructions in *tx-priority-escalation.c*.



## 5.4 Multi-EFR32 Shared “PRIORITY” Signal

**Note:** In EmberZNet PRO 6.1.0 or later and Thread 2.5.0 or later, Shared PRIORITY is implemented in the stack and can be enabled through Hardware Configurator. The following application code to implement Multi-EFR32 Shared “PRIORITY” Signal is for EmberZNet PRO 5.10.1 or Thread 2.3.1 or earlier.

For multi-EFR32 radio applications requiring a shared PRIORITY, it is necessary to change the PRIORITY GPIO pin from default push-pull mode to either open-drain or open-source mode. To add **Multi-EFR32 Shared “PRIORITY” Signal** to the application (EmberZNet PRO 5.10.1 or earlier and Thread 2.3.1 or earlier), download and follow the instructions in ***multi-efr32-shared-priority.c***.

## 5.5 PWM for High Duty Cycle Wi-Fi

Additional details about the implementation of managed coexistence are available in an expanded version of this application note, *AN1017-NDA: ZigBee and Thread Coexistence with Wi-Fi*, available under non-disclosure from Silicon Labs technical support.

## 6 Coexistence Backplane Evaluation Board (EVB)

Silicon Labs' EFR32 coexistence solution can be evaluated by ordering an EFR32™ Mighty Gecko Wireless SoC Starter Kit (WSTK) #SLWSTK6000A or #SLWSTK6000B, and a Coexistence Backplane EVB (#SLWSTK-COEXBP). The Coexistence Backplane EVB supports using one or more of the three EFR32MGx EVBs in the wireless mesh development kit and provides easy PTA signal connections to Wi-Fi/PTA EVBs via either +3.3V or +1.8V I/O.

When using the Coexistence Backplane EVB for coexistence with the QFN48 radio modules, BRD4151A (EFR32MG1 in QFN48 package) or BRD4168A (EFR32MG13 in QFN48 package), or with the BGA125 radio module, BRD4161A (EFR32MG12 in BGA125 package), the AppBuilder coexistence-configuration must have any enabled PTA signals connected to the following GPIO:

EFR32 PTA Signal	EFR32MG1/MG13 GPIO	EFR32MG12 GPIO
RHO	PC11	PC11
REQUEST	PC10	PC10
GRANT	PF3	PC9
PRIORITY	PD12	PD12

It is also helpful to observe the FEM control signals by using the FEM Control plugin and directing TXA and RXA as follows:

FEM Control Signals	EFR32 PRS Channel	EFR32 PRS Location	EFR32MG1/MG13 GPIO	EFR32MG12 GPIO
TXA	5	0	PD10	PD10
RXA	6	13	PD11	PD11

It is also helpful to observe the FRC\_DFRAME and FRC\_DOUT packet trace signals on the WSTK J101 header:

FRC Signal	EFR32MG1/MG13 WSTK J101	EFR32MG12
FRC_DFRAME	P22	N/A
FRC_DOUT	P24	N/A

The Coexistence Backplane EVB has the connector and jumper options are shown in the following figure.





Figure 13. Coexistence Backplane EVB Connector and Jumper Options



**Figure 14. SLWSTK-COEXBP Schematic (1/3)**





Figure 15. SLWSTK-COEXBP Schematic (2/3)



**Figure 16. SLWSTK-COEXBP Schematic (3/3)**

## 6.1 +3.3V or +1.8V I/O to Wi-Fi/PTA Device

The Coexistence Backplane EVB provides the capability of interfacing to Wi-Fi/PTA devices via +3.3V or +1.8V I/O as needed.

### 6.1.1 +3.3V I/O

For +3.3V I/O to Wi-Fi/PTA device, PTA signal connections to Wi-Fi/PTA should be made to J8 as shown in the following figure.




Figure 17. Coexistence Backplane EVB Jumpers for +3.3V I/O Operation


The +3.3V /IO connections to Wi-Fi/PTA device can be made via +3.3V PTA HDR (J8) as follows:

EFR32 PTA Signal	J8 Pin
REQUEST_+3.3V	1
GRANT_+3.3V	3
RHO_+3.3V	5
PRIORITY_+3.3V	7
GND	9 and 10

**Note:** Additional jumpers are required to configure the REQUEST and PRIORITY signals. Jumper configuration varies with single-EFR32 radio and multi-EFR32 radio configurations and active-high/active-low polarities. See Section [Single-EFR32 Radio](#) and section [Multi-EFR32 Radio for 2-Wire PTA with active-low REQUEST](#) for these jumper options.

