

---

# 1 Preliminaries

## 1.1 AdS/CFT correspondence

One of the most exciting discoveries in theoretical physics in the last decades was probably made by Maldacena in 1997 [1]. His famous conjecture, now widely known as *AdS/CFT* correspondence attracted many scientists to contribute their work to this field of study.

What makes this conjecture so promising, is that it relates a quantum field theory (QFT) in flat spacetime with a string theory. The latter one is also a promising candidate for a theory of quantum gravity. Unifying gravity with the other fundamental forces condensed in the Standard Model would be one of the next big milestones in physics. By studying the predictions of the *AdS/CFT* correspondence scientists hope to get one step closer to this fundamental aim. The *AdS/CFT* correspondence is some sort of strong-weak coupling duality. It can relate a strong coupled field theory to its dual, a weakly curved gravity theory. The conjecture links two theories, that are very different in their physical interpretation but states, that they are mathematically equivalent.

The *AdS* part refers to a gravity theory on asymptotically Anti-de Sitter spacetime, in particular a Type IIB superstring theory on  $AdS_5 \times S^5$ . *CFT* stands for conformal field theory and refers in this sense to a  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  Super YANG-MILLS theory in  $3 + 1$  dimensions. The correspondence states in its strongest form [2]

**$\mathcal{N} = 4$  Super Yang-Mills theory**  
with gauge group  $SU(N)$  and YANG-MILLS coupling constant  $g_{YM}$

$\uparrow$       *dynamically equivalent to*       $\downarrow$

**Type IIB superstring theory**  
with string length  $l_s = \sqrt{\alpha'}$  and coupling constant  $g_s$  on  $AdS_5 \times S^5$   
with radius of curvature  $R$  and  $N$  units of  $F_{(5)}$  flux on  $S^5$ .

By the conjecture the free parameters on the field theory side  $g_{YM}$  and  $N$  are mapped to the free parameters  $g_s$  and  $R/\sqrt{\alpha'}$  on the string theory side by

$$g_{YM}^2 = 2\pi g_s \quad \text{and} \quad 2\lambda \equiv 2g_{YM}^2 N = R^4/\alpha'^2. \quad (1.1)$$

In the second equation we defined the 't HOOFT coupling  $\lambda$ . String theory is yet best understood in the perturbative regime of weak coupling, why it is convenient to restrict the coupling on the string theory side to  $g_s \ll 1$ , while keeping  $R/\sqrt{\alpha'}$  constant. The *AdS* side therefore reduces

to classical string theory and no higher loop orders have to be taken into account. The quantity  $R^2/\alpha'$  is kept constant. As well as  $g_s$  also the coupling on the *CFT* side needs to satisfy  $g_{\text{YM}} \ll 1$  while  $g_{\text{YM}}^2 N$  is kept finite. We therefore have to take the large  $N$  limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$  which is also known as the 't HOOFT limit where the corresponding coupling  $\lambda$  is fixed. This corresponds to the planar limit of the gauge theory. We are now left with  $\lambda$  as the free parameter of the theory. In the so called weak form of *AdS/CFT* one refers to the limit of large  $\lambda$  and therefore  $\alpha/R^2 \rightarrow 0$ . This results in large curvature  $R$  and vanishing string length  $l_s$  which gives rise to the point particle limit of Type IIB superstring theory in form of Type IIB supergravity. So for large  $\lambda$  one is in the perturbative regime of string theory, whereas the gauge theory side is perturbatively accessible for  $\lambda \ll 1$ . We want to investigate the *AdS/CFT* correspondence numerically from the string theory side and therefore know solutions for large  $\lambda$  from perturbation theory. Now we can make use of the previously stated relation by going to smaller couplings where we leave the perturbative regime of string theory but enter the selfsame for the gauge theory side. This means that when we do numerical simulations for small couplings in string theory, then we still might be able to compare these results with predicted solutions of the perturbative regime of gauge theory.

