

Abelian Varieties of GL_n -type and Galois Representations

Enric Florit Zacarías

Facultat de Matemàtiques i Informàtica
Universitat de Barcelona

Advisors: Luis Dieulefait and Francesc Fité
Tutor: Xavier Guitart

Introduction

Introduction

- Recall: an abelian variety A is a projective algebraic variety that is also an algebraic group.
- We will consider A/k , k a number field.
- $\text{End}(A) = \text{endomorphisms of } A \text{ that are defined over } k$.
- $\text{End}^0(A) := \text{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ (we work up to isogeny).
 - $\text{End}^0(A)$ is a semisimple \mathbb{Q} -algebra
 - $\text{End}^0(A) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^s M_n(D_i)$.
 - Each D_i is a division algebra over a totally real or a CM field.
Albert classification.
- For every prime ℓ , we have a Galois representation

$$V_\ell(A) := (\varprojlim_n A[\ell^n]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \simeq \mathbb{Q}_\ell^{2 \dim A}$$

Rational ℓ -adic Tate module of $A \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2 \dim A}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$

Introduction

- Recall: an abelian variety A is a projective algebraic variety that is also an algebraic group.
- We will consider A/k , k a number field.
- $\text{End}(A) = \text{endomorphisms of } A \text{ that are defined over } k$.
- $\text{End}^0(A) := \text{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ (we work up to isogeny).
 - $\text{End}^0(A)$ is a semisimple \mathbb{Q} -algebra
 - $\text{End}^0(A) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^s M_n(D_i)$.
 - Each D_i is a division algebra over a totally real or a CM field.
Albert classification.
- For every prime ℓ , we have a Galois representation

$$V_\ell(A) := (\varprojlim_n A[\ell^n]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \simeq \mathbb{Q}_\ell^{2 \dim A}$$

Rational ℓ -adic Tate module of $A \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2 \dim A}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$

Introduction

- Recall: an abelian variety A is a projective algebraic variety that is also an algebraic group.
- We will consider A/k , k a number field.
- $\text{End}(A) = \text{endomorphisms of } A \text{ that are defined over } k$.
- $\text{End}^0(A) := \text{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ (we work up to isogeny).
 - $\text{End}^0(A)$ is a semisimple \mathbb{Q} -algebra
 - $\text{End}^0(A) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^s M_n(D_i)$.
 - Each D_i is a division algebra over a totally real or a CM field.
Albert classification.
- For every prime ℓ , we have a Galois representation

$$V_\ell(A) := (\varprojlim_n A[\ell^n]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \simeq \mathbb{Q}_\ell^{2 \dim A}$$

Rational ℓ -adic Tate module of $A \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2 \dim A}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$

Introduction

- Recall: an abelian variety A is a projective algebraic variety that is also an algebraic group.
- We will consider A/k , k a number field.
- $\text{End}(A) = \text{endomorphisms of } A \text{ that are defined over } k$.
- $\text{End}^0(A) := \text{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ (we work up to isogeny).
 - $\text{End}^0(A)$ is a semisimple \mathbb{Q} -algebra
 - $\text{End}^0(A) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^s M_{r_i}(D_i)$.
 - Each D_i is a division algebra over a totally real or a CM field.
Albert classification.
- For every prime ℓ , we have a Galois representation

$$V_\ell(A) := (\varprojlim_n A[\ell^n]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \simeq \mathbb{Q}_\ell^{2 \dim A}$$

Rational ℓ -adic Tate module of $A \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2 \dim A}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$

Introduction

- Recall: an abelian variety A is a projective algebraic variety that is also an algebraic group.
- We will consider A/k , k a number field.
- $\text{End}(A) = \text{endomorphisms of } A \text{ that are defined over } k$.
- $\text{End}^0(A) := \text{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ (we work up to isogeny).
 - $\text{End}^0(A)$ is a semisimple \mathbb{Q} -algebra
 - $\text{End}^0(A) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^s M_{r_i}(D_i)$.
 - Each D_i is a division algebra over a totally real or a CM field.
Albert classification.
- For every prime ℓ , we have a Galois representation

$$V_\ell(A) := (\varprojlim_n A[\ell^n]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \simeq \mathbb{Q}_\ell^{2 \dim A}$$

Rational ℓ -adic Tate module of $A \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2 \dim A}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$

Introduction

- Recall: an abelian variety A is a projective algebraic variety that is also an algebraic group.
- We will consider A/k , k a number field.
- $\text{End}(A) = \text{endomorphisms of } A \text{ that are defined over } k$.
- $\text{End}^0(A) := \text{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ (we work up to isogeny).
 - $\text{End}^0(A)$ is a semisimple \mathbb{Q} -algebra
 - $\text{End}^0(A) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^s M_{r_i}(D_i)$.
 - Each D_i is a division algebra over a totally real or a CM field.
Albert classification.
- For every prime ℓ , we have a Galois representation

$$V_\ell(A) := (\varprojlim_n A[\ell^n]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \simeq \mathbb{Q}_\ell^{2 \dim A}$$

Rational ℓ -adic Tate module of $A \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2 \dim A}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$

Introduction

- Recall: an abelian variety A is a projective algebraic variety that is also an algebraic group.
- We will consider A/k , k a number field.
- $\text{End}(A) = \text{endomorphisms of } A \text{ that are defined over } k$.
- $\text{End}^0(A) := \text{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ (we work up to isogeny).
 - $\text{End}^0(A)$ is a semisimple \mathbb{Q} -algebra
 - $\text{End}^0(A) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^s M_{r_i}(D_i)$.
 - Each D_i is a division algebra over a totally real or a CM field.
[Albert classification](#).
- For every prime ℓ , we have a Galois representation

$$V_\ell(A) := (\varprojlim_n A[\ell^n]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \simeq \mathbb{Q}_\ell^{2 \dim A}$$

Rational ℓ -adic Tate module of $A \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2 \dim A}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$

Introduction

- Recall: an abelian variety A is a projective algebraic variety that is also an algebraic group.
- We will consider A/k , k a number field.
- $\text{End}(A) = \text{endomorphisms of } A \text{ that are defined over } k$.
- $\text{End}^0(A) := \text{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ (we work up to isogeny).
 - $\text{End}^0(A)$ is a semisimple \mathbb{Q} -algebra
 - $\text{End}^0(A) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^s M_{r_i}(D_i)$.
 - Each D_i is a division algebra over a totally real or a CM field.
[Albert classification](#).
- For every prime ℓ , we have a Galois representation

$$V_\ell(A) := (\varprojlim_n A[\ell^n]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \simeq \mathbb{Q}_\ell^{2 \dim A}$$

Rational ℓ -adic Tate module of $A \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2 \dim A}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$

Abelian varieties of GL_2 -type

- We say A/k is **of GL_2 -type** if there is a number field $E \subseteq \mathrm{End}^0(A)$ with $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim A$.
Includes all elliptic curves.
- For each prime \mathfrak{L} of E : $\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(E_{\mathfrak{L}})$,

$$\rho_{A,\ell} = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{L}|\ell} \mathrm{Res}_{E_{\mathfrak{L}}/\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}.$$

Theorem (Eichler-Shimura, Ribet, Khare-Wintenberger)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{isogeny classes} \\ \text{of } A/\mathbb{Q} \\ \text{of } \mathrm{GL}_2\text{-type} \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{normalized} \\ \text{classical modular} \\ \text{eigenforms of} \\ \text{weight 2} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} \simeq \rho_{f,\mathfrak{L}}.$$

Abelian varieties of GL_2 -type

- We say A/k is **of GL_2 -type** if there is a number field $E \subseteq \mathrm{End}^0(A)$ with $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim A$.
Includes all elliptic curves.
- For each prime \mathfrak{L} of E : $\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(E_{\mathfrak{L}})$,

$$\rho_{A,\ell} = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{L}|\ell} \mathrm{Res}_{E_{\mathfrak{L}}/\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}.$$

Theorem (Eichler-Shimura, Ribet, Khare-Wintenberger)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{isogeny classes} \\ \text{of } A/\mathbb{Q} \\ \text{of } \mathrm{GL}_2\text{-type} \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{normalized} \\ \text{classical modular} \\ \text{eigenforms of} \\ \text{weight 2} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} \simeq \rho_{f,\mathfrak{L}}.$$

Abelian varieties of GL_2 -type

- We say A/k is **of GL_2 -type** if there is a number field $E \subseteq \mathrm{End}^0(A)$ with $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim A$. Includes all elliptic curves.
- For each prime \mathfrak{L} of E : $\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(E_{\mathfrak{L}})$,

$$\rho_{A,\ell} = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{L}|\ell} \mathrm{Res}_{E_{\mathfrak{L}}/\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}.$$

Theorem (Eichler-Shimura, Ribet, Khare-Wintenberger)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{isogeny classes} \\ \text{of } A/\mathbb{Q} \\ \text{of } \mathrm{GL}_2\text{-type} \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{normalized} \\ \text{classical modular} \\ \text{eigenforms of} \\ \text{weight 2} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} \simeq \rho_{f,\mathfrak{L}}.$$

Abelian varieties of GL_2 -type

- We say A/k is **of GL_2 -type** if there is a number field $E \subseteq \mathrm{End}^0(A)$ with $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim A$. Includes all elliptic curves.
- For each prime \mathfrak{L} of E : $\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(E_{\mathfrak{L}})$,

$$\rho_{A,\ell} = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{L}|\ell} \mathrm{Res}_{E_{\mathfrak{L}}/\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}.$$

Theorem (Eichler-Shimura, Ribet, Khare-Wintenberger)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{isogeny classes} \\ \text{of } A/\mathbb{Q} \\ \text{of } \mathrm{GL}_2\text{-type} \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{normalized} \\ \text{classical modular} \\ \text{eigenforms of} \\ \text{weight 2} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} \simeq \rho_{f,\mathfrak{L}}.$$

Abelian varieties of GL_2 -type

- We say A/k is **of GL_2 -type** if there is a number field $E \subseteq \mathrm{End}^0(A)$ with $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim A$. Includes all elliptic curves.
- For each prime \mathfrak{L} of E : $\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(E_{\mathfrak{L}})$,

$$\rho_{A,\ell} = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{L}|\ell} \mathrm{Res}_{E_{\mathfrak{L}}/\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}.$$

Theorem (Eichler-Shimura, Ribet, Khare-Wintenberger)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{isogeny classes} \\ \text{of } A/\mathbb{Q} \\ \text{of } \mathrm{GL}_2\text{-type} \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{normalized} \\ \text{classical modular} \\ \text{eigenforms of} \\ \text{weight 2} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} \simeq \rho_{f,\mathfrak{L}}.$$

Abelian surfaces and the Paramodular conjecture

- The first abelian varieties not of GL_2 -type are abelian surfaces A/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$.
- Brumer-Kramer Conjecture: $\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \rho_{f,\ell}$, for some $f : \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ weight 2 Siegel paramodular eigenform.
 - $\mathcal{H}_2 = \{Z \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid Z^\top = Z, \text{Im } Z > 0\}$.
 - f must be holomorphic + invariance property.
 - Attached to f : $\rho_{f,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.
- Weil pairing on $V_\ell(A) \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell}(\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})) \subset \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.
- f needs to have rational eigenvalues.
- [BCGP25]: a positive proportion of abelian surfaces are paramodular.

Abelian surfaces and the Paramodular conjecture

- The first abelian varieties not of GL_2 -type are abelian surfaces A/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$.
- Brumer-Kramer Conjecture: $\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \rho_{f,\ell}$, for some $f : \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ weight 2 Siegel paramodular eigenform.
 - $\mathcal{H}_2 = \{Z \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid Z^\top = Z, \text{Im } Z > 0\}$.
 - f must be holomorphic + invariance property.
 - Attached to f : $\rho_{f,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$.
- Weil pairing on $V_\ell(A) \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell}(\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})) \subset \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.
- f needs to have rational eigenvalues.
- [BCGP25]: a positive proportion of abelian surfaces are paramodular.

Abelian surfaces and the Paramodular conjecture

- The first abelian varieties not of GL_2 -type are abelian surfaces A/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$.
- Brumer-Kramer Conjecture: $\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \rho_{f,\ell}$, for some $f : \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ weight 2 Siegel paramodular eigenform.
 - $\mathcal{H}_2 = \{Z \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid Z^\top = Z, \text{Im } Z > 0\}$.
 - f must be holomorphic + invariance property.
 - Attached to f : $\rho_{f,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$.
- Weil pairing on $V_\ell(A) \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell}(\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})) \subset \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.
- f needs to have rational eigenvalues.
- [BCGP25]: a positive proportion of abelian surfaces are paramodular.

Abelian surfaces and the Paramodular conjecture

- The first abelian varieties not of GL_2 -type are abelian surfaces A/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$.
- Brumer-Kramer Conjecture: $\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \rho_{f,\ell}$, for some $f : \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ weight 2 Siegel paramodular eigenform.
 - $\mathcal{H}_2 = \{Z \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid Z^\top = Z, \text{Im } Z > 0\}$.
 - f must be holomorphic + invariance property.
 - Attached to f : $\rho_{f,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$.
- Weil pairing on $V_\ell(A) \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell}(\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})) \subset \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.
- f needs to have rational eigenvalues.
- [BCGP25]: a positive proportion of abelian surfaces are paramodular.

Abelian surfaces and the Paramodular conjecture

- The first abelian varieties not of GL_2 -type are abelian surfaces A/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$.
- Brumer-Kramer Conjecture: $\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \rho_{f,\ell}$, for some $f : \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ weight 2 Siegel paramodular eigenform.
 - $\mathcal{H}_2 = \{Z \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid Z^\top = Z, \text{Im } Z > 0\}$.
 - f must be holomorphic + invariance property.
 - Attached to f : $\rho_{f,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.
- Weil pairing on $V_\ell(A) \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell}(\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})) \subset \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.
- f needs to have rational eigenvalues.
- [BCGP25]: a positive proportion of abelian surfaces are paramodular.

Abelian surfaces and the Paramodular conjecture

- The first abelian varieties not of GL_2 -type are abelian surfaces A/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$.
- Brumer-Kramer Conjecture: $\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \rho_{f,\ell}$, for some $f : \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ weight 2 Siegel paramodular eigenform.
 - $\mathcal{H}_2 = \{Z \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid Z^\top = Z, \text{Im } Z > 0\}$.
 - f must be holomorphic + invariance property.
 - Attached to f : $\rho_{f,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.
- Weil pairing on $V_\ell(A) \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell}(\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})) \subset \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.
- f needs to have rational eigenvalues.
- [BCGP25]: a positive proportion of abelian surfaces are paramodular.

Abelian surfaces and the Paramodular conjecture

- The first abelian varieties not of GL_2 -type are abelian surfaces A/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$.
- Brumer-Kramer Conjecture: $\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \rho_{f,\ell}$, for some $f : \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ weight 2 Siegel paramodular eigenform.
 - $\mathcal{H}_2 = \{Z \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid Z^\top = Z, \text{Im } Z > 0\}$.
 - f must be holomorphic + invariance property.
 - Attached to f : $\rho_{f,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.
- Weil pairing on $V_\ell(A) \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell}(\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})) \subset \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.
- f needs to have rational eigenvalues.
- [BCGP25]: a positive proportion of abelian surfaces are paramodular.

Abelian surfaces and the Paramodular conjecture

- The first abelian varieties not of GL_2 -type are abelian surfaces A/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$.
- Brumer-Kramer Conjecture: $\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \rho_{f,\ell}$, for some $f : \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ weight 2 Siegel paramodular eigenform.
 - $\mathcal{H}_2 = \{Z \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid Z^\top = Z, \text{Im } Z > 0\}$.
 - f must be holomorphic + invariance property.
 - Attached to f : $\rho_{f,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.
- Weil pairing on $V_\ell(A) \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell}(\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})) \subset \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.
- f needs to have rational eigenvalues.
- [BCGP25]: a positive proportion of abelian surfaces are paramodular.

QM abelian fourfolds

- Calegari's observation: if f is a paramodular eigenform with rational coefficients, then it could also correspond to some abelian fourfold A/\mathbb{Q} with quaternionic multiplication.