### 6.1.2 +1.8V I/O

For +1.8V I/O to Wi-Fi/PTA device, (assuming typical 3-Wire PTA with RHO unused), PTA signal connections to Wi-Fi/PTA should be made to J10 and all red jumpers shown below are required.



### Figure 18. PTA Backplane EVB Jumpers for +1.8V I/O Operation

The +1.8V /IO connections to Wi-Fi/PTA device can be made via +1.8V PTA HDR (J10) as follows:

EFR32 PTA Signal	J10 Pin
REQUEST_+1.8V	1
GRANT_+1.8V	3
RHO_+1.8V (If RHO is used, move J11/JP13 jumper and add J8/J12 jumper)	5
PRIORITY_+1.8V	7
GND	9 and 10

**Note:** Additional jumpers are required to configure the REQUEST and PRIORITY signals. Jumper configuration varies with single-EFR32 radio and multi-EFR32 radio configurations and active-high/active-low polarities. See Section [Single-EFR32 Radio](#) and section [Multi-EFR32 Radio for 2-Wire PTA with active-low REQUEST](#) for these jumper options.



## 6.2 Logic Analyzer Observation

The EFR32 PTA signals (+3.3V I/O) are observable via a logic analyzer connected to J5 as shown below:




Figure 19. Coexistence Backplane EVB Header for PTA Signal Logic Analyzer Connection

Where:


- CoEx1 refers to EFR32/WSTK connected into CoEx1 header (J2)
- CoEx2 refers to EFR32/WSTK connected into CoEx2 header (J3)
- CoEx3 refers to EFR32/WSTK connected into CoEx1 header (J4)

**Note:** Depending on jumper configurations, PRIORITY\_+3.3V can be PRIORITY\_CoEx1 or wired-OR/-AND of multiple PRIORITY signals. See Section [Single-EFR32 Radio](#) and section [Multi-EFR32 Radio for 2-Wire PTA with active-low REQUEST](#) for these PRIORITY\_+3.3V jumper options.

### 6.3 Single-EFR32 Radio

For a single EFR32 radio, be sure to:

1. Configure EVB REQUEST and PRIORITY jumpers as follows:



2. Develop the desired PTA test application using AppBuilder.
3. Add the coexistence-configuration plugin and configure for target Wi-Fi/PTA device as per single-radio description (see Section [PTA Support Software Setup](#)).
4. Add FEM Control plugin (configured as described above) to enable TXA and RXA observation.
5. Build the EFR32 application and program the WSTK.
6. Plug the EXP header on the EFR32/WSTK EVB into Coexistence Backplane EVB CoEx1 header (J2) (ensure pin 1 to pin 1) as shown in the following figure.





Figure 20. Coexistence Backplane EVB Header for Single EFR32 Radio Testing

7. Enable PTA on the Wi-Fi/PTA device.
8. Execute Wi-Fi stream and 802.15.4 stream.
9. Observe Wi-Fi error rate and 802.15.4 message success.
10. Observe PTA signals to debug, and adjust as necessary.
11. With the PTA solution confirmed, the coexistence-plugin only needs to be modified for the PTA GPIOs assigned on target hardware.

## 6.4 Multi-EFR32 Radio for 2-Wire PTA with active-low REQUEST

For multi- EFR32 radio using only REQUEST (active-low) and GRANT, be sure to:

1. Configure EVB REQUEST and PRIORITY (optional for debug) jumpers as follows:



2. Develop desired PTA test applications using AppBuilder.
3. Add coexistence-configuration plugins and configure for target Wi-Fi/PTA device as per multi-radio description (see Section [PTA Support Software Setup](#)).
4. Add the FEM Control plugin (configured as described above) to enable TXA and RXA observation.
5. Build the EFR32 applications and program the WSTKs.
6. Plug the EXP header on one EFR32/WSTK EVB into Coexistence Backplane EVB CoEx1 header (J2) and the second and third EFR32/WSTK EVBs, if used, into CoEx2 and CoEx3 headers (J3 and/or J4) (ensure pin 1 to pin 1) as shown in the following figure.





Figure 21. Coexistence Backplane EVB Headers for Multi-EFR32 Radio Testing for 2-Wire PTA with active-low REQUEST

7. Enable PTA on the Wi-Fi/PTA device.
8. Execute Wi-Fi stream and 802.15.4 streams.
9. Observe Wi-Fi error rate and 802.15.4 message success.
10. Observe PTA signals to debug and adjust as necessary.
11. With the PTA solution confirmed, the coexistence-plugin only needs to be modified for the PTA GPIOs assigned on target hardware.

