Nef An NFA is a 4-tuple (fix Σ as the alphabet) $N = (Q, Q_0, \Delta, F)$ $Q: \text{ set of states}, Q_0 \subseteq Q (\text{stant states}; \text{not plural})$ $\Delta: Q \times Z \rightarrow 2^Q (2^Q \text{ is the powerset of } Q)$ $[\Delta \subseteq Q \times Z \times Q \text{ on } \forall a \in Z \quad \Delta a \text{ is a binary relation on } Q]$ Given Δ we can define $\Delta^*: 2^Q \times Z^* \rightarrow 2^Q$ $\Delta^*(A, E) = A$ $\Delta^*(A, \omega) \stackrel{?}{=} \Delta(A, \Delta^*(A, \omega), a) \stackrel{?}{=} Not \quad Q_{ITE} \quad R_{IQHT}$ $= U \Delta(?, a)$ $?^G \Delta^*(A, \omega)$ $FACT(I) \Delta^*(A \cup B, \omega) = \Delta^*(A, \omega) U \Delta^*(B, \omega)$ $(2) \Delta^*(A, \chi y) = \Delta^*(\Delta, \omega) \cap F \neq \emptyset$ DEF $L(N) \stackrel{def}{=} \{\omega \in \Sigma^* | \Delta^*(Q_0, \omega) \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$

Thu Given an NFA N there exists a DFA M such that L(M) = L(N).

Proof

Let $M = (S, 80, S, \hat{F})$; we will describe it explicitly: $S = 2^{R}$, $80 = Q_{0}[D_{0}]$ the types make sense?] $\hat{F} = \{A \leq Q \mid A \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$ $S(A, a) = Q \in A \triangle (Q, a) = \Delta^{*}(A, a)$.

Now we must prove L(M) = L(N).

Lemma $\Delta^{*}(A, \omega) = S^{*}(A, \omega) \quad \forall \omega \in \Sigma^{*}$ Proof Bey induction on $|\omega|$ Base $\omega = \mathcal{E} \cdot \Delta^{*}(A, \mathcal{E}) = A = S^{*}(A, \mathcal{E})$ Ind. Case Let $\omega = xa$ & assume $\forall A \leq Q$ $\Delta^{*}(A, x) = S^{*}(A, x)$

 $\delta^*(A, xa) = \delta(\delta^*(A, x), a) \quad [Def. of \delta^*]$ $= \delta(\Delta^*(A, x), a) \quad [Def. of \delta^*]$ $= \Delta^*(\Delta^*(A, x), a) \quad [Def. of \delta]$ $= \Delta^*(A, xa) \quad [Fact (2)]$

Lemma is proved.

Completion of the proof of the theorem: $L(N) = \{ \omega / \Delta^* (R_0, \omega) \cap F \neq \emptyset \}$ $= \{ \omega / \Delta^* (R_0, \omega) \in \hat{F} \} \quad [\text{ Def of } \hat{F}]$ $= \{ \omega / \delta^* (R_0, \omega) \in \hat{F} \} \quad \text{by Lemma}$ $= \{ \omega / \delta^* (S_0, \omega) \in \hat{F} \} \quad \text{by def. of } S_0$ = L(M).

NFA with ε - moves $N = (Q, Q_0, \Delta : Q \times (Z \cup \{\varepsilon\}) \rightarrow 2^Q, F)$ Def ε -closure of $g \in Q \stackrel{def}{=}$ $\{g' \mid \text{there is an } \varepsilon$ -path from $g \neq 0 g' \}$.

We modify $\Delta^* \neq \Delta : 2^Q \times (Z \cup \{\varepsilon\}) \rightarrow 2^Q$ $\hat{\Delta}(A, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon$ -closure $(A) = g_{\varepsilon}A \varepsilon$ -closure (g). $\hat{\Delta}(A, xa) = \varepsilon$ -cl $(\Delta(\hat{\Delta}(A, x), a))$ Define $N' = (Q, Q_0, \Delta', F')$ $\Delta'(g, a) = \hat{\Delta}(\{g\}, a)$ $F' = \{F \cup \{g_0\} \text{ if } \varepsilon\text{-closure } (g_0) \cap F \neq \emptyset \}$ $F = \{F \cup \{g_0\} \text{ if } \varepsilon\text{-closure } (g_0) \cap F \neq \emptyset \}$

Therefore DFA, NFA & NFA with E-moves all lave the same power.

Not too hard to see L(N) = L(N')

Example Suppose L_1 , L_2 are regular languages $L_1 \| L_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \chi_1 y_1 \chi_2 y_2 \dots \chi_k y_k \mid \chi_1 \chi_2 \dots \chi_k \in L_1 \& y_1 y_2 \dots y_k \in L_2 \right\}$ The shuffle of two languages is elso regular thow do we prove this?

Picture EV JE

M, to acognize L1

M2 to recognize L2

Use \mathcal{E} - transitions to go back and forth.

We need to remember cohere we were. $M_1 = (S_1, s_1, \delta_1, F_1)$ $M_2 = (S_2, s_2, \delta_2, F_2)$ New NFA+ \mathcal{E} m/ \mathcal{E} ($\mathcal{G}, Q_0, \Delta, F$) $Q = (S_1 \times S_2 \times \{1\}) \cup (S_1 \times S_2 \times \{2\}) \cup \{q_0\}$ $Q = \{q_0$