Theorem (Chi)

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian fourfold with $\text{End}^0(A) = \text{indefinite quaternion algebra over } \mathbb{Q}$. Then

$$\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell},$$

where $\sigma_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.

- Boxer-Calegari-Gee-Pilloni (under several conjectures): given automorphic representation π of $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ with rational coefficients, $\rho_{\pi,\ell} \simeq \rho_{A,\ell}$, where A/\mathbb{Q} and
 - ① $\dim A = 2$, $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$,
 - ② $\dim A = 4$, $\text{End}^0(A)$ indefinite quaternion algebra, or
 - ③ $\dim A = 4$, $\text{End}^0(A)$ definite quaternion algebra.
- They state (3) is expected not to occur.

QM abelian fourfolds

- Calegari's observation: if f is a paramodular eigenform with rational coefficients, then it could also correspond to some abelian fourfold A/\mathbb{Q} with quaternionic multiplication.

Theorem (Chi)

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian fourfold with $\text{End}^0(A) = \text{indefinite quaternion algebra over } \mathbb{Q}$. Then

$$\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell},$$

where $\sigma_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.

- Boxer-Calegari-Gee-Pilloni (under several conjectures): given automorphic representation π of $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ with rational coefficients, $\rho_{\pi,\ell} \simeq \rho_{A,\ell}$, where A/\mathbb{Q} and
 - ① $\dim A = 2$, $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$,
 - ② $\dim A = 4$, $\text{End}^0(A)$ indefinite quaternion algebra, or
 - ③ $\dim A = 4$, $\text{End}^0(A)$ definite quaternion algebra.
- They state (3) is expected not to occur.

QM abelian fourfolds

- Calegari's observation: if f is a paramodular eigenform with rational coefficients, then it could also correspond to some abelian fourfold A/\mathbb{Q} with quaternionic multiplication.

Theorem (Chi)

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian fourfold with $\text{End}^0(A) = \text{indefinite quaternion algebra over } \mathbb{Q}$. Then

$$\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell},$$

where $\sigma_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.

- Boxer-Calegari-Gee-Pilloni (under several conjectures): given automorphic representation π of $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ with rational coefficients, $\rho_{\pi,\ell} \simeq \rho_{A,\ell}$, where A/\mathbb{Q} and
 - ① $\dim A = 2$, $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$,
 - ② $\dim A = 4$, $\text{End}^0(A)$ indefinite quaternion algebra, or
 - ③ $\dim A = 4$, $\text{End}^0(A)$ definite quaternion algebra.
- They state (3) is expected not to occur.

QM abelian fourfolds

- Calegari's observation: if f is a paramodular eigenform with rational coefficients, then it could also correspond to some abelian fourfold A/\mathbb{Q} with quaternionic multiplication.

Theorem (Chi)

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian fourfold with $\text{End}^0(A) = \text{indefinite quaternion algebra over } \mathbb{Q}$. Then

$$\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell},$$

where $\sigma_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.

- Boxer-Calegari-Gee-Pilloni (under several conjectures): given automorphic representation π of $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ with rational coefficients, $\rho_{\pi,\ell} \simeq \rho_{A,\ell}$, where A/\mathbb{Q} and
 - ① $\dim A = 2$, $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$,
 - ② $\dim A = 4$, $\text{End}^0(A)$ indefinite quaternion algebra, or
 - ③ $\dim A = 4$, $\text{End}^0(A)$ definite quaternion algebra.
- They state (3) is expected not to occur.

QM abelian fourfolds

- Calegari's observation: if f is a paramodular eigenform with rational coefficients, then it could also correspond to some abelian fourfold A/\mathbb{Q} with quaternionic multiplication.

Theorem (Chi)

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian fourfold with $\text{End}^0(A) = \text{indefinite quaternion algebra over } \mathbb{Q}$. Then

$$\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell},$$

where $\sigma_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.

- Boxer-Calegari-Gee-Pilloni (under several conjectures): given automorphic representation π of $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ with rational coefficients, $\rho_{\pi,\ell} \simeq \rho_{A,\ell}$, where A/\mathbb{Q} and
 - ① $\dim A = 2$, $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$,
 - ② $\dim A = 4$, $\text{End}^0(A)$ indefinite quaternion algebra, or
 - ③ $\dim A = 4$, $\text{End}^0(A)$ definite quaternion algebra.
- They state (3) is expected not to occur.

Larger coefficients

Question

Suppose the field of coefficients of π is $\supsetneq \mathbb{Q}$, and $\rho_{\pi,\lambda} \simeq \rho_{A,\lambda}$.
What conditions are imposed on A ?

Question

Let $\rho : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be some subrepresentation of $V_\ell(A)$.

- When is $\rho(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)) \subset \text{GSp}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$?
 - When is $\rho(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)) \subset \text{GO}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$?
-
- We have a partial answer due to Chi, Banaszak, Gajda, Krason, and Kaim-Garnek.
 - The previous use the Albert type of $\text{End}^0(A)$, but require $\text{End}^0(A) = \text{End}^0(A_{\bar{k}})$.

Larger coefficients

Question

Suppose the field of coefficients of π is $\supsetneq \mathbb{Q}$, and $\rho_{\pi,\lambda} \simeq \rho_{A,\lambda}$.
What conditions are imposed on A ?

Question

Let $\rho : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be some subrepresentation of $V_\ell(A)$.

- When is $\rho(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)) \subset \text{GSp}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$?
- When is $\rho(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)) \subset \text{GO}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$?

- We have a partial answer due to Chi, Banaszak, Gajda, Krason, and Kaim-Garnek.
- The previous use the Albert type of $\text{End}^0(A)$, but require $\text{End}^0(A) = \text{End}^0(A_{\bar{k}})$.

Larger coefficients

Question

Suppose the field of coefficients of π is $\supsetneq \mathbb{Q}$, and $\rho_{\pi,\lambda} \simeq \rho_{A,\lambda}$.
What conditions are imposed on A ?

Question

Let $\rho : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be some subrepresentation of $V_\ell(A)$.

- When is $\rho(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)) \subset \text{GSp}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$?
- When is $\rho(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)) \subset \text{GO}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$?

- We have a partial answer due to Chi, Banaszak, Gajda, Krason, and Kaim-Garnek.
- The previous use the Albert type of $\text{End}^0(A)$, but require $\text{End}^0(A) = \text{End}^0(A_{\bar{k}})$.

Larger coefficients

Question

Suppose the field of coefficients of π is $\supsetneq \mathbb{Q}$, and $\rho_{\pi,\lambda} \simeq \rho_{A,\lambda}$.
What conditions are imposed on A ?

Question

Let $\rho : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be some subrepresentation of $V_\ell(A)$.

- When is $\rho(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)) \subset \text{GSp}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$?
 - When is $\rho(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)) \subset \text{GO}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$?
-
- We have a partial answer due to Chi, Banaszak, Gajda, Krason, and Kaim-Garnek.
 - The previous use the Albert type of $\text{End}^0(A)$, but require $\text{End}^0(A) = \text{End}^0(A_{\bar{k}})$.

Larger coefficients

Question

Suppose the field of coefficients of π is $\supsetneq \mathbb{Q}$, and $\rho_{\pi,\lambda} \simeq \rho_{A,\lambda}$.
What conditions are imposed on A ?

Question

Let $\rho : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be some subrepresentation of $V_\ell(A)$.

- When is $\rho(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)) \subset \text{GSp}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$?
 - When is $\rho(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)) \subset \text{GO}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$?
-
- We have a partial answer due to Chi, Banaszak, Gajda, Krasoń, and Kaim-Garnek.
 - The previous use the Albert type of $\text{End}^0(A)$, but require $\text{End}^0(A) = \text{End}^0(A_{\bar{k}})$.

Splitting behaviour: QM abelian surfaces I

- The splitting “ $\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell}$ ” already occurs in dimension 2.
- Let A/k be an abelian surface with $\text{End}^0(A) \simeq D$ quaternion algebra.
- A priori, $V_\ell(A) \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.
- We have $\text{CharPoly}(\rho_{A,\ell}(s)) = (L_s(T))^2$ for some $L_s(T) \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell[T]$ of degree 2.
- Why? there exists a representation

$$\sigma_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow (D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^\times,$$

and for $\ell \notin \text{Ram}(D)$, we have $(D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^\times \simeq \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$; and moreover

$$\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell}.$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

Splitting behaviour: QM abelian surfaces I

- The splitting “ $\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell}$ ” already occurs in dimension 2.
- Let A/k be an abelian surface with $\text{End}^0(A) \simeq D$ quaternion algebra.
- A priori, $V_\ell(A) \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.
- We have $\text{CharPoly}(\rho_{A,\ell}(s)) = (L_s(T))^2$ for some $L_s(T) \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell[T]$ of degree 2.
- Why? there exists a representation

$$\sigma_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow (D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^\times,$$

and for $\ell \notin \text{Ram}(D)$, we have $(D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^\times \simeq \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$; and moreover

$$\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell}.$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

Splitting behaviour: QM abelian surfaces I

- The splitting “ $\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell}$ ” already occurs in dimension 2.
- Let A/k be an abelian surface with $\text{End}^0(A) \simeq D$ quaternion algebra.
- A priori, $V_\ell(A) \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.
- We have $\text{CharPoly}(\rho_{A,\ell}(s)) = (L_s(T))^2$ for some $L_s(T) \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell[T]$ of degree 2.
- Why? there exists a representation

$$\sigma_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow (D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^\times,$$

and for $\ell \notin \text{Ram}(D)$, we have $(D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^\times \simeq \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$; and moreover

$$\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell}.$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

Splitting behaviour: QM abelian surfaces I

- The splitting “ $\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell}$ ” already occurs in dimension 2.
- Let A/k be an abelian surface with $\text{End}^0(A) \simeq D$ quaternion algebra.
- A priori, $V_\ell(A) \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.
- We have $\text{CharPoly}(\rho_{A,\ell}(s)) = (L_s(T))^2$ for some $L_s(T) \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell[T]$ of degree 2.
- Why? there exists a representation

$$\sigma_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow (D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^\times,$$

and for $\ell \notin \text{Ram}(D)$, we have $(D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^\times \simeq \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$; and moreover

$$\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell}.$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

Splitting behaviour: QM abelian surfaces I

- The splitting “ $\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell}$ ” already occurs in dimension 2.
- Let A/k be an abelian surface with $\text{End}^0(A) \simeq D$ quaternion algebra.
- A priori, $V_\ell(A) \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.
- We have $\text{CharPoly}(\rho_{A,\ell}(s)) = (L_s(T))^2$ for some $L_s(T) \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell[T]$ of degree 2.
- Why? there exists a representation

$$\sigma_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow (D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^\times,$$

and for $\ell \notin \text{Ram}(D)$, we have $(D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^\times \simeq \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$; and moreover

$$\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell}.$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

Splitting behaviour: QM abelian surfaces I

- The splitting “ $\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell}$ ” already occurs in dimension 2.
- Let A/k be an abelian surface with $\text{End}^0(A) \simeq D$ quaternion algebra.
- A priori, $V_\ell(A) \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.
- We have $\text{CharPoly}(\rho_{A,\ell}(s)) = (L_s(T))^2$ for some $L_s(T) \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell[T]$ of degree 2.
- Why? there exists a representation

$$\sigma_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow (D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^\times,$$

and for $\ell \notin \text{Ram}(D)$, we have $(D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^\times \simeq \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$; and moreover

$$\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell}.$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

Splitting behaviour: QM abelian surfaces I

- The splitting “ $\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell}$ ” already occurs in dimension 2.
- Let A/k be an abelian surface with $\text{End}^0(A) \simeq D$ quaternion algebra.
- A priori, $V_\ell(A) \rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \text{GL}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.
- We have $\text{CharPoly}(\rho_{A,\ell}(s)) = (L_s(T))^2$ for some $L_s(T) \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell[T]$ of degree 2.
- Why? there exists a representation

$$\sigma_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow (D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^\times,$$

and for $\ell \notin \text{Ram}(D)$, we have $(D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^\times \simeq \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$; and moreover

$$\rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell}.$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

Splitting behaviour: QM abelian surfaces II

- A/k with QM $\rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell}$.
- There is a geometric counterpart to this fact.
- Given \mathfrak{p} prime of good reduction, let $A_{\mathfrak{p}} := A \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Theorem (Morita and Yoshida, 1970s)

Let A/k be a QM abelian surface. For all but finitely primes \mathfrak{p} , $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \sim E^2$.

Questions

Suppose $\dim A > 2$ and $\text{End}^0(A)$ is non-commutative.

- Does $V_{\ell}(A)$ split into some copies of the same subrepresentation?
- Do we have a representation with values in $\text{End}^0(A)$?
- Do we have $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ split up to isogeny for all but finitely many primes \mathfrak{p} ?

Splitting behaviour: QM abelian surfaces II

- A/k with QM $\rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell}$.
- There is a geometric counterpart to this fact.
- Given \mathfrak{p} prime of good reduction, let $A_{\mathfrak{p}} := A \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Theorem (Morita and Yoshida, 1970s)

Let A/k be a QM abelian surface. For all but finitely primes \mathfrak{p} , $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \sim E^2$.

Questions

Suppose $\dim A > 2$ and $\text{End}^0(A)$ is non-commutative.

- Does $V_{\ell}(A)$ split into some copies of the same subrepresentation?
- Do we have a representation with values in $\text{End}^0(A)$?
- Do we have $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ split up to isogeny for all but finitely many primes \mathfrak{p} ?

Splitting behaviour: QM abelian surfaces II

- A/k with QM $\rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell}$.
- There is a geometric counterpart to this fact.
- Given \mathfrak{p} prime of good reduction, let $A_{\mathfrak{p}} := A \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Theorem (Morita and Yoshida, 1970s)

Let A/k be a QM abelian surface. For all but finitely many primes \mathfrak{p} , $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \sim E^2$.

Questions

Suppose $\dim A > 2$ and $\text{End}^0(A)$ is non-commutative.

- Does $V_{\ell}(A)$ split into some copies of the same subrepresentation?
- Do we have a representation with values in $\text{End}^0(A)$?
- Do we have $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ split up to isogeny for all but finitely many primes \mathfrak{p} ?

Splitting behaviour: QM abelian surfaces II

- A/k with QM $\rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell}$.
- There is a geometric counterpart to this fact.
- Given \mathfrak{p} prime of good reduction, let $A_{\mathfrak{p}} := A \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Theorem (Morita and Yoshida, 1970s)

Let A/k be a QM abelian surface. For all but finitely primes \mathfrak{p} , $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \sim E^2$.

Questions

Suppose $\dim A > 2$ and $\text{End}^0(A)$ is non-commutative.

- Does $V_{\ell}(A)$ split into some copies of the same subrepresentation?
- Do we have a representation with values in $\text{End}^0(A)$?
- Do we have $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ split up to isogeny for all but finitely many primes \mathfrak{p} ?

Splitting behaviour: QM abelian surfaces II

- A/k with QM $\rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\ell} \simeq \sigma_{A,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A,\ell}$.
- There is a geometric counterpart to this fact.
- Given \mathfrak{p} prime of good reduction, let $A_{\mathfrak{p}} := A \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Theorem (Morita and Yoshida, 1970s)

Let A/k be a QM abelian surface. For all but finitely primes \mathfrak{p} , $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \sim E^2$.

Questions

Suppose $\dim A > 2$ and $\text{End}^0(A)$ is non-commutative.

- Does $V_{\ell}(A)$ split into some copies of the same subrepresentation?
- Do we have a representation with values in $\text{End}^0(A)$?
- Do we have $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ split up to isogeny for all but finitely many primes \mathfrak{p} ?

