

1).

Let  $A$  be a positive definite matrix, that is for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ , then we have

$$x^T A x > 0$$

We note that any principle submatrix must be square. Since... (give argument)

Now take a P.S.M  $A_{m \times m}$  where  $m < n$ . This matrix discloses some set of rows & columns from  $A$ . S.P.I.C that  $\exists y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  st

$$y^T A_{m \times m} y \leq 0$$

Then we construct  $\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , isomorphic to  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , by adding zeroes to the indices of the Rows/columns removed to construct the P.S.M  $A_{m \times m}$ . Then it must be the case that

$$\tilde{y}^T A \tilde{y} \leq 0$$

Which contradicts  $A$  being positive definite. Therefore  $A_{m \times m}$  must also be positive definite.  $\square$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{S.P.I.C} \\ & \text{A is P.D.} \\ & \text{if } A \text{ is P.D.} \\ & \text{then } A_{1 \times 1} \text{ is P.D.} \\ & \text{if } A_{1 \times 1} \text{ is P.D.} \\ & \text{then } a_{11} > 0 \\ & \text{if } a_{11} > 0 \\ & \text{then } A_{2 \times 2} \text{ is P.D.} \\ & \text{if } A_{2 \times 2} \text{ is P.D.} \\ & \text{then } \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \text{ is P.D.} \\ & \text{if } \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \text{ is P.D.} \\ & \text{then } a_{11} a_{22} - a_{12} a_{21} > 0 \\ & \text{if } a_{11} a_{22} - a_{12} a_{21} > 0 \\ & \text{then } A_{3 \times 3} \text{ is P.D.} \\ & \text{if } A_{3 \times 3} \text{ is P.D.} \\ & \text{then } \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \text{ is P.D.} \\ & \text{if } \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \text{ is P.D.} \\ & \text{then } a_{11} a_{22} a_{33} - a_{11} a_{23} a_{32} - a_{12} a_{21} a_{33} + a_{12} a_{23} a_{31} + a_{13} a_{21} a_{32} - a_{13} a_{22} a_{31} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

2).

Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. We additionally assume  $A$  requires no pivoting.

To find the  $U$  &  $L$  matrices s.t.  $A = UL$  we apply Gaussian elimination from the bottom to top - which we will call BT-Gauss elimination. We also work right to left. We apply BT-Gauss elim to  $A$ , where we store the multipliers in the upper triangular portion.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & \dots & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & \dots & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} f & m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} & m_{15} \\ f & f & m_{23} & \dots & m_{25} \\ f & f & f & m_{34} & m_{35} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ f & f & f & f & m_{45} \\ f & f & f & \dots & f \\ f & f & f & f & f \end{bmatrix} \therefore r_3(L)$$

$L$  is the working array &  $U$  is the upper  $\Delta$  matrix w/ the multipliers  $\ell_{ij}$  in the main diagonal. We let  $r_i(A)$  denote the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $A$ . Now we take a  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $L$  & note

$$r_i(L) = r_i(A) - \sum_{j=i+1}^n m_{ij} r_j(L) \quad (\text{A})$$

Rearranging (A) to solve for  $r_i(A)$  yields

$$r_i(A) = \sum_{j=i+1}^n m_{ij} r_j(L) + r_i(L) \quad \boxed{\text{Dane's last words}} \quad \boxed{\text{ }}$$

We note that this equation is precisely the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $UL$ . Therefore,  $A = UL$ .  $\square$

$$6 - \frac{4 \cdot 9}{5}$$

$$\frac{30}{5} - \frac{36}{5} = -\frac{6}{5}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 9 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{6}{5} & \frac{9}{5} \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{min2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{9}{5} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad A = U \cdot L$$

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{6}{5} & 0 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

3)

No it does not. Recall the spectral radius of a matrix is the largest of the absolute values of the eigenvalues.

In order for the S.R. to be a norm it must satisfy the properties. However it fails prop 2.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{note that } \rho(A) = 1 \\ \text{but } A \neq 0_{2 \times 2}$$

Among these properties it fails the triangle inequality - so we cannot call it a semi-norm either.

- Compare this with the **2-norm** of a matrix. It is given by

$$\|A\|_2 = \max_{\|x\|_2=1} \|Ax\|_2 = \sqrt{\rho(A^T A)}$$

where  $\rho(B)$  is the **spectral radius** of a square matrix  $B$ .  
The spectral radius is the maximum of the absolute values of the eigenvalues.

