COMP 523: Language-based security **Assignment 1** (100 points total)

Prof. B. Pientka McGill University

Due: Thursday, 20 Sept, 2:35pm

Exercise 1 (20 pts): In the lecture and in Pierce's book, we define the following operational semantics for a small language of terms. For convenience, we repeat the evaluation rules here.

A friend of yours suggests to replace the evaluation rule E-PRED-SUCC with the rule

$$\overline{\mathtt{succ}\ (\mathtt{pred}\ t) \longrightarrow t}$$

and the rule E-ISZERO-SUCC with

$$\frac{}{\texttt{iszero} \ (\texttt{succ} \ t) \longrightarrow \texttt{false}} \ E\texttt{-}ISZERO\texttt{-}SUCC$$

Is this a good idea? What would you say to her or him? Which basic property discussed in Ch 3 breaks down? – If you think the above rule is good, verify that all the theorems in Ch 3 still hold. If you think the rule is bad, then give a counterexample and explain which theorem does not hold.

Exercise 2 (20pts): Show that for the small-step semantics, we have that all values evaluate to themselves.

If v is a value and
$$v \longrightarrow^* v'$$
 then $v = v'$.

Use the following rules for the multi-step relation $t \longrightarrow^* t'$.

$$\frac{t \longrightarrow^* t}{t \longrightarrow^* t} \text{ refl} \qquad \frac{t \longrightarrow^* s \quad s \longrightarrow^* t'}{t \longrightarrow^* t'} \text{ trans} \qquad \frac{t \longrightarrow t'}{t \longrightarrow^* t'} \text{ single}$$

Consider only the cases for numerical values.

Exercise 3 (20 pts) An alternative formulation of the multi-step rules

$$\frac{}{t \Longrightarrow^* t} \text{ m-refl } \qquad \frac{t \longrightarrow s \quad s \Longrightarrow^* t'}{t \Longrightarrow^* t'} \text{ m-step}$$

Show that the two formulations are equivalent, i.e. $t \longrightarrow^* s$ iff $t \Longrightarrow^* s$. State and prove any additional lemmas you might need.

Exercise 4 (40pts): Extend the language for booleans and arithmetic expressions we have seen in class (see also Ch 3, CH 8 in Pierce) with an expression leq t t' which allows us to check whether t is less than or equal to t'.

- 1. (5 pts) Define small-step evaluation rules for leg t t'.
- 2. (16 pts) Prove that the rules are deterministic. Justify which cases are impossible and why.
- 3. (4 pts) Define a typing rule for leq t t'.
- 4. (15 pts) Prove that type preservation holds for this extension.

Exercise 5 (optional): An alternative style to the small-step semantics seen in class is the *big-step* semantics. The judgment $e \Downarrow v$ describes the complete evaluation of the expression e to some final value v. We concentrate here on the fragment for natural numbers. The rules for big-step evaluation for the small fragment consisting of z, succ e, pred e, and iszero e are given below.

$$\frac{e \Downarrow z}{\text{pred } e \Downarrow z} \text{ B-PRED-ZERO } \frac{e \Downarrow v}{\text{succ } v} \text{ B-SUCC}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow z}{\text{pred } e \Downarrow z} \text{ B-PRED-ZERO } \frac{e \Downarrow \text{succ } v}{\text{pred } e \Downarrow v} \text{ B-PRED-SUCC}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow z}{\text{iszero } e \Downarrow \text{true}} \text{ B-ISZERO } \frac{e \Downarrow \text{succ } v}{\text{iszero } e \Downarrow \text{false}} \text{ B-ISSUCC}$$

Prove that the small-step and big-step semantics coincide, i.e. $e \Downarrow v$ iff $e \longrightarrow^* v$. In your proofs, you should show the case for handling the predecessor in detail; in particular, state and prove all necessary lemmas. You can sketch the remaining cases for successor and iszero-expression.

Hint: Read Exercise 3.5.17 in TAPL page 42 and the corresponding solution page 498.