Organization of the talk

- ① Introduction
- ② Local conditions on endomorphism algebras (Chapters 2, 3)
- ③ Abelian varieties of GL_n -type (Chapters 4, 5)
- ④ Examples of abelian varieties genuinely of GSp_4 -type (Chapter 6)
- ⑤ k -varieties and Galois representations (Chapter 7)

Local conditions on endomorphism algebras (Chapters 2, 3)

Conditions on $\text{End}^0(A)$

- Let A a simple abelian variety over a number field k . Want to relate $\text{End}^0(A)$ to other algebras.
- If \mathfrak{p} is a prime of good reduction, we have embedding to algebra of $A_{\mathfrak{p}} := A \bmod \mathfrak{p}$,

$$\text{End}^0(A) \rightarrow \text{End}^0(A_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

- If K/k is an extension, we have an embedding to algebra of base change A_K ,

$$\text{End}^0(A) \rightarrow \text{End}^0(A_K).$$

- Assume $\text{End}^0(A_{\mathfrak{p}}), \text{End}^0(A_K)$ are simple algebras. Can we relate the Brauer classes $[\text{End}^0(A)]$ and $[\text{End}^0(A_{\mathfrak{p}})], [\text{End}^0(A_K)]$?
- General principle: if $X \subset Y$ are simple algebras, then $[X] \approx [Y]$ as Brauer classes.

Conditions on $\text{End}^0(A)$

- Let A a simple abelian variety over a number field k . Want to relate $\text{End}^0(A)$ to other algebras.
- If \mathfrak{p} is a prime of good reduction, we have embedding to algebra of $A_{\mathfrak{p}} := A \bmod \mathfrak{p}$,

$$\text{End}^0(A) \rightarrow \text{End}^0(A_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

- If K/k is an extension, we have an embedding to algebra of base change A_K ,

$$\text{End}^0(A) \rightarrow \text{End}^0(A_K).$$

- Assume $\text{End}^0(A_{\mathfrak{p}}), \text{End}^0(A_K)$ are simple algebras. Can we relate the Brauer classes $[\text{End}^0(A)]$ and $[\text{End}^0(A_{\mathfrak{p}})], [\text{End}^0(A_K)]$?
- General principle: if $X \subset Y$ are simple algebras, then $[X] \approx [Y]$ as Brauer classes.

Conditions on $\text{End}^0(A)$

- Let A a simple abelian variety over a number field k . Want to relate $\text{End}^0(A)$ to other algebras.
- If \mathfrak{p} is a prime of good reduction, we have embedding to algebra of $A_{\mathfrak{p}} := A \bmod \mathfrak{p}$,

$$\text{End}^0(A) \rightarrow \text{End}^0(A_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

- If K/k is an extension, we have an embedding to algebra of base change A_K ,

$$\text{End}^0(A) \rightarrow \text{End}^0(A_K).$$

- Assume $\text{End}^0(A_{\mathfrak{p}}), \text{End}^0(A_K)$ are simple algebras. Can we relate the Brauer classes $[\text{End}^0(A)]$ and $[\text{End}^0(A_{\mathfrak{p}})], [\text{End}^0(A_K)]$?
- General principle: if $X \subset Y$ are simple algebras, then $[X] \approx [Y]$ as Brauer classes.

Conditions on $\text{End}^0(A)$

- Let A a simple abelian variety over a number field k . Want to relate $\text{End}^0(A)$ to other algebras.
- If \mathfrak{p} is a prime of good reduction, we have embedding to algebra of $A_{\mathfrak{p}} := A \bmod \mathfrak{p}$,

$$\text{End}^0(A) \rightarrow \text{End}^0(A_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

- If K/k is an extension, we have an embedding to algebra of base change A_K ,

$$\text{End}^0(A) \rightarrow \text{End}^0(A_K).$$

- Assume $\text{End}^0(A_{\mathfrak{p}}), \text{End}^0(A_K)$ are simple algebras. Can we relate the Brauer classes $[\text{End}^0(A)]$ and $[\text{End}^0(A_{\mathfrak{p}})], [\text{End}^0(A_K)]$?
- General principle: if $X \subset Y$ are simple algebras, then $[X] \approx [Y]$ as Brauer classes.

Conditions on $\text{End}^0(A)$

- Let A a simple abelian variety over a number field k . Want to relate $\text{End}^0(A)$ to other algebras.
- If \mathfrak{p} is a prime of good reduction, we have embedding to algebra of $A_{\mathfrak{p}} := A \bmod \mathfrak{p}$,

$$\text{End}^0(A) \rightarrow \text{End}^0(A_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

- If K/k is an extension, we have an embedding to algebra of base change A_K ,

$$\text{End}^0(A) \rightarrow \text{End}^0(A_K).$$

- Assume $\text{End}^0(A_{\mathfrak{p}}), \text{End}^0(A_K)$ are simple algebras. Can we relate the Brauer classes $[\text{End}^0(A)]$ and $[\text{End}^0(A_{\mathfrak{p}})], [\text{End}^0(A_K)]$?
- General principle: if $X \subset Y$ are simple algebras, then $[X] \approx [Y]$ as Brauer classes.

A theorem on split reductions

Theorem (Achter '09, Zywina '14)

Let A/k be an abelian variety with non-commutative $\text{End}^0(A)$.
For every prime \mathfrak{p} out of a set of density zero, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ splits.

Theorem (F.)

Let k number field, A/k simple abelian variety with non-commutative $\text{End}^0(A)$. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of good reduction.

- If $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is simple, then $\text{End}^0(A)$ ramifies at a place over p .
- $\rightsquigarrow S = \{\mathfrak{p} \text{ good prime} : A_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ simple}\}$ is **finite**. “ $\subset \text{Ram}(\text{End}^0(A))$ ”

Idea of proof:

- Prove an equality $t[\text{End}^0(A) \otimes_F F(\pi)] = t[\text{End}^0(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\pi)} F(\pi)]$ in $\text{Br}(F(\pi))$, for some $t > 0$.
- The latter only ramifies at (places over) p . □

A theorem on split reductions

Theorem (Achter '09, Zywina '14)

Let A/k be an abelian variety with non-commutative $\text{End}^0(A)$.
For every prime \mathfrak{p} out of a set of density zero, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ splits.

Theorem (F.)

Let k number field, A/k simple abelian variety with non-commutative $\text{End}^0(A)$. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of good reduction.

- If $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is simple, then $\text{End}^0(A)$ ramifies at a place over p .
- $\rightsquigarrow S = \{\mathfrak{p} \text{ good prime} : A_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ simple}\}$ is finite. “ $\subset \text{Ram}(\text{End}^0(A))$ ”

Idea of proof:

- Prove an equality $t[\text{End}^0(A) \otimes_F F(\pi)] = t[\text{End}^0(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\pi)} F(\pi)]$ in $\text{Br}(F(\pi))$, for some $t > 0$.
- The latter only ramifies at (places over) p . □

A theorem on split reductions

Theorem (Achter '09, Zywina '14)

Let A/k be an abelian variety with non-commutative $\text{End}^0(A)$.
For every prime \mathfrak{p} out of a set of density zero, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ splits.

Theorem (F.)

Let k number field, A/k simple abelian variety with non-commutative $\text{End}^0(A)$. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of good reduction.

- If $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is simple, then $\text{End}^0(A)$ ramifies at a place over p .
- $\rightsquigarrow S = \{\mathfrak{p} \text{ good prime} : A_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ simple}\}$ is finite. “ $\subset \text{Ram}(\text{End}^0(A))$ ”

Idea of proof:

- Prove an equality $t[\text{End}^0(A) \otimes_F F(\pi)] = t[\text{End}^0(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\pi)} F(\pi)]$ in $\text{Br}(F(\pi))$, for some $t > 0$.
- The latter only ramifies at (places over) p . □

A theorem on split reductions

Theorem (Achter '09, Zywina '14)

Let A/k be an abelian variety with non-commutative $\text{End}^0(A)$.
For every prime \mathfrak{p} out of a set of density zero, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ splits.

Theorem (F.)

Let k number field, A/k simple abelian variety with non-commutative $\text{End}^0(A)$. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of good reduction.

- If $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is simple, then $\text{End}^0(A)$ ramifies at a place over p .
- $\rightsquigarrow S = \{\mathfrak{p} \text{ good prime} : A_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ simple}\}$ is **finite**. “ $\subset \text{Ram}(\text{End}^0(A))$ ”

Idea of proof:

- Prove an equality $t[\text{End}^0(A) \otimes_F F(\pi)] = t[\text{End}^0(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\pi)} F(\pi)]$ in $\text{Br}(F(\pi))$, for some $t > 0$.
- The latter only ramifies at (places over) p . □

A theorem on split reductions

Theorem (Achter '09, Zywina '14)

Let A/k be an abelian variety with non-commutative $\text{End}^0(A)$.
For every prime \mathfrak{p} out of a set of density zero, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ splits.

Theorem (F.)

Let k number field, A/k simple abelian variety with non-commutative $\text{End}^0(A)$. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of good reduction.

- If $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is simple, then $\text{End}^0(A)$ ramifies at a place over p .
- $\rightsquigarrow S = \{\mathfrak{p} \text{ good prime} : A_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ simple}\}$ is **finite**. “ $\subset \text{Ram}(\text{End}^0(A))$ ”

Idea of proof:

- Prove an equality $t[\text{End}^0(A) \otimes_F F(\pi)] = t[\text{End}^0(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\pi)} F(\pi)]$ in $\text{Br}(F(\pi))$, for some $t > 0$.
- The latter only ramifies at (places over) p . □

A theorem on split reductions

Theorem (Achter '09, Zywina '14)

Let A/k be an abelian variety with non-commutative $\text{End}^0(A)$.
For every prime \mathfrak{p} out of a set of density zero, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ splits.

Theorem (F.)

Let k number field, A/k simple abelian variety with non-commutative $\text{End}^0(A)$. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of good reduction.

- If $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is simple, then $\text{End}^0(A)$ ramifies at a place over p .
- $\rightsquigarrow S = \{\mathfrak{p} \text{ good prime} : A_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ simple}\}$ is **finite**. “ $\subset \text{Ram}(\text{End}^0(A))$ ”

Idea of proof:

- Prove an equality $t[\text{End}^0(A) \otimes_F F(\pi)] = t[\text{End}^0(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\pi)} F(\pi)]$ in $\text{Br}(F(\pi))$, for some $t > 0$.
- The latter only ramifies at (places over) p . \square

The set of simple reductions

- $S = \{\mathfrak{p} \text{ good prime} : A_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ simple}\} \subset \text{Ram}(\text{End}^0(A))$.

Question

- Can we say anything more about the set of simple reductions?
- Is it ever nonempty?

Theorem

Let k number field, A/k a simple abelian fourfold such that $\text{End}^0(A)$ is a quaternion algebra over \mathbf{Q} .

- For every good \mathfrak{p} , $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is split or supersingular.
- In particular, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is always **geometrically split**.

The set of simple reductions

- $S = \{\mathfrak{p} \text{ good prime} : A_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ simple}\} \subset \text{Ram}(\text{End}^0(A))$.

Question

- Can we say anything more about the set of simple reductions?
- Is it ever nonempty?

Theorem

Let k number field, A/k a simple abelian fourfold such that $\text{End}^0(A)$ is a quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} .

- For every good \mathfrak{p} , $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is split or supersingular.
- In particular, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is always **geometrically split**.

The set of simple reductions

- $S = \{\mathfrak{p} \text{ good prime} : A_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ simple}\} \subset \text{Ram}(\text{End}^0(A))$.

Question

- Can we say anything more about the set of simple reductions?
- Is it ever nonempty?

Theorem

Let k number field, A/k a simple abelian fourfold such that $\text{End}^0(A)$ is a **quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q}** .

- For every good \mathfrak{p} , $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is split or supersingular.
- In particular, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is always **geometrically split**.

The set of simple reductions

- $S = \{\mathfrak{p} \text{ good prime} : A_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ simple}\} \subset \text{Ram}(\text{End}^0(A))$.

Question

- Can we say anything more about the set of simple reductions?
- Is it ever nonempty?

Theorem

Let k number field, A/k a simple abelian fourfold such that $\text{End}^0(A)$ is a **quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q}** .

- For every good \mathfrak{p} , $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is split or supersingular.
- In particular, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is always geometrically split.

The set of simple reductions

- $S = \{\mathfrak{p} \text{ good prime} : A_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ simple}\} \subset \text{Ram}(\text{End}^0(A))$.

Question

- Can we say anything more about the set of simple reductions?
- Is it ever nonempty?

Theorem

Let k number field, A/k a simple abelian fourfold such that $\text{End}^0(A)$ is a **quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q}** .

- For every good \mathfrak{p} , $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is split or supersingular.
- In particular, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is always **geometrically split**.

An example with a geometrically simple reduction

Proposition

There exists a simple abelian fourfold A over $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-3}, \sqrt{13})$, given as a quotient of $J_1(156)$, such that

- $\text{End}^0(A)$ is a quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$, and
- A is geometrically simple modulo the primes of K over 17.

- Found by looking at Quer's tables of \mathbb{Q} -varieties.
- For now, no systematic way to produce these examples.

An example with a geometrically simple reduction

Proposition

There exists a simple abelian fourfold A over $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-3}, \sqrt{13})$, given as a quotient of $J_1(156)$, such that

- ① $\text{End}^0(A)$ is a **quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$** , and
- ② A is **geometrically simple** modulo the primes of K over 17.

- Found by looking at Quer's tables of \mathbb{Q} -varieties.
- For now, no systematic way to produce these examples.

An example with a geometrically simple reduction

Proposition

There exists a simple abelian fourfold A over $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-3}, \sqrt{13})$, given as a quotient of $J_1(156)$, such that

- ① $\text{End}^0(A)$ is a **quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$** , and
- ② A is **geometrically simple** modulo the primes of K over 17.

- Found by looking at Quer's tables of \mathbb{Q} -varieties.
- For now, no systematic way to produce these examples.

An example with a geometrically simple reduction

Proposition

There exists a simple abelian fourfold A over $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-3}, \sqrt{13})$, given as a quotient of $J_1(156)$, such that

- ① $\text{End}^0(A)$ is a **quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$** , and
- ② A is **geometrically simple** modulo the primes of K over 17.

- Found by looking at Quer's tables of \mathbb{Q} -varieties.
- For now, no systematic way to produce these examples.

An example with a geometrically simple reduction

Proposition

There exists a simple abelian fourfold A over $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-3}, \sqrt{13})$, given as a quotient of $J_1(156)$, such that

- ① $\text{End}^0(A)$ is a **quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$** , and
- ② A is **geometrically simple** modulo the primes of K over 17.

- Found by looking at Quer's tables of \mathbb{Q} -varieties.
- For now, no systematic way to produce these examples.

Abelian varieties of GL_n -type (Chapters 4, 5)

The Tate module

- A abelian variety over number field k , ℓ prime.
- Let $V_\ell(A) := \varprojlim_n A[\ell^n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \simeq \mathbb{Q}_\ell^{2 \dim A}$.
- $G_k := \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ acts on $V_\ell(A) \rightsquigarrow$ continuous Galois representation

$$\rho_{A,\ell} : G_k \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2 \dim A}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

Properties of interest

- Irreducible Subrepresentations $\rho_{A,\lambda}$ of $\rho_{A,\ell}$.
- Relation of $\rho_{A,\ell}$ with features of $\text{End}^0(A)$.
- Galois-equivariant pairings to restrict image $\rho_{A,\lambda}(G_k)$.

Introducing coefficients

- Suppose we have a field $E \subseteq \text{End}^0(A)$.
- Ribet '75: $V_\ell(A)$ is a free $E \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -module, of rank $\frac{2 \dim A}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]}$.
- By considering the projection $E \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \simeq \prod_{\mathfrak{L}'|\ell} E_{\mathfrak{L}'} \rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{L}}$, can define

$$V_{\mathfrak{L}}(A) := V_\ell(A) \otimes_{E \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell} E_{\mathfrak{L}},$$

of $E_{\mathfrak{L}}$ -dimension $\frac{2 \dim A}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]}$.

Definition

Let A/k be an abelian variety. We say A is **of GL_n -type** if

- ① there exists a number field $E \rightarrow \text{End}^0(A)$,
- ② such that $n = \frac{2 \dim A}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]}$.

