

Exercise 1. [20 pts]

Case: $\overline{\text{succ}(\text{pred } t) \rightarrow t}$

In this case, the same term can have different canonical terms. For instance, take “ $\text{succ}(\text{pred } z)$ ”. This can step to either:

- “ z ” Using the new rule
- “ $\text{succ } z$ ” Using E-SUCC and E-PRED-ZERO

We can immediately recognize that both terms are in normal form and different, which is disastrous.

Case: $\overline{\text{iszero}(\text{succ } t) \rightarrow t}$

While the system might be confluent, the system is no longer deterministic. For instance, take “ $\text{iszero}(\text{succ}(\text{pred } z))$ ”. which can step to either:

- “ false ” using the new rule
- “ $\text{iszero}(\text{succ } z)$ ” using E-ISZERO and E-PRED-ZERO

However, we also have that non-sensical terms step to sensible terms. For instance, we have “ $\text{iszero}(\text{succ false})$ ”, which is non-sensical, that steps to “ false ”

Exercise 2. [20 pts]

Theorem 1. *If v is a value and $\mathcal{D} : v \rightarrow^* v'$, then $v = v'$.*

Proof. By structural induction on \mathcal{D}

Case. $\mathcal{D} = \overline{v \rightarrow^* v} \text{ refl}$
 $v' = v$

by refl

Case. $\mathcal{D} = \frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}_1}{v \rightarrow^* s} \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}_2}{s \rightarrow^* t}}{v \rightarrow^* t} \text{ trans}$
 $s = v$
 $t = v$

by i.h. on \mathcal{D}_1

by i.h. on \mathcal{D}_2 with $s = v$ a value

$$\text{Case. } \mathcal{D} = \frac{\mathcal{D}_0 \quad v \rightarrow t}{v \rightarrow^* t} \text{ single}$$

We proceed by induction to show there is no valid on \mathcal{D}_0

Subcase. $v = z$
 z cannot step

By exhaustion of the rules

Subcase. $v = \text{succ } nv$
 $\mathcal{D}_1 : nv$ cannot step
 $\text{succ } nv$ cannot step

by i.h. on nv
 E-SUCC only valid rule and \mathcal{D}_1
 \square

Exercise 3. [20 pts]

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathcal{D} : t_1 \Rightarrow^* t_2$ and $\mathcal{E} : t_2 \Rightarrow^* t_3$. Then $t_1 \Rightarrow^* t_3$.*

Proof. By induction on \mathcal{D}

Case. $\mathcal{D} = \overline{t_1 \Rightarrow^* t_1} \text{ m-refl}$
 $t_2 = t_1$
 $\mathcal{E} : t_1 \Rightarrow^* t_3$

by m-refl
 by above

Case. $\mathcal{D} = \frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}_1 \quad t_1 \Rightarrow^* s \quad c}{s \Rightarrow^* t_2 \quad c} \quad \mathcal{D}_2}{t_1 \Rightarrow^* t_2} \text{ m-step}$
 $\mathcal{F} : s \Rightarrow^* t_3$
 $t_1 \Rightarrow^* t_3$

by i.h. with \mathcal{D}_2 and \mathcal{E}
 by m-step with \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{F}
 \square

Theorem 2. *If $\mathcal{D} : t \rightarrow^* s$, then $t \Rightarrow^* s$*

Proof. Proof by induction on \mathcal{D} .

Case. $\mathcal{D} = \overline{t \rightarrow^* t} \text{ refl}$
 $t \Rightarrow^* t$

by m-refl

$$\text{Case. } \mathcal{D} = \frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}_1}{t \rightarrow^* r} \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}_2}{r \rightarrow^* s}}{t \rightarrow^* s} \text{ trans}$$

$\mathcal{F}_1 : t \Rightarrow^* r$
 $\mathcal{F}_2 : r \Rightarrow^* s$
 $s \Rightarrow^* t$

by i.h. on \mathcal{D}_1
by i.h. on \mathcal{D}_2
by lemma with \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2

$$\text{Case. } \mathcal{D} = \frac{\mathcal{D}_0}{t \rightarrow^* s} \text{ single}$$

$\mathcal{F} : s \Rightarrow^* s$
 $t \Rightarrow^* s$

by m-refl
by m-step with \mathcal{D}_0 and \mathcal{F}
□

Theorem 3. *If $\mathcal{D} : t \Rightarrow^* s$, then $t \rightarrow^* s$*

Proof. Proof by induction on \mathcal{D} .

$$\text{Case. } \mathcal{D} = \overline{t \Rightarrow^* t} \text{ m-refl}$$

$t \rightarrow^* t$

by refl

$$\text{Case. } \mathcal{D} = \frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}_1}{t \rightarrow r} \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}_2}{r \Rightarrow^* s}}{t \Rightarrow^* s} \text{ m-step}$$

$\mathcal{F}_1 : t \rightarrow^* r$
 $\mathcal{F}_2 : r \rightarrow^* s$
 $t \rightarrow^* s$

by step with \mathcal{D}_1
by i.h. on \mathcal{D}_2
by trans with \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2
□

Exercise 4. [40 pts]

1. [5 pts]

$$\frac{}{\text{leq } z \text{ nv} \rightarrow \text{true}} \text{ E-LEQ-TRUE} \quad \frac{}{\text{leq } (\text{succ } nv) \text{ z} \rightarrow \text{false}} \text{ E-LEQ-FALSE}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{leq } (\text{succ } nv_1) (\text{succ } nv_2) \rightarrow \text{leq } nv_1 \text{ nv}_2} \text{ E-LEQ-SUCC}$$

$$\frac{t \rightarrow t'}{\text{leq } t \text{ s} \rightarrow \text{leq } t' \text{ s}} \text{ E-LEQ-L} \quad \frac{t \rightarrow t'}{\text{leq } nv \text{ t} \rightarrow \text{leq } nv \text{ t}'} \text{ E-LEQ-R}$$

2. [16 pts]

Theorem 4. Let $\mathcal{D} : t \rightarrow s$ and $\mathcal{E} : t \rightarrow r$, then $s = r$.

Proof. Proof by structural induction on \mathcal{D} .

Case. $\mathcal{D} = \overline{\text{leq } z \text{ } nv \rightarrow \text{true}}$ E-LEQ-TRUE

$t = \text{leq } z \text{ } nv$

By \mathcal{D}

$s = \text{true}$

By \mathcal{D}

Let us now match on \mathcal{E}

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\text{leq } z \text{ } nv \rightarrow \text{true}}$ E-LEQ-TRUE

$s = \text{true}$

By \mathcal{E}

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\text{leq } (\text{succ } nv') \text{ } z \rightarrow \text{false}}$ E-LEQ-FALSE

Impossible

This would imply $z = \text{succ } nv'$

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\text{leq } (\text{succ } nv_1) (\text{succ } nv_2) \rightarrow \text{leq } nv_1 \text{ } nv_2}$ E-LEQ-SUCC

Impossible

This would imply $z = \text{succ } nv_1$

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\text{leq } t \text{ } s \rightarrow \text{leq } t' \text{ } s}$ E-LEQ-L

Impossible

This would imply $z \rightarrow t'$, but z is in normal form.

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\text{leq } nv' \text{ } t \rightarrow \text{leq } nv' \text{ } t}$ E-LEQ-R

Impossible

This would imply $nv \rightarrow t'$, but nv is in normal form.

Case. $\mathcal{D} = \overline{\text{leq } (\text{succ } nv) \text{ } z \rightarrow \text{false}}$ E-LEQ-FALSE

$t = \text{leq } \text{succ } nv \text{ } z$

By \mathcal{D}

$s = \text{false}$

By \mathcal{D}

Let us now match on \mathcal{E}

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\text{leq } z \text{ } nv' \rightarrow \text{true}}$ E-LEQ-TRUE

Impossible

This would imply $z = \text{succ } nv'$

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\text{leq } (\text{succ } nv) \text{ } z \rightarrow \text{false}}$ E-LEQ-FALSE

$s = \text{false}$

by \mathcal{E}

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\text{leq}(\text{succ } nv_1) (\text{succ } nv_2) \rightarrow \text{leq } nv_1 \text{ } nv_2}$ E-LEQ-SUCC
 Impossible This would imply $z = \text{succ } nv_2$

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\text{leq } t \text{ } s \rightarrow \text{leq } t' \text{ } s}$ E-LEQ-L
 Impossible This would imply $\text{succ } nv \rightarrow t'$, but $\text{succ } nv$ is in normal form.

