Due by: 2/5/24

GitHub Repo for JPacman: https://github.com/Redblaze74/jpacman

<u>Task 1 - JPacman Test Coverage</u>

| ✓ Image: Value of the second of the seco | 3% (4/110) | 1% (10/624) | 1% (28/2274) |
|--|------------|-------------|--------------|
| ✓ | 3% (4/110) | 1% (10/624) | 1% (28/2274) |
| 🗸 🖿 jpacman | 3% (4/110) | 1% (10/624) | 1% (28/2274) |
| > 🖿 board | 20% (4/20) | 9% (10/106) | 9% (28/282) |
| > 🖿 fuzzer | 0% (0/2) | 0% (0/12) | 0% (0/64) |
| > 🖿 game | 0% (0/6) | 0% (0/28) | 0% (0/74) |
| > 🖿 integration | 0% (0/2) | 0% (0/8) | 0% (0/12) |
| > 🖿 level | 0% (0/26) | 0% (0/156) | 0% (0/690) |
| > 🖿 npc | 0% (0/20) | 0% (0/94) | 0% (0/474) |
| > 🖿 points | 0% (0/4) | 0% (0/14) | 0% (0/38) |
| > 🖿 sprite | 0% (0/12) | 0% (0/90) | 0% (0/238) |
| > ⊡ ui | 0% (0/12) | 0% (0/62) | 0% (0/254) |
| i Launcher | 0% (0/1) | 0% (0/21) | 0% (0/41) |
| ổ LauncherSmokeTest | 0% (0/1) | 0% (0/4) | 0% (0/29) |
| PacmanConfigurationException | 0% (0/1) | 0% (0/2) | 0% (0/4) |

- Is the coverage good enough?
 - Considering the main nl folder is 3% for class coverage and 1% for both method and line coverage, no it is not good enough.

Task 2 - Increasing Coverage on JPacman

| Y 🖿 nl | | 20% (24/116) | 12% (80/664) | 10% (250/241 |
|------------|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| 💛 🖿 tu | delft | 20% (24/116) | 12% (80/664) | 10% (250/241 |
| v b | jpacman | 20% (24/116) | 12% (80/664) | 10% (250/241 |
| > | ™ board | 20% (4/20) | 9% (10/106) | 9% (28/282) |
| > | □ fuzzer | 0% (0/2) | 0% (0/12) | 0% (0/64) |
| > | I game | 0% (0/6) | 0% (0/28) | 0% (0/74) |
| > | integration | 0% (0/2) | 0% (0/8) | 0% (0/12) |
| > | I evel | 26% (8/30) | 11% (20/178) | 6% (52/758) |
| > | □ npc | 0% (0/20) | 0% (0/94) | 0% (0/474) |
| > | Dipoints | 0% (0/4) | 0% (0/14) | 0% (0/38) |
| > | sprite | 85% (12/14) | 46% (50/108) | 54% (170/310) |
| > | 🗖 ui | 0% (0/12) | 0% (0/62) | 0% (0/254) |
| | © Launcher | 0% (0/1) | 0% (0/21) | 0% (0/41) |
| | C LauncherSmokeTest | 0% (0/1) | 0% (0/4) | 0% (0/29) |
| | G PacmanConfigurationException | 0% (0/1) | 0% (0/2) | 0% (0/4) |

Task 2.1

Google Sheets

| 11 | Alec Him | src/main/java/nl/tudelft/jpacman/level/LevelFactory.createLevel |
|----|----------|--|
| 12 | Alec Him | src/main/java/nl/tudelft/jpacman/board/BoardFactory.createBoard |
| 13 | Alec Him | src/main/java/nl/tudelft/jpacman/game/GameFactory.createSinglePlayerGame |

