Trinity College Institutional Review Board (IRB) Request for Project Review (Form B)

For use by IRB Office Admir	nistrator only:	
Proposal No.:	Date Received:	Receipt Sent:
Action Notes:		
Notification Sent:		
Please review the IRB Police this form.		
Instructions (If you need help ca	all (860) 297-2376 or e-mail jar	nes.hughes@trincoll.edu)
3. Send the completed Form	nputer. avigate) and save the document m B and all supporting material thes@trincoll.edu and irb@trin	s as electronic files by e-mail
Date: 6/2/2011	Trivita Callaga	io and the fato CC for density in the standard
Anique Thompson Principal Investigator	☐ Trinity College i ☐ Trinity College s ☐ Other (please sp	
E-mail: anique.thompson@trincol	ll.edu Phone: 860-471-773	36
Jack Dougherty Faculty Sponsor (if applicable)	Course Name & Nu	mber (if applicable)
Title of Research: A Comparative Study of Civil Rights Activist Oral History Interviews in Metropolitan Hartford		
6/6/11 Estimated beginning date	6/1/12 Estimated complet	ion date

NO RESEARCH may be done before IRB Approval of your protocol.

A. RESEARCH PERSONNEL:

Please list ALL research personnel involved in the conduct of this study. All personnel must complete the IRB approved educational program on the protection of human subjects.

Name	Position (e.g., Faculty, Student)	Date of Completion of NIH Online Human Subjects Research Education Program
Anique Thompson	Student	3/23/2010
Jack Dougherty	Faculty	9/7/2010

B. NATURE AND PROSPECTIVE BENEFITS OF THE PROJECT

Briefly describe your research project. What research, if any, has been done in this area and how does it inform your project? Why is this research important? How is this study novel or different from earlier research in this area? How will this project contribute to the discipline and society? How do the benefits of the research justify any possible risks that might be incurred by the participants in the study? You may attach a research prospectus if available.

Enter Text: Although the Hartford region became a focal point of nationally recognized civil rights struggles over schooling and housing, we still lack a publicly accessible collection of oral history interviews with leading activists from this period, to help us compare and contrast their ideas, actions, and memories over time. We will conduct, transcribe, analyze, and produce video recorded interviews with at least twelve key individuals. All interview materials and our analysis will be made publicly available and integrated into our web-based book, On the Line: How Schooling, Housing, and Civil Rights Shaped Hartford and its Suburbs. To supplement our research on the structural dimensions of schooling and housing segregation, this study will enrich our analytical narrative with video recorded interviews of the region's leading civil rights activists from the 1960s to date. By comparing perspectives of activists from different time periods and movements, we will have a clearer understanding the history of schooling and housing discrimination and efforts to combat them.

C. PARTICIPANT POPULATION

C1. Type of participants (check all applicable): Adults
Minors (under 18 years old)
☐ Trinity College students (check all classes that apply):
☐ 1 st year ☐ Sophomore ☐ Junior ☐ Senior
☐ Vulnerable populations (e.g., mentally or cognitively impaired, prisoners, parolees,
pregnant women, or fetuses)
Other (specify):
C2. Explain any exclusion of particular groups (e.g., persons under age 18) or any oversampling (e.g., based on race/ethnicity). Enter Text: n/a

C3. Institutional Affiliation:

Please note: Research involving off-campus institutions such as hospitals, schools, prisons, or other social service agencies requires approval from that institution's IRB or comparable research review board or agency official. **Documentation of approval from external agencies is required.**

No institutional affiliation outside of Trinity College is involved Schools (specify*): Hospitals (specify*): Other (specify*): *Please describe who you are working with (name, contact info) within the organization/agency. Describe any procedures or review process that you have been asked to follow. (Send copy of permission/approval along with this application.) Enter Text:
C4. Estimated number of participants: 12
D. METHODOLOGY
D1. How will the participants be solicited or contacted (e.g., ads, telephone, letter, announcements made in courses)? Please include exact wording of recruitment letters, notices, or scripts. Enter Text: For this oral history interview project, we will mail an invitation to each participant (see attached) and follow up by phone.
participant (see attached) and follow up by phone.
D2. Will any extrinsic incentives (e.g., money, course credit, etc.) be offered to the participants for their participation? ☐ Yes ☒ No (If yes, please explain the nature and amount of incentive.) Enter Text:
D3. How long will it take a subject to complete all study procedures? Be specific (15 mins., 2 hours, etc.). Enter Text: Each interview will last approximately one hour.
D4. Please describe or attach a copy of all written materials, including questionnaires, surveys, or tests that will be administered to participants. (If using standardized or copyrighted tests, you may simply name the instrument.) Enter Text: See attached interview guide.
D5. Are you planning to conduct open-ended interviews or focus groups? ☑ Yes ☐ No (If yes, please submit a schedule of interview or focus group questions.) Enter Text: see attached
D6. Do you plan to film-, video-, or voice-record participants? ☐ Yes ☐ No (If yes, please provide details.) Enter Text: We plan to video record participants, as explained in the consent form.
E. RISKS
E1. Will the participants incur any psychological, social, physical, or legal risk? (This includes any psychological distress associated with experimental manipulation.) Yes No (If yes, please explain the nature of the risk.) Enter Text: No, other than the fact that some participants may be asked to discuss their involvement in civil rights activism.

