#### Problem 1.

Endow  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  with the smooth structure given by quotienting  $S^2$  in the usual way. We compute the local derivative of f as

$$Df(\phi_1^{-1})(\phi([x,y,z])) = D(zy,z\sqrt{1-y^2-z^2},y\sqrt{1-y^2-z^2}) = \begin{bmatrix} z & y \\ \frac{-zy}{\sqrt{1-y^2-z^2}} & \frac{1-y^2-2z^2}{\sqrt{1-y^2-z^2}} \\ \frac{1-2y^2-z^2}{\sqrt{1-y^2-z^2}} & \frac{-zy}{\sqrt{1-y^2-z^2}}. \end{bmatrix}$$

The mapping f will fail to be an immersion at points (y, z) where the columns are linearly dependant i.e. their cross product is 0. For notation set  $x = \sqrt{1 - y^2 - z^2}$ . We aim to solve

$$\left(z^2+y^2-x^2, \frac{y(x^2-y^2)+z^2y}{x}, \frac{z(x^2-z^2)+zy^2}{x}\right)=0.$$

The constraint on the first coordinate implies that

$$y^2 + z^2 = \frac{1}{2}$$

and the second and third coordinate constraints imply that y or z is 0 but not both. Substituting back into  $\varphi^{-1}$  we get four points where f fails to be an immersion in this chart,

$$\Big[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},0\Big], \Big[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},0\Big], \Big[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},0,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big], \Big[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},0,-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big].$$

We repeat this process for  $\varphi_2$ , seeing that

$$\mathrm{Df}(\phi_2^{-1})(\phi_2([x,y,z])) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-xz}{\sqrt{1-x^2-z^2}} & \frac{1-x^2-2z^2}{\sqrt{1-x^2-z^2}} \\ z & x \\ \frac{1-2x^2-z^2}{\sqrt{1-x^2-z^2}} & \frac{-xz}{\sqrt{1-x^2-z^2}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

A similar computation reveals that this matrix has rank less than 2 at

$$\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0\right], \left[\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0\right], \left[0\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right], \left[0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}\right].$$

Finally, for  $\varphi_3$  we have that

$$Df(\phi_3^{-1})(\phi([x,y,z])) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-xy}{\sqrt{1-x^2-y^2}} & \frac{1-x^2-2y^2}{\sqrt{1-x^2-y^2}} \\ \frac{1-2x^2-y^2}{\sqrt{1-x^2-y^2}} & \frac{-xy}{\sqrt{1-x^2-y^2}} \\ y & \chi \end{bmatrix}.$$

This will fail to be an immersion at the points

$$\left[0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right], \left[0, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right], \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right], \left[\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right].$$

We conclude that the mapping f will not be an immersion at

$$\left[0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right], \left[0, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right], \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right], \left[\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right], \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0\right], \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0\right].$$

The images of these points will be

$$\left(\pm\frac{1}{2},0,0\right),\left(0,\pm\frac{1}{2},0\right),\left(0,0,\pm\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

#### Problem 2.

- (a) Let f be the map given. We show that the following hold to conclude that it is indeed an imbedding.
  - i) f is injective
  - ii) f is an immersion
  - iii) f is a homeomorphism onto its image.

First we show that f is injective. If

$$[y, 0] = [x, 0]$$

then (y,0) and (x,0) are either equal or andipodal. Clearly we must have that  $x \sim y$  and [x] = [y]. Hence f is injective. Now we claim that f is an immersion. Endow  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}P^{n+1}$  with the smooth structure induced by quotienting the sphere by antipodal points. Then if  $\psi_j$  and  $\phi_i$  are the standard charts on  $\mathbb{R}P^{n+1}$  and  $\mathbb{R}P^n$ , for  $j \neq i$  we have that

$$(\psi_{\mathfrak{j}}\circ f\circ \phi_{\mathfrak{i}}^{-1})(x_{1},\ldots,x_{n})=(x_{1},\ldots,\hat{x}_{\mathfrak{j}},\ldots,\sqrt{1-x_{1}^{2}-\ldots x_{n}^{2}},\ldots,x_{n},0),$$

which will evaluate as

$$D(\psi_{\mathfrak{j}}\circ \mathsf{f}\circ \phi_{\mathfrak{i}}^{-1}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{-2x_1}{\sqrt{1-x_1^2-...x_n^2}} & \cdots & \frac{-2x_{n-1}}{\sqrt{1-x_1^2-...x_n^2}} & \frac{-2x_n}{\sqrt{1-x_1^2-...x_n^2}} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This will be of rank n. If i = j then the Jacobian matrix will be