## 1.2 Wilson loops

An important class of observables in gauge theories are non-local gauge invariant operators called WILSON loops. These operator are evaluated along a given closed path  $\mathcal{C}$ . For specific cusped paths one can (in a certain limit) extract a function called cusp anomaly function from the WILSON loop. Now *AdS/CFT* correspondence tells us, that a certain minimal surface of a string world sheet corresponds to that same Wilson loop. We therefore can extract information about the cusp anomaly function also from the string theory side. To make this remark more explicit, we first want to review some more details about WILSON loops.

For pure YANG-MILLS theory with the gauge group  $SU(N)$  the WILSON loop  $W[\mathcal{C}]$  is a path-ordered exponential of a gauge field  $A_\mu$  along a closed contour  $\mathcal{C}$ , which in the fundamental representation is defined by

$$W[\mathcal{C}] = \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr} \left( \mathcal{P} \exp \left[ i \oint_{\mathcal{C}} dx^\mu A_\mu \right] \right). \quad (1.2)$$

Here the trace is over the fundamental representation of the gauge group and  $\mathcal{P}$  is the path ordering operator. If we choose to parametrise the curve  $\mathcal{C}$  as  $x^\mu(s)$  with  $s \in [0, 1]$ , we can write the exponent as

$$i \oint_{\mathcal{C}} dx^\mu A_\mu = i \int_0^1 ds \frac{dx^\mu}{ds} A_\mu(x(s)). \quad (1.3)$$

Actually we have  $A_\mu = A_\mu^a T_a$ , where  $T_a$  is a generator of the LIE algebra  $\mathfrak{su}(N)$  of the gauge group and therefore different fields  $A_\mu$  do not commute in general. To avoid appearing ambiguities in the ordering of fields due to a TAYLOR expansion of the exponential, the operator  $\mathcal{P}$  is ordering them in the following sense

$$\mathcal{P}(A(x(s_1))A(x(s_2))) = \begin{cases} A(x(s_1))A(x(s_2)) & \text{for } s_1 > s_2, \\ A(x(s_2))A(x(s_1)) & \text{for } s_2 > s_1. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

### 1.3 Wilson loops in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills theory

Since the action of four-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM can be derived via dimensional reduction from  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  SYM in ten dimensions [3] one can construct a WILSON loop in the ten dimensional gauge theory. By using the field theory content of the four-dimensional theory  $A_\mu$  and  $x^\mu$  and six additional scalar fields of  $SU(N)$  the so called MALDACENA-WILSON loop, which was proposed in [4], can be written as

$$\mathcal{W}[\mathcal{C}] = \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr} \mathcal{P} \exp \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}} ds (iA_\mu(x)\dot{x}^\mu + |\dot{x}|\phi_I(x)n^I) \right). \quad (1.5)$$

Here  $I = 1, \dots, 6$  and  $n^I$  might be considered coordinates in  $S^5$ , which satisfy  $\delta_{IK}n^I n^K = 1$ . The curve described by  $n^I(s)$  in the five-sphere must not necessarily be closed.

In the context of *AdS/CFT* the MALDACENA-WILSON loop also has a corresponding string theory description, which was first proposed in [4]. Thus the expectation value of the MALDACENA-WILSON loop operator is given by a string partition function


$$\langle \mathcal{W}[\mathcal{C}] \rangle = Z_{\text{string}}[\mathcal{C}], \quad (1.6)$$

which is a path integral obeying sufficient boundary conditions

$$Z_{\text{string}}[\mathcal{C}] = \int_{\partial X^\mu = \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{D}X^M \mathcal{D}h_{\alpha\beta} \exp(-S_{\text{string}}(X, h)). \quad (1.7)$$

