464A3

Problem 1.

(a) By proposition 3.6 we have that

$$\langle J(s), \gamma'(s) \rangle = \langle J'(0), \gamma'(0) \rangle s + \langle J(0), \gamma'(0) \rangle.$$

Since $\langle J'(0), \gamma'(0) \rangle = 0$, and J'(0) = 0 we have that $\langle J(s), \gamma'(s) \rangle = 0$.

(b) We first claim that any geodesic γ through p = 0 must be a meridian. Note that meridians are preserved under rotation, which is an isometry. Since isometries preserve geodesics, any geodesic with a tangent vector tangent to a meridian must be a meridian. Since meridians gro through 0 we have that the geodesics that travel through 0 are meridians. Suppose that p is conjugate to 0. There exists a geodesic γ attaining p at t_0 and a Jacobi vector field I so that $J(0) = J(t_0) = 0$. By the proof of proposition 2.4, we can write

$$J(t) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(t, 0)$$

where $f(s,t)=(t\cos\theta(s),t\sin\theta(s),t^2)$, for some smooth $\theta(s)$. We have that $J(t_0)=(0,0,0)$, so

$$(0,0,0)=J(t_0)=\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(t_0,0)=(-t_0\cdot\theta'(0)\cdot\sin\theta(0),t_0\cdot\theta'(0)\cos\theta(0),0).$$

Since sin and cos cannot vanish at the same time we have that $\theta'(0) = 0$. However this equation defines J(t) for all choices of t, so we must have that $J(t) \equiv 0$.

464A3

Problem 2.

By corollary 2.5, we can write

$$J(t) = \left(d\exp_p\right)_{t\gamma'(0)}(tJ'(0))$$

for any jacobian field J. Furthermore, since M has 0 scalar curvature, we have that

$$J(t) = tw(t)$$

where J'(0) = w(0), and w is a parallel unit vector field along γ . Take a normal ball $B_{\varepsilon}(0)$ of \mathfrak{p} . For any vectors v, w so that $J'_1(0) = v$, $J'_2(0) = w$, using the cor. and lemma, we write

$$\langle \left(d\exp_p\right)_{t\gamma'(0)}(tJ_1'(0)), \left(d\exp_p\right)_{s\gamma'(0)}(sJ_2'(0))\rangle = \langle J_1(t), J_2(s)\rangle = \langle tw_1(t), sw_2(s)\rangle.$$

We use linearity of the differential and divide out $t \cdot s$ from both sides to get that:

$$\langle \left(\operatorname{d} \exp_{\mathfrak{p}} \right)_{t\gamma'(0)} (J_1'(0)), \left(\operatorname{d} \exp_{\mathfrak{p}} \right)_{s\gamma'(0)} (J_2'(0)) \rangle = \langle w_1(t), w_2(s) \rangle.$$

At t = s = 0, we have that

$$\langle (d \exp_{\mathfrak{p}}) (v), (d \exp_{\mathfrak{p}}) (w) \rangle = \langle w_1(0), w_2(0) \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle.$$

464A3 3

Problem 3.

Suppose that $N \subset K \subset M$, with N totally geodesic in K, and K totally geodesic in M. By prop. 9 it is sufficient to show that a geodesic in N is also a geodesic in M. Let γ be a geodesic at $p \in N$. Then since N is totally geodesic in K we have that γ is also a geodesic at p in K. Since K is totally geodesic in M, we have that γ is a geodesic in M at p. Our choice of p and γ was arbitrary so we have that N is totally geodesic in M.

464A3

Problem 4.

(a) We first compute the differential of x. We get that

$$\mathrm{d}x(\theta,\varphi) = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} egin{bmatrix} -\sin\theta & 0 \\ \cos\theta & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin\varphi \\ 0 & \cos\varphi \end{pmatrix}.$$

We see that $dx(1,0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e_1$, $dx(0,1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e_2$. Therefore e_1 , e_2 belong to the tangent space. We see that they are orthonormal since

$$\langle e_{i}, e_{j} \rangle = \delta_{ij}.$$

It remains to check that n_1, n_2 form am orthonormal basis for the normal space. We see that

$$\langle n_i, n_j \rangle = \delta_{ij},$$

as well as

$$\langle \mathbf{n_i}, \mathbf{e_j} \rangle = 0.$$

(b) By prop. 2.3, we can write

$$\langle S_{\mathfrak{n}_k}(e_\mathfrak{i}), e_\mathfrak{j} \rangle = - \langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_\mathfrak{i}} \mathfrak{n}_k, e_\mathfrak{j} \rangle = \langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_\mathfrak{i}} e_\mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{n}_k \rangle.$$

For a fixed choice of n_k computing the above for each e_i, e_j will give us the entries of the matrix of S in the basis of $\{e_1, e_2\}$. Since in \mathbb{R}^n the covariant derivative agrees exactly with the usual derivative, we have that

$$\overline{\nabla}_{e_1}e_1=(-\cos\theta,-\sin,0,0), \overline{\nabla}_{e_2}e_2=(0,0,-\cos\varphi,-\sin\varphi).$$

For n_1 , we compute that

$$\langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_1} e_1, \mathfrak{n}_1 \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot -1 = -1 = \langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_2} e_2, \mathfrak{n}_1 \rangle,$$

and

$$\langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_1} e_2, \mathfrak{n}_1 \rangle = 0 = \langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_2} e_1, \mathfrak{n}_1 \rangle.$$

Therefore

$$S_{n_1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For n_2 , we compute that

$$\langle \overline{
abla}_{e_1} e_2, \mathfrak{n}_2 \rangle = 0 = \langle \overline{
abla}_{e_2} e_1, \mathfrak{n}_2 \rangle,$$

and

$$\langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_1} e_1, \mathfrak{n}_2 \rangle = 1, \langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_2} e_2, \mathfrak{n}_2 \rangle = -1.$$

Therefore

$$S_{n_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(c) We claim that n_1 is in the tangent space of S^3 . Since $x(\theta, \varphi) = n_1 \in S^3$, we have that $n_1 \in T_p S^3$. Therefore n_2 spans $(T_p S^3)^{\perp}$. Any $\eta \in (T_p S^3)^{\perp}$ must satisfy $\eta = \alpha n_2$. Therefore by construction of S_{η} by prop. 2.3, $S_{\eta} = \alpha S_{n_2}$, and since S_{n_2} is traceless so must be S_{η} .

464A3 5

Problem 5.

(a) Consider $S^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$. We have that the geodesics from x to y on S^1 are given by travelling along the arc between x,y. So $d_M(x,y) = \min(\arg(x) - \arg(y), \arg(y) - \arg(x))$. However, $d_N(x,y) = |x-y|$. We have that $d_M > d_N$, even though $\iota: S^1 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is an isometric immersion.

(b) We first give the following riemannian structure to \widetilde{M} . For $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \in \widetilde{M}$, we define

$$\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\tilde{\mathbf{p}}} = \langle \mathbf{d}\pi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{d}\pi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{w}) \rangle_{\pi(\tilde{\mathbf{p}})}.$$

This will be a local isometry exactly when π is a diffeomorphism, so as long as we take a sufficiently small open neighbourhood of \tilde{p} . We now claim that \widetilde{M} is complete in this metric if and only if M is complete. Suppose that M is complete. Let $\gamma:[0,1]\to M$ be a geodesic. We know from topology that we can lift γ to a path $\tilde{\gamma}:[0,1]\to\widetilde{M}$ satisfying $\pi\circ\tilde{\gamma}=\gamma$. Since γ is locally length minimizing, and π is locally an isometry we have that $\tilde{\gamma}$ is also locally length minimizing and hence a geodesic. We can extend γ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$, corresponding to extending $\tilde{\gamma}$ while still remaining a geodesic. Thus \widetilde{M} is complete. Conversely suppose that M is complete with respect to its metric. Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be a geodesic defined for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$. Then the path $\pi\circ\tilde{\gamma}=\gamma$ is defined for all t. We claim that γ is a geodesic. Since π locally preserves distances, and $\tilde{\gamma}$ locally minimizes lengths, we have that γ locally minimizes lengths. Therefore γ is a geodesic and M is complete.

(c) Let M_1 be the open upper hemisphere of S^2 , $M_2 = S^2$. Let $f = \iota$ the inclusion map of M_1 in M_2 . If we endow M_1 with the restriction of any metric on M_2 , then f is an isometry. Since M_2 is compact it must be complete by corr. 2.9. Note however that M_1 is extendable, in particular it can be extended to M_2 . By the contrapositive of prop. 2.3, M_1 is not complete.