



# User's Guide for the sturm Method

Manuel Eberl <eberlm@in.tum.de>  
 Institut für Informatik, Technische Universität München

September 11, 2013

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Usage</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Examples . . . . .	2
2.2	Determining the number of real roots . . . . .	2
2.3	Inequalities . . . . .	3
2.4	More complex expressions . . . . .	3
2.5	Simple ordered inequalities . . . . .	3
2.6	A note on meta logic versus object logic . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Troubleshooting</b>	<b>4</b>

# 1 Introduction

The `sturm` method uses Sturm's theorem to determine the number of distinct real roots of a polynomial (with rational coefficients) within a certain interval. It also provides some preprocessing to decide a number of statements that can be reduced to real roots of polynomials, such as simple polynomial inequalities and logical combinations of polynomial equations.

## 2 Usage

### 2.1 Examples

The following examples should give a good overview of what the `sturm` method can do:

**lemma** "card  $\{x :: \text{real}. (x - 1)^2 * (x + 1) = 0\} = 2$ " **by** `sturm`

**lemma** "card  $\{x :: \text{real}. -0.010831 < x \wedge x < 0.010831 \wedge$   
poly  $[0, -17/2097152, -49/16777216, 1/6, 1/24, 1/120 :] x = 0\} = 3$ " **by** `sturm`

**lemma** "card  $\{x :: \text{real}. x^3 + x = 2 * x^2 \wedge x^3 - 6 * x^2 + 11 * x = 6\} = 1$ " **by** `sturm`

**lemma** "card  $\{x :: \text{real}. x^3 + x = 2 * x^2 \vee x^3 - 6 * x^2 + 11 * x = 6\} = 4$ " **by** `sturm`

**lemma** " $(x :: \text{real})^2 + 1 > 0$ " **by** `sturm`

**lemma** " $(x :: \text{real}) > 0 \implies x^2 + 1 > 0$ " **by** `sturm`

**lemma** " $\llbracket (x :: \text{real}) > 0; x \leq 2/3 \rrbracket \implies x * x \neq x$ " **by** `sturm`

**lemma** " $(x :: \text{real}) > 1 \implies x * x > x$ " **by** `sturm`

### 2.2 Determining the number of real roots

The "classical" application of Sturm's theorem is to count the number of real roots of a polynomial in a certain interval. The `sturm` method supports this for any polynomial with rational coefficients and any real interval, i. e.  $[a; b]$ ,  $(a; b]$ ,  $[a; b)$ , and  $(a; b)$  where  $a \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{-\infty\}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ .<sup>1</sup> The general form of the theorems the method expects is:

$$\text{card } \{x :: \text{real}. a < x \wedge x < b \wedge p x = 0\} = ?n$$

$?n$  should be replaced by the actual number of such roots and  $p$  may be any polynomial real function in  $x$  with rational coefficients. The bounds  $a < x$  and  $x < b$  can be omitted for the " $\infty$ " case.

Furthermore, the `sturm` method can instantiate the number  $?n$  on the right-hand side automatically if it is left unspecified (as a schematic variable in a schematic lemma). However, due to technical restrictions this also takes twice as long as simply proving that the specified number is correct.

---

<sup>1</sup>The restriction to rational numbers for the coefficients and interval bounds is to the fact that the code generator is used internally, which, of course, does not support computations on irrational real numbers.

## 2.3 Inequalities

A simple special case of root counting is the statement that a polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  has no roots in a certain interval, which can be written as:

$$\forall x :: \text{real. } x > a \wedge x < b \longrightarrow p\ x \neq 0$$

The `sturm` method can be directly applied to statements such as this and prove them.

## 2.4 More complex expressions

By using some simple preprocessing, the `sturm` method can also decide more complex statements:

$$\text{card } \{x :: \text{real. } x > a \wedge x < b \wedge P\ x\}$$

where  $P\ x$  is a “polynomial expression”, which is defined as:

1.  $p\ x = q\ x$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are polynomial functions, such as  $\lambda x. a$ ,  $\lambda x. x$ ,  $\lambda x. x^2$ , `poly p`, and so on
2.  $P\ x \wedge Q\ x$  or  $P\ x \vee Q\ x$ , where  $P\ x$  and  $Q\ x$  are polynomial expressions

Of course, by reduction to the case of zero roots, the following kind of statement is also provable by `sturm`:

$$\forall x :: \text{real. } x > a \wedge x < b \longrightarrow P\ x$$

where  $P\ x$  is a “negated polynomial expression”, which is defined as:

1.  $p\ x \neq q\ x$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are polynomial functions
2.  $P\ x \wedge Q\ x$  or  $P\ x \vee Q\ x$ , where  $P\ x$  and  $Q\ x$  are negated polynomial expressions

## 2.5 Simple ordered inequalities

For any polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ , the question whether  $p(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in I$  for a non-empty real interval  $I$  can obviously be reduced to the question of whether  $p(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in I$ , i. e.  $p$  has no roots in  $I$ , and  $p(x) > 0$  for some arbitrary fixed  $x \in I$ , the first of which can be decided using Sturm’s theorem and the second by choosing an arbitrary  $x \in I$  and evaluating  $p(x)$ .

Using this reduction, the `sturm` method can also decide single “less than”/“greater than” inequalities of the form

$$\forall x :: \text{real. } x > a \wedge x < b \longrightarrow p\ x < q\ x$$

## 2.6 A note on meta logic versus object logic

While statements like  $\forall x :: \text{real. } x^2 + 1 > 0$  were expressed in their HOL notation in this guide, the `sturm` method can also prove the meta logic equivalents  $\bigwedge x :: \text{real. } x^2 + 1 > 0$  and  $(x :: \text{real})^2 + 1 > 0$  directly.

### 3 Troubleshooting

Should you find that the `sturm` method fails to prove a statement that it should, according to the above text, be able to prove, please go through the following steps:

1. ensure that your function is indeed a *real* polynomial. Add a type annotation to *real* if necessary.
2. use a computer algebra system to ensure that the property is indeed correct
3. if this did not help, send the statement in question to `eberlm@in.tum.de`; it may be a bug in the preprocessing of the proof method.