4) -4- (Inequalities are sharp.) Explain the meaning of

$$\frac{1}{\|A\|\|A^{-1}\|} \frac{\|r\|}{\|b\|} \leq \frac{\|e\|}{\|x\|} \leq \|A\|\|A^{-1}\| \frac{\|r\|}{\|b\|} \quad (3)$$

The inequality in (3) is useful in error analysis—specifically backward error analysis. It allows one to create upper & lower bounds on the relative error, namely  $\frac{1}{\|x\|} \cdot \|e\|$ . We note that in backwards error analysis we do not know  $e$  or  $x$ .

Now derive (3) for a system  $Ax=b$  where  $A \in b$  are known. Let  $\hat{x}$  be an approx solution with some error,  $e$ . In other words,

$$\hat{x} = x - e \iff e = x - \hat{x}$$

where we then define the residual,  $r$ , as

$$r = b - A\hat{x}$$

well it follows from this that

$$Ae = A(x - \hat{x}) = Ax - A\hat{x} = b - A\hat{x} = r \quad (\star)$$

We note that by properties of matrix & vector norms we obtain

$$b = Ax \implies \|b\| \leq \|A\| \cdot \|x\| \quad (1) \quad \text{p.s.t } \|Ax\| = \\ \text{Find } \hat{x} \text{ based on } A$$

$$x = A^{-1}b \implies \|x\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \cdot \|b\| \quad (2)$$

$$r = Ae \implies \|r\| \leq \|A\| \cdot \|e\| \quad (3) \quad \text{or Norm-Tow-Sum}$$

$$e = A^{-1}r \implies \|e\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \cdot \|r\| \quad (4)$$

(2) can be written as  $\frac{1}{\|A^{-1}\|} \leq \frac{1}{\|x\|}$ , and (3) as  $\frac{\|r\|}{\|A\|} \leq \|e\|$ . Multiplying together we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\|A\| \cdot \|A^{-1}\|} \cdot \frac{\|r\|}{\|b\|} \leq \frac{\|e\|}{\|x\|}$$

Next, (1) as  $\frac{1}{\|x\|} \leq \frac{\|A\|}{\|b\|}$ , and multiply by (4) we have

$$\frac{\|e\|}{\|x\|} \leq \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| \cdot \frac{\|r\|}{\|b\|}$$

We are done.  $\square$

As a general comment on matrix norms, for a general matrix  $A$  in a finite dimensional space, the norm is given as

$$\|A\| := \max_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\|.$$

Due to the finite dimension of the space  $\exists$  unit vector  $x$  that achieves this maximum. When  $A$  is invertible the same can be said of  $A^{-1}$ . The choice of  $x$  is contingent the matrix norm being used - i.e in this case the 2-norm &  $\infty$ -norm.

Now in order to show the upper & lower equality of (eqn #) it is suff. to show equality for eqn (1), (4) & eqn (2), (3), respectively. Equality for (eqn #) follows based on the derivation in the proof above.

Upper Equality We assume  $A$  is  $n \times n$ .

a). Let  $\|A\| = \|A\|_2$ . For the upper inequality we find  $x \in e$  s.t (1) & (4) hold. I.e

$$\|Ax\| = \|A\| \|x\| \quad \& \quad \|e\| = \|A^{-1}\| \|r\|.$$

Finding  $x$  (Equality of (1))

We note that  $A = U\Sigma V^T$  where  $U \in V$  are orthogonal matrices. Meaning that

$\|A\| = \|\Sigma\| = \sigma_1$  where  $\sigma_1$  is the largest singular value. So we simply want to pick  $x$  to be the unit vector s.t  $\|Ax\| = \sigma_1$ . Pick  $x = v_1$  where  $v_1$  is the right singular vector corresponding to  $\sigma_1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Av_1\| &= \|U\Sigma V^T v_1\| = \|U\Sigma e\| \\ &= \sigma_1 \|Ue\| \\ &= \sigma_1 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $v_1$  is a unit vector then

Finding  $e$  (Equality of (4))  $\|A\| \|V\| = \sigma_1 \cdot 1$   $\Sigma$  exists since  $A$  invertible

Now for  $A^{-1}$  we write  $A^{-1} = (U\Sigma V^T)^{-1} = V\Sigma^{-1}U^T$ , thus  $\|A^{-1}\| = \|\Sigma^{-1}\| = \frac{1}{\sigma_n}$  where  $\sigma_n$  is the smallest singular value. To find  $e$  we simply pick a unit vector  $r$  s.t  $\|A^{-1}r\| = \frac{1}{\sigma_n}$  and let  $e = A^{-1}r$ .