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\text{leq } nv' \text{ } t \rightarrow \text{leq } nv' \text{ } t}$ E-LEQ-R
 Impossible This would imply $z \rightarrow t'$, but z is in normal form.

Case. $\mathcal{D} = \overline{\text{leq}(\text{succ } nv_1) (\text{succ } nv_2) \rightarrow \text{leq } nv_1 \text{ } nv_2}$ E-LEQ-SUCC

$t = \text{leq } \text{succ } nv_1 \text{ } \text{succ } nv_2$ By \mathcal{D}
 $s = \text{leq } nv_1 \text{ } nv_2$ By \mathcal{D}
 Let us now match on \mathcal{E}

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\text{leq } z \text{ } nv \rightarrow \text{true}}$ E-LEQ-TRUE
 Impossible This would imply $z = \text{succ } nv_1$

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\text{leq}(\text{succ } nv) \text{ } z \rightarrow \text{false}}$ E-LEQ-FALSE
 Impossible This would imply $z = \text{succ } nv_2$

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\text{leq}(\text{succ } nv_1) (\text{succ } nv_2) \rightarrow \text{leq } nv_1 \text{ } nv_2}$ E-LEQ-SUCC
 $s = \text{leq } nv_1 \text{ } nv_2$ by \mathcal{E}

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\text{leq } t \text{ } s \rightarrow \text{leq } t' \text{ } s}$ E-LEQ-L
 Impossible This would imply $\text{succ } nv_1 \rightarrow t'$, but $\text{succ } nv_1$ is in normal form.

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\text{leq } nv \text{ } t \rightarrow \text{leq } nv \text{ } t}$ E-LEQ-R
 Impossible This would imply $\text{succ } nv_2 \rightarrow t'$, but $\text{succ } nv_2$ is in normal form.

$$\text{Case. } \mathcal{D} = \frac{t \rightarrow t'}{\text{leq } t \ s \rightarrow \text{leq } t' \ s} \text{ E-LEQ-L}$$

$t = \text{leq } t \ s$ By \mathcal{D}
 $\mathcal{D}_0 : t \rightarrow t'$
 $s = \text{leq } t' \ s$ By \mathcal{D}
 Let us now match on \mathcal{E}

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\text{leq } z \ nv \rightarrow \text{true}}$ E-LEQ-TRUE
 Impossible This would imply $z \rightarrow t'$

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\text{leq } (\text{succ } nv) \ z \rightarrow \text{false}}$ E-LEQ-FALSE
 Impossible This would imply $\text{succ } nv \rightarrow t'$ but $\text{succ } nv$ is in normal form

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\text{leq } (\text{succ } nv_1) (\text{succ } nv_2) \rightarrow \text{leq } nv_1 \ nv_2}$ E-LEQ-SUCC
 Impossible This would imply $\text{succ } nv_1 \rightarrow t'$ but $\text{succ } nv_1$ is in normal form

\mathcal{E}_0
 $t \rightarrow t''$
SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\text{leq } t \ s \rightarrow \text{leq } t'' \ s}$ E-LEQ-L
 $t' = t''$ By i.h. on \mathcal{D}_0 and \mathcal{E}_0 $s = \text{leq } t' \ s$ by \mathcal{E} and above

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\text{leq } nv \ r \rightarrow \text{leq } nv \ r'}$ E-LEQ-R
 Impossible This would imply $nv \rightarrow t'$, but nv is in normal form.

$$\text{Case. } \mathcal{D} = \frac{t \rightarrow t'}{\text{leq } nv \ t \rightarrow \text{leq } nv \ t} \text{ E-LEQ-R}$$

$t = \text{leq } t \ s$ By \mathcal{D}
 $\mathcal{D}_0 : t \rightarrow t'$
 $s = \text{leq } t' \ s$ By \mathcal{D}
 Let us now match on \mathcal{E}

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\text{leq } z \ nv \rightarrow \text{true}}$ E-LEQ-TRUE
 Impossible This would imply $nv \rightarrow t'$ but nv is in normal form

