



Great Models with Great Privacy Optimizing ML & Al Under GDPR

Sim Simeonov, CTO, Swoop sim at swoop.com / @simeons

Slater Victoroff, CTO, Indico slater at indico.io / @sl8rv

#SAISDD13



omni-channel marketing for your ideal population supported by privacy-preserving ML/Al

e.g., we improve health outcomes by increasing the diagnosis rate of rare diseases through doctor/patient education



indico

Intelligent Process Automation for Unstructured Content using ML/AI with strong data protection guarantees

e.g. we automate the processing of loan documents using a combination of NLP and Computer Vision



Does GDPR destroy data utility?

- GDPR Recital 26
 - "...personal data rendered anonymous in such a manner that the data subject is not or no longer identifiable"
 - Not "likely to be no longer identifiable"



accuracy vs. privacy is a false dichotomy

(if you are willing to invest in privacy infrastructure)



Privacy-preserving computation frontiers

- Stochastic
 - Differential privacy (DP)
- Encryption-based
 - Fully homomorphic encryption (FHE)
- Protocol-based
 - Secure multi-party computation (SMC)



When privacy-preserving algorithms are immature, sanitize the data the algorithms are trained on



Sources of identifiability

- Direct (via personally-identifiable information)
- Indirect (via quasi-identifiers)

form. Here are some surprising results using only three fields of information, even though typical data releases contain many more fields. It was found that 87% (216 million of 248 million) of the population in the United States had reported characteristics that likely made them unique based only on {5-digit ZIP, gender, date of birth}. About half of the U.S. population (132 million of 248 million or 53%) are likely to be uniquely identified by only {place, gender, date of birth}, where place is basically the city, town, or municipality in which the person resides. And even at the county level, {county, gender, date of birth} are likely to uniquely identify 18% of the U.S. population. In general, few characteristics are needed to uniquely identify a person.

In structured or unstructured data



Addressing identifiability

- Direct
 - Generate secure pseudonymous identifiers
 - Often uses clean room to process PII
- Indirect
 - Sanitize quasi-identifiers to desired privacy level
 - Control data enhancement to maintain anonymity



Session roadmap

- The rest of this session
 - Building pseudonymous IDs with Spark
- After the break
 - Sanitize quasi-identifiers
 - Single vs. multiple dataset sanitization
 - Handling unstructured data via embeddings (cool!)



Secure pseudonymous ID generation



Tip: generate multiple IDs

```
Sim|Simeonov|M|1977-07-07|02140 // full entry when data is clean

S|S551|M|1977-07-07|02140 // fuzzify names to handle limited entry & typos

Sim|Simeonov|M|1977-07|02140 // also may reduce dob/geo accuracy
```

tune fuzzification to use cases & desired FP/FN rates



Building pseudonymous IDs with Spark



Enjoy the break!

Come back for sanitizing

quasi-identifiers & unstructured data



Sanitizing quasi-identifiers

- Deterministic
 - Generalize or suppress quasi-identifiers
 - k-anonymity + derivatives (a record maps to k-1 others)
- Stochastic
 - Add noise to data
 - $-(k, \varepsilon)$ -anonymity
- Domain-specific



Sanitizing quasi-identifiers in Spark

- Optimal k-anonymity is an NP-hard problem
 - Mondrian algorithm: greedy O(nlogn) approximation
 - https://github.com/eubr-bigsea/k-anonymity-mondrian
- Active research
 - Locale-sensitive hashing (LSH) improvements
 - Risk-based approaches (e.g., LBS algorithm)



Identifiability across datasets

- Centralized approach
 - Join all data + sanitize the whole
 - Big increase in dimensionality
- Federated approach
 - Keep data separate + sanitize operations across data
 - Smallest possible increase in dimensionality



Centralized sanitization hurts ML/Al accuracy

We show that when the data contains a large number of attributes which may be considered quasi-identifiers, it becomes difficult to anonymize the data without an unacceptably high amount of information loss. ... we are faced with ... either completely suppressing most of the data or losing the desired level of anonymity.

On *k*-Anonymity and the Curse of Dimensionality 2005 Aggarwal, C. @ IBM T. J. Watson Research Center




Centralized sanitization increases risk

We find that for privacy budgets effective at preventing attacks, patients would be exposed to increased risk of stroke, bleeding events, and mortality.

Privacy in Pharmacogenetics: An End-to-End Case Study of Personalized Warfarin Dosing 2014 Fredrikson, M. et. al. @ UW Madison and Marshfield Clinic Research Foundation




Normalized Certainty Penalty (NCP)



k-anonymizing Titanic passenger survivability

Federated sanitization (Swoop's prAlvacy™)



- Secure, isolated data pools
- Automated sanitization
- Min dimensionality growth
- Deterministic + stochastic
- Optimal + often lossless

Model condition X score on other data

no anonymization framework for unstructured data: suppress or structure



John Malkovitch plays tennis in Winchester.

He has been reporting soreness in his

elbow. His 60th birthday is in two weeks.

After he returns from his birthday trip to

Casablanca we will recommend a steroid

shot to reduce inflammation.



John Malkovitch plays tennis in Winchester.

He has been reporting soreness in his elbow. His 60th birthday is in two weeks.

After he returns from his birthday trip to Casablanca we will recommend a steroid shot to reduce inflammation.

Problem PII



John Malkovitch plays tennis in Winchester.

He has been reporting soreness in his elbow. His 60th birthday is in two weeks.

After he returns from his birthday trip to Casablanca we will recommend a steroid shot to reduce inflammation.