## 6.5 Multi-EFR32 Radio for typical 3-Wire PTA

For multi- EFR32 radio using typical 3-Wire PTA, REQUEST (active-high), PRIORITY (active-high), and GRANT (active-low), be sure to:

1. Configure EVB REQUEST and PRIORITY jumpers as follows:



2. Develop desired PTA test applications using AppBuilder.
3. Add coexistence-configuration plugins and configure for target Wi-Fi/PTA device as per multi-radio description (see Section [PTA Support Software Setup](#)).
4. Add the FEM Control plugin (configured as described above) to enable TXA and RXA observation.
5. Build the EFR32 applications and program the WSTKs.
6. Plug the EXP header on one EFR32/WSTK EVB into Coexistence Backplane EVB CoEx1 header (J2) and the second and third EFR32/WSTK EVBs, if used, into CoEx2 and CoEx3 headers (J3 and/or J4) (ensure pin 1 to pin 1) as shown in the following figure.




Figure 22. Coexistence Backplane EVB Headers for Multi-EFR32 Radio Testing for typical 3-Wire PTA

7. Enable PTA on the Wi-Fi/PTA device.
8. Execute Wi-Fi stream and 802.15.4 streams.
9. Observe Wi-Fi error rate and 802.15.4 message success.
10. Observe PTA signals to debug and adjust as necessary.
11. With the PTA solution confirmed, the coexistence-plugin only needs to be modified for the PTA GPIOs assigned on target hardware.

## 6.6 Testing xNCP Applications

If testing xNCP (customized NCP) images with PTA support, initial testing may benefit from enabling the EFR32 serial connection through the WSTK EXP header, connecting to a UART-to-USB adapter, and testing using a PC-based gateway host application to drive the EFR32's xNCP image. This can be accomplished through the following procedure:

1. Order a CP2102N-MINIEK for each EFR32 xNCP on the Coexistence Backplane EVB.



Figure 23. CP2102N-MINIEK

2. Install the CP2102 drivers onto the test PC.
3. Solder each CP2102N-MINIEK to Coexistence Backplane EVB's CoEx1 (P1), CoEx2 (P2), and/or CoEx3 (P3) as follows:




Figure 24. Coexistence Backplane EVB Headers for Testing NCP Applications

**Note:** Each CP2102N-MINIEK is connected to its respective EFR32/WSTK EVB as follows:

CP2102N-MINIEK Signal	J2, J3, or J4 header
GND	Pin 1 (GND)
TXD	Pin 14 (UART_RX, PA1)
RXD	Pin 12 (UART_TX, PA0)
CTS	Pin 5 (UART_RTS, PA3)
RTS	Pin 3 (UART_CTS, PA2)

**Note:** On BRD4161A (EFR32MG12), PB6, PB7, PD8, and PD9 drive these same signals. However, PB6, PB7, PD8, and PD9 cannot be driven by USART0. As such, while xNCP with PTA is supported on EFR32MG12, xNCP with PTA is more easily evaluated on Coexistence Backplane EVB using BRD4151A or BRD4168A.

4. Within AppBuilder, under **Core plugins** enable the NCP-UART plugin and set **Flow Control Type** to desired (**Hardware** or **Software**).
5. Enable and configure the Coexistence-Configuration, FEM Control, and HAL-Configuration plugins.
6. On the **Other** tab, under **Additional Macros**, ensure that the **EZSP\_UART** and **NO\_USB** macros are defined and selected.
7. After generating and compiling the xNCP application, program the EFR32.

8. Install the EFR32/WSTK into the Coexistence Backplane EVB, then connect the associated CP2102N-MINIEK to the PC.
9. Note the COM port assigned to CP2102N-MINIEK.
10. Start the PC-based gateway application as appropriate for the desired flow control:
  - Hardware flow control at 115.2Kb: using `-n 0 -p COMx` arguments, where `x` is the assigned CP2102N-MINIEK COM port.
  - Software flow control at 57.6 Kb: using `-n 1 -p COMx` arguments, where `x` is the assigned CP2102N-MINIEK COM port.
11. Test the PTA solution using the PC-gateway application.

## 7 Single EFR32 Coexistence Test Results with a typical 3-Wire Wi-Fi/PTA

Additional details about the implementation of managed coexistence are available in an expanded version of this application note, *AN1017-NDA: ZigBee and Thread Coexistence with Wi-Fi*, available under non-disclosure from Silicon Labs technical support.