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\text{leq } (\text{succ } nv) \ z \rightarrow \text{false}}$ E-LEQ-FALSE
 Impossible This would imply $z \rightarrow t'$ but z is in normal form

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \frac{}{\text{leq}(\text{succ } nv_1) (\text{succ } nv_2) \rightarrow \text{leq } nv_1 \text{ } nv_2}$ E-LEQ-SUCC
 Impossible This would imply $\text{succ } nv_2 \rightarrow t'$ but $\text{succ } nv_2$ is in normal form

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \frac{r \rightarrow r'}{\text{leq } r \text{ } s \rightarrow \text{leq } r' \text{ } s}$ E-LEQ-L
 Impossible This would imply $nv \rightarrow r'$, but nv is in normal form.

SubCase. $\mathcal{E} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_0 \quad t \rightarrow t'}{\text{leq } nv \text{ } t \rightarrow \text{leq } nv \text{ } t'}$ E-LEQ-R
 $t' = t''$ By i.h. on \mathcal{D}_0 and \mathcal{E}_0 $s = \text{leq } nv \text{ } t'$ by \mathcal{E} and above \square

3. [4 pts]

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat} \quad t_2 : \text{Nat}}{\text{leq } t_1 \text{ } t_2 : \text{Bool}} \text{ T-LEQ}$$

4. [15 pts]

Theorem 5. If $\mathcal{D} : t \rightarrow t'$ and $\mathcal{E} : t : T$, then $t' : T$

Proof. By induction on \mathcal{D}

Case. $\mathcal{D} = \frac{}{\text{leq } z \text{ } nv \rightarrow \text{true}}$ E-LEQ-TRUE
 $\text{leq } z \text{ } nv : \text{Bool}$ by \mathcal{E} and $T - \text{LEQ}$
 $\text{true} : \text{Bool}$ by $T - \text{TRUE}$

Case. $\mathcal{D} = \frac{}{\text{leq}(\text{succ } nv) z \rightarrow \text{false}}$ E-LEQ-FALSE
 $\text{leq succ } nv \text{ } z : \text{Bool}$ by \mathcal{E} and $T - \text{LEQ}$
 $\text{false} : \text{Bool}$ by $T - \text{FALSE}$

Case. $\mathcal{D} = \frac{}{\text{leq}(\text{succ } nv_1) (\text{succ } nv_2) \rightarrow \text{leq } nv_1 \text{ } nv_2}$ E-LEQ-SUCC
 $\text{leq succ } nv_1 \text{ } \text{succ } nv_2 : \text{Bool}$ by \mathcal{E} and $T - \text{LEQ}$
 $\text{succ } nv_1 : \text{Nat}$
 $\text{succ } nv_2 : \text{Nat}$ by inversion on $T - \text{LEQ}$
 $nv_1 : \text{Nat}$
 $nv_2 : \text{Nat}$ by inversion on $T - \text{SUCC}$
 $\text{leq } nv_1 \text{ } nv_2 : \text{Bool}$ by above and $T - \text{LEQ}$

$$\text{Case. } \mathcal{D} = \frac{\frac{\mathcal{E}_0}{r \rightarrow r'}}{\text{leq } r \ s \rightarrow \text{leq } r' \ s} \text{ E-LEQ-L}$$

$\text{leq } r \ s : \text{Bool}$ by \mathcal{E} and $T - LEQ$
 $r : \text{Nat}$
 $s : \text{Nat}$ by inversion on $T - LEQ$
 $r' : \text{Nat}$ by i.h. on \mathcal{E} and above
 $\text{leq } r' \ s : \text{Bool}$ by $T - LEQ$ and above

$$\text{Case. } \mathcal{D} = \frac{\frac{\mathcal{E}_0}{t \rightarrow t'}}{\text{leq } nv \ t \rightarrow \text{leq } nv \ t'} \text{ E-LEQ-R}$$

$\text{leq } nv \ t : \text{Bool}$ by \mathcal{E} and $T - LEQ$
 $nv : \text{Nat}$
 $t : \text{Nat}$ by inversion on $T - LEQ$
 $t' : \text{Nat}$ by i.h. on \mathcal{E} and above
 $\text{leq } nv \ t' : \text{Bool}$ by $T - LEQ$ and above

□