E2. Will the participants be deceived or misled in any way?
Yes No (If yes, please explain the nature of the deception.)
Enter Text:
E3. Will there be any probing (either verbal or in written form) for information that participants might consider to be personal or sensitive? Yes No (If yes, please explain the nature of the information.) Enter Text: No, other than the fact that some questions will ask them to recall their past activism.
E4. Will participants be presented with materials, or be exposed to social interactions, that they might consider to be offensive, threatening, or degrading? Yes No (If yes, please explain the nature of the materials or social interaction.) Enter Text:
E5. If you answered "YES" to any of the questions in this section, please explain how you will minimize any risks. Enter Text:
F. INFORMED CONSENT/ASSENT
F1. Will a written consent form be used? Yes No* (If no, provide justification.) Enter Text: Federal law requires that, except in special circumstances, informed consent must be obtained. In brief, consent forms must include: 1) a statement explaining the purpose, procedures, and duration of the project; 2) a description of benefits to the participant and others; 3) a statement describing the manner in which confidentiality will be maintained; 4) a statement of any risks involved; 5) contact information should questions arise in the future; and 6) a statement that participation is completely voluntary. (Include a copy with your application.)
*If a consent form is not to be used, the researcher must provide a justification, for instance in the case of web-based surveys where consent can be implied by participants accessing a website. In addition, researchers must provide participants with contact information for a person affiliated with the project and with the name of a member of the IRB committee (usually the committee chair) should questions arise.
F2. If participants are minors (under 18 years old), will parents' or guardians' consent be obtained? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A (If NO, please explain. If YES, include a copy with the application. The copy you send to the IRB should be the exact version you will use with parents/guardians.) Enter Text:
F3. If participants are minors, will minors' assent be obtained? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A (If NO, please explain. If YES, include a copy with the application. The copy you send to the IRB should be the exact version you will use with minor participants.) Enter Text:
G. CONFIDENTIALITY

G1. Will data be collected that ider way that allows observations to be	ntifies individuals or that will be recorded in a elinked to individuals?	
	the nature of the information being collected and the	
manner, if any, in which the informatio	n may be publicly disclosed.) to identify participants by name, because this is an oral history	
	s individuals to remain anonymous if desired.	
G2. What steps will be taken to insure confidentiality of personal data? (Be specific.		
How will confidentiality be preserved as data are collected, stored, analyzed and published? When and how will data identifying individual participants be destroyed?)		
Enter Text: If a participant indicates on the consent form that s/he wishes to remain anonymous, the		
will be made available.	ndividually-identifiable information, and no video recording	
G3. Who will have access to these PI, student investigator, staff, public).	data? List individuals by name and role on project (e.g.,	
	form, all oral history interview materials will be made requests anonymity (as described above).	
ASSURANCE PAGE		
I cartify that the procedures described a	above are accurate and will be followed in the course of	
	B immediately of any changes to procedures and if	
Anique Thompson	A Comparative Study of Civil Rights Activist Oral History	
_ , , _ , , _	Interviews in Metropolitan Hartford	
Researcher's Full Name	Project Title	
860-471-7736	anique.thompson@trincoll.edu	
Phone Number	Email Address	
Jack Dougherty		
Faculty Advisor/Supervisor		
6/2/2011		
Date		
Completing Form B:		
	ompletely, save the Word document using a unique filename. Irname and the date in the filename.)	
	supporting materials as an e-mail attachment to the chair of	
For IRB Use Only:		
☐ The proposal is exempt from further	er review.	
The proposal should be given a full IRP review.		
☐ The proposal should be given a full	IKB review.	