Let  $r = u_m$  where  $u_m$  is unit column vector in  $U$ . Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^{-1}u_m\| &= \|V\Sigma^{-1}U^T u_m\| \\ &= \|V\Sigma^{-1}e\| \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_n} \|Ve\| \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_n} \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$$

and since  $u_m$  is a unit vector. Then,

$$\|A^{-1}\| \|u_m\| = \frac{1}{\sigma_n} \cdot 1.$$

Lower Equality

Without a loss in generality, picking  $x \in e$  to show equality in equations (2) & (3) follow the same argument. Thus showing the lower inequality.  $\square$

b). Let  $\|\cdot\| := \|\cdot\|_\infty$ . Again we assume  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  invertible matrix. Recall the  $\infty$ -norm of  $A$  is the maximum absolute row sum, i.e.

$$\|A\|_\infty = \max_{\|x\|_\infty=1} \|Ax\|_\infty = \max_{i=1,\dots,n} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|$$

Again it suffices to pick  $x \in \mathbb{C}$  s.t. equality in eqn (1), (4) & similarly for (2), (3).

### Upper Equality

#### Finding $x$ (Equality of (1))

Let

$$x = s = [\text{sign}(a_{1j})] \quad \text{where} \quad \text{sign}(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & z > 0 \\ -1 & z < 0 \\ 0 & z = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Now observe,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax\| &= \left\| \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j} \dots \sum_{j=1}^n a_{nj} \right]^T \right\|_\infty \\ &= \max_{i=1,\dots,n} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|. \end{aligned}$$

We note that  $\|s\|_\infty = 1$ , thus

$$\|A\| \|s\| = \max_{i=1,\dots,n} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| \cdot 1.$$

These are eqn

I am referring to  
to be  
(1)

$$\|b\| \leq \|A\| \cdot \|x\|$$

$$\|x\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \cdot \|b\| \quad (2)$$

$$\|r\| \leq \|A\| \cdot \|e\| \quad (3)$$

$$\|e\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|r\| \quad (4)$$

#### Finding $e$ (Equality of (4))

We let  $r = s$  as above and note again that

$$\|A^{-1}s\| = \|A^{-1}\| \|s\|$$

Thus let  $e = A^{-1}s$ .

### Lower Equality

The argument is precisely the same for eqn (2), (3).

We are done.  $\square$

-5- (Backward Error Analysis.) This problem explores the effects of a perturbation in the coefficient matrix (rather than the right hand side) of the linear system

$$Ax = b \quad (4)$$

Suppose we solve instead of (4) the system

$$(A - E)(x - e) = b \quad (5)$$

where  $E$  is a perturbation of  $A$  that causes an error  $e$  in the solution  $x$ . Show that

$$\frac{\|e\|}{\|x - e\|} \leq \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| \frac{\|E\|}{\|A\|} \quad (6)$$

We assume  $\|A\| \neq 0$  &  $\|x - e\| \neq 0$

$$\text{Take } (A - E)(x - e) = b$$

$$A(x - e) - E(x - e) = b$$

$$Ax - Ae - E(x - e) = b$$

$$-Ae - E(x - e) = b - Ax = 0$$

$$Ae = -E(x - e)$$

$$e = -A^{-1}E(x - e)$$

$$\|e\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|E\| \|x - e\|$$

$$\frac{\|e\|}{\|x - e\|} \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|E\| = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| \frac{\|E\|}{\|A\|} \quad \square$$

-6- (First Order Systems.) Write the second order initial value problem

$$y'' = \underbrace{xy^2}_{u = u(y)}, \quad y(0) = 1, \quad y'(0) = 2 \quad (7)$$

as an autonomous first order system  $u' = y'' = xy^2$

$$y' = f(y), \quad y(a) = y_0. \quad (8)$$

(In other words, specify  $y$ ,  $f$ ,  $a$ , and  $y_0$  such that the two problems are equivalent. Of course,  $y$  will have different meanings for the two problems.)

Let  $u = y'$  &  $x = t$ , then we obtain the following autonomous first order system

$$\begin{cases} y' = u & y(0) = 1 \\ u' = xy^2 & u(0) = 2 \\ x' = 1 & x(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

7 & 8

$$1 - \varepsilon = (-1 + \varepsilon)$$

$$2 - 2\varepsilon$$

$$2(1 - \varepsilon)$$

$$\frac{\ln(x^2+1)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$$

Need to program.