• Before Unit Tests



After Unit Tests



- Instantiated PacManSprites, GhostFactory, and PointCalculator to create LevelFactory
- Mocked a board and created two array lists of ghost and square to call the method createLevel
- Asserted that the createLevel is not null

```
/*
    * - LevelFactory(PacManSprites, GhostFactory, PointCalculator)
    * > Instantiations
    * - PacManSprites
    * - PacManSprites => GhostFactory
    * - PointCalculatorLoader => PointCalculator
    */
2 usages
private static final PacManSprites pms = new PacManSprites();
1 usage
private final GhostFactory ghsFac = new GhostFactory(pms);
1 usage
private final PointCalculatorLoader pcl = new PointCalculatorLoader();
1 usage
private final PointCalculator pc = pcl.load();
1 usage
private final LevelFactory lvlFac = new LevelFactory(pms, ghsFac, pc);
```

```
/*
    * - createLevel(Board, List<Ghost>, List<Square>)
    * > Mock Board, Create Lists using ArrayList
    */
1 usage
private final Board board = mock(Board.class);
1 usage
private final List<Ghost> ghosts = new ArrayList<>();
1 usage
private final List<Square> startPositions = new ArrayList<>();
no usages new *
    @Test
void testCreateLvl(){
        assertThat([vlFac.createLevel(board, ghosts, startPositions)).isNotNull();
}
}
```

- - Instantiated PacManSprites to create BoardFactory
 - Instantiated two Squares as BasicSquares() to create the 2D Square needed to call the method createBoard
 - Asserted that createBoard is not null

GameFactory

```
/*
  * - BoardFactory(PacManSprites)
  * - createBoard(Square[][])
  */
1 usage
private static final PacManSprites pms = new PacManSprites();
1 usage
private final BoardFactory boardFac = new BoardFactory(pms);
1 usage
private final Square s1 = new BasicSquare();
1 usage
private final Square s2 = new BasicSquare();
no usages new *
@Test
void testCreateBoard(){
    assertThat(boardFac.createBoard(new Square[][]{{s1}, {s2}})).isNotNull();
}
```

■ Instantiated PacManSprites and PlayerFactory to create GameFactory

80% (4/5)

- Mocked Level, and instantiated PointCalculator to call the method createSinglePlayerGame
- Asserted that createSinglePlayerGame is not null

```
/*
    * - GameFactory(PlayerFactory)
    * > PlayerFactory
    * - PacManSprites => PlayerFactory
    */
1 usage
private final static PacManSprites pms = new PacManSprites();
1 usage
private final PlayerFactory factory = new PlayerFactory(pms);
1 usage
private final GameFactory gameFac = new GameFactory(factory);
```

```
/*
   * - createSinglePlayerGame(Level, PointCalculator)
   * > Mock Level
   * > PointCalculatorLoader => PointCalculator
   */
1 usage
private final Level lvl = mock(Level.class);
1 usage
private final PointCalculatorLoader pcl = new PointCalculatorLoader();
1 usage
private final PointCalculator pc = pcl.load();
no usages   new *
@Test
void testCreateSinglePlay(){
        assertThat(gameFac.createSinglePlayerGame(lvl, pc)).isNotNull();
}
```

Task 3 - JaCoCo Report on JPacman

- Are the coverage results from JaCoCo similar to the ones you got from IntelliJ in the last task? Why so or why not?
 - Comparing the methods of my test cases I had done in Task 2.1, it is different. In the JaCoCo one the number of lines and methods are different compared to the one in IntelliJ. In the IntelliJ, my BoardFactory has 1/3 classes, 3/11 methods, and

17/29 lines while in JaCoCo 1 class, 5 methods, and 19 lines; it is the same story as the other classes.



- Did you find helpful the source code visualization from JaCoCo on uncovered branches?
 - It looks nice having a visual gauge on what I may be missing or covered from my test cases so I believe it's a useful feature.
- Which visualization did you prefer and why? IntelliJ's coverage window or JaCoCo's report?
 - I preferred IntelliJ's coverage, having a list I could view alongside code that updates as I run, allowing me to access the code and see what has been covered and what hasn't. The JaCoCo looks nice and has many features but I believe the IntelliJ's built-in coverage is better than the JaCoCo's in my opinion.