Introducing coefficients

- Suppose we have a field $E \subseteq \text{End}^0(A)$.
- Ribet '75: $V_\ell(A)$ is a free $E \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -module, of rank $\frac{2 \dim A}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]}$.
- By considering the projection $E \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \simeq \prod_{\mathfrak{L}'|\ell} E_{\mathfrak{L}'} \rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{L}}$, can define

$$V_{\mathfrak{L}}(A) := V_\ell(A) \otimes_{E \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell} E_{\mathfrak{L}},$$

of $E_{\mathfrak{L}}$ -dimension $\frac{2 \dim A}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]}$.

Definition

Let A/k be an abelian variety. We say A is **of GL_n -type** if

- ① there exists a number field $E \rightarrow \text{End}^0(A)$,
- ② such that $n = \frac{2 \dim A}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]}$.

Introducing coefficients

- Suppose we have a field $E \subseteq \text{End}^0(A)$.
- Ribet '75: $V_\ell(A)$ is a free $E \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -module, of rank $\frac{2 \dim A}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]}$.
- By considering the projection $E \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \simeq \prod_{\mathfrak{L}'|\ell} E_{\mathfrak{L}'} \rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{L}}$, can define

$$V_{\mathfrak{L}}(A) := V_\ell(A) \otimes_{E \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell} E_{\mathfrak{L}},$$

of $E_{\mathfrak{L}}$ -dimension $\frac{2 \dim A}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]}$.

Definition

Let A/k be an abelian variety. We say A is **of GL_n -type** if

- ① there exists a number field $E \rightarrow \text{End}^0(A)$,
- ② such that $n = \frac{2 \dim A}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]}$.

Introducing coefficients

- Suppose we have a field $E \subseteq \text{End}^0(A)$.
- Ribet '75: $V_\ell(A)$ is a free $E \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -module, of rank $\frac{2 \dim A}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]}$.
- By considering the projection $E \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \simeq \prod_{\mathfrak{L}'|\ell} E_{\mathfrak{L}'} \rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{L}}$, can define

$$V_{\mathfrak{L}}(A) := V_\ell(A) \otimes_{E \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell} E_{\mathfrak{L}},$$

of $E_{\mathfrak{L}}$ -dimension $\frac{2 \dim A}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]}$.

Definition

Let A/k be an abelian variety. We say A is **of GL_n -type** if

- ① there exists a number field $E \rightarrow \text{End}^0(A)$,
- ② such that $n = \frac{2 \dim A}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]}$.

Abelian varieties of GL_n -type

Definition

Let A/k be an abelian variety. We say A is **of GL_n -type** if

- ① there exists a number field $E \rightarrow \mathrm{End}^0(A)$,
- ② such that $n = \frac{2\dim A}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]}$.

- If A is of GL_n -type, then we have a representation

$$\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(E_{\mathfrak{L}})$$

for every prime \mathfrak{L} of E .

- The set $\{\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}\}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is a strictly compatible system.
- Suppose A is simple. If E is maximal in $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$, then each $\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}$ is absolutely irreducible.

Abelian varieties of GL_n -type

Definition

Let A/k be an abelian variety. We say A is **of GL_n -type** if

- ① there exists a number field $E \rightarrow \mathrm{End}^0(A)$,
- ② such that $n = \frac{2\dim A}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]}$.

- If A is of GL_n -type, then we have a representation

$$\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(E_{\mathfrak{L}})$$

for every prime \mathfrak{L} of E .

- The set $\{\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}\}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is a strictly compatible system.
- Suppose A is simple. If E is **maximal** in $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$, then each $\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}$ is absolutely irreducible.

Properties of GL_2 -types over \mathbb{Q}

Theorem (Ribet)

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be simple of GL_2 -type, $E \subseteq \mathrm{End}^0(A)$ maximal, and suppose $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$ has no isogeny factor with CM.

- ① $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim B^r$ for some simple B of GL_2 -type without CM.
- ② $E = \mathrm{End}^0(A)$.
- ③ $E = \mathbb{Q}(\{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_p))\}_{p \nmid \ell N})$.
- ④ $\mathrm{End}^0(B) = \begin{cases} \text{a totally real field } F, \text{ or} \\ \text{a totally indefinite quaternion algebra over } F. \end{cases}$
- ⑤ There exists a finite character $\varepsilon : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow E^\times$ such that $\det \rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} = \varepsilon \chi_\ell$.
 ε : Nebentype of A
- ⑥ $F = \mathbb{Q}\left(\left\{\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_p))^2}{\varepsilon(\mathrm{Frob}_p)}\right\}_{p \nmid \ell N}\right).$

Properties of GL_2 -types over \mathbb{Q}

Theorem (Ribet)

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be simple of GL_2 -type, $E \subseteq \mathrm{End}^0(A)$ maximal, and suppose $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$ has no isogeny factor with CM.

- ① $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim B^r$ for some simple B of GL_2 -type without CM.
- ② $E = \mathrm{End}^0(A)$.
- ③ $E = \mathbb{Q}(\{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_p))\}_{p \nmid \ell N})$.
- ④ $\mathrm{End}^0(B) = \begin{cases} \text{a totally real field } F, \text{ or} \\ \text{a totally indefinite quaternion algebra over } F. \end{cases}$
- ⑤ There exists a finite character $\varepsilon : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow E^\times$ such that $\det \rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} = \varepsilon \chi_\ell$.
 ε : Nebentype of A
- ⑥ $F = \mathbb{Q}\left(\left\{\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_p))^2}{\varepsilon(\mathrm{Frob}_p)}\right\}_{p \nmid \ell N}\right).$

Properties of GL_2 -types over \mathbb{Q}

Theorem (Ribet)

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be simple of GL_2 -type, $E \subseteq \mathrm{End}^0(A)$ maximal, and suppose $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$ has no isogeny factor with CM.

- ① $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim B^r$ for some simple B of GL_2 -type without CM.
- ② $E = \mathrm{End}^0(A)$.
- ③ $E = \mathbb{Q}(\{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_p))\}_{p \nmid \ell N})$.
- ④ $\mathrm{End}^0(B) = \begin{cases} \text{a totally real field } F, \text{ or} \\ \text{a totally indefinite quaternion algebra over } F. \end{cases}$
- ⑤ There exists a finite character $\varepsilon : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow E^\times$ such that $\det \rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} = \varepsilon \chi_\ell$.
 ε : Nebentype of A
- ⑥ $F = \mathbb{Q}\left(\left\{\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_p))^2}{\varepsilon(\mathrm{Frob}_p)}\right\}_{p \nmid \ell N}\right).$

Properties of GL_2 -types over \mathbb{Q}

Theorem (Ribet)

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be simple of GL_2 -type, $E \subseteq \mathrm{End}^0(A)$ maximal, and suppose $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$ has no isogeny factor with CM.

- ① $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim B^r$ for some simple B of GL_2 -type without CM.
- ② $E = \mathrm{End}^0(A)$.
- ③ $E = \mathbb{Q}(\{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_p))\}_{p \nmid \ell N})$.
- ④ $\mathrm{End}^0(B) = \begin{cases} \text{a totally real field } F, \text{ or} \\ \text{a totally indefinite quaternion algebra over } F. \end{cases}$
- ⑤ There exists a finite character $\varepsilon : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow E^\times$ such that $\det \rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} = \varepsilon \chi_\ell$.
 ε : Nebentype of A
- ⑥ $F = \mathbb{Q}\left(\left\{\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_p))^2}{\varepsilon(\mathrm{Frob}_p)}\right\}_{p \nmid \ell N}\right).$

Properties of GL_2 -types over \mathbb{Q}

Theorem (Ribet)

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be simple of GL_2 -type, $E \subseteq \mathrm{End}^0(A)$ maximal, and suppose $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$ has no isogeny factor with CM.

- ① $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim B^r$ for some simple B of GL_2 -type without CM.
- ② $E = \mathrm{End}^0(A)$.
- ③ $E = \mathbb{Q}(\{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_p))\}_{p \nmid \ell N})$.
- ④ $\mathrm{End}^0(B) = \begin{cases} \text{a totally real field } F, \text{ or} \\ \text{a totally indefinite quaternion algebra over } F. \end{cases}$
- ⑤ There exists a finite character $\varepsilon : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow E^\times$ such that $\det \rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} = \varepsilon \chi_\ell$.
 ε : Nebentype of A
- ⑥ $F = \mathbb{Q}\left(\left\{\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_p))^2}{\varepsilon(\mathrm{Frob}_p)}\right\}_{p \nmid \ell N}\right).$

Properties of GL_2 -types over \mathbb{Q}

Theorem (Ribet)

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be simple of GL_2 -type, $E \subseteq \mathrm{End}^0(A)$ maximal, and suppose $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$ has no isogeny factor with CM.

- ① $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim B^r$ for some simple B of GL_2 -type without CM.
- ② $E = \mathrm{End}^0(A)$.
- ③ $E = \mathbb{Q}(\{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_p))\}_{p \nmid \ell N})$.
- ④ $\mathrm{End}^0(B) = \begin{cases} \text{a totally real field } F, \text{ or} \\ \text{a totally indefinite quaternion algebra over } F. \end{cases}$
- ⑤ There exists a finite character $\varepsilon : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow E^\times$ such that $\det \rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} = \varepsilon \chi_\ell$.
 ε : Nebentype of A
- ⑥ $F = \mathbb{Q}\left(\left\{\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_p))^2}{\varepsilon(\mathrm{Frob}_p)}\right\}_{p \nmid \ell N}\right).$

Properties of GL_2 -types over \mathbb{Q}

Theorem (Ribet)

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be simple of GL_2 -type, $E \subseteq \mathrm{End}^0(A)$ maximal, and suppose $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$ has no isogeny factor with CM.

- ① $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim B^r$ for some simple B of GL_2 -type without CM.
- ② $E = \mathrm{End}^0(A)$.
- ③ $E = \mathbb{Q}(\{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_p))\}_{p \nmid \ell N})$.
- ④ $\mathrm{End}^0(B) = \begin{cases} \text{a totally real field } F, \text{ or} \\ \text{a totally indefinite quaternion algebra over } F. \end{cases}$
- ⑤ There exists a finite character $\varepsilon : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow E^\times$ such that $\det \rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} = \varepsilon \chi_\ell$.
 ε : Nebentype of A
- ⑥ $F = \mathbb{Q}\left(\left\{\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_p))^2}{\varepsilon(\mathrm{Frob}_p)}\right\}_{p \nmid \ell N}\right).$

Building blocks

- Ribet used the hypothesis “ A of GL_2 -type, $A_{\bar{k}}$ has no CM isogeny factor”.
- Suppose A/k is simple of GL_n -type.

Definition

We say A is **genuinely of GL_n -type** if $A_{\bar{k}}$ has no isogeny factor of GL_m -type, for $m < n$.

- If A is genuinely of GL_n -type, then $A_{\bar{k}} \sim B^r$, B simple of GL_n -type.
We call B the **building block associated to A** .
- B enjoys many properties found in the theory of building blocks by Ribet, Pyle, Quer and Guitart.

Building blocks

- Ribet used the hypothesis “ A of GL_2 -type, $A_{\bar{k}}$ has no CM isogeny factor”.
- Suppose A/k is simple of GL_n -type.

Definition

We say A is **genuinely of GL_n -type** if $A_{\bar{k}}$ has no isogeny factor of GL_m -type, for $m < n$.

- If A is genuinely of GL_n -type, then $A_{\bar{k}} \sim B^r$, B simple of GL_n -type.
We call B the **building block associated to A** .
- B enjoys many properties found in the theory of building blocks by Ribet, Pyle, Quer and Guitart.

Building blocks

- Ribet used the hypothesis “ A of GL_2 -type, $A_{\bar{k}}$ has no CM isogeny factor”.
- Suppose A/k is simple of GL_n -type.

Definition

We say A is **genuinely of GL_n -type** if $A_{\bar{k}}$ has no isogeny factor of GL_m -type, for $m < n$.

- If A is genuinely of GL_n -type, then $A_{\bar{k}} \sim B^r$, B simple of GL_n -type.
We call B the **building block associated to A** .
- B enjoys many properties found in the theory of building blocks by Ribet, Pyle, Quer and Guitart.

Building blocks

- Ribet used the hypothesis “ A of GL_2 -type, $A_{\bar{k}}$ has no CM isogeny factor”.
- Suppose A/k is simple of GL_n -type.

Definition

We say A is **genuinely of GL_n -type** if $A_{\bar{k}}$ has no isogeny factor of GL_m -type, for $m < n$.

- If A is genuinely of GL_n -type, then $A_{\bar{k}} \sim B^r$, B simple of GL_n -type.
We call B the **building block associated to A** .
- B enjoys many properties found in the theory of building blocks by Ribet, Pyle, Quer and Guitart.

Building blocks

- Ribet used the hypothesis “ A of GL_2 -type, $A_{\bar{k}}$ has no CM isogeny factor”.
- Suppose A/k is simple of GL_n -type.

Definition

We say A is **genuinely of GL_n -type** if $A_{\bar{k}}$ has no isogeny factor of GL_m -type, for $m < n$.

- If A is genuinely of GL_n -type, then $A_{\bar{k}} \sim B^r$, B simple of GL_n -type.
We call B the **building block associated to A** .
- B enjoys many properties found in the theory of building blocks by Ribet, Pyle, Quer and Guitart.

Refining the coefficients

- Suppose A/k is simple of GL_n -type, $E \subseteq \mathrm{End}^0(A)$ maximal.
- Let H be the center of $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$.
- For a prime \mathfrak{L} of E , we find

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})) \in H.$$

- In fact, $H = \mathbb{Q}(\{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})\}_{\mathfrak{p}})$.
- For every λ at which $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$ splits, there exists an irreducible Galois module $W_{\lambda}(A) \simeq H_{\lambda}^n$, such that

$$V_{\mathfrak{L}}(A) \simeq W_{\lambda}(A) \otimes_{H_{\lambda}} E_{\mathfrak{L}}$$

for $\mathfrak{L} \mid \lambda$.

- $V_{\ell}(A) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \mid \ell} \mathrm{Res}_{H_{\lambda}/\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} W_{\lambda}(A)^{\oplus t_A}$, where $t_A^2 = \dim_H \mathrm{End}^0(A)$.

Refining the coefficients

- Suppose A/k is simple of GL_n -type, $E \subseteq \mathrm{End}^0(A)$ maximal.
- Let H be the center of $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$.
- For a prime \mathfrak{L} of E , we find

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})) \in H.$$

- In fact, $H = \mathbb{Q}(\{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})\}_{\mathfrak{p}})$.
- For every λ at which $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$ splits, there exists an irreducible Galois module $W_{\lambda}(A) \simeq H_{\lambda}^n$, such that

$$V_{\mathfrak{L}}(A) \simeq W_{\lambda}(A) \otimes_{H_{\lambda}} E_{\mathfrak{L}}$$

for $\mathfrak{L} \mid \lambda$.

- $V_{\ell}(A) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \mid \ell} \mathrm{Res}_{H_{\lambda}/\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} W_{\lambda}(A)^{\oplus t_A}$, where $t_A^2 = \dim_H \mathrm{End}^0(A)$.

Refining the coefficients

- Suppose A/k is simple of GL_n -type, $E \subseteq \mathrm{End}^0(A)$ maximal.
- Let H be the center of $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$.
- For a prime \mathfrak{L} of E , we find

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})) \in H.$$

- In fact, $H = \mathbb{Q}(\{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})\}_{\mathfrak{p}})$.
- For every λ at which $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$ splits, there exists an irreducible Galois module $W_{\lambda}(A) \simeq H_{\lambda}^n$, such that

$$V_{\mathfrak{L}}(A) \simeq W_{\lambda}(A) \otimes_{H_{\lambda}} E_{\mathfrak{L}}$$

for $\mathfrak{L} \mid \lambda$.

- $V_{\ell}(A) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \mid \ell} \mathrm{Res}_{H_{\lambda}/\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} W_{\lambda}(A)^{\oplus t_A}$, where $t_A^2 = \dim_H \mathrm{End}^0(A)$.