Per:	
Full Name of Reviewing IRB Member	Date



June 2, 2011

Dear

We invite you to participate in an oral history interview regarding your role as a civil rights activist in the Hartford area, particularly your involvement in the Sheff v O'Neill school desegregation case.

Our goal is to keep Hartford's civil rights history alive by preserving your personal story and making it available to the public. If you agree to be interviewed, we will video record and type a transcript of your interview and give you a free copy to share with your friends and family. Also, you will retain the copyright to the interview, and with your permission, allow us to freely share a copy with the public on our website.

We are currently scheduling interviews during the months of June and July. If you wish to participate, please contact Anique Thompson (a Trinity student and Hartford resident) to set up a time that is convenient for you.

Sincerely,

Anique Thompson Trinity College Box 700992 Hartford, CT 06106 mobile: 860-471-7736 email: Anique.Thompson@trincoll.edu

Professor Jack Dougherty Trinity College, Hartford CT On The Line web-book http://OnTheLine.trincoll.edu

Cities, Suburbs, and Schools Project

Informed consent and copyright permission for oral history interviews, images, and personal documents of civil rights activists

Participant's name:		
Mailing address:		
Phone and/or email:		
(please print)		
I voluntarily agree to be interviewed for this historical study of the activists in the Greater Hartford area. I understand that the following interview: • an audio and/or video recording • an edited transcript and summary • a photograph of me • copies of any personal documents or additional photos that	ving items may be created from	
I understand that my interview (and other items above) may be distributed to the public for educational purposes, including formats such as print, public programming, and the Internet.		
Also, I agree to freely share my interview (and other items above) under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. This means that I retain the copyright, but that the public may freely copy, modify, and share these items for noncommercial purposes under the same terms, if they include the original source information.		
In return, the interviewer promises to send one free copy of the in and related items to my address above.	nterview recording, transcript,	
Any exceptions to this agreement [such as a request for anonymi	ty] must be listed below:	
Permission granted:		
Participant's signature	date	

Questions? Prof. Jack Dougherty, Trinity College, 860-297-2296; jack.dougherty@trincoll.edu *Reminder: Sign TWO copies: one stays with the participant, and the other returns to Trinity.*

date

Interviewer's signature

Interview guide for activists involved in Sheff v O'Neill case, by Anique Thompson (6/2/11)

REVIEW AND SIGN CONSENT FORM

ORIGINS

What is your earliest memory about your role/involvement in this case?

How did you come about making the decision to be a plaintiff in the case?

What was the importance of being a plaintiff/committing to this responsibility?

What was the journey like for you?

Describe the neighborhood that you lived in and the schools you or your children attended while the case was going on.

LEGAL PROCESS

When the Sheff case was moving through the legal process, what was your role?

What do you remember most about the court case?

How did your friends and family feel about your involvement in the case?

Did you encounter opposition to your role in this case, and if so, what type?

Do you still attend hearings/follow changes made to the ruling?

LONG-TERM REFLECTIONS

Looking back, what lessons have you learned from the Sheff case?

In hindsight, would you have done anything differently?

Did being involved in the Sheff case change your life in any way?

How have public education opportunities changed in the Hartford area over time?

Are you still involved in the case? Do you still keep in touch with other plaintiffs/lawyers?

BACKGROUND QUESTIONS (if not answered above)

When were you born, and how long have you lived in the Hartford area?

In what neighborhoods have you lived in the Hartford area before/during/after the Sheff trial?

What schools did you and/or your children attend?

What type of work do you do?

How do you identify your race or ethnicity?

THANK YOU and ask if they would like a DVD video and/or paper transcript of the interview

Date: June 6, 2011

To: Anique Thompson

Jack Dougherty

From: James J. Hughes Ph.D., Chair

Trinity College Institutional Review Board Federalwide Assurance: FWA00013955

Re: Approval of Research Proposal 2011-99

I have reviewed your request for IRB review and approval of your research on the "A Comparative Study of Civil Rights Activist Oral History Interviews in Metropolitan Hartford." Your proposed research project meets the ethical standards for research involving human participants with respect to obtaining informed consent, assuring confidentiality of participants' responses, and posing little or no risk to participants. Your project is hereby approved and you may proceed with your research when you wish. If the need arises for any further communication about this proposal, please use the identifier number above.

Please note this approval extends for a period of one year from the date above. Should you continue your research beyond that period a new IRB application is required.

If you change your research methodology in any way, please contact me so that I can verify that your research still meets the appropriate ethical standards.

cc. Melanie Stein, IRB Administrator

Sincerely,

James J. Hughes Ph.D. Chair, Institutional Review Board Trinity College