Task 4 - Working with Python Test Coverage

• Run nosetests and produce coverage report

```
PS E:\Programming Projects\CS472\Software Testing\Python Test Coverage\test_coverage> nosetests
Test Account Model
 Test creating multiple Accounts
 Test Account creation using known data
Name
                    Stmts Miss Cover
                                          Missing
models\__init__.py
                               0
                                   100%
models\account.py
                       40
                                    68%
                                          26, 30, 34-35, 45-48, 52-54, 74-75
                              13
TOTAL
                       47
                                    72%
Ran 2 tests in 1.796s
```

Ensure 26 is now covered

• Ensure 30 is now covered

• Getting Coverage to 100%

```
PS E:\Programming Projects\CS472\Software Testing\Python Test Coverage\test_coverage> nosetests
Test Account Model
- Test creating multiple Accounts
 · Test Account creation using known data
 · Test removing an Account from the database
 Test Account to dict
· Test creating an Account from a dictionary

    Test the representation of an Account

 Test updating an Account in the database
- Test updating an Account with an empty ID
Name
          Stmts Miss Cover Missing
models\_init__.py 7 0 100%
models\account.py 40 0 100%
           47 0 100%
TOTAL
Ran 8 tests in 2.568s
OK
```

```
def test from dict(self):
    """Test creating an Account from a dictionary"""
   data = ACCOUNT_DATA[self.rand] # get a random account
    account = Account()
    account.from dict(data)
    self.assertEqual(account.name, data["name"])
    self.assertEqual(account.email, data["email"])
    self.assertEqual(account.phone_number, data["phone_number"])
    self.assertEqual(account.disabled, data["disabled"])
def test update(self):
    """Test updating an Account in the database"""
    data = ACCOUNT DATA[self.rand] # get a random account
    account = Account(**data)
    account.create()
   account.name = "John Smith"
   account.update()
   updated account = Account.find(account.id)
   self.assertEqual(updated account.name, "John Smith")
```

```
def test_update_with_empty_id(self):
    """Test updating an Account with an empty ID"""
    data = ACCOUNT_DATA[self.rand] # get a random account
    account = Account(**data)
    with self.assertRaises(DataValidationError) as context:
        account.update()
    self.assertIn("Update called with empty ID field", str(context.exception))

def test_delete(self):
    """Test removing an Account from the database"""
    data = ACCOUNT_DATA[self.rand] # get a random account
    account = Account(**data)
    account.create()
    self.assertEqual(len(Account.all()), 1)
    account.delete()
    self.assertEqual(len(Account.all()), 0)
```

Task 5 - TDD

ModuleNotFoundError

```
from unittest import TestCase

# we need to import the unit under test - counter
from src.counter import app

# we need to import the file that contains the status codes
from src import status

class CounterTest(TestCase):
    """Counter Tests"""
```

• ImportError

• Import Flask (No Error)

```
from flask import Flask
app = Flask(__name__)
```

AssertionError

```
def test_create_a_counter(self):
    """It should create a counter"""
    client = app.test_client()
    result = client.post('/counters/foo')
    self.assertEqual(result.status_code, status.HTTP_201_CREATED)
```

• Create First Endpoint (Green)

```
def create_counter(name):
    """Create a counter"""
    app.logger.info(f"Request to create counter: {name}")
    global COUNTERS
    COUNTERS[name] = 0
    return {name: COUNTERS[name]}, status.HTTP_201_CREATED
```

Added setUp (Refactor) and duplicate_a_counter (Red)

```
def setUp(self):
    self.client = app.test_client()

def test_duplicate_a_counter(self):
    """It should return an error for duplicates"""
    result = self.client.post('/counters/bar')
    self.assertEqual(result.status_code, status.HTTP_201_CREATED)
    result = self.client.post('/counters/bar')
    self.assertEqual(result.status_code, status.HTTP_409_CONFLICT)
```

• Refactored counter.py (Green)

```
def create_counter(name):
    """Create a counter"""
    app.logger.info(f"Request to create counter: {name}")
    global COUNTERS
    if name in COUNTERS:
        return {"Message":f"Counter {name} already exists"}, status.HTTP_409_CONFLICT
    COUNTERS[name] = 0
    return {name: COUNTERS[name]}, status.HTTP_201_CREATED
```

```
Counter Tests
 It should create a counter
 It should return an error for duplicates
               Stmts Miss Cover
                                    Missing
Name
src\counter.py
                 11
                         0 100%
src\status.py
                   6
                         0
                             100%
TOTAL
                 17
                        0 100%
Ran 2 tests in 0.235s
OK
```