Refining the coefficients

- Suppose A/k is simple of GL_n -type, $E \subseteq \mathrm{End}^0(A)$ maximal.
- Let H be the center of $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$.
- For a prime \mathfrak{L} of E , we find

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})) \in H.$$

- In fact, $H = \mathbb{Q}(\{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})\}_{\mathfrak{p}})$.
- For every λ at which $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$ splits, there exists an irreducible Galois module $W_{\lambda}(A) \simeq H_{\lambda}^n$, such that

$$V_{\mathfrak{L}}(A) \simeq W_{\lambda}(A) \otimes_{H_{\lambda}} E_{\mathfrak{L}}$$

for $\mathfrak{L} \mid \lambda$.

- $V_{\ell}(A) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \mid \ell} \mathrm{Res}_{H_{\lambda}/\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} W_{\lambda}(A)^{\oplus t_A}$, where $t_A^2 = \dim_H \mathrm{End}^0(A)$.

Refining the coefficients

- Suppose A/k is simple of GL_n -type, $E \subseteq \mathrm{End}^0(A)$ maximal.
- Let H be the center of $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$.
- For a prime \mathfrak{L} of E , we find

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})) \in H.$$

- In fact, $H = \mathbb{Q}(\{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})\}_{\mathfrak{p}})$.
- For every λ at which $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$ splits, there exists an irreducible Galois module $W_{\lambda}(A) \simeq H_{\lambda}^n$, such that

$$V_{\mathfrak{L}}(A) \simeq W_{\lambda}(A) \otimes_{H_{\lambda}} E_{\mathfrak{L}}$$

for $\mathfrak{L} \mid \lambda$.

- $V_{\ell}(A) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \mid \ell} \mathrm{Res}_{H_{\lambda}/\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} W_{\lambda}(A)^{\oplus t_A}$, where $t_A^2 = \dim_H \mathrm{End}^0(A)$.

Refining the coefficients

- Suppose A/k is simple of GL_n -type, $E \subseteq \mathrm{End}^0(A)$ maximal.
- Let H be the center of $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$.
- For a prime \mathfrak{L} of E , we find

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})) \in H.$$

- In fact, $H = \mathbb{Q}(\{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})\}_{\mathfrak{p}})$.
- For every λ at which $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$ splits, there exists an irreducible Galois module $W_{\lambda}(A) \simeq H_{\lambda}^n$, such that

$$V_{\mathfrak{L}}(A) \simeq W_{\lambda}(A) \otimes_{H_{\lambda}} E_{\mathfrak{L}}$$

for $\mathfrak{L} \mid \lambda$.

- $V_{\ell}(A) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \mid \ell} \mathrm{Res}_{H_{\lambda}/\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} W_{\lambda}(A)^{\oplus t_A}$, where $t_A^2 = \dim_H \mathrm{End}^0(A)$.

The compatible system of representations

Theorem

Let A/k be simple of GL_n -type,
 H the center of $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$, and $t_A^2 = \dim_H \mathrm{End}^0(A)$.

- ① For every $\lambda \notin \mathrm{Ram}(\mathrm{End}^0(A))$, $W_\lambda(A) \simeq H_\lambda^n$.
- ② For $\lambda \in \mathrm{Ram}(\mathrm{End}^0(A))$,

$$\rho_{A,\lambda} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n/t_A}((\mathrm{End}^0(A) \otimes_H H_\lambda)^{\mathrm{op}}).$$

Example

If A/\mathbb{Q} is a fourfold with QM by D , then have

$$\rho_{A,\ell} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2((D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{\mathrm{op}})$$

The compatible system of representations

Theorem

Let A/k be simple of GL_n -type,
 H the center of $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$, and $t_A^2 = \dim_H \mathrm{End}^0(A)$.

- ① For every $\lambda \notin \mathrm{Ram}(\mathrm{End}^0(A))$, $W_\lambda(A) \simeq H_\lambda^n$.
- ② For $\lambda \in \mathrm{Ram}(\mathrm{End}^0(A))$,

$$\rho_{A,\lambda} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n/t_A}((\mathrm{End}^0(A) \otimes_H H_\lambda)^{\mathrm{op}}).$$

Example

If A/\mathbb{Q} is a fourfold with QM by D , then have

$$\rho_{A,\ell} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2((D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{\mathrm{op}})$$

The compatible system of representations

Theorem

Let A/k be simple of GL_n -type,

H the center of $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$, and $t_A^2 = \dim_H \mathrm{End}^0(A)$.

- ① For every $\lambda \notin \mathrm{Ram}(\mathrm{End}^0(A))$, $W_\lambda(A) \simeq H_\lambda^n$.
- ② For $\lambda \in \mathrm{Ram}(\mathrm{End}^0(A))$,

$$\rho_{A,\lambda} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n/t_A}((\mathrm{End}^0(A) \otimes_H H_\lambda)^{op}).$$

Example

If A/\mathbb{Q} is a fourfold with QM by D , then have

$$\rho_{A,\ell} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2((D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{op})$$

The compatible system of representations

Theorem

Let A/k be simple of GL_n -type,

H the center of $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$, and $t_A^2 = \dim_H \mathrm{End}^0(A)$.

- ① For every $\lambda \notin \mathrm{Ram}(\mathrm{End}^0(A))$, $W_\lambda(A) \simeq H_\lambda^n$.
- ② For $\lambda \in \mathrm{Ram}(\mathrm{End}^0(A))$,

$$\rho_{A,\lambda} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n/t_A}((\mathrm{End}^0(A) \otimes_H H_\lambda)^{op}).$$

Example

If A/\mathbb{Q} is a fourfold with QM by D , then have

$$\rho_{A,\ell} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2((D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{op})$$

The compatible system of representations

Theorem

Let A/k be simple of GL_n -type,

H the center of $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$, and $t_A^2 = \dim_H \mathrm{End}^0(A)$.

- ① For every $\lambda \notin \mathrm{Ram}(\mathrm{End}^0(A))$, $W_\lambda(A) \simeq H_\lambda^n$.
- ② For $\lambda \in \mathrm{Ram}(\mathrm{End}^0(A))$,

$$\rho_{A,\lambda} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n/t_A}((\mathrm{End}^0(A) \otimes_H H_\lambda)^{op}).$$

Example

If A/\mathbb{Q} is a fourfold with QM by D , then have

$$\rho_{A,\ell} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2((D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{op})$$

The compatible system of representations

Theorem

Let A/k be simple of GL_n -type,

H the center of $\mathrm{End}^0(A)$, and $t_A^2 = \dim_H \mathrm{End}^0(A)$.

- ① For every $\lambda \notin \mathrm{Ram}(\mathrm{End}^0(A))$, $W_\lambda(A) \simeq H_\lambda^n$.
- ② For $\lambda \in \mathrm{Ram}(\mathrm{End}^0(A))$,

$$\rho_{A,\lambda} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n/t_A}((\mathrm{End}^0(A) \otimes_H H_\lambda)^{op}).$$

Example

If A/\mathbb{Q} is a fourfold with QM by D , then have

$$\rho_{A,\ell} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2((D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{op}) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

Pairings

- For A/\mathbb{Q} of GL_2 -type, we had $\det \rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} = \varepsilon \chi_\ell$.
- To generalize this to larger n , we see the determinant as a (Galois-equivariant) pairing

$$W_\lambda(A) \times W_\lambda(A) \rightarrow H_\lambda,$$

observe that $\mathrm{GL}_2 = \mathrm{GSp}_2$.

Goal

- Want to find a Galois-equivariant pairing

$$\psi_\lambda : W_\lambda(A) \times W_\lambda(A) \rightarrow H_\lambda(\chi).$$

- $\psi_\lambda({}^s v, {}^s w) = \chi(s) \psi_\lambda(v, w)$.
- Chi, Banaszak-Gajda-Krasoń construct ψ_λ when H is a totally real field.
 $H = Z(\mathrm{End}^0(A))$ can be totally real or CM

Pairings

- For A/\mathbb{Q} of GL_2 -type, we had $\det \rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} = \varepsilon \chi_\ell$.
- To generalize this to larger n , we see the determinant as a (Galois-equivariant) pairing

$$W_\lambda(A) \times W_\lambda(A) \rightarrow H_\lambda,$$

observe that $\mathrm{GL}_2 = \mathrm{GSp}_2$.

Goal

- Want to find a Galois-equivariant pairing

$$\psi_\lambda : W_\lambda(A) \times W_\lambda(A) \rightarrow H_\lambda(\chi).$$

- $\psi_\lambda({}^s v, {}^s w) = \chi(s) \psi_\lambda(v, w)$.
- Chi, Banaszak-Gajda-Krasoń construct ψ_λ when H is a totally real field.
 $H = Z(\mathrm{End}^0(A))$ can be totally real or CM

Pairings

- For A/\mathbb{Q} of GL_2 -type, we had $\det \rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} = \varepsilon \chi_\ell$.
- To generalize this to larger n , we see the determinant as a (Galois-equivariant) pairing

$$W_\lambda(A) \times W_\lambda(A) \rightarrow H_\lambda,$$

observe that $\mathrm{GL}_2 = \mathrm{GSp}_2$.

Goal

- Want to find a Galois-equivariant pairing

$$\psi_\lambda : W_\lambda(A) \times W_\lambda(A) \rightarrow H_\lambda(\chi).$$

- $\psi_\lambda({}^s v, {}^s w) = \chi(s) \psi_\lambda(v, w)$.
- Chi, Banaszak-Gajda-Krasoń construct ψ_λ when H is a totally real field.
 $H = Z(\mathrm{End}^0(A))$ can be totally real or CM

Pairings

- For A/\mathbb{Q} of GL_2 -type, we had $\det \rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} = \varepsilon \chi_\ell$.
- To generalize this to larger n , we see the determinant as a (Galois-equivariant) pairing

$$W_\lambda(A) \times W_\lambda(A) \rightarrow H_\lambda,$$

observe that $\mathrm{GL}_2 = \mathrm{GSp}_2$.

Goal

- Want to find a Galois-equivariant pairing

$$\psi_\lambda : W_\lambda(A) \times W_\lambda(A) \rightarrow H_\lambda(\chi).$$

- $\psi_\lambda({}^s v, {}^s w) = \chi(s) \psi_\lambda(v, w)$.

- Chi, Banaszak-Gajda-Krasoń construct ψ_λ when H is a totally real field.
 $H = Z(\mathrm{End}^0(A))$ can be totally real or CM

Pairings

- For A/\mathbb{Q} of GL_2 -type, we had $\det \rho_{A,\mathfrak{L}} = \varepsilon \chi_\ell$.
- To generalize this to larger n , we see the determinant as a (Galois-equivariant) pairing

$$W_\lambda(A) \times W_\lambda(A) \rightarrow H_\lambda,$$

observe that $\mathrm{GL}_2 = \mathrm{GSp}_2$.

Goal

- Want to find a Galois-equivariant pairing

$$\psi_\lambda : W_\lambda(A) \times W_\lambda(A) \rightarrow H_\lambda(\chi).$$

- $\psi_\lambda({}^s v, {}^s w) = \chi(s) \psi_\lambda(v, w)$.
- Chi, Banaszak-Gajda-Krasoń construct ψ_λ when H is a totally real field.
 $H = Z(\mathrm{End}^0(A))$ can be totally real or CM

The Nebentype

- Let $F = Z(\text{End}^0(B))$. We have $F \subseteq H$.
- For every $s \in G_k$ and $\varphi \in \text{End}^0(A_{\bar{k}})$, there exists some $\alpha(s) \in H^\times / F^\times$ such that ${}^s\varphi = \alpha(s)\varphi\alpha(s)^{-1}$.
- Assuming F is totally real, we may define the **Nebentype** of A as the character

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) &\rightarrow H^\times \\ s &\mapsto \alpha(s)/\overline{\alpha(s)}.\end{aligned}$$

Definition

We say A is **geometrically of the first kind** if F is totally real.

Proposition

If $a_p = \text{Tr}(\text{Frob}_p \mid W_\lambda(A))$, then $a_p = \bar{a}_p \cdot \varepsilon(\text{Frob}_p)$.

The Nebentype

- Let $F = Z(\text{End}^0(B))$. We have $F \subseteq H$.
- For every $s \in G_k$ and $\varphi \in \text{End}^0(A_{\bar{k}})$, there exists some $\alpha(s) \in H^\times/F^\times$ such that ${}^s\varphi = \alpha(s)\varphi\alpha(s)^{-1}$.
- Assuming F is totally real, we may define the **Nebentype** of A as the character

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) &\rightarrow H^\times \\ s &\mapsto \alpha(s)/\overline{\alpha(s)}.\end{aligned}$$

Definition

We say A is **geometrically of the first kind** if F is totally real.

Proposition

If $a_p = \text{Tr}(\text{Frob}_p \mid W_\lambda(A))$, then $a_p = \bar{a}_p \cdot \varepsilon(\text{Frob}_p)$.

The Nebentype

- Let $F = Z(\text{End}^0(B))$. We have $F \subseteq H$.
- For every $s \in G_k$ and $\varphi \in \text{End}^0(A_{\bar{k}})$, there exists some $\alpha(s) \in H^\times/F^\times$ such that ${}^s\varphi = \alpha(s)\varphi\alpha(s)^{-1}$.
- Assuming F is totally real, we may define the **Nebentype** of A as the character

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) &\rightarrow H^\times \\ s &\mapsto \alpha(s)/\overline{\alpha(s)}.\end{aligned}$$

Definition

We say A is **geometrically of the first kind** if F is totally real.

Proposition

If $a_p = \text{Tr}(\text{Frob}_p \mid W_\lambda(A))$, then $a_p = \bar{a}_p \cdot \varepsilon(\text{Frob}_p)$.

The Nebentype

- Let $F = Z(\text{End}^0(B))$. We have $F \subseteq H$.
- For every $s \in G_k$ and $\varphi \in \text{End}^0(A_{\bar{k}})$, there exists some $\alpha(s) \in H^\times/F^\times$ such that ${}^s\varphi = \alpha(s)\varphi\alpha(s)^{-1}$.
- Assuming F is totally real, we may define the **Nebentype** of A as the character

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) &\rightarrow H^\times \\ s &\mapsto \alpha(s)/\overline{\alpha(s)}.\end{aligned}$$

Definition

We say A is **geometrically of the first kind** if F is totally real.

Proposition

If $a_p = \text{Tr}(\text{Frob}_p \mid W_\lambda(A))$, then $a_p = \bar{a}_p \cdot \varepsilon(\text{Frob}_p)$.

The Nebentype

- Let $F = Z(\text{End}^0(B))$. We have $F \subseteq H$.
- For every $s \in G_k$ and $\varphi \in \text{End}^0(A_{\bar{k}})$, there exists some $\alpha(s) \in H^\times/F^\times$ such that ${}^s\varphi = \alpha(s)\varphi\alpha(s)^{-1}$.
- Assuming F is totally real, we may define the **Nebentype** of A as the character

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon : \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) &\rightarrow H^\times \\ s &\mapsto \alpha(s)/\overline{\alpha(s)}.\end{aligned}$$

Definition

We say A is **geometrically of the first kind** if F is totally real.

Proposition

If $a_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{Tr}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid W_\lambda(A))$, then $a_{\mathfrak{p}} = \bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot \varepsilon(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

Characterising AVs geometrically of the first kind I

Theorem (Fit -F.-Guitart, F.)

Let A/k genuinely of GL_n -type. The following are equivalent:

- ① A is geometrically of the first kind. (Center F of $\mathrm{End}^0(B)$ is tot. real)
- ② There exists a finite character $\xi : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow H^\times$, such that for all but finitely many λ there exists a nondegenerate H_λ -bilinear, $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -equivariant pairing

$$\psi_\lambda : W_\lambda(A) \times W_\lambda(A) \rightarrow H_\lambda(\xi\chi_\ell).$$

$$\psi_\lambda({}^s v, {}^s w) = \xi(s)\chi_\ell(s)\psi_\lambda(v, w)$$

In that case, $\xi = \varepsilon$, and ψ_λ is unique up to scalars.

~> we have pairings without conditions on H .