Here  $S_{\text{string}}$  is the action of the fundamental string in  $AdS_5 \times S^5$ ,  $h_{\alpha\beta}$  a 2d metric and  $X^M = (X^\mu, X^{3+I})$  ( $M = 0, \dots, 9$ ;  $\mu = 0, \dots, 3$ ;  $I = 1, \dots, 6$ ) are the embedding functions in the string target space, depending on the worldsheet coordinates  $(\tau, \sigma) \in \Sigma$  and  $\Sigma$  representing a map of the string worldsheet. By defining  $\tilde{X}^I = X^{3+I}$  and therefore  $X^M = (X^\mu, \tilde{X}^I)$  the partition function (1.7) needs to meet the following boundary conditions

$$X^\mu|_{\partial\Sigma} = x^\mu(s), \quad \left. \frac{\tilde{X}^I}{|\tilde{X}^I|} \right|_{\partial\Sigma} = n^I(s), \quad |\tilde{X}^I| \Big|_{\partial\Sigma} = 0, \quad (1.8)$$



**Figure 1.1** The embedding of the string worldsheet  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  in  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  is bounded by the contour  $\mathcal{C}$  as  $z$  approaches zero.

where  $x^\mu(s)$  parametrises the curve  $\mathcal{C}$ . One can show that the conformal boundary of  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  is 4d MINKOWSKI space<sup>1</sup>. Therefore one can say that the embedding of the string worldsheet is bounded by the curve  $\mathcal{C}$ , see Figure 1.1. In the large 't HOOFT coupling limit (1.7) can be evaluated with a saddle point approximation and results in the exponential of a minimal surface  $\mathcal{A}_{\min}(\mathcal{C})$  bounded by  $\mathcal{C}$

$$\langle \mathcal{W}[\mathcal{C}] \rangle \simeq \exp \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi} \mathcal{A}_{\min}(\mathcal{C}) \right). \quad (1.9)$$

## 1.4 Cusp anomalous dimension

To approach  $AdS/CFT$  in a numerical study it is important to observe a quantity that is widely known in different ranges of the 't HOOFT coupling  $\lambda$ , so it may act as a guideline in discretizing the theory. One such quantity is the cusp anomaly function or scaling function. It was first studied as the anomalous dimension of twist two operators in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM

$$\mathcal{O}_{\{\mu_1 \dots \mu_S\}} = \text{Tr } \phi^I \nabla_{\{\mu_1} \dots \nabla_{\mu_S\}} \phi^I, \quad (1.10)$$

where  $\phi^I$  are again the scalar fields of SYM. The conformal dimension of such operators is  $\Delta_S = S + 2 + \gamma_S(\lambda)$  with  $\gamma_S$  being the anomalous dimension and

<sup>1</sup>At the conformal boundary the five-sphere appears to have zero extent. See (??) for details on the conformal mapping of  $AdS_5$  to flat 4d MINKOWSKI space.

$\gamma_S \sim \ln S$  for  $S \rightarrow \infty$ . In [5] it was proposed that this conformal dimension corresponds to the energy of macroscopic rotating strings in the picture of supergravity for large  $S$

$$E \simeq S + \frac{\sqrt{g_s N}}{\pi} \ln S, \quad (S \rightarrow \infty). \quad (1.11)$$

In [6], it has been observed that  $\gamma_S(\lambda)$  for large  $S$  is related to the anomalous dimension of cusped MALDACENA-WILSON loops. The expectation value of such a WILSON loop diverges if parts of it are light-like, thus it has to be regulated by introducing IR and UV cutoffs  $L$  and  $\epsilon$

$$\langle \mathcal{W}[\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}] \rangle \sim e^{-\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\lambda, \gamma) \ln \frac{L}{\epsilon}} \xrightarrow{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} e^{-f(\lambda) |\gamma| \ln \frac{L}{\epsilon}}, \quad (1.12)$$

where  $\gamma$  is a boost angle in MINKOWSKI signature and  $\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\gamma, \lambda)$  is the angle and coupling dependent cusp anomaly function. In the limit of large  $\lambda$  the function  $f(\lambda)$  is obtained as the coefficient of the logarithmic divergence which is also known as scaling function from integrability. It is related to the anomalous dimension of twist two operators via [7]

$$\gamma_S(\lambda) \simeq -2f(\lambda) \ln S, \quad (S \rightarrow \infty). \quad (1.13)$$

