1 Towards the lattice simulation

With the current status of the action (??) we could almost start to discretize the operators and fields, at least for the bosonic part this would not be a problem. For the fermions however this is not so straight forward. In order to include the fermionic contribution into the weight factor of the path integral like explained in section ?? one needs to integrate out the Grassmann variables to result into a Pfaffian or determinant of a fermionic operator. As presented in Appendix ?? this is only possible if the fermions appearing are of quadratic order. But in the fluctuation action (??) also quartic contributions of fermions appear, which have to be linearized with help of a Hubbard-Statonovich transformation.[1]

1.1 Linearization of fermionic contributions

1.1.1 Naive approach and sign problem

The only quartic interactions are coming from the η fields and we can write this part of the action as

$$S_4^{\mathrm{F}}[\eta_i, \eta^i] = g \int \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}s \left[-\frac{1}{z^2} \left(\eta^2\right)^2 + \left(\frac{i}{z^2} z_N \eta_i \left(\rho^{MN}\right)^i{}_j \eta^j \right)^2 \right]. \tag{1.1}$$

In the path integral representation the euclidean action contributes within an exponential $e^{-S_{\mathrm{E}}}$. By performing a naive Hubbard-Statonovich transformation to this exponential we can reduce the four-fermion contributions to quadratic Yukawa terms whereas we have to introduce 7 bosonic real auxiliary fields ϕ and ϕ^N

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp\left\{ -g \int \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}s \left[-\tfrac{1}{z^2} \left(\eta^2\right)^2 + \left(\tfrac{i}{z^2} z_N \eta_i \left(\rho^{MN}\right)^i{}_j \eta^j \right)^2 \right] \right\} \\ &\quad \sim \int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\phi^{\mathcal{M}} \, \exp\left\{ -g \int \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}s \left[\tfrac{1}{2}\phi^2 + \tfrac{\sqrt{2}}{z}\phi\eta^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}(\phi^M)^2 \right.\right. \\ &\qquad\qquad \left.\left. - i\tfrac{\sqrt{2}}{z^4}\phi_M \left(i\eta_i (\rho^{MN})^i{}_j \eta^j \right) z_N \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

Here we can notice that the second term appears to be complex, since the $SO(6)$ matrix in parenthesis is hermitian (with respect to the indices M, N)

$$\left(i\,\eta_i \left(\rho^{MN}\right)^i{}_j \eta^j \right)^\dagger = i\,\eta_j \left(\rho^{MN}\right)^j{}_i \eta^i, \tag{1.3}$$

where we have used (??). As discussed in section ?? this complex phase in the weight function is potentially leading to a non treatable sign problem. We therefore chose to make a field redefinition that circumvents the appearance of a complex phase during the HS transformation.

[1] See Appendix ?? for details

1.1.2 Alternative field redefinition

By using the identities for the $SO(6)$ matrices stated in Appendix **??** we can rewrite the second term in the LAGGRANGIAN of (1.1) as

$$\left(i\,\eta_i(\rho^{MN})^i{}_j n^N \eta^j\right)^2 = -3(\eta^2)^2 + 2\eta_i(\rho^N)^{ik} n_N \eta_k \eta^j (\rho^L)_{jl} n_L \eta^l, \tag{1.4}$$

where we defined $n^N = \frac{z^N}{z}$. This leads to the LAGRANGIAN

$$\mathcal{L}_4 = \frac{1}{z^2}\left(-4\,(\eta^2)^2 + 2\left|\eta_i(\rho^N)^{ik} n_N \eta_k\right|^2\right). \tag{1.5}$$

In order to circumvent the sign problem the second term needs to be negative. To achieve this we define new fields[2]

$$\Sigma_i^j = \eta_i \eta^j \qquad \tilde{\Sigma}_j^i = (\rho^N)^{ik} n_N (\rho^L)_{jl} n_L \eta_k \eta^l. \tag{1.6}$$

with this new definitions it is simple to check that

$$\Sigma_i^j \Sigma_j^i = -(\eta^2)^2 \qquad \tilde{\Sigma}_i^j \tilde{\Sigma}_j^i = -(\eta^2)^2 \qquad \Sigma_j^i \tilde{\Sigma}_i^j = -\left|\eta_i(\rho^N)^{ik} n_N \eta_k\right|^2. \tag{1.7}$$

With this we now define

$$\Sigma_{\pm}{}_i^j = \Sigma_i^j \pm \tilde{\Sigma}_i^j \tag{1.8}$$

and find

$$\Sigma_{\pm}{}_i^j \Sigma_{\pm}{}_j^i = -2(\eta^2)^2 \mp 2\left|\eta_i(\rho^N)^{ik} n_N \eta_k\right|^2. \tag{1.9}$$

We can now substitute the new fields into the LAGRANGIAN and obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_4 = \frac{1}{z^2}\left(-4\,(\eta^2)^2 \mp 2(\eta^2)^2 \mp \Sigma_{\pm}{}_i^j \Sigma_{\pm}{}_j^i\right), \tag{1.10}$$

where we only need to select the right sign in the field definition to overcome the sign problem, which is leading to

$$\mathcal{L}_4 = \frac{1}{z^2}\left(-6\,(\eta^2)^2 - \Sigma_{+}{}_i^j \Sigma_{+}{}_j^i\right). \tag{1.11}$$

If we now perform a HS transformation there will be no complex phase. The HS transformation yields

$$-\frac{6}{z^2}(\eta^2)^2 \to \frac{12}{z}\eta^2\phi + 6\phi^2, \tag{1.12}$$

where a single bosonic field was introduced like in the naive case. And further

$$-\frac{1}{z^2}\Sigma_{+}{}_j^i \Sigma_{+}{}_i^j \to \frac{2}{z}\Sigma_{+}{}_j^i \phi_i^j + \phi_j^i \phi_i^j \qquad \text{with} \qquad \left(\phi_j^i\right)^* = \phi_i^j\,, \tag{1.13}$$

Here the collection of fields ϕ_j^i can be thought of as a complex hermitian matrix with 16 real free parameters. We find it convenient to rescale the

[2] Where we actually set $\Sigma_i{}^j = \eta_i \eta^j$, then defined $\Sigma^i{}_j \equiv (\Sigma_i{}^j)^* = \Sigma_j{}^i$ to emphasize the notation Σ_i^j and equivalent for $\tilde{\Sigma}$.

field $\phi \to \phi/\sqrt{6}$, to get rid of the pre factor of 6 in (1.12). After reinserting the old fields for Σ_+ we can conclude that

$$\mathcal{L}_4 \to \frac{12}{z}\eta^2\phi + \phi^2 + \frac{2}{z}\eta_j\phi_i^j\eta^i + \frac{2}{z}(\rho^N)^{ik}n_N\eta_k\phi_i^j(\rho^L)_{jl}n_L\eta^l + \phi_j^i\phi_i^j\,. \tag{1.14}$$

So now we can write the full Lagrangian as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\text{cusp}} = &\left|\partial_t x + \frac{m}{2}x\right|^2 + \frac{1}{z^4}\left|\partial_s x - \frac{m}{2}x\right|^2 + \left(\partial_t z^M + \frac{m}{2}z^M\right)^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{z^4}\left(\partial_s z^M - \frac{m}{2}z^M\right)^2 + \phi^2 + \operatorname{Tr}\left(\tilde{\phi}\,\tilde{\phi}^\dagger\right) + \Psi^T\mathcal{O}_\text{F}\,\Psi\,.\end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

Hereby we defined the fermionic vector $\Psi \equiv (\theta^i, \theta_i, \eta^i, \eta_i)$ as well as the auxiliary matrix $\tilde{\phi} = (\tilde{\phi}_{ij}) \equiv \phi_j^i$. We used partial integration and the properties of the Grassmann numbers and $SO(6)$ matrices to write the fermionic contribution in a matrix-vector notation. The 16×16 fermionic operator is hereby represented as 4×4 block matrix

$$\mathcal{O}_\text{F} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\mathbb{1}_4\partial_t & -i\rho^M\left(\partial_s + \frac{m}{2}\right)\frac{z^M}{z^3} & 0 \\ i\mathbb{1}_4\partial_t & 0 & 0 & -i\rho^\dagger_M\left(\partial_s + \frac{m}{2}\right)\frac{z^M}{z^3} \\ i\frac{z^M}{z^3}\rho^M\left(\partial_s - \frac{m}{2}\right) & 0 & 2\frac{z^M}{z^4}\rho^M\left(\partial_s x - m\frac{x}{2}\right) & i\mathbb{1}_4\partial_t - A^T \\ 0 & i\frac{z^M}{z^3}\rho^\dagger_M\left(\partial_s - \frac{m}{2}\right) & i\mathbb{1}_4\partial_t + A & -2\frac{z^M}{z^4}\rho^\dagger_M\left(\partial_s x^* - m\frac{x}{2}^*\right) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.16}$$

where

$$A = -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{z}\phi\mathbb{1}_4 + \frac{1}{z}\tilde{\phi} + \frac{1}{z^3}\rho^*_N\tilde{\phi}^T\rho^L z^N z^L + \mathrm{i}\frac{z^N}{z^2}\rho^{MN}\partial_t z^M. \tag{1.17}$$

The auxiliary matrix $\tilde{\phi}$ is constructed from 16 real auxiliary fields ϕ_I ($I = 1, \dots, 16$) in the following way

$$\tilde{\phi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\phi_{13} & \phi_1 + i\phi_2 & \phi_3 + i\phi_4 & \phi_5 + i\phi_6 \\ \phi_1 - i\phi_2 & \sqrt{2}\phi_{14} & \phi_7 + i\phi_8 & \phi_9 + i\phi_{10} \\ \phi_3 - i\phi_4 & \phi_7 - i\phi_8 & \sqrt{2}\phi_{15} & \phi_{11} + i\phi_{12} \\ \phi_5 - i\phi_6 & \phi_9 - i\phi_{10} & \phi_{11} - i\phi_{12} & \sqrt{2}\phi_{16} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.18}$$

so that we have the simple expression for

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\tilde{\phi}\,\tilde{\phi}^\dagger\right) = \sum_{I=1}^{16}(\phi_I)^2 \equiv (\phi_I)^2\,. \tag{1.19}$$

1.1.3 Pseudofermionic weight function

Now since we have linearised fermionic contributions to quadratic order, we are able to integrate over the Grassmann fields in the partition function

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}x\,\mathcal{D}x^*\,\mathcal{D}z^N\,\mathcal{D}\phi\,\mathcal{D}\phi_I\,\mathcal{D}\Psi\;e^{-S}\,, \tag{1.20}$$

where we will split $S = S_\mathrm{B} + S_\mathrm{F}$ into its bosonic (S_B) and fermionic (S_F) contributions with

$$S_\mathrm{B} = \int \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}s \, \left|\partial_t x + \frac{m}{2}x\right|^2 + \frac{1}{z^4}\left|\partial_s x - \frac{m}{2}x\right|^2 + \left(\partial_t z^M + \frac{m}{2}z^M\right)^2 \tag{1.21}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{z^4}\left(\partial_s z^M - \frac{m}{2}z^M\right)^2 + \phi^2 + (\phi_I)^2 \,,$$
$$S_\mathrm{F} = \int \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}s \;\Psi^T \mathcal{O}_\mathrm{F} \Psi \tag{1.22}$$

References