Characterising AVs geometrically of the first kind I

Theorem (Fit -F.-Guitart, F.)

Let A/k genuinely of GL_n -type. The following are equivalent:

- ① A is geometrically of the first kind. (Center F of $\mathrm{End}^0(B)$ is tot. real)
- ② There exists a finite character $\xi : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow H^\times$, such that for all but finitely many λ there exists a nondegenerate H_λ -bilinear, $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -equivariant pairing

$$\psi_\lambda : W_\lambda(A) \times W_\lambda(A) \rightarrow H_\lambda(\xi\chi_\ell).$$

$$\psi_\lambda({}^s v, {}^s w) = \xi(s)\chi_\ell(s)\psi_\lambda(v, w)$$

In that case, $\xi = \varepsilon$, and ψ_λ is unique up to scalars.

\rightsquigarrow we have pairings without conditions on H .

Characterising AVs geometrically of the first kind I

Theorem (Fit -F.-Guitart, F.)

Let A/k genuinely of GL_n -type. The following are equivalent:

- ① A is geometrically of the first kind. (Center F of $\mathrm{End}^0(B)$ is tot. real)
- ② There exists a finite character $\xi : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow H^\times$, such that for all but finitely many λ there exists a nondegenerate H_λ -bilinear, $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -equivariant pairing

$$\psi_\lambda : W_\lambda(A) \times W_\lambda(A) \rightarrow H_\lambda(\xi\chi_\ell).$$

$$\psi_\lambda({}^s v, {}^s w) = \xi(s)\chi_\ell(s)\psi_\lambda(v, w)$$

In that case, $\xi = \varepsilon$, and ψ_λ is unique up to scalars.

~ we have pairings without conditions on H .

Characterising AVs geometrically of the first kind I

Theorem (Fit -F.-Guitart, F.)

Let A/k genuinely of GL_n -type. The following are equivalent:

- ① A is geometrically of the first kind. (Center F of $\mathrm{End}^0(B)$ is tot. real)
- ② There exists a finite character $\xi : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow H^\times$, such that for all but finitely many λ there exists a nondegenerate H_λ -bilinear, $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -equivariant pairing

$$\psi_\lambda : W_\lambda(A) \times W_\lambda(A) \rightarrow H_\lambda(\xi\chi_\ell).$$

$$\psi_\lambda({}^s v, {}^s w) = \xi(s)\chi_\ell(s)\psi_\lambda(v, w)$$

In that case, $\xi = \varepsilon$, and ψ_λ is unique up to scalars.

~ we have pairings without conditions on H .

Characterising AVs geometrically of the first kind I

Theorem (Fit -F.-Guitart, F.)

Let A/k genuinely of GL_n -type. The following are equivalent:

- ① A is geometrically of the first kind. (Center F of $\mathrm{End}^0(B)$ is tot. real)
- ② There exists a finite character $\xi : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow H^\times$, such that for all but finitely many λ there exists a nondegenerate H_λ -bilinear, $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -equivariant pairing

$$\psi_\lambda : W_\lambda(A) \times W_\lambda(A) \rightarrow H_\lambda(\xi\chi_\ell).$$

$$\psi_\lambda({}^s v, {}^s w) = \xi(s)\chi_\ell(s)\psi_\lambda(v, w)$$

In that case, $\xi = \varepsilon$, and ψ_λ is unique up to scalars.

\rightsquigarrow we have pairings without conditions on H .

Characterising AVs geometrically of the first kind I

Theorem (Fit -F.-Guitart, F.)

Let A/k genuinely of GL_n -type. The following are equivalent:

- ① A is geometrically of the first kind. (Center F of $\mathrm{End}^0(B)$ is tot. real)
- ② There exists a finite character $\xi : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow H^\times$, such that for all but finitely many λ there exists a nondegenerate H_λ -bilinear, $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -equivariant pairing

$$\psi_\lambda : W_\lambda(A) \times W_\lambda(A) \rightarrow H_\lambda(\xi\chi_\ell).$$

$$\psi_\lambda({}^s v, {}^s w) = \xi(s)\chi_\ell(s)\psi_\lambda(v, w)$$

In that case, $\xi = \varepsilon$, and ψ_λ is unique up to scalars.

~ we have pairings without conditions on H .

Characterising AVs geometrically of the first kind II

Theorem (Fité-F.-Guitart)

Let A be genuinely of GL_n -type and geometrically of the first kind. Then:

- The pairings $\psi_\lambda : W_\lambda(A) \times W_\lambda(A) \rightarrow H_\lambda(\varepsilon\chi_\ell)$ are:
 - Alternating, if

$$\mathrm{End}^0(B) = \begin{cases} \text{a totally real field } F, \text{ or} & \text{Type I} \\ \text{a totally indefinite quaternion algebra over } F. & \text{Type II} \end{cases}$$

- Symmetric, if

$$\mathrm{End}^0(B) = \text{a totally definite quaternion algebra over } F. \quad \text{Type III}$$

- $F = \mathbb{Q} \left(\left\{ \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\lambda}(\mathrm{Frob}_v))^2}{\varepsilon(\mathrm{Frob}_v)} \right\} \right).$

Characterising AVs geometrically of the first kind II

Theorem (Fité-F.-Guitart)

Let A be genuinely of GL_n -type and geometrically of the first kind. Then:

- The pairings $\psi_\lambda : W_\lambda(A) \times W_\lambda(A) \rightarrow H_\lambda(\varepsilon\chi_\ell)$ are:
 - Alternating, if

$$\mathrm{End}^0(B) = \begin{cases} \text{a totally real field } F, \text{ or} & \text{Type I} \\ \text{a totally indefinite quaternion algebra over } F. & \text{Type II} \end{cases}$$

- Symmetric, if

$$\mathrm{End}^0(B) = \text{a totally definite quaternion algebra over } F. \quad \text{Type III}$$

- $F = \mathbb{Q} \left(\left\{ \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\lambda}(\mathrm{Frob}_v))^2}{\varepsilon(\mathrm{Frob}_v)} \right\} \right).$

Characterising AVs geometrically of the first kind II

Theorem (Fité-F.-Guitart)

Let A be genuinely of GL_n -type and geometrically of the first kind. Then:

- The pairings $\psi_\lambda : W_\lambda(A) \times W_\lambda(A) \rightarrow H_\lambda(\varepsilon\chi_\ell)$ are:
 - Alternating, if

$$\mathrm{End}^0(B) = \begin{cases} \text{a totally real field } F, \text{ or} & \text{Type I} \\ \text{a totally indefinite quaternion algebra over } F. & \text{Type II} \end{cases}$$

- Symmetric, if

$$\mathrm{End}^0(B) = \text{a totally definite quaternion algebra over } F. \quad \text{Type III}$$

- $F = \mathbb{Q} \left(\left\{ \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\lambda}(\mathrm{Frob}_v))^2}{\varepsilon(\mathrm{Frob}_v)} \right\} \right).$

Characterising AVs geometrically of the first kind II

Theorem (Fité-F.-Guitart)

Let A be genuinely of GL_n -type and geometrically of the first kind. Then:

- The pairings $\psi_\lambda : W_\lambda(A) \times W_\lambda(A) \rightarrow H_\lambda(\varepsilon\chi_\ell)$ are:
 - Alternating, if

$$\mathrm{End}^0(B) = \begin{cases} \text{a totally real field } F, \text{ or} & \text{Type I} \\ \text{a totally indefinite quaternion algebra over } F. & \text{Type II} \end{cases}$$

- Symmetric, if

$$\mathrm{End}^0(B) = \text{a totally definite quaternion algebra over } F. \quad \text{Type III}$$

- $F = \mathbb{Q} \left(\left\{ \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{A,\lambda}(\mathrm{Frob}_v))^2}{\varepsilon(\mathrm{Frob}_v)} \right\} \right).$

Galois image restrictions

Definition

Let A be genuinely of GL_n -type and geometrically of the first kind. Let B be the building block associated to A .

- We say A is **genuinely of GSp_n -type**, if B has Albert type I or II.
 - We say A is **genuinely of GO_n -type**, if B has Albert type III.
-
- We can now answer the question: if A is Siegel-modular, what conditions do we have on A ?
 - Let π cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$.
 - \rightsquigarrow Galois rep. $\rho_{\pi,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_4(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$.
 - Say A/\mathbb{Q} is Siegel-modular if $\rho_{A,\lambda} \simeq \rho_{\pi,\ell}$ for some λ and ℓ .

Galois image restrictions

Definition

Let A be genuinely of GL_n -type and geometrically of the first kind. Let B be the building block associated to A .

- We say A is **genuinely of GSp_n -type**, if B has Albert type I or II.
 - We say A is **genuinely of GO_n -type**, if B has Albert type III.
-
- We can now answer the question: if A is Siegel-modular, what conditions do we have on A ?
 - Let π cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$.
 - \rightsquigarrow Galois rep. $\rho_{\pi,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_4(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$.
 - Say A/\mathbb{Q} is Siegel-modular if $\rho_{A,\lambda} \simeq \rho_{\pi,\ell}$ for some λ and ℓ .

Galois image restrictions

Definition

Let A be genuinely of GL_n -type and geometrically of the first kind. Let B be the building block associated to A .

- We say A is **genuinely of GSp_n -type**, if B has Albert type I or II.
 - We say A is **genuinely of GO_n -type**, if B has Albert type III.
-
- We can now answer the question: if A is Siegel-modular, what conditions do we have on A ?
 - Let π cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$.
 - \rightsquigarrow Galois rep. $\rho_{\pi,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_4(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$.
 - Say A/\mathbb{Q} is Siegel-modular if $\rho_{A,\lambda} \simeq \rho_{\pi,\ell}$ for some λ and ℓ .

Galois image restrictions

Definition

Let A be genuinely of GL_n -type and geometrically of the first kind. Let B be the building block associated to A .

- We say A is **genuinely of GSp_n -type**, if B has Albert type I or II.
- We say A is **genuinely of GO_n -type**, if B has Albert type III.

- We can now answer the question: if A is Siegel-modular, what conditions do we have on A ?
 - Let π cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$.
 - \rightsquigarrow Galois rep. $\rho_{\pi,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_4(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$.
 - Say A/\mathbb{Q} is Siegel-modular if $\rho_{A,\lambda} \simeq \rho_{\pi,\ell}$ for some λ and ℓ .

Galois image restrictions

Definition

Let A be genuinely of GL_n -type and geometrically of the first kind. Let B be the building block associated to A .

- We say A is **genuinely of GSp_n -type**, if B has Albert type I or II.
- We say A is **genuinely of GO_n -type**, if B has Albert type III.
- We can now answer the question: if A is Siegel-modular, what conditions do we have on A ?
- Let π cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$.
 - \rightsquigarrow Galois rep. $\rho_{\pi,\ell} : \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_4(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$.
 - Say A/\mathbb{Q} is Siegel-modular if $\rho_{A,\lambda} \simeq \rho_{\pi,\ell}$ for some λ and ℓ .

Galois image restrictions

Definition

Let A be genuinely of GL_n -type and geometrically of the first kind. Let B be the building block associated to A .

- We say A is **genuinely of GSp_n -type**, if B has Albert type I or II.
- We say A is **genuinely of GO_n -type**, if B has Albert type III.
- We can now answer the question: if A is Siegel-modular, what conditions do we have on A ?
- Let π cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$.
- \rightsquigarrow Galois rep. $\rho_{\pi,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_4(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$.
- Say A/\mathbb{Q} is Siegel-modular if $\rho_{A,\lambda} \simeq \rho_{\pi,\ell}$ for some λ and ℓ .

Galois image restrictions

Definition

Let A be genuinely of GL_n -type and geometrically of the first kind. Let B be the building block associated to A .

- We say A is **genuinely of GSp_n -type**, if B has Albert type I or II.
 - We say A is **genuinely of GO_n -type**, if B has Albert type III.
-
- We can now answer the question: if A is Siegel-modular, what conditions do we have on A ?
 - Let π cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$.
 - \rightsquigarrow Galois rep. $\rho_{\pi,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_4(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$.
 - Say A/\mathbb{Q} is Siegel-modular if $\rho_{A,\lambda} \simeq \rho_{\pi,\ell}$ for some λ and ℓ .

Theorem (F.)

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian variety genuinely of GL_4 -type that is Siegel-modular and corresponds to π . Then A is genuinely of GSp_4 -type.

Corollary

Suppose π has field of coefficients \mathbb{Q} . Then, A/\mathbb{Q} is either

- An abelian surface with $\mathrm{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$, or
- An abelian fourfold with $\mathrm{End}^0(A) = \text{indefinite quaternion algebra over } \mathbb{Q}$.

↪ We rule out the definite case, confirming the expectation of [BCGP21].

Proof. $\mathrm{End}^0(A) \rightarrow M_r(\mathrm{End}^0(B))$, $F = H = \mathbb{Q}$;

$$[\mathrm{End}^0(A)] = [\mathrm{End}^0(B) \otimes_F H] = [\mathrm{End}^0(B)],$$

and $\mathrm{End}^0(B)$ is either \mathbb{Q} or an indefinite quaternion algebra. □

Siegel-modular abelian varieties

Theorem (F.)

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian variety genuinely of GL_4 -type that is Siegel-modular and corresponds to π . Then A is genuinely of GSp_4 -type.

Corollary

Suppose π has field of coefficients \mathbb{Q} . Then, A/\mathbb{Q} is either

- An abelian surface with $\mathrm{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$, or
- An abelian fourfold with $\mathrm{End}^0(A) = \text{indefinite quaternion algebra over } \mathbb{Q}$.

↪ We rule out the definite case, confirming the expectation of [BCGP21].

Proof. $\mathrm{End}^0(A) \rightarrow M_r(\mathrm{End}^0(B))$, $F = H = \mathbb{Q}$;

$$[\mathrm{End}^0(A)] = [\mathrm{End}^0(B) \otimes_F H] = [\mathrm{End}^0(B)],$$

and $\mathrm{End}^0(B)$ is either \mathbb{Q} or an indefinite quaternion algebra. □

Siegel-modular abelian varieties

Theorem (F.)

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian variety genuinely of GL_4 -type that is Siegel-modular and corresponds to π . Then A is genuinely of GSp_4 -type.

Corollary

Suppose π has field of coefficients \mathbb{Q} . Then, A/\mathbb{Q} is either

- An abelian surface with $\mathrm{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$, or
- An abelian fourfold with $\mathrm{End}^0(A) = \text{indefinite quaternion algebra over } \mathbb{Q}$.

↪ We rule out the definite case, confirming the expectation of [BCGP21].

Proof. $\mathrm{End}^0(A) \rightarrow M_r(\mathrm{End}^0(B))$, $F = H = \mathbb{Q}$;

$$[\mathrm{End}^0(A)] = [\mathrm{End}^0(B) \otimes_F H] = [\mathrm{End}^0(B)],$$

and $\mathrm{End}^0(B)$ is either \mathbb{Q} or an indefinite quaternion algebra. □

Siegel-modular abelian varieties

Theorem (F.)

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian variety genuinely of GL_4 -type that is Siegel-modular and corresponds to π . Then A is genuinely of GSp_4 -type.

Corollary

Suppose π has field of coefficients \mathbb{Q} . Then, A/\mathbb{Q} is either

- An abelian surface with $\mathrm{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$, or
- An abelian fourfold with $\mathrm{End}^0(A) = \text{indefinite quaternion algebra over } \mathbb{Q}$.

↪ We rule out the definite case, confirming the expectation of [BCGP21].

Proof. $\mathrm{End}^0(A) \rightarrow M_r(\mathrm{End}^0(B))$, $F = H = \mathbb{Q}$;

$$[\mathrm{End}^0(A)] = [\mathrm{End}^0(B) \otimes_F H] = [\mathrm{End}^0(B)],$$

and $\mathrm{End}^0(B)$ is either \mathbb{Q} or an indefinite quaternion algebra. □

Siegel-modular abelian varieties

Theorem (F.)

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian variety genuinely of GL_4 -type that is Siegel-modular and corresponds to π . Then A is genuinely of GSp_4 -type.

