

**Problem 1.**

We claim the existence of a vector field  $V$  satisfying  $\langle V(0), \gamma'(0) \rangle = 0$  and that if we parallel transport  $V(0)$  along  $\gamma$ , then  $P_{\gamma, t, 1}(V(0)) = V(0)$  i.e. it gets parallel transported to itself along  $\gamma$ . Let  $W$  be the subspace orthogonal to  $\gamma'(0)$ . Let  $\tilde{A}$  be the linear map given by parallel transporting  $T_{\gamma(0)}M$  along  $\gamma$  to  $\gamma(0)$  along  $\gamma$ .  $\tilde{A}$  is an orientation preserving isometry, so it must have determinant of 1. Let  $A$  be the restriction of  $\tilde{A}$  on  $W$ , it must also be an isometry and has determinant of 1, since  $\tilde{A}\gamma'(0) = \gamma'(0)$ . By Do Carmo Lemma 3.8 pg 203, we have that  $A$  must fix a subspace of  $W$ . Take a vector  $v \in W$  and choose  $V$  so that  $V(0) = v$ . We compute the index as:

$$I(V, V) = \int \langle V', V' \rangle - \langle R(\gamma', V)\gamma', V \rangle dt = \int -\langle R(\gamma', V)\gamma', V \rangle dt < 0$$

Since curvature is positive. There is a subspace of positive dimension where  $I$  is negative definite so we conclude that the index of  $\gamma$  is at least 1.

### Problem 2.

We first create a triangle, by letting  $\gamma_3$  be the minimal geodesic from  $q_2$  to  $q_1$ . Using criticality, we can find a minimal geodesic  $\gamma_4$  so that the angle  $\phi$  between  $\gamma_3, \gamma_4$  is at most  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ . Since  $\mathbb{H}^n$  is simply connected, complete and has curvature of  $K = -1$ , we can apply the Toponogov Comparison theorem. Consider the triangle with sides  $(a, b, c)$  where  $|a| = |\gamma_4|$  and  $|b| = |\gamma_3|$  and the angle between  $a$  and  $b$  is  $\phi$ . Using hyperbolic trig. identities, we have that

$$\cosh |c| \leq \cosh |a| \cosh |b|.$$

Furthermore, the toponogov comparison theorem implies that  $|\gamma_2| \leq |c|$ . Since  $\cosh$  is increasing on the positive reals we have

$$\cosh |\gamma_2| \leq \cosh |c| \leq \cosh |\gamma_3| \cosh |\gamma_4|.$$

Furthermore  $|\gamma_4| = |\gamma_1|$  by minimality, so we get that  $\cosh |\gamma_2| = \cosh |\gamma_3| \cosh |\gamma_1|$ . We now consider the triangle in  $\mathbb{H}^n$  formed by  $(d, c, b)$  where  $|d| = |\gamma_1|$ ,  $|b| = |\gamma_2|$  and the angle between  $d$  and  $b$  is  $\theta$ . The hyperbolic law of cosines tells us that

$$\cosh |b| = \cosh |d| \cosh |c| - \sinh |d| \sinh |c| \cos \theta.$$

Similarly as before we apply toponogov's theorem to get that  $|\gamma_3| \leq |b|$  and get that

$$\cosh |\gamma_3| \leq \cosh |\gamma_1| \cosh |\gamma_2| - \sinh |\gamma_1| \sinh |\gamma_2| \cos \theta.$$

Combining this with the inequality for the other triangle, we get:

$$\cosh |\gamma_2| \leq \cosh |\gamma_1| (\cosh |\gamma_1| \cosh |\gamma_2| - \sinh |\gamma_1| \sinh |\gamma_2| \cos \theta).$$

Dividing by  $\cosh |\gamma_2|$  we get

$$1 \leq \cosh^2 |\gamma_1| - \cosh |\gamma_1| \sinh |\gamma_1| \tanh |\gamma_2| \cos \theta.$$

Rearranging, we get

$$\cos \theta \leq \frac{\tanh |\gamma_1|}{\tanh |\gamma_2|}.$$

By assumption we had that  $\alpha |\gamma_1| \leq |\gamma_2| \leq d$ , so  $\cos \theta \leq \frac{\tanh |\gamma_2|/\alpha}{\tanh |\gamma_2|}$  since  $\tanh$  is increasing. Finally we get that  $\cos \theta \leq \frac{\tanh d/\alpha}{\tanh d}$  since  $\frac{\tanh x/\alpha}{\tanh x}$  is increasing.

**Problem 3.**

- (a) It is not possible to provide  $\mathbb{T}^n$  with a metric of negative curvature. The fundamental group is  $\pi_1(\mathbb{T}^n) = \mathbb{Z}^n$  which admits a non cyclic subgroup. By Preissman's theorem (Do Carmo Thm 3.2) it can not have negative curvature.  $\mathbb{T}^n$  cannot be given a constant positive curvature since if it could be, the universal covering space would be  $S^n$ , by Do Carmo thm 4.1.
- (b)  $S^n$  is compact, simply connected for  $n > 1$ . If  $S^n$  admits non-positive sectional curvature it must be diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by hadamards theorem. However this is absurd since  $S^n$  is compact and  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is not.
- (c)  $S^1 \times \mathbb{RP}^2$  cannot have negative curvature since it is compact, and any compact manifold with negative curvature has non abelian fundamental group by Do Carmo thm 3.8. The fundamental group is  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  which is abelian. If  $S^1 \times \mathbb{RP}^2$  has positive sectional curvature, it must be orientable by Synge. But this is clearly untrue since  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  is not orientable.
- (d)  $S^2 \times S^2$  can not have non positive sectional curvature, since if it did it would be diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^4$  by Hadamards theorem. We can endow  $S^2 \times S^2$  with non negative curvature in the following way. Consider the product metric, where each  $S^2$  is given the standard metric. At any point  $(a, b) \in S^2 \times S^2$ , we compute the scalar curvature as:

$$K(a, b) = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i,j} \langle R(z_i, z_j)z_i, z_j \rangle.$$

Since we choose that the  $\{z_i\}$  orthonormally, the sum above will be positive except for when  $a = b$ , then it will vanish.

**Problem 4.**

- (a) First take a sequence  $\{q_n\} \subset N$  such that  $q_n \rightarrow q$  and  $d(p_0, q_n) \rightarrow d(p_0, N)$ . Since  $N$  is closed we must have that  $q \in N$ , and  $d(p_0, q) = d(p_0, N)$ . Choose geodesic  $\gamma : [0, a] \rightarrow M$  so that  $\gamma(0) = p_0, \gamma(a) = q_0$  by completeness of  $M$ . By the formula for the first variation of energy, given a variation  $f$  of  $\gamma$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2}E'(0) = - \int_0^a \langle V(t), \frac{D}{dt}\gamma' \rangle dt - \sum_{i=1}^k \langle V(t_i), \gamma'(t_i^+) - \gamma'(t_i^-) \rangle - \langle V(0), \gