351A4 1

Problem 1.

(a) We claim that P(t) is conserved. We compute that:

$$\begin{split} \dot{P}(t) &= \int \frac{d}{dt} u_t u_x dx \\ &= \int u_{tt} u_x + u_{tx} u_t dx \\ &= \int c^2 u_{xx} u_x + u_{tx} u_x dx \end{split}$$

Computing each summand seperately, we have that

$$\int u_{tx}u_tdx = u_t \cdot u_t|_{\mathbb{R}} - \int u_{tx}u_tdx = -\int u_{tx}u_tdx \implies \int u_{tx}u_tdx = 0,$$

assuming that u_t vanishes at ∞ . Similarly if we assume that u_x vanishes at ∞ , we have that

$$\int u_{xx}u_{x}dx = -\int u_{x}xu_{x}dx \implies \int u_{x}xu_{x}dx = 0.$$

(b) We compute:

$$e_t = u_t \cdot u_{tt} + c^2 u_x \cdot u_{xt} = c^2 \left(u_{tx} \cdot u_x + \frac{1}{c^2} u_t \cdot u_{tt} \right) = c^2 p_x.$$

Similarly, we compute that

$$\mathfrak{p}_{t} = \mathfrak{u}_{tt} \cdot \mathfrak{u}_{t} + \mathfrak{u}_{t} \cdot \mathfrak{u}_{xt} = \mathfrak{e}_{x}.$$

Thus we see that

$$e_{\rm tt} = c^2 p_{\rm xt}, e_{\rm xx} = p_{\rm xt} \implies e_{\rm tt} - c^2 e_{\rm xx} = 0.$$

For p we get

$$p_{tt} = e_{xt}, c^2 p_{xx} = e_{xt} \implies p_{xx} - \frac{1}{c^2} p_{tt}.$$

(c) Since we have that E, P are both conserved. Therefore they are independent of the choice of t. So we can write

$$E(t) = E(0) = \int \frac{1}{2} \varphi_0^2(x) + c^2 \varphi_1^2(x) dx,$$

and

$$P(t) = \int \phi_0(x)\phi_1(x)dx$$

351A4

Problem 2.

(a) As a reminder we write

$$E(t) = \int \frac{1}{2}u_t^2 + c^2u_x^2 dx.$$

Taking the time derivative, we see

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathsf{E}(t) = \int \mathsf{u}_t \mathsf{u}_{tt} + c^2 \mathsf{u}_x \mathsf{u}_{xt} dx = \int \mathsf{u}_t (\mathsf{u}_{tt} - c^2 \mathsf{u}_{xx}) dx = -r \int \mathsf{u}_t^2 dx.$$

Where we use integration by parts, and the PDE condition. Note that E(0) is positive, and the derivative is decreasing strictly. Therefore as $t \to \infty$ then $E(t) \to 0$.

- (b) Yes solutions are unique. Suppose u_1, u_2 are both solutions with the same initial datum $u^i(x,0) = \varphi_0(x)$, $u^i_t(x,0) = \varphi_1(x)$. Write $v = u_1 u_2$. Note that v has 0 boundary conditions. Note that E(0) = 0, but is also always at least 0. Since E is decreasing we have that E(t) = 0 for all time. Therefore $v \equiv 0$ and $u_1 = u_2$.
- (c) If r < 0 then

$$\dot{\mathsf{E}}(\mathsf{t}) = -\mathsf{r} \int \mathsf{u}_\mathsf{t}^2 \mathsf{d} x > 0.$$

Thus the energy must go off to infinity as time goes to infinity. Note that we can write

$$\dot{\mathsf{E}}(\mathsf{t}) = -2\mathsf{r}\mathsf{E}(\mathsf{t}) - \mathsf{r} \int c^2 \mathsf{u}_{\mathsf{x}\mathsf{x}} \mathsf{d}\mathsf{x} \implies \dot{\mathsf{E}}(\mathsf{t}) \leqslant -3\mathsf{r}\mathsf{E}(\mathsf{t}).$$

By Gronwalls inequality, we have that $E(t) = E(0)e^{-3rt}$. Therefore if we take two initial value problems as we did in b), and apply energy to their difference we have that E(0) = 0. By gronwalls we have E(t) = 0 for all t. Thus $v \equiv 0$ and so uniqueness also holds.

351A4

Problem 3.

(a) If L lorentz, we have

$$I^{-1} = \Gamma I^{\mathsf{T}} \Gamma$$
.

Since $\Gamma^{-1} = \Gamma$ taking the inverse of both sides we see that

$$I = \Gamma I^{-1} \Gamma$$
.