Problem PII

Solution(s)

- Remove common names?
- Tell Doctors to stop using names in their notes?
- Lookup patient information in notes and intentional remove



John Malkovitch plays tennis in Winchester.

He has been reporting soreness in his elbow. His 60th birthday is in two weeks.

After he returns from his birthday trip to Casablanca we will recommend a steroid shot to reduce inflammation.

ProblemQuasi-Identifiers



John Malkovitch plays tennis in Winchester.

He has been reporting soreness in his elbow. His 60th birthday is in two weeks.

After he returns from his birthday trip to Casablanca we will recommend a steroid shot to reduce inflammation.

Problem

Quasi-Identifiers

Solution(s)

- Remove all locations?
- Remove all gendered pronouns?
- Remove all numbers?



John Malkovitch plays tennis in Winchester.

He has been reporting soreness in his elbow. His 60th birthday is in two weeks.

After he returns from his birthday trip to Casablanca we will recommend a steroid shot to reduce inflammation.

ProblemWeak Identifiers



John Malkovitch plays tennis in Winchester.

He has been reporting soreness in his elbow. His 60th birthday is in two weeks.

After he returns from his birthday trip to Casablanca we will recommend a steroid shot to reduce inflammation.

Problem
Weak Identifiers

Solution(s)

Remove all text



The Real Problem With Text

John Malkovitch plays tennis in Winchester.

He has been reporting soreness in his

elbow. His 60th birthday is in two weeks.

After he returns from his birthday trip to

Casablanca we will recommend a steroid

shot to reduce inflammation.

Problem

Predictive/Clinical Value



The Real Problem With Text

John Malkovitch plays tennis in Winchester.

He has been reporting soreness in his

elbow. His 60th birthday is in two weeks.

After he returns from his birthday trip to

Casablanca we will recommend a steroid

shot to reduce inflammation.

Problem

Predictive/Clinical Value

Accuracy Matters



The Real Problem With Text

John Malkovitch plays tennis in Winchester.

He has been reporting soreness in his

elbow. His 60th birthday is in two weeks.

After he returns from his birthday trip to

Casablanca we will recommend a steroid

shot to reduce inflammation.

Problem

Predictive/Clinical Value

Accuracy Matters

Solution(s)

Embeddings




What is an Embedding?



Text Space (e.g. English)







What is an Embedding?



Text Space (e.g. English)








What is an Embedding?

- Text Space
 - Full Algorithmic Utility
 - Limited to No Guarantees on Privacy
 - Sparse, Inherently Brittle
- Embedding Space
 - Very High Algorithmic Utility
 - Strong Privacy Guarantees
 - Dense, Generically More Generalizable



How do Embeddings Work?



- Meaning is "encoded" into the embedding space
- Individual dimensions are not human interpretable
- Embedding method learns by examining large corpora of generic language
- Goal is accurate language representation as a proxy for downstream performance



"Word" Embeddings

Examples

Word2vec, GloVe,

In Practice

Token	Value		
"great"	[0.1, 0.3,]		

Training

CBOW

The quick brown fox ____ over the lazy dog

Skip Gram

___ jumps ___ ___



Text Embeddings

Examples

doc2vec, Elmo, ULMFiT

In Practice

Python 2.7.10 (default, Jul 15 2017, 17:16:57)
[GCC 4.2.1 Compatible Apple LLVM 9.0.0 (clang-900.0.31)] on darwin
Type "help", "copyright", "credits" or "license" for more information.
>>> embed(<text_sample>)

Training

The quick brown fox jumps

0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
8.0	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3



dog



True




Additional Notes

- "Word" Embeddings
 - May not correspond directly to words
 - Many excellent public implementations
 - Vulnerable to rainbow-table attacks (Do not store)
- Text Embeddings
 - Often built on top of word embeddings
 - K-anonymity can be directly assessed
 - Naively implemented as mean of word embeddings



Do They Really Preserve Algorithmic Value?



80 75 100 125 150 175 200 225 250 275

Reported numbers are the average of 5 runs of randomly sampled test/train splits each reporting the average of a 5-fold cv, within which Logistic

Regression hyperparameters are optimized. Generated using Enso

- Embeddings generally outperform raw text at low data volumes
- Leveraging large, generic text corpora improves generalizability
- This is 6 year old tech.
 Embeddings have improved drastically. Text has not.




Embedding Options

- Public
 - Ready-to-use downloadable word vectors
 - Trained on public datasets (e.g. word2vec trained on CommonCrawl)
- Homegrown
 - Embedding technique trained in-house
 - Typically a straightforward algorithm on a novel data corpus
- Third Party
 - Sourced from vendor responsible for updating data sources and algorithms



Embedding Options (Public)




Notes

- Public embeddings effectively mean public rainbow tables
- Licensing is often ambiguous or incompatible with commercial use



Embedding Options (Homegrown)




Notes

- Deceptively easy to start, extremely difficult to effectively use in production
- While this can theoretically be a good approach, for most organizations this is a bad idea.



Embedding Options (Third party)



Notes

- Data leakage can result in handing away competitive edge
- Efficacy highly variable. Ensure robust benchmarks before working with any third party
- Privacy-controlled environment makes involving a third party contractually difficult



State of Embeddings in Spark

- Training yourself
 - Reasonable word2vec implementations
 - Proper evaluation requires two nested CV loops + a private holdout at a minimum
- Basic NLP Functionality
 - John Snow NLP Library (https://nlp.johnsnowlabs.com/)
- Pretrained Embeddings
 - Compute offline in python (Gensim, Spacy, indico)







Privacy matters. Thank you for caring.

Interested in challenging data engineering, ML & Al on big data? We'd love to hear from you. sim at swoop.com / slater at indico.io