The correspondence (1.6) now tells us that it is possible to access the scaling function also via path integral calculations from the string theory side by considering fluctuations around a certain vacuum that acts as a minimal surface conformally bounded by a cusped contour  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}$ . The remarks in [7, 8] suggest that the factor  $|\gamma| \ln(L/\epsilon)$  corresponds to the regulated area of a cusped string worldsheet and is thus related to the world volume of the string  $V_2 = \int d\tau d\sigma$ , leading to

$$\langle \mathcal{W}[\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}] \rangle = e^{-\frac{f(\lambda)}{2} \frac{V_2}{4}}. \quad (1.14)$$

Semiclassical calculations with such vacua allowed to compute the scaling function up to two loops at strong coupling (see e.g. [9])

$$f(g) = 4g - \frac{3 \ln 2}{\pi} - \frac{K}{4\pi^2 g} + \mathcal{O}(g^{-2}), \quad g \equiv \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi}, \quad (1.15)$$

where  $K \approx 0.916$  is the CATALAN constant. As mentioned the scaling function is also known from integrability where it can be derived from the  $BES^2$  equation for any finite values of  $g$ . So our main aim is to reproduce the scaling function in numerical simulations.

## 1.5 The Green-Schwarz superstring action in AdS light-cone gauge

As mentioned in the previous section we can address the scaling function by considering fluctuations around suitable vacua with worldsheets bounded

---

<sup>2</sup>*BES* stands for Beisert-Eden-Staudacher equation, which is an integral equation derived via a BETHE Ansatz in quantum integrability [10].

by a cusp. Therefore we chose to follow the approach presented in [9]. We hereby start from a GREEN-SCHWARZ type superstring in AdS light-cone gauge with fixed  $\kappa$ -symmetry, that was proposed in [11, 12]. This action contains terms quadratic and quartic in fermions and has a classical solution  $X_{\text{cl}}$  forming a null-cusp on the AdS boundary. Now one can consider fluctuations around this classical solution and use (1.6) and (1.7) to match the vacuum expectation value of a WILSON loop around a null-cusp which is related to the scaling function via (1.12)

$$\langle \mathcal{W}_{\text{cusp}} \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}\delta X \mathcal{D}\delta \Psi e^{-S_{\text{cusp}}[X_{\text{cl}} + \delta X, \delta \Psi]} = e^{-\frac{f(\lambda)}{2} \frac{V_2}{4}}. \quad (1.16)$$

Hereby  $S_{\text{cusp}}$  refers to the action expanded around the null-cusp solution  $X_{\text{cl}}$  and  $\Psi_{\text{cl}} = 0$ , whereas  $\Psi$  is an abbreviated notation for the fermionic quantities and  $\delta X$  and  $\delta \Psi$  are fluctuation fields. In the following we want to sketch shortly how to derive the action  $S_{\text{cusp}}$ . We will be using the  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  metric in the POINCARÉ patch ( $\mu = 0, \dots, 3$ ;  $M = 1, \dots, 6$ )

$$ds^2 = z^{-2} (dx^\mu dx_\mu + dz^M dz^M) = z^{-2} (dx^\mu dx_\mu + dz^2) + du^M du^M, \quad (1.17)$$

$$x^\mu x_\mu = x^+ x^- + x^* x, \quad x^\pm = x^3 \pm x^0, \quad x = x^1 + ix^2, \quad (1.18)$$

$$z^M = zu^M, \quad u^M u^M = 1, \quad z = (z^M z^M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \equiv e^\phi. \quad (1.19)$$

Here  $x^\mu, z$  are the local coordinates in the POINCARÉ patch of  $AdS_5$  (see Appendix ??) and  $u^M \in \mathbb{R}^6$  are euclidean coordinates restricted to the  $S^5$  sphere by (1.19). In this case  $AdS_5$  and  $S^5$  have the same constant curvature radius  $R = 1$ . Starting point is the action from [13], which is a covariant  $\kappa$ -symmetric superstring action for a Type IIB superstring on  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  background. It is a 2d  $\sigma$ -model on the coset superspace  $\frac{SU(2,2|4)}{SO(4,1) \times SO(5)}$ . Fixing  $\kappa$ -symmetry  $\Gamma^+ \vartheta^I = 0$  with ( $I = 1, 2$ ) on the two 10d MAJORANA-WEYL GS spinors  $\vartheta^M$  and choosing the conformally analogue gauge on the 2d metric

$$\sqrt{-h} h^{\alpha\beta} = \text{diag}(-z^2, z^{-2}) \quad (1.20)$$

is leading to the light-cone gauge with a simple solution for  $x^+$

$$x^+ = p^+ \tau \quad (1.21)$$

which can be imposed as an additional constraint to fix the 2d diffeomorphism invariance. The resulting action on  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  is

$$S = g \int d\tau \int d\sigma \mathcal{L}, \quad g \equiv \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi}, \quad (1.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \dot{x}^* \dot{x} + \left( \dot{z}^M + ip^+ z^{-2} z^N \eta_i (\rho^{MN})^i_j \eta^j \right)^2 + ip^+ \left( \theta^i \dot{\theta}_i + \eta^i \dot{\eta}_i + \theta_i \dot{\theta}^i + \eta_i \dot{\eta}^i \right) \\ & - (p^+)^2 z^{-2} (\eta^2)^2 - z^{-4} (x'^* x' + z'^M z'^M) \\ & - 2 \left[ p^+ z^{-3} \eta^i \rho_{ij}^M z^M (\theta'^j - iz^{-1} \eta^j x') + p^+ z^{-3} \eta_i (\rho_M^\dagger)^{ij} z^M (\theta'_j + iz^{-1} \eta_j x'^*) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where we defined  $\eta^2 \equiv \eta^i \eta_i$ . The six  $4 \times 4$  matrices  $\rho^M$  and their properties are stated in Appendix ???. We also introduced the fields  $\eta_i, \theta_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 4$ ) which are complex GRASSMANN variables with  $\eta^i \equiv (\eta_i)^\dagger, \theta^i \equiv (\theta_i)^\dagger$ . They are the remnants of the original two 10d MAJORANA-WEYL GS spinors and transform in the fundamental representation of  $SU(4)$ . We will also refer to them as fermions due to their GRASSMANN-odd properties. The action is at most quartic in the fermions and the factors of  $p^+$  can be absorbed by a rescaling of the selfsame and therefore we can set  $p^+ = 1$ . To get a real-valued BOLTZMANN factor  $e^{-S_E}$  in the path integral we perform a WICK rotation  $\tau \rightarrow -i\tau$ ,  $p^+ \rightarrow ip^+$  and after setting  $p^+ = 1$  we obtain the euclidean action

$$S_E = g \int d\tau \int d\sigma \mathcal{L}_E, \quad (1.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_E = & \dot{x}^* \dot{x} + \left( \dot{z}^M + iz^{-2} z^N \eta_i (\rho^{MN})^i_j \eta^j \right)^2 + i \left( \theta^i \dot{\theta}_i + \eta^i \dot{\eta}_i + \theta_i \dot{\theta}^i + \eta_i \dot{\eta}^i \right) \\ & - z^{-2} (\eta^2)^2 + z^{-4} (x'^* x' + z'^M z'^M) \\ & + 2i \left[ z^{-3} \eta^i \rho_{ij}^M z^M (\theta'^j - iz^{-1} \eta^j x') + z^{-3} \eta_i (\rho_M^\dagger)^{ij} z^M (\theta'_j + iz^{-1} \eta_j x'^*) \right], \end{aligned}$$