Corollary

Suppose π has field of coefficients \mathbb{Q} . Then, A/\mathbb{Q} is either

- An abelian surface with $\mathrm{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$, or
- An abelian fourfold with $\mathrm{End}^0(A) = \text{indefinite quaternion algebra over } \mathbb{Q}$.

↪ We rule out the definite case, confirming the expectation of [BCGP21].

Proof. $\mathrm{End}^0(A) \rightarrow M_r(\mathrm{End}^0(B))$, $F = H = \mathbb{Q}$;

$$[\mathrm{End}^0(A)] = [\mathrm{End}^0(B) \otimes_F H] = [\mathrm{End}^0(B)],$$

and $\mathrm{End}^0(B)$ is either \mathbb{Q} or an indefinite quaternion algebra. \square

Examples of abelian varieties genuinely of GSp_4 -type (Chapter 6)

A recipe to construct AVs genuinely of GSp_4 -type

- In the literature, we find some families of abelian varieties genuinely of GSp_n and GO_n -type, for even $n \geq 4$.
- There were no constructions of abelian varieties genuinely of GSp_n -type with nontrivial Nebentype, or even $[H : F] > 1$.
- Suppose we have:
 - K/\mathbb{Q} quadratic, $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \{\sigma\}$.
 - B/K abelian surface with $\mathrm{End}^0(B_K) = \mathbb{Q}$.
 - K -isogeny $\sigma B \rightarrow B$.

B is a GL_4 -type abelian \mathbb{Q} -variety.
- Then: $\mathrm{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(B)$ is genuinely of GSp_4 -type, and H/F is quadratic.

A recipe to construct AVs genuinely of GSp_4 -type

- In the literature, we find some families of abelian varieties genuinely of GSp_n and GO_n -type, for even $n \geq 4$.
- There were no constructions of abelian varieties genuinely of GSp_n -type with nontrivial Nebentype, or even $[H : F] > 1$.
- Suppose we have:
 - K/\mathbb{Q} quadratic, $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle \sigma \rangle$.
 - B/K abelian surface with $\mathrm{End}^0(B_K) = \mathbb{Q}$.
 - K -isogeny $\sigma^* B \rightarrow B$.

B is a GL_4 -type abelian \mathbb{Q} -variety.
- Then: $\mathrm{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(B)$ is genuinely of GSp_4 -type, and H/F is quadratic.

A recipe to construct AVs genuinely of GSp_4 -type

- In the literature, we find some families of abelian varieties genuinely of GSp_n and GO_n -type, for even $n \geq 4$.
- There were no constructions of abelian varieties genuinely of GSp_n -type with nontrivial Nebentype, or even $[H : F] > 1$.
- Suppose we have:
 - K/\mathbb{Q} quadratic, $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle \sigma \rangle$.
 - B/K abelian surface with $\mathrm{End}^0(B_{\bar{K}}) = \mathbb{Q}$.
 - K -isogeny $\sigma B \rightarrow B$.

B is a GL_4 -type abelian \mathbb{Q} -variety.

- Then: $\mathrm{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(B)$ is genuinely of GSp_4 -type, and H/F is quadratic.

A recipe to construct AVs genuinely of GSp_4 -type

- In the literature, we find some families of abelian varieties genuinely of GSp_n and GO_n -type, for even $n \geq 4$.
- There were no constructions of abelian varieties genuinely of GSp_n -type with nontrivial Nebentype, or even $[H : F] > 1$.
- Suppose we have:
 - K/\mathbb{Q} quadratic, $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle \sigma \rangle$.
 - B/K abelian surface with $\mathrm{End}^0(B_K) = \mathbb{Q}$.
 - K -isogeny $\sigma B \rightarrow B$.

B is a GL_4 -type abelian \mathbb{Q} -variety.
- Then: $\mathrm{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(B)$ is genuinely of GSp_4 -type, and H/F is quadratic.

A recipe to construct AVs genuinely of GSp_4 -type

- In the literature, we find some families of abelian varieties genuinely of GSp_n and GO_n -type, for even $n \geq 4$.
- There were no constructions of abelian varieties genuinely of GSp_n -type with nontrivial Nebentype, or even $[H : F] > 1$.
- Suppose we have:
 - K/\mathbb{Q} quadratic, $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle \sigma \rangle$.
 - B/K abelian surface with $\mathrm{End}^0(B_{\bar{K}}) = \mathbb{Q}$.
 - K -isogeny ${}^{\sigma}B \rightarrow B$.

B is a GL_4 -type abelian \mathbb{Q} -variety.

- Then: $\mathrm{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(B)$ is genuinely of GSp_4 -type, and H/F is quadratic.

A recipe to construct AVs genuinely of GSp_4 -type

- In the literature, we find some families of abelian varieties genuinely of GSp_n and GO_n -type, for even $n \geq 4$.
- There were no constructions of abelian varieties genuinely of GSp_n -type with nontrivial Nebentype, or even $[H : F] > 1$.
- Suppose we have:
 - K/\mathbb{Q} quadratic, $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle \sigma \rangle$.
 - B/K abelian surface with $\mathrm{End}^0(B_{\bar{K}}) = \mathbb{Q}$.
 - K -isogeny $\sigma B \rightarrow B$.

B is a GL_4 -type abelian \mathbb{Q} -variety.

- Then: $\mathrm{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(B)$ is genuinely of GSp_4 -type, and H/F is quadratic.

A recipe to construct AVs genuinely of GSp_4 -type

- In the literature, we find some families of abelian varieties genuinely of GSp_n and GO_n -type, for even $n \geq 4$.
- There were no constructions of abelian varieties genuinely of GSp_n -type with nontrivial Nebentype, or even $[H : F] > 1$.
- Suppose we have:
 - K/\mathbb{Q} quadratic, $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \langle \sigma \rangle$.
 - B/K abelian surface with $\mathrm{End}^0(B_{\bar{K}}) = \mathbb{Q}$.
 - K -isogeny $\sigma B \rightarrow B$.

B is a GL_4 -type abelian \mathbb{Q} -variety.

- Then: $\mathrm{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(B)$ is genuinely of GSp_4 -type, and H/F is quadratic.

Theorem (Fit -F.-Guitart)

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$, $\langle \sigma \rangle = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$, $\alpha \in K^\times$ with $-2 \text{Nm}(\alpha) \in (K^\times)^2$.

Let $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Q}$, and define

$$F_1(X) = X^2 + (r + s\sqrt{\Delta})X + \left(\frac{r^2 - \Delta s^2 + 1}{4} + t\sqrt{\Delta} \right)$$

$$F_2(X) = \sqrt{\Delta}X + \left(-\frac{s\Delta}{2} + \frac{r}{2}\sqrt{\Delta} \right)$$

$$F_3(X) = -\sqrt{\Delta}X^2 - (s\Delta + r\sqrt{\Delta})X + \left(-\Delta t + \left(\frac{-r^2 + \Delta s^2 + 1}{4} \right) \sqrt{\Delta} \right).$$

Let $C : \alpha Y^2 = F_1(X)F_2(X)F_3(X)$. Then

$$\text{Jac}(C) \sim_K {}^\sigma \text{Jac}(C).$$

If $\text{End}^0(\text{Jac}(C)_{\bar{K}}) = \mathbb{Q}$, then $\text{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Jac}(C))$ is an abelian fourfold genuinely of GSp_4 -type.

Theorem (Fit -F.-Guitart)

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$, $\langle \sigma \rangle = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$, $\alpha \in K^\times$ with $-2 \text{Nm}(\alpha) \in (K^\times)^2$.

Let $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Q}$, and define

$$F_1(X) = X^2 + (r + s\sqrt{\Delta})X + \left(\frac{r^2 - \Delta s^2 + 1}{4} + t\sqrt{\Delta} \right)$$

$$F_2(X) = \sqrt{\Delta}X + \left(-\frac{s\Delta}{2} + \frac{r}{2}\sqrt{\Delta} \right)$$

$$F_3(X) = -\sqrt{\Delta}X^2 - (s\Delta + r\sqrt{\Delta})X + \left(-\Delta t + \left(\frac{-r^2 + \Delta s^2 + 1}{4} \right) \sqrt{\Delta} \right).$$

Let $C : \alpha Y^2 = F_1(X)F_2(X)F_3(X)$. Then

$$\text{Jac}(C) \sim_K \sigma \text{Jac}(C).$$

If $\text{End}^0(\text{Jac}(C)_{\bar{K}}) = \mathbb{Q}$, then $\text{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Jac}(C))$ is an abelian fourfold genuinely of GSp_4 -type.

Theorem (Fit -F.-Guitart)

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$, $\langle \sigma \rangle = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$, $\alpha \in K^\times$ with $-2 \text{Nm}(\alpha) \in (K^\times)^2$.

Let $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Q}$, and define

$$F_1(X) = X^2 + (r + s\sqrt{\Delta})X + \left(\frac{r^2 - \Delta s^2 + 1}{4} + t\sqrt{\Delta} \right)$$

$$F_2(X) = \sqrt{\Delta}X + \left(-\frac{s\Delta}{2} + \frac{r}{2}\sqrt{\Delta} \right)$$

$$F_3(X) = -\sqrt{\Delta}X^2 - (s\Delta + r\sqrt{\Delta})X + \left(-\Delta t + \left(\frac{-r^2 + \Delta s^2 + 1}{4} \right) \sqrt{\Delta} \right).$$

Let $C : \alpha Y^2 = F_1(X)F_2(X)F_3(X)$. Then

$$\text{Jac}(C) \sim_K \sigma \text{Jac}(C).$$

If $\text{End}^0(\text{Jac}(C)_{\bar{K}}) = \mathbb{Q}$, then $\text{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Jac}(C))$ is an abelian fourfold genuinely of GSp_4 -type.

Theorem (Fit -F.-Guitart)

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$, $\langle \sigma \rangle = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$, $\alpha \in K^\times$ with $-2 \text{Nm}(\alpha) \in (K^\times)^2$.

Let $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Q}$, and define

$$F_1(X) = X^2 + (r + s\sqrt{\Delta})X + \left(\frac{r^2 - \Delta s^2 + 1}{4} + t\sqrt{\Delta} \right)$$

$$F_2(X) = \sqrt{\Delta}X + \left(-\frac{s\Delta}{2} + \frac{r}{2}\sqrt{\Delta} \right)$$

$$F_3(X) = -\sqrt{\Delta}X^2 - (s\Delta + r\sqrt{\Delta})X + \left(-\Delta t + \left(\frac{-r^2 + \Delta s^2 + 1}{4} \right) \sqrt{\Delta} \right).$$

Let $C : \alpha Y^2 = F_1(X)F_2(X)F_3(X)$. Then

$$\text{Jac}(C) \sim_K \sigma \text{Jac}(C).$$

If $\text{End}^0(\text{Jac}(C)_{\bar{K}}) = \mathbb{Q}$, then $\text{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Jac}(C))$ is an abelian fourfold genuinely of GSp_4 -type.

The endomorphism algebra

Proposition

Let $\alpha \in K^\times$ be such that $-2 \operatorname{Nm}(\alpha) \in (K^\times)^2$, $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Q}$, and

$$C : \alpha Y^2 = F_1(X)F_2(X)F_3(X)$$

as above. Let $A := \operatorname{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\operatorname{Jac}(C))$. Then

$$\operatorname{End}^0(A) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{+2}), & \text{if } \operatorname{Nm}(\alpha) \in -2\Delta(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2, \\ \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}), & \text{if } \operatorname{Nm}(\alpha) \in -2(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2. \end{cases}$$

In particular:

- $\varepsilon_A = \text{trivial}$, if $\operatorname{Nm}(\alpha) \in -2\Delta(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$.
- $\varepsilon_A = \eta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$, if $\operatorname{Nm}(\alpha) \in -2(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$.

The endomorphism algebra

Proposition

Let $\alpha \in K^\times$ be such that $-2 \operatorname{Nm}(\alpha) \in (K^\times)^2$, $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Q}$, and

$$C : \alpha Y^2 = F_1(X)F_2(X)F_3(X)$$

as above. Let $A := \operatorname{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\operatorname{Jac}(C))$. Then

$$\operatorname{End}^0(A) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{+2}), & \text{if } \operatorname{Nm}(\alpha) \in -2\Delta(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2, \\ \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}), & \text{if } \operatorname{Nm}(\alpha) \in -2(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2. \end{cases}$$

In particular:

- $\varepsilon_A = \text{trivial}$, if $\operatorname{Nm}(\alpha) \in -2\Delta(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$.
- $\varepsilon_A = \eta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$, if $\operatorname{Nm}(\alpha) \in -2(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$.

The endomorphism algebra

Proposition

Let $\alpha \in K^\times$ be such that $-2 \operatorname{Nm}(\alpha) \in (K^\times)^2$, $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Q}$, and

$$C : \alpha Y^2 = F_1(X)F_2(X)F_3(X)$$

as above. Let $A := \operatorname{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\operatorname{Jac}(C))$. Then

$$\operatorname{End}^0(A) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{+2}), & \text{if } \operatorname{Nm}(\alpha) \in -2\Delta(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2, \\ \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}), & \text{if } \operatorname{Nm}(\alpha) \in -2(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2. \end{cases}$$

In particular:

- $\varepsilon_A = \text{trivial}$, if $\operatorname{Nm}(\alpha) \in -2\Delta(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$.
- $\varepsilon_A = \eta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$, if $\operatorname{Nm}(\alpha) \in -2(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$.

An example

- Over $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$, let $(r, s, t) = (1, -3, 1)$, and

$$C : \alpha Y^2 = F_1(X)F_2(X)F_3(X)$$

with $\alpha = -\frac{3+\sqrt{17}}{2}$.

- Let $A := \text{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Jac}(C))$.
- Since $\text{Nm}(\alpha) = -2$, we have

$$\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}).$$

- $\rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\lambda} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})_{\lambda})$.
- $\text{sim } \rho_{A,\lambda} = \eta_{K/\mathbb{Q}} \chi \ell$.

An example

- Over $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$, let $(r, s, t) = (1, -3, 1)$, and

$$C : \alpha Y^2 = F_1(X)F_2(X)F_3(X)$$

with $\alpha = -\frac{3+\sqrt{17}}{2}$.

- Let $A := \text{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Jac}(C))$.
- Since $\text{Nm}(\alpha) = -2$, we have

$$\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}).$$

- $\rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\lambda} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})_{\lambda})$.
- $\text{sim } \rho_{A,\lambda} = \eta_{K/\mathbb{Q}} \chi \ell$.

An example

- Over $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$, let $(r, s, t) = (1, -3, 1)$, and

$$C : \alpha Y^2 = F_1(X)F_2(X)F_3(X)$$

with $\alpha = -\frac{3+\sqrt{17}}{2}$.

- Let $A := \text{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Jac}(C))$.
- Since $\text{Nm}(\alpha) = -2$, we have

$$\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}).$$

- $\rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\lambda} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})_{\lambda})$.
- $\text{sim } \rho_{A,\lambda} = \eta_{K/\mathbb{Q}} \chi \ell$.

An example

- Over $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$, let $(r, s, t) = (1, -3, 1)$, and

$$C : \alpha Y^2 = F_1(X)F_2(X)F_3(X)$$

with $\alpha = -\frac{3+\sqrt{17}}{2}$.

- Let $A := \text{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Jac}(C))$.
- Since $\text{Nm}(\alpha) = -2$, we have

$$\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}).$$

- $\rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\lambda} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})_{\lambda})$.
- $\text{sim } \rho_{A,\lambda} = \eta_{K/\mathbb{Q}} \chi \ell$.

An example

- Over $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$, let $(r, s, t) = (1, -3, 1)$, and

$$C : \alpha Y^2 = F_1(X)F_2(X)F_3(X)$$

with $\alpha = -\frac{3+\sqrt{17}}{2}$.

- Let $A := \text{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Jac}(C))$.
- Since $\text{Nm}(\alpha) = -2$, we have

$$\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}).$$

- $\rightsquigarrow \rho_{A,\lambda} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})_{\lambda})$.
- $\text{sim } \rho_{A,\lambda} = \eta_{K/\mathbb{Q}} \chi \ell$.

