

IDP Talk

A Formalisation of Sturm's Theorem in Isabelle/HOL

Manuel Eberl

`eberlm@in.tum.de`

Motivation

We have: a polynomial with real coefficients

Motivation

We have: a polynomial with real coefficients

We want: the number of real roots in a specific interval

Motivation

We have: a polynomial with $\overbrace{\text{real coefficients}}^{\text{not really}}$
We want: the number of real roots in a specific interval

Motivation

We have: a polynomial with $\overbrace{\text{real coefficients}}^{\text{not really}}$
We want: the number of real roots in a specific interval

For “real” computations: restricted to appropriate subset of \mathbb{R} , such as \mathbb{Q} .

Motivation

The solution: *Sturm's Theorem*

Provides a method for counting real roots *algorithmically*.

⇒ Let's formalise it in Isabelle/HOL

Notation

Sign changes: $\sigma(P_0, \dots, P_{n-1}; x)$ denotes denotes the number of sign changes in the sequence $P_0(x), \dots, P_{n-1}(x)$

For the functionally inclined:

$$\sigma(ps; x) = (\text{length} \circ \text{remdups_adj} \circ \text{filter } (\neq 0) \circ \text{map } (\lambda p. p(x))) ps - 1$$

Sturm's Theorem

Sturm's Theorem: *Let P be a real polynomial and P_0, \dots, P_{n-1} a Sturm sequence of P . Then*

$$\sigma(P_0, \dots, P_{n-1}; a) - \sigma(P_0, \dots, P_{n-1}; b)$$

is the number of real roots of P in the interval $(a; b]$.

Sturm sequence

But what is a Sturm sequence of P ?

A (reasonably) general definition:

- $P_0 = P$
- P_0 and P_1 have no common roots
- P_{n-1} (last element) does not change its sign
- if x_0 is root of P_0 : $P_0 P_1(x) < 0$ in some left-NH and $P_0 P_1(x) > 0$ in some right-NH of x_0
- if x_0 is root of another P_i : $P_{i-1} P_{i+1}(x_0) < 0$

Assessment

The good news:

formalisation of real analysis, polynomials, algebra
already exists

Assessment

The good news:

formalisation of real analysis, polynomials, algebra
already exists

The bad news:

no formalisation of limits of polynomials, very little on
divisibility

Assessment

The good news:

formalisation of real analysis, polynomials, algebra
already exists

The bad news:

no formalisation of limits of polynomials, very little on
divisibility

The ugly news:

textbook proofs of *Sturm's theorem* are extremely
informal proof sketches at best

Proving Sturm's Theorem

Assume we already have a Sturm chain. Why does it count roots?
Follow $x \mapsto \sigma(P_0, \dots, P_{n-1}; x)$ passing over \mathbb{R} . Obviously, it can only change at x_0 if one of the P_i has a root at x_0

- if $P_i \neq P_0$ has x_0 as a root, $P_{i-1}P_{i+1}(x_0) < 0$
 - \Rightarrow signs of P_{i-1}, P_{i+1} are $\neq 0$, opposite, constant in NH of x_0
 - \Rightarrow signs $[1, _, -1]$ or $[-1, _, 1]$ in the entire NH, i.e. one sign change
 - \Rightarrow total number of sign changes not influenced
- if P_0 has x_0 as root, $P_0P_1(x_0) < 0$ in left-NH of x_0 , > 0 in right-NH
 - \Rightarrow signs are different left of x_0 and the same right of x_0
 - \Rightarrow total number of sign changes decreases by one

Proving Sturm's Theorem

Formal proof: a lot of induction on the sequences and number of roots
 \implies messy and not terribly interesting

Construction Sturm sequences

Canonical construction for P with no multiple roots (i.e. $\gcd(P, P') = 1$):

$$P_i = \begin{cases} P & \text{for } i = 0 \\ P' & \text{for } i = 1 \\ -(P_{i-2} \bmod P_{i-1}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Construction Sturm sequences

Why does it work? Nonobvious parts:

If x_0 is root of $P_0 = P$: $PP'(x_0) < 0$ in left-NH and $PP'(x_0) > 0$ in right-NH
– pick neighbourhood without roots of P_0 and P_1 (except for x_0),
apply mean value theorem

If x_0 is root of another P_i : $P_{i-1}P_{i+1}(x_0) < 0$ in some NH of x_0
– by construction, $P_{i-1} = Q \cdot P_i - P_{i+1}$ for some $Q \in \mathbb{R}[X]$
 $\implies P_{i-1}(x_0) = -P_{i+1}(x_0)$
also: $P_{i-1}(x_0) \neq 0$ since $\gcd(P_{i-1}, P_i) = \gcd(P_0, P_1) = 1$

Construction Sturm sequences

In case of multiple roots: Let $D := \gcd(P, P')$. Then:

The obvious way:

- compute canonical Sturm chain of P/D
("divide out" multiple roots)

The clever way:

- we can compute the canonical Sturm chain of P and divide by D afterwards
- but: if $D(x) \neq 0$, dividing by D does not change the number of sign changes at x
- \implies unless the interval bounds are multiple roots, we can use the canonical construction without changes

Making a decision procedure

count_roots_between p a b: picks the most efficient Sturm chain construction and:

$$\text{count_roots_between } p \text{ a } b = |\{x. a < x \wedge x \leq b \wedge p(x) = 0\}|$$

Some fluff:

- case distinctions allow arbitrary combination of \leq and $<$ in bounds
- “limit signs” allow infinite bounds

In summary: we can count roots in any open/halfopen/closed, bounded/unbounded real interval

Making a decision procedure

Some more fluff:

- and/or: count x with

$$P(x) = 0 \wedge Q(x) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad P(x) = 0 \vee Q(x) = 0$$

- \forall -inequalities:

$$\forall x. P(x) \neq Q(x) \wedge R(x) \neq S(x) \vee T(x) \neq U(x)$$

- \forall with $<$ and $>$:

$$\forall x. P(x) < Q(x) \wedge R(x) > S(x) \vee T(x) \neq U(x)$$

Making a decision procedure

Examples:

lemma "card {x::real. $(x-1)^2 * (x+1) = 0$ } = 2" **by** sturm

lemma "card {x::real. $-0.010831 < x \wedge x < 0.010831 \wedge$
poly [0, -17/2097152, -49/16777216, 1/6, 1/24, 1/120:] x = 0} = 3" **by** sturm

lemma "card {x::real. $x^3 + x = 2 * x^2 \wedge x^3 - 6 * x^2 + 11 * x = 6$ } = 1" **by** sturm

lemma "(x::real)² + 1 > 0" **by** sturm

Size of the formalisation

3725 LOC in total, 185 of that ML, the rest Isabelle