As mentioned, this euclidean superstring action has the simple classical solution of a null-cusp, given by

$$x^+ = \tau, \quad x^- = -\frac{1}{2\sigma}, \quad x^1 = x^2 = 0, \quad z = \sqrt{-2x^+ x^-} = \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{\sigma}}, \quad (1.24)$$

$$\tau, \sigma \in (0, \infty).$$

For  $z \rightarrow 0$  we approach the AdS boundary and therefore the regime of  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM. The curve proceeding at the boundary can be parametrised by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}} : \quad (-\infty, \infty) & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4,1} \\ s & \longrightarrow x^1 = x^2 = 0, \\ x^+ &= \begin{cases} -s & s < 0, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \\ x^- &= \begin{cases} s & s > 0, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (1.25)$$

To arrive at the form of (1.16) with a fluctuation action  $S_{\text{cusp}}$ , we need to expand  $S_E$  around the null-cusp background (1.24). We therefore choose the field fluctuations to be

$$x = \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{\sigma}} \tilde{x}, \quad z^M = \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{\sigma}} \tilde{z}^M, \quad \theta_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \tilde{\theta}_i, \quad \eta_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \tilde{\eta}_i. \quad (1.26)$$

With a transition to the new worldsheet coordinates  $(\tau, \sigma) \rightarrow (t, s) = (\ln \tau, \ln \sigma)$  the fluctuation action has no direct  $t$  or  $s$  dependence

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{cusp}} &= g \int dt \int ds \mathcal{L}_{\text{cusp}} \\
\mathcal{L}_{\text{cusp}} &= \left| \partial_t \tilde{x} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{x} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{\tilde{z}^4} \left| \partial_s \tilde{x} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{x} \right|^2 + \left( \partial_t \tilde{z}^M + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{z}^M + \frac{i}{\tilde{z}^2} \tilde{z}_N \tilde{\eta}_i (\rho^{MN})^i_j \tilde{\eta}^j \right)^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\tilde{z}^4} (\partial_s \tilde{z}^M - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{z}^M)^2 + i \left( \tilde{\theta}^i \partial_t \tilde{\theta}_i + \tilde{\eta}^i \partial_t \tilde{\eta}_i + \tilde{\theta}_i \partial_t \tilde{\theta}^i + \tilde{\eta}_i \partial_t \tilde{\eta}^i \right) - \frac{1}{\tilde{z}^2} (\tilde{\eta}^2)^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{2i}{\tilde{z}^3} \tilde{z}^M \tilde{\eta}^i (\rho^M)_{ij} \left( \partial_s \tilde{\theta}^j - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\theta}^j - \frac{i}{\tilde{z}} \tilde{\eta}^j (\partial_s \tilde{x} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{x}) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{2i}{\tilde{z}^3} \tilde{z}^M \tilde{\eta}_i (\rho_M^\dagger)^{ij} \left( \partial_s \tilde{\theta}_j - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\theta}_j + \frac{i}{\tilde{z}} \tilde{\eta}_j + \frac{i}{\tilde{z}} \tilde{\eta}^j (\partial_s \tilde{x} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{x})^* \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{1.27}$$

In the following we will drop the tilde notation for convenience. We also want to remark that there has been no truncation applied and this is still the full fluctuation action and therefore perfectly valid for a further application on non-perturbative calculations.

## 1.6 Symmetries of the fluctuation action

In [12] it was presented, that the general  $\kappa$ -symmetry light-cone fixed action possesses several symmetries. Two fundamental ones, which are also inherited by the fluctuation action (1.27) in its gauge fixed status, are global symmetries.