Local factors

- 31 is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$, $\eta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(31) = -1$.

$$T^4 - 2\sqrt{-2}T^3 - 26T^2 + 62\sqrt{-2}T + 961.$$

- Roots: $\pi_1 \approx -5.04 - 2.38i$, $\pi_2 \approx -4.08 + 3.79i$, $\frac{-31}{\pi_1}$, $\frac{-31}{\pi_2}$.
- 43 splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$, $\eta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(43) = +1$.

$$T^4 - 8T^3 + 62T^2 - 344T + 1849.$$

- Roots: $\pi_1 \approx -1.16 - 6.45i$, $\pi_2 \approx 5.16 - 4.04i$, $\frac{43}{\pi_1}$, $\frac{43}{\pi_2}$.

Local factors

- 31 is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$, $\eta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(31) = -1$.

$$T^4 - 2\sqrt{-2}T^3 - 26T^2 + 62\sqrt{-2}T + 961.$$

- Roots: $\pi_1 \approx -5.04 - 2.38i$, $\pi_2 \approx -4.08 + 3.79i$, $\frac{31}{\pi_1}$, $\frac{-31}{\pi_2}$.
- 43 splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$, $\eta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(43) = +1$.

$$T^4 - 8T^3 + 62T^2 - 344T + 1849.$$

- Roots: $\pi_1 \approx -1.16 - 6.45i$, $\pi_2 \approx 5.16 - 4.04i$, $\frac{43}{\pi_1}$, $\frac{43}{\pi_2}$.

Local factors

- 31 is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$, $\eta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(31) = -1$.

$$T^4 - 2\sqrt{-2}T^3 - 26T^2 + 62\sqrt{-2}T + 961.$$

- Roots: $\pi_1 \approx -5.04 - 2.38i$, $\pi_2 \approx -4.08 + 3.79i$, $\frac{31}{\pi_1}$, $\frac{-31}{\pi_2}$.
- 43 splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$, $\eta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(43) = +1$.

$$T^4 - 8T^3 + 62T^2 - 344T + 1849.$$

- Roots: $\pi_1 \approx -1.16 - 6.45i$, $\pi_2 \approx 5.16 - 4.04i$, $\frac{43}{\pi_1}$, $\frac{43}{\pi_2}$.

Local factors

- 31 is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$, $\eta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(31) = -1$.

$$T^4 - 2\sqrt{-2}T^3 - 26T^2 + 62\sqrt{-2}T + 961.$$

- Roots: $\pi_1 \approx -5.04 - 2.38i$, $\pi_2 \approx -4.08 + 3.79i$, $\frac{31}{\pi_1}$, $\frac{-31}{\pi_2}$.
- 43 splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$, $\eta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(43) = +1$.

$$T^4 - 8T^3 + 62T^2 - 344T + 1849.$$

- Roots: $\pi_1 \approx -1.16 - 6.45i$, $\pi_2 \approx 5.16 - 4.04i$, $\frac{43}{\pi_1}$, $\frac{43}{\pi_2}$.

k -varieties and Galois representations (Chapter 7)

Weak abelian k -varieties

- So far: A/k genuinely of GL_n -type.
- It remains to consider A/k of GL_n -type, but *not genuinely*.
- Suppose L/k finite Galois, $A_L \sim B^r$ for a simple B/L of GL_m -type, $m < n$.
- $B \sim {}^s B$ for all $s \in G_k$.

We say B is a **weak abelian k -variety**.

- From the representation $\rho_{B,\ell} : G_L \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, we want to build a representation of G_k of dimension $\leq n$.

Weak abelian k -varieties

- So far: A/k genuinely of GL_n -type.
- It remains to consider A/k of GL_n -type, but *not genuinely*.
 - Suppose L/k finite Galois, $A_L \sim B^r$ for a simple B/L of GL_m -type, $m < n$.
 - $B \sim {}^s B$ for all $s \in G_k$.

We say B is a **weak abelian k -variety**.

-
- From the representation $\rho_{B,\ell} : G_L \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, we want to build a representation of G_k of dimension $\leq n$.

Weak abelian k -varieties

- So far: A/k genuinely of GL_n -type.
- It remains to consider A/k of GL_n -type, but *not genuinely*.
- Suppose L/k finite Galois, $A_L \sim B^r$ for a simple B/L of GL_m -type, $m < n$.
- $B \sim {}^s B$ for all $s \in G_k$.

We say B is a **weak abelian k -variety**.

- From the representation $\rho_{B,\ell} : G_L \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, we want to build a representation of G_k of dimension $\leq n$.

Weak abelian k -varieties

- So far: A/k genuinely of GL_n -type.
- It remains to consider A/k of GL_n -type, but *not genuinely*.
- Suppose L/k finite Galois, $A_L \sim B^r$ for a simple B/L of GL_m -type, $m < n$.
- $B \sim {}^s B$ for all $s \in G_k$.

We say B is a **weak abelian k -variety**.

- From the representation $\rho_{B,\ell} : G_L \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, we want to build a representation of G_k of dimension $\leq n$.

Weak abelian k -varieties

- So far: A/k genuinely of GL_n -type.
- It remains to consider A/k of GL_n -type, but *not genuinely*.
- Suppose L/k finite Galois, $A_L \sim B^r$ for a simple B/L of GL_m -type, $m < n$.
- $B \sim {}^s B$ for all $s \in G_k$.

We say B is a **weak abelian k -variety**.

- From the representation $\rho_{B,\ell} : G_L \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, we want to build a representation of G_k of dimension $\leq n$.

Weak abelian k -varieties

- So far: A/k genuinely of GL_n -type.
- It remains to consider A/k of GL_n -type, but *not genuinely*.
- Suppose L/k finite Galois, $A_L \sim B^r$ for a simple B/L of GL_m -type, $m < n$.
- $B \sim {}^s B$ for all $s \in G_k$.

We say B is a **weak abelian k -variety**.

- From the representation $\rho_{B,\ell} : G_L \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, we want to build a representation of G_k **of dimension $\leq n$** .

Abelian surfaces with potential QM

Example

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian surface with

- $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$.
- $\text{End}^0(A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}})$ = an indefinite quaternion algebra with center \mathbb{Q} .

Then A is of GL_4 -type, but A_L is of GL_2 -type (for some finite L/\mathbb{Q}).

This setting also covers the case $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim E^2$.

- Consider the two representations

$$\rho_{A,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}), \quad \sigma_{A_L,\ell} : G_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}).$$

- There exists a finite character $\xi : G_L \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$ and a representation

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}),$$

such that $\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L,\ell}|G_L \simeq \sigma_{A_L,\ell} \otimes \xi$.

- $\rho_{A,\ell}|G_L \simeq \sigma_{A_L,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A_L,\ell}$.

Abelian surfaces with potential QM

Example

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian surface with

- $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$.
- $\text{End}^0(A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}})$ = an indefinite quaternion algebra with center \mathbb{Q} .

Then A is of GL_4 -type, but A_L is of GL_2 -type (for some finite L/\mathbb{Q}).

This setting also covers the case $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim E^2$.

- Consider the two representations

$$\rho_{A,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}), \quad \sigma_{A_L,\ell} : G_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}).$$

- There exists a finite character $\xi : G_L \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$ and a representation

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}),$$

such that $\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L,\ell}|G_L \simeq \sigma_{A_L,\ell} \otimes \xi$.

- $\rho_{A,\ell}|G_L \simeq \sigma_{A_L,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A_L,\ell}$.

Abelian surfaces with potential QM

Example

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian surface with

- $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$.
- $\text{End}^0(A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}})$ = an indefinite quaternion algebra with center \mathbb{Q} .

Then A is of GL_4 -type, but A_L is of GL_2 -type (for some finite L/\mathbb{Q}).

This setting also covers the case $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim E^2$.

- Consider the two representations

$$\rho_{A,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}), \quad \sigma_{A_L,\ell} : G_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}).$$

- There exists a finite character $\xi : G_L \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$ and a representation

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}),$$

such that $\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L,\ell}|G_L \simeq \sigma_{A_L,\ell} \otimes \xi$.

- $\rho_{A,\ell}|G_L \simeq \sigma_{A_L,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A_L,\ell}$.

Abelian surfaces with potential QM

Example

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian surface with

- $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$.
- $\text{End}^0(A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}})$ = an indefinite quaternion algebra with center \mathbb{Q} .

Then A is of GL_4 -type, but A_L is of GL_2 -type (for some finite L/\mathbb{Q}).

This setting also covers the case $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim E^2$.

- Consider the two representations

$$\rho_{A,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}), \quad \sigma_{A_L,\ell} : G_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}).$$

- There exists a finite character $\xi : G_L \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$ and a representation

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}),$$

such that $\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L,\ell}|G_L \simeq \sigma_{A_L,\ell} \otimes \xi$.

- $\rho_{A,\ell}|G_L \simeq \sigma_{A_L,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A_L,\ell}$.

Abelian surfaces with potential QM

Example

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian surface with

- $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$.
- $\text{End}^0(A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}})$ = an indefinite quaternion algebra with center \mathbb{Q} .

Then A is of GL_4 -type, but A_L is of GL_2 -type (for some finite L/\mathbb{Q}).

This setting also covers the case $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim E^2$.

- Consider the two representations

$$\rho_{A,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}), \quad \sigma_{A_L,\ell} : G_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}).$$

- There exists a finite character $\xi : G_L \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$ and a representation

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}),$$

such that $\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L,\ell}|G_L \simeq \sigma_{A_L,\ell} \otimes \xi$.

- $\rho_{A,\ell}|G_L \simeq \sigma_{A_L,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A_L,\ell}$.

Abelian surfaces with potential QM

Example

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian surface with

- $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$.
- $\text{End}^0(A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}})$ = an indefinite quaternion algebra with center \mathbb{Q} .

Then A is of GL_4 -type, but A_L is of GL_2 -type (for some finite L/\mathbb{Q}).

This setting also covers the case $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim E^2$.

- Consider the two representations

$$\rho_{A,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}), \quad \sigma_{A_L,\ell} : G_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}).$$

- There exists a finite character $\xi : G_L \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$ and a representation

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}),$$

such that $\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L,\ell}|G_L \simeq \sigma_{A_L,\ell} \otimes \xi$.

- $\rho_{A,\ell}|G_L \simeq \sigma_{A_L,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A_L,\ell}$.

Abelian surfaces with potential QM

Example

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian surface with

- $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$.
- $\text{End}^0(A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}})$ = an indefinite quaternion algebra with center \mathbb{Q} .

Then A is of GL_4 -type, but A_L is of GL_2 -type (for some finite L/\mathbb{Q}).

This setting also covers the case $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim E^2$.

- Consider the two representations

$$\rho_{A,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}), \quad \sigma_{A_L,\ell} : G_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}).$$

- There exists a finite character $\xi : G_L \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$ and a representation

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}),$$

such that $\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L,\ell}|G_L \simeq \sigma_{A_L,\ell} \otimes \xi$.

- $\rho_{A,\ell}|G_L \simeq \sigma_{A_L,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A_L,\ell}$.

Abelian surfaces with potential QM

Example

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian surface with

- $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$.
- $\text{End}^0(A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}})$ = an indefinite quaternion algebra with center \mathbb{Q} .

Then A is of GL_4 -type, but A_L is of GL_2 -type (for some finite L/\mathbb{Q}).

This setting also covers the case $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim E^2$.

- Consider the two representations

$$\rho_{A,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}), \quad \sigma_{A_L,\ell} : G_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}).$$

- There exists a finite character $\xi : G_L \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$ and a representation

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}),$$

such that $\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L,\ell}|G_L \simeq \sigma_{A_L,\ell} \otimes \xi$.

- $\rho_{A,\ell}|G_L \simeq \sigma_{A_L,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A_L,\ell}.$

Abelian surfaces with potential QM

Example

Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian surface with

- $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$.
- $\text{End}^0(A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}})$ = an indefinite quaternion algebra with center \mathbb{Q} .

Then A is of GL_4 -type, but A_L is of GL_2 -type (for some finite L/\mathbb{Q}).

This setting also covers the case $A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim E^2$.

- Consider the two representations

$$\rho_{A,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}), \quad \sigma_{A_L,\ell} : G_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}).$$

- There exists a finite character $\xi : G_L \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$ and a representation

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L,\ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}),$$

such that $\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L,\ell}|G_L \simeq \sigma_{A_L,\ell} \otimes \xi$.

- $\rho_{A,\ell}|G_L \simeq \sigma_{A_L,\ell} \oplus \sigma_{A_L,\ell}$.

Propagating modularity from GL_2 to GSp_4

- The representation $\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L, \ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ is modular.
- \rightsquigarrow we can use Langlands base change and automorphic induction to show $\rho_{A, \ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_4(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ is Siegel-modular.

Theorem (F.-Pacetti)

Let D = indefinite quaternion \mathbb{Q} -algebra or $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$. Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian surface with $\mathrm{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathrm{End}^0(A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}) = D$. Then

- A is Siegel-modular: there exists a cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $\rho_{A, \ell} \simeq \rho_{\pi, \ell}$.

Under additional hypotheses we can show paramodularity.

Propagating modularity from GL_2 to GSp_4

- The representation $\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L, \ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ is modular.
- \rightsquigarrow we can use Langlands base change and automorphic induction to show $\rho_{A, \ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_4(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ is Siegel-modular.

Theorem (F.-Pacetti)

Let D = indefinite quaternion \mathbb{Q} -algebra or $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$. Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian surface with $\mathrm{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathrm{End}^0(A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}) = D$. Then

- A is Siegel-modular: there exists a cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $\rho_{A, \ell} \simeq \rho_{\pi, \ell}$.

Under additional hypotheses we can show paramodularity.

Propagating modularity from GL_2 to GSp_4

- The representation $\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L, \ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ is modular.
- \rightsquigarrow we can use Langlands base change and automorphic induction to show $\rho_{A, \ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_4(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ is Siegel-modular.

Theorem (F.-Pacetti)

Let $D =$ indefinite quaternion \mathbb{Q} -algebra or $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$. Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian surface with $\mathrm{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathrm{End}^0(A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}) = D$. Then

- A is Siegel-modular: there exists a cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $\rho_{A, \ell} \simeq \rho_{\pi, \ell}$.

Under additional hypotheses we can show paramodularity.

Propagating modularity from GL_2 to GSp_4

- The representation $\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L, \ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ is modular.
- \rightsquigarrow we can use Langlands base change and automorphic induction to show $\rho_{A, \ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_4(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ is Siegel-modular.

Theorem (F.-Pacetti)

Let $D =$ indefinite quaternion \mathbb{Q} -algebra or $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$. Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian surface with $\mathrm{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathrm{End}^0(A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}) = D$. Then

- A is Siegel-modular: there exists a cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $\rho_{A, \ell} \simeq \rho_{\pi, \ell}$.

Under additional hypotheses we can show paramodularity.

Propagating modularity from GL_2 to GSp_4

- The representation $\tilde{\sigma}_{A_L, \ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ is modular.
- \rightsquigarrow we can use Langlands base change and automorphic induction to show $\rho_{A, \ell} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_4(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ is Siegel-modular.

Theorem (F.-Pacetti)

Let $D =$ indefinite quaternion \mathbb{Q} -algebra or $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$. Let A/\mathbb{Q} be an abelian surface with $\mathrm{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathrm{End}^0(A_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}) = D$. Then

- A is Siegel-modular: there exists a cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $\rho_{A, \ell} \simeq \rho_{\pi, \ell}$.

Under additional hypotheses we can show paramodularity.

Preprints and articles

- *Abelian varieties genuinely of GL_n -type*, with F. Fité and X. Guitart.
Submitted. arXiv:2412.21183
- *k -varieties and Galois representations*, with A. Pacetti.
Submitted. arXiv:2412.03184
- *Abelian varieties that split modulo all but finitely many primes*.
Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 153 (2025), 1491-1499

Abelian Varieties of GL_n -type and Galois Representations

Enric Florit Zacarías

Facultat de Matemàtiques i Informàtica
Universitat de Barcelona

Advisors: Luis Dieulefait and Francesc Fité
Tutor: Xavier Guitart