$$D(\psi_j\circ f\circ \phi_j^{-1})=\begin{bmatrix}I&0\\0&0\end{bmatrix},$$

where I is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. Therefore f is an immersion. It remains to show that it is a homeomorphism onto its image. First, note that f must be continuous since f is smooth, and  $\psi_j$ ,  $\varphi_i$  cover the manifold, the gluing lemma gives us the desired result. Furthermore since f is injective, we have that f is a bijection onto  $f(\mathbb{R}P^n)$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  is compact and hausdorff, it follows from topology that f is a homeomorphism onto its image. Therefore f defines an imbedding of  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}P^{n+1}$ .

(b) We first check that the Segre imbedding is in fact an imbedding. First we show that it is an immersion. Regard  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  and  $\mathbb{C}P^3$  as quotients of complex spheres of same dimension. Let  $\{\phi_i, U_i\}, \{\psi_j, V_j\}$  be at lases on  $\mathbb{C}P^1, \mathbb{C}P^3$  with coordinates given by projection. We compute that S looks like

$$\psi_1 \circ S \circ (\phi_1^{-1}, \phi_1^{-1})(z, w) = (w\sqrt{1-z^2}, z\sqrt{1-w^2}, \sqrt{1-w^2}\sqrt{1-z^2}),$$

and the differential will be

$$D(\psi_1 \circ S \circ (\phi_1^{-1}, \phi_1^{-1}))(z, w) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-zw}{\sqrt{1-z^2}} & \sqrt{1-z^2} \\ \\ \sqrt{1-w^2} & \frac{-zw}{\sqrt{1-w^2}} \\ \\ \frac{-z\sqrt{1-w^2}}{\sqrt{1-z^2}} & \frac{-w\sqrt{1-z^2}}{\sqrt{1-w^2}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This will have a complex rank 2. A similar computation for different choices of  $\psi_i$ ,  $\phi_j$  will yield the same result and so we conclude that S is an immersion. We now claim that S is a homeomorphism onto its image. First we show that S is injective. Suppose that

$$S([z_0, z_1], [w_0, w_1]) = S([u_0, u_1], [v_0, v_1]).$$

This gives us that

$$[z_0w_0, z_1w_0, z_0w_1, z_1w_1] = [u_0v_0, u_1v_0, u_0v_1, u_1v_1].$$

By the equivalence relation we have that

$$z_0 w_0 = \pm u_0 v_0$$
  
 $z_1 w_0 = \pm u_1 v_0$   
 $z_0 w_1 = \pm u_0 v_1$   
 $z_1 w_1 = \pm u_1 v_1$ 

which implies that  $[z_0, z_1] = [u_0, u_1]$  and  $[w_0, w_1] = [v_0, v_1]$ . Furthermore since S is smooth, and since  $\psi_j$ ,  $\varphi_i$  cover our manifolds, the gluing lemma implies that S is continuous. Since S is a bijection onto its image, and  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$  is compact and hausdorff, and  $\mathbb{C}P^3$  is hausdorff we have that S must be a homeomorphism onto its image. Define the generalized Segre imbedding as  $S : [x_0, \dots, x_j] \times [y_0, \dots, y_k] \mapsto [x_i y_l]$  where  $x_i y_l$  is the vector given with entries ranging over all possible products of  $x_i$  with  $y_l$ . We claim that S is an imbedding. Let  $\varphi$  be a chart of  $\mathbb{C}P^j$ ,  $\psi$  be a chart of  $\mathbb{C}P^k$  and  $\lambda$  be a chart of  $\mathbb{C}P^{(j+1)(k+1)-1}$ . We have that

$$\lambda\circ S\circ (\phi^{-1},\psi^{-1})(z,y)=(z_0y_0,\dots z_0\sqrt{1-y_0^2-\dots},\dots \widehat{z_hy_k},\dots z_jy_k),$$

and will have a differential of

One can verify that this matrix has a complex rank of j + k. Hence S is an immersion. By a similar argument as before, it is an imbedding.

# Problem 3.

Let U be an open set around B thats disjoint from A. We have that U is a submanifold, and  $A^c$  is an open covering of it. There exists a partition of unity  $\{\psi_i\}$  subordinate to  $A^c$ . We have that  $supp(\psi_i) \subset A^c$ . Therefore the function

 $f(p) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i} \psi_{i}(p) & p \not\in A \\ 0 & p \in A \end{cases}$ 

will be smooth and satisfies our requirements.