- At first a  $U(1) \sim SO(2)$  symmetry which rotates the  $x$  and  $x^*$  coordinate fields orthogonal to the other  $AdS_5$  coordinates, which therefore does not affect the classical solution. In order for the action to be invariant, also the fermions need to be shifted with the following transformations and the infinitesimal parameter  $\epsilon$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta x &= e^{i\epsilon} x, & \delta \eta_i &= e^{i\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \eta_i, & \delta \theta_i &= e^{-i\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \theta_i, \\
\delta x^* &= e^{-i\epsilon} x^*, & \delta \eta^i &= e^{-i\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \eta^i, & \delta \theta^i &= e^{i\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \theta^i.
\end{aligned}$$

- The other symmetry is an  $SU(4) \sim SO(6)$ , which concerns the  $z^M$  fields and is inherited after gauge fixing due to the  $S^5$  structure. By introducing an infinitesimal  $SU(4)$  rotation  $\epsilon^i_j$  the global symmetry transformations are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta z^M &= -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^i_j (\rho^{MN})^j_i z^N \\
\delta \theta^i &= \epsilon^i_j \theta^j, \quad \delta \theta_i = -\theta_j \epsilon^j_i, \quad \delta \eta^i = \epsilon^i_j \eta^j, \quad \delta \eta_i = -\eta_j \epsilon^j_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Hereby one can see that the  $z^M$  transform in the vector representation and the  $\{\eta^i, \theta^i\}$  and  $\{\eta_i, \theta_i\}$  in fundamental and anti-fundamental representation of  $SU(4)$ , respectively.



## References

- [1] J. M. Maldacena, “*The Large  $N$  limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*”, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 38, 1113 (1999), [hep-th/9711200](#), [Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 231 (1998)].
- [2] M. Ammon and J. Erdmenger, “*Gauge/gravity duality*”, Cambridge Univ. Pr. (2015), Cambridge, UK.
- [3] L. Brink, J. H. Schwarz and J. Scherk, “*Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories*”, Nuclear Physics B 121, 77 (1977).
- [4] J. M. Maldacena, “*Wilson loops in large  $N$  field theories*”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 4859 (1998), [hep-th/9803002](#).
- [5] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, “*A Semiclassical limit of the gauge / string correspondence*”, Nucl. Phys. B 636, 99 (2002), [hep-th/0204051](#).
- [6] G. P. Korchemsky and G. Marchesini, “*Structure function for large  $x$  and renormalization of Wilson loop*”, Nucl. Phys. B 406, 225 (1993), [hep-ph/9210281](#).
- [7] M. Kruczenski, “*A Note on twist two operators in  $N=4$  SYM and Wilson loops in Minkowski signature*”, JHEP 0212, 024 (2002), [hep-th/0210115](#).
- [8] M. Kruczenski, R. Roiban, A. Tirziu and A. A. Tseytlin, “*Strong-coupling expansion of cusp anomaly and gluon amplitudes from quantum open strings in  $AdS(5) \times S^{*5}$* ”, Nucl. Phys. B 791, 93 (2008), [arXiv:0707.4254](#).
- [9] S. Giombi, R. Ricci, R. Roiban, A. A. Tseytlin and C. Vergu, “*Quantum  $AdS(5) \times S^5$  superstring in the  $AdS$  light-cone gauge*”, JHEP 1003, 003 (2010), [arXiv:0912.5105](#).
- [10] N. Beisert, B. Eden and M. Staudacher, “*Transcendentality and Crossing*”, J. Stat. Mech. 0701, P01021 (2007), [hep-th/0610251](#).
- [11] R. R. Metsaev and A. A. Tseytlin, “*Superstring action in  $AdS(5) \times S^{*5}$ .  $Kappa$  symmetry light cone gauge*”, Phys. Rev. D 63, 046002 (2001), [hep-th/0007036](#).
- [12] R. R. Metsaev, C. B. Thorn and A. A. Tseytlin, “*Light cone superstring in  $AdS$  space-time*”, Nucl. Phys. B 596, 151 (2001), [hep-th/0009171](#).
- [13] R. R. Metsaev and A. A. Tseytlin, “*Type IIB superstring action in  $AdS(5) \times S^{*5}$  background*”, Nucl. Phys. B 533, 109 (1998), [hep-th/9805028](#).