Therefore L^{-1} is lorentz. Now suppose that L, M are both lorzentz. Then,

$$(LM)^{-1} = M^{-1}L^{-1} = \Gamma M^{\mathsf{T}}\Gamma\Gamma L^{\mathsf{T}}\Gamma = \Gamma (LM)^{\mathsf{T}}\Gamma.$$

(b) Note that $m(w) = w^T \Gamma w$. First suppose that L is lorentz. Then,

$$\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{w}) = (\mathbf{L}\mathbf{w})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{L}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{L}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{L}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{L}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{w}.$$

Suppose the converse. Then we have that

$$w^{\mathsf{T}}\mathsf{L}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathsf{\Gamma}\mathsf{L}w = w^{\mathsf{T}}\mathsf{\Gamma}w.$$

Since this is true for all w, we have that $L^T\Gamma L = \Gamma$, and so L is invertible. Thus we have that

$$\mathsf{L}^\mathsf{T} \mathsf{\Gamma} = \mathsf{\Gamma} \mathsf{L}^{-1} \implies \mathsf{L}^{-1} = \mathsf{\Gamma} \mathsf{L}^\mathsf{T} \mathsf{\Gamma}.$$

(c) We write the following vector $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_x & \partial_y & \partial_z & \partial_t \end{bmatrix}$. We have that $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{u} = 0$. Therefore $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{v})\mathbf{u} = 0$ or $(\mathbf{v}\mathbf{L}^\mathsf{T}\Gamma\mathbf{L}\mathbf{v})\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{L}(x,y,z,t))$

(d) We write the matrix of L as follows:

$$\mathsf{L} = egin{bmatrix} \gamma & 0 & 0 & -\gamma \nu \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ -\gamma \nu & 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{bmatrix}.$$

We compute the inverse as

$$\mathsf{L}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{-1}{\gamma(\nu+1)(\nu-1)} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\nu}{\gamma-\gamma\nu^2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{\nu}{\gamma-\gamma\nu^2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\gamma-\gamma\nu^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the fact that $L^{-1} = \Gamma L^{\mathsf{T}} \Gamma$, we have that

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{-1}{\gamma(\nu+1)(\nu-1)} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\nu}{\gamma-\gamma\nu^2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{\nu}{\gamma-\gamma\nu^2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\gamma-\gamma\nu^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma & 0 & 0 & \gamma\nu \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \gamma\nu & 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{bmatrix}.$$

For this to hold i.e. L be lorenztian we must have that $v \in (-1,1), \gamma > 1$ and

$$\gamma^2 = \frac{1}{1 - \nu^2}$$

351A4

Problem 4.

(a) Let w = v - u. Note that $w \ge 0$ on the boundary. If at some point a, b we had that u - v(a, b) > 0, then w(a, b) < 0. This can not happen by the minimum principle.

- (b) We first claim that if u solves $u_t = u_{xx} + f$, then $\min_{int} u \geqslant \min_{bd} u + l^2 \min_{bd} f$. Consider the function $v = u + cx^2 l^2c$, where we choose $c = \frac{\min f}{2}$. We have that $v_t = u_t$ and $v_{xx} = u_{xx} + \frac{\min f}{2}$. We have that $v_t \geqslant v_{xx}$, Therefore v attains its \min when x = 0 or x = l or t = 0 by the minimum principle. Therefore we have $\min_{int} u \geqslant \min_{bd} u + l^2 \min_{bd} f$. We now apply this to w = v u. We must have that $\min_{int} w \geqslant \min_{bd} w + l^2 \min_{bd} l^2(g f)$. The lefthand side of the equality must always be positive since the righthand side is always positive. Therefore $v \geqslant u$.
- (c) Take $u(t,x) = (1-e^{-t})\sin x$. We see that $u_t u_{xx} = \sin x$. As well, we have $u(0,x) = \sin x$, $u(t,0) = 0 = u(t,\pi)$. Since we have that $v \ge u$ on the boundary, then by part b it must also be true on the interiour i.e., $v(x,t) \ge (1-e^{-t})\sin x$.

351A4 5

Problem 5.

(a) We define the function $\nu(x,t)=u(x,t)-\epsilon\left(t+\frac{1}{2}|x-y|^2\right)$ for arbitrary y. Set $\rho=|x-y|$. Define $\Omega=\{x,t:|x-y|<\rho,0< t< T\}.$

By the proof of the weak max principle, have that ν must satisfy the weak max principle, so therefore

$$\nu(x,t)\leqslant \max\left\{f(x)-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\rho^2, u(y-\rho,t)-\epsilon t+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\rho^2, u(y+\rho,t)-\frac{\epsilon}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\rho^2\right\}.$$

If we take x = y, then for all y, ρ ,

$$\nu(y,t)\leqslant \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}f(x),$$

since we can range x over all \mathbb{R} . Since this is true for all ε , we have that $u(y,t) \leqslant \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x)$. Since this system is linear if we have two solutions u_1, u_2 for the initial value f(x), their difference will be a solution to the same equation with initial value 0. Since the solution is bounded above by 0 is must be identically 0.

(b) We have solved the heat equation on the real line. We have that

$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{kt}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\frac{-(x-y)^2}{4kt}} f(y) dy.$$

Therefore,

$$|u(x,t)| = \left|\frac{1}{2\sqrt{kt}}\int_{\mathbb{D}}e^{\frac{-(x-y)^2}{4kt}}f(y)dy\right| \leqslant Ct^{-1/2}\int_{\mathbb{D}}e^{\frac{-(x-y)^2}{4kt}}dy\cdot\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f(y)|dy \leqslant Dt^{-1/2},$$

Where we use the fact that $\int e^{\frac{-(x-y)^2}{4kt}} dy$ is a constant independent of t, and $f \in L^1$.