- D'Eucliel used all five axioms in his proof, thought not directly. The circle axiom is used to construct right angles, hence the squares
- 2) Suppose  $\frac{3}{1+12}$  were a fraction of whole numbers, say  $\frac{3}{1+12} = \frac{a}{b}$ . Then 3b = a(1+12)

 $3b = a + a\sqrt{2}$   $3b - a = a\sqrt{2}$   $\frac{3b - a}{a} = \sqrt{2}$ 

This is impossible because we know VZ cannot be a fraction of whole numbers

3) Suppose  $\sqrt{7} = \frac{a}{b}$ , where the faction is reduced. Then  $\sqrt{7}b = a$  and  $7b = \sqrt{7}a$ .

Note that we know 24 1743, so V7-241

Now  $\sqrt{7} = \frac{a}{b} = \frac{(\sqrt{7}-2)}{(\sqrt{7}-2)} \frac{a}{b} = \frac{\sqrt{7}a-2a}{\sqrt{7}b-2b}$ 

= 76-2a. This is impossible because it is

a fraction whose numerator is less than a (because 17-2 <1)

(4) A number is not grims if it has non-trivial factors For example 35 is not grims because 35=5.7

2.3.5.7.11.13.17 +91 = 7 (2.3.5.11.13.17 +13)

= 7.13. (2.3.5.11.17 +1) so its not primp

(5) a) just multiply it out. Note that reading the formula backwards it says

 $x^{8}-1 = (x-1)(x^{7}+x^{6}+x^{5}+...+1)$ , so  $x^{8}-1$  factore

This is just a special case of the general result that

 $X^{n-1} = (x-1)(X^{n-1} + X^{n-2} + ... + 1)$  always factors

b)  $2^{40}-1 = (2^5)^8-1 = (2^5-1)(2^5)^7+(2^5)^6+...+1$ 

so 2'-1 factors, so 2'-1 is not griess.

You might ask why we didnit just factor

2+0-1 = (2-1)(2<sup>39</sup>+2<sup>38</sup>+2<sup>37</sup>+..+1). In this case

the first factor is 2-1, which is just 1

which is a trivial factoringation.

If you read carefully the answer above you'll see we proved two important results

- a) of mis mot grimo, then 2<sup>m</sup>-1 is not grimo
- b) If x is a whole number not equal to 2 thou  $x^{M}-1$  is never jume

For example we see that 936 -1 is not prime without having to work out what this number is.