## 8 Conclusions

Co-located, strong Wi-Fi can have a substantial impact on 802.15.4 performance. 802.15.4 performance with co-located Wi-Fi can be improved through unmanaged and managed coexistence techniques. Unmanaged coexistence recommendations include:

1. Implement frequency separation.
2. Operate Wi-Fi with 20.MHz bandwidth.
3. Increase antenna isolation.
4. Use Zigbee/Thread Retry Mechanisms.
5. Remove FEM (or operate FEM LNA in bypass).


With market trends toward higher Wi-Fi TX power, higher Wi-Fi throughput, and integration of Wi-Fi and 802.15.4 radios into the same device, unmanaged techniques alone may prove insufficient, so that a managed coexistence solution is required. Even with a managed coexistence solution, all unmanaged coexistence recommendations are still necessary. Managed coexistence utilizes:

1. Wi-Fi/PTA devices providing 802.15.2-derived Packet Traffic Arbitration.
2. Silicon Labs' EFR32 PTA solution:
  1. One to four GPIOs implementing a combination of REQUEST, GRANT, PRIORITY, and RHO (two additional GPIOs are required to implement *PWM with High-Duty Cycle Wi-Fi* feature)
  2. Silicon Labs' AppBuilder coexistence-configuration plugin to configure EFR32 PTA support for available GPIO pins and for compatibility with the chosen Wi-Fi/PTA device.
  3. Silicon Labs' API, supporting run-time PTA reconfiguration.

Wi-Fi/802.15.4 coexistence test results show substantial 802.15.4 performance improvements when PTA is utilized:

1. Improved device join success:
  1. However, device join utilizes broadcast messages, which are not retried.
  2. *If possible, device join success can be further improved by temporarily reducing Wi-Fi traffic during devices joining 802.15.4 network.*
2. Substantially reduced MAC retries:
  1. Reduces message latency.
  2. Improves end-node battery life.
  3. Frequency separation remains important, as best managed coexistence performance is for "far-away" channels.
3. Substantially reduced message failure:
  1. 802.15.4 network remains operational, even during high Wi-Fi duty cycles.





Smart.  
Connected.  
Energy-Friendly.



**Products**

[www.silabs.com/products](http://www.silabs.com/products)



**Quality**

[www.silabs.com/quality](http://www.silabs.com/quality)



**Support and Community**

[community.silabs.com](http://community.silabs.com)

#### Disclaimer

Silicon Labs intends to provide customers with the latest, accurate, and in-depth documentation of all peripherals and modules available for system and software implementers using or intending to use the Silicon Labs products. Characterization data, available modules and peripherals, memory sizes and memory addresses refer to each specific device, and "Typical" parameters provided can and do vary in different applications. Application examples described herein are for illustrative purposes only. Silicon Labs reserves the right to make changes without further notice and limitation to product information, specifications, and descriptions herein, and does not give warranties as to the accuracy or completeness of the included information. Silicon Labs shall have no liability for the consequences of use of the information supplied herein. This document does not imply or express copyright licenses granted hereunder to design or fabricate any integrated circuits. The products are not designed or authorized to be used within any Life Support System without the specific written consent of Silicon Labs. A "Life Support System" is any product or system intended to support or sustain life and/or health, which, if it fails, can be reasonably expected to result in significant personal injury or death. Silicon Labs products are not designed or authorized for military applications. Silicon Labs products shall under no circumstances be used in weapons of mass destruction including (but not limited to) nuclear, biological or chemical weapons, or missiles capable of delivering such weapons.

#### Trademark Information

Silicon Laboratories Inc.®, Silicon Laboratories®, Silicon Labs®, SiLabs® and the Silicon Labs logo®, Bluegiga®, Bluegiga Logo®, Clockbuilder®, CMEMS®, DSPLL®, EFM®, EFM32®, EFR, Ember®, Energy Micro, Energy Micro logo and combinations thereof, "the world's most energy friendly microcontrollers", Ember®, EZLink®, EZRadio®, EZRadioPRO®, Gecko®, ISOmodem®, Micrium, Precision32®, ProSLIC®, Simplicity Studio®, SiPHY®, Telegesis, the Telegesis Logo®, USBXpress®, Zentri and others are trademarks or registered trademarks of Silicon Labs. ARM, CORTEX, Cortex-M3 and THUMB are trademarks or registered trademarks of ARM Holdings. Keil is a registered trademark of ARM Limited. All other products or brand names mentioned herein are trademarks of their respective holders.



Silicon Laboratories Inc.  
400 West Cesar Chavez  
Austin, TX 78701  
USA

<http://www.silabs.com>