• Create test update a counter (Red)

```
def test update a counter(self):
    """It should update the counter"""
    # 1. Create
    result = self.client.post('/counters/updateCount')
    self.assertEqual(result.status_code, status.HTTP_201_CREATED)
    baseline = self.client.get('/counters/updateCount')
    self.assertEqual(baseline.status code, status.HTTP 200 OK)
    # 3. Check counter value as baseline
    baselineValue = baseline.json['updateCount']
    # 4. Call to Update counter
    update = self.client.put('/counters/updateCount')
    self.assertEqual(update.status code, status.HTTP 200 OK)
    # 5. Ensure Success
    update = self.client.get('counters/updateCount')
    self.assertEqual(update.status code, status.HTTP 200 OK)
    # 6. Check counter value is one more than baseline
    update = update.json['updateCount']
    self.assertEqual(update, baselineValue + 1)
```

• Create update counter (Red b/c need read_a_counter)

```
@app.route('/counters/<name>', methods=['PUT'])
def update_counter(name):
    """Update a Counter"""
    app.logger.info(f"Request to update counter: {name}")
    global COUNTERS
    if name not in COUNTERS:
        return {"Message":f"Counter {name} does not exist"}, status.HTTP_404_NOT_FOUND
    COUNTERS[name] += 1
    return {name: COUNTERS[name]}, status.HTTP_200_OK
```

• Create test read a counter (Red)

```
def test_read_a_counter(self):
    """It should read the counter value"""
    result = self.client.post('counters/readCount')
    self.assertEqual(result.status_code, status.HTTP_201_CREATED)
    read = self.client.get('/counters/readCount')
    self.assertEqual(read.status_code, status.HTTP_200_OK)
    value = read.json['readCount']
    self.assertEqual(value, 0)
```

```
It should create a counter
 It should return an error for duplicates
 It should read the counter value (FAILED)
It should update the counter (FAILED)
FAIL: It should read the counter value
Traceback (most recent call last):
 File "E:\Programming Projects\CS472\Software Testing\tdd\tests\test_counter.py", line 65, in test_read_a_counter
   self.assertEqual(read.status_code, status.HTTP_200_OK)
AssertionError: 405 != 200
              ----- >> begin captured logging <<
src.counter: INFO: Request to create counter: foobar
        ----- >> end captured logging << ----
FAIL: It should update the counter
Traceback (most recent call last):
 File "E:\Programming Projects\CS472\Software Testing\tdd\tests\test counter.py", line 47, in test update a counter
   self.assertEqual(baseline.status_code, status.HTTP_200 OK)
AssertionError: 405 != 200
```

• Create read a counter (Green) [Refactored to get 100% cover with not exist test cases]

```
@app.route('/counters/<name>', methods=['GET'])
def read_a_counter(name):
    """Get a Counter Value"""
    app.logger.info(f"Request to get counter: {name}")
    global COUNTERS
    if name not in COUNTERS:
        return {"Message":f"Counter {name} does not exist"}, status.HTTP_404_NOT_FOUND return {name: COUNTERS[name]}, status.HTTP_200_OK
```

```
def test_read_a_counter_no_name(self):
    """It should attempt to read a counter that does not exist"""
    result = self.client.get('/counters/falseCount')
    self.assertEqual(result.status_code, status.HTTP_404_NOT_FOUND)

def test_update_a_counter_no_name(self):
    """It should attempt to update a counter that does not exist"""
    result = self.client.put('/counters/falseCount')
    self.assertEqual(result.status_code, status.HTTP_404_NOT_FOUND)
```

```
Counter Tests
```

- It should create a counter
- It should return an error for duplicates
- It should read the counter value
- It should attempt to read a counter that does not exist
- It should update the counter
- It should attempt to update a counter that does not exist

| Name | Stmts | Miss | Cover | Missing | | | |
|---|---------|------|--------------|---------|--|--|--|
| <pre>src\counter.py src\status.py</pre> | 24 6 | | 100% 100% | | | | |
| TOTAL | 30 | 0 | 100% | | | | |
| Pan 6 tests in 0 245s | | | | | | | |

Ran 6 tests in 0.245s

OK