## Problem 4.

Let C be a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Cover  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus C$  with a countable covering of open balls  $\{B_n\}$ . Each ball  $B_i$  contains some compact set  $C_i$ , and we can take some smooth functions  $f_i$  so that  $f_i|_{C_i}=1$  and  $f_i=0$  outside of  $B_i$ . Define

 $f = \sum_{i} \frac{f_{i}}{2^{i} M_{i}}$ 

where  $M_i$  is the supremum of the absolute value of all mixed partials of orders less than or equal to i, of  $f_i$ . We claim that f as defined is 0 exactly on C. Notice that if  $x \in C$ , then each  $f_i$  is 0 so f(x) = 0. If  $x \in C^c$ , then it belongs to some  $B_i$  and so  $f(x) \geqslant \frac{f_i(x)}{2^i M_i} > 0$ . It remains to show that f is smooth. By comparison test, we have that

 $\sum_{i} \frac{f_{i}}{2^{i} M_{i}}$ 

is an absolutely convergent series, hence f is differentiable since each  $f_i$  is. We claim that f is smooth. Note that if we apply any mixed order partial derivative operator, we get that

$$\left|\frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}f\right| = \left|\sum_{i} \frac{1}{2^{i}M_{i}} \frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}f_{i}\right| \leqslant M_{i} \sum_{i} \frac{1}{2^{i}}.$$

Therefore all mixed partials of f exist hence f is smooth. Now suppose that C is a closed subset of a manifold. By the Whitney Imbedding theorem, there exists an imbedding  $\psi: M \to \mathbb{R}^M$  for sufficiently large M. Since  $\psi$  is a homeomorphism onto its image, we have that  $\psi(C)$  is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^M$ . Choose f as per above defined on  $\psi(M)$  so that  $f^{-1}\{(0)\} = \psi(C)$ . Then the smooth function  $f \circ \psi: M \to \mathbb{R}$  will suffice.

## Problem 5.

(a) Let  $X_0 \in M(m,n;k)$ . Let  $\nu_{i_1},\ldots,\nu_{i_k}$  be the k linearly independant columns. Choose a column permutation matrix Q that sends  $\nu_{i_1}\ldots\nu_{i_k}$  to the first k columns. Now let  $u_{j_1},\ldots,u_{j_k}$  be the k linearly independant rows of  $X_0Q$ . Take P to be a permutation matrix which sends  $u_{j_1},\ldots,u_{j_k}$  to the first k rows. Our matrix  $PX_0Q$  will be of the form

$$PX_0Q = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$$

where A is an invertible k by k matrix.

- (b) Since det(A) is a smooth polynomial in the entries of A, if  $det(A) \neq 0$  we can find a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$  so that  $det(A_0) \neq 0$  when the entries of  $A A_0$  are less than  $\varepsilon$ .
- (c) Suppose that

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$$

for A  $k \times k$  and nonsingular. Suppose that Y is rank k. Then for some matrix

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ Z & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

we have that

$$XY = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Computing the matrix multiplication, we see that

$$XY = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ ZA + C & ZB + D \end{bmatrix}.$$

This implies that  $Z = -CA^{-1}$ , and so  $-CA^{-1}B + D = 0$  as desired. Now suppose that  $D = CA^{-1}B$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ -CA^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & CA^{-1}B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since A has rank k, Y must as well.

(d) Define the map  $f: \mathbb{R}^{nm} \to \mathbb{R}^{(m-k)(n-k)}$  by

$$f\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}\right) = D - CA^{-1}B.$$

We can always take a matrix of rank k to be in this form, and in some neighbourhood of A this matrix will be of the same form by a, b, c. Evidently by c) this will vanish exactly when  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$  has rank k. The zero set of this function will be a neighbourhood of M(n, m; k), and f will have full rank since it consists of linear terms. Therefore the dimension of this manifold will be

$$nm - (m-k)(n-k) = k(m+n-k)$$

# Problem 6.

(a) Matrix multiplication is an algebraic operation, hence smooth. Similarly, the inverse of a matrix is a polynomial in its entries, so it is smooth as well. Since  $GL_n(\mathbb{R}) = \det^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$  we have that  $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$  and hence has dimension of  $n^2$ .

(b) O(n) is the set of all matrices satisfying  $A^{\perp} = A^{-1}$  or equivalently  $A^{\perp}A = I$ . Notice that this is a lie subgroup of  $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Consider the mapping  $f: GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \to Sym_n(\mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$f(A) = A^{\perp}A.$$

We claim that I is a regular value of f, which would imply that O(n) is a manifold since  $f^{-1}(I) = O(n)$ . By the computation done in tutorial, we have that  $Df_A(X) = A^{\perp}X + X^{\perp}A$ . We claim that this is surjective for  $A \in f^{-1}(I)$ . Let  $Y \in Sym_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Then taking  $X = \frac{1}{2}AY$  will solve the equation. So I is a regular value and so O(n) is a manifold of dimension

$$\dim(\mathsf{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})) - \dim(\mathsf{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})) = n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n(n+1) = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$$

## Problem 7.

(a) Consider the mapping  $f: \mathbb{R} \to S^1$  defined by

$$x\mapsto e^{2\pi i x}$$
.

We have that  $f' = 2\pi i f(x)$ . This is nonzero so f is a submersion. Clearly this is not a diffeomorphism since it is periodic on  $\mathbb{R}$ , yet  $S^1$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  are both 1—manifolds.

(b) Let  $\alpha \in M$ . Taking a suitable chart  $(\phi, U)$  around  $\alpha$ , and a chart  $(\psi, V)$  around  $f(\alpha)$  consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{c} TM_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{f_{*\alpha}} TN_{f(\alpha)} \\ \downarrow^{\phi_{*\alpha}} \downarrow & \downarrow^{\psi_{*f(\alpha)}} \\ T\mathbb{R}^{n}_{\phi(\alpha)} \xrightarrow{f_{*\alpha} \circ f_{*\alpha} \circ \phi^{-1}_{*\phi(\alpha)}} T\mathbb{R}^{m}_{\psi(f(\alpha))} \end{array}$$

This diagram commutes, and since  $\psi_{*a}$  and  $\phi_{*a}$  are isomorphisms, we have that n = m. Furthermore, We have that the mapping

$$\psi_{*f(\alpha)}\circ f_{*\alpha}\circ \phi_{*\phi(\alpha)}^{-1}=(\psi\circ f\circ \phi^{-1})_{*\alpha}$$

is an isomorphism. So  $(\psi \circ f \circ \phi^{-1})$  is a diffeomorphism by the inverse function theorem. So f must be a diffeomorphism.

(c) First note that f is injective and continuous. Hence it is an open mapping. Therefore f(M) is open in N. Since M is compact then so is f(M). Therefore f(M) is closed and open and nonempty. So f(M) = N. We have that  $f: M \to N$  is a bijection. By b) f must be a diffeomorphism.

# Problem 8.

(a) For  $X \in M(n, \mathbb{R})$ , let  $X_{\mathbb{C}} = X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} 1$  be the complexification of the matrix X. We have that by linear algebra,

$$\det(I+tX_{\mathbb{C}})=t^n\det(t^{-1}I-(-X_{\mathbb{C}}))=t^n\left(t^{-n}+(\mathsf{Tr}(X_{\mathbb{C}}))t^{-n+1}+\ldots\right)=1+(\mathsf{Tr}(X_{\mathbb{C}}))t+\ldots.$$

This is a polynomial in t, so differentiating at t = 0 gives us that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\det(\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{t}\mathrm{X}_{\mathbb{C}})=\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{X}_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

Since  $tr(X) = tr(X_{\mathbb{C}})$  we obtain the desired result.

**(b)** We have that

$$f(A+tX)=\det(A+tX)=\det(A)\det(I+A^{-1}X).$$

By part a) we have that  $Df(A)X = det(A)tr(A^{-1}X)$ . This is a linear map in X. Thus we are done.

(c) We claim that f is a submersion. It is sufficient to show that Df(A)X is a surjective mapping onto  $\mathbb{R}$ . Given  $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  we wish to find an X so that

$$\det(A)\operatorname{tr}(A^{-1}X) = c.$$

Taking  $X = \frac{c}{n \det(A)} A$  gives us

$$\det(A)\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{-1}\frac{c}{n\det(A)}A\right) = \frac{c}{n}\operatorname{tr}(I) = c.$$

Therefore f is a submersion.

(d) By results from A1Q2, we have that the tangent space to I is given by the kernel of Df(I). So

$$X \in \mathsf{T}M_I \iff \mathsf{Df}(I)X = 0 \iff \det(I)\mathsf{tr}(I^{-1}X) = 0 \iff \mathsf{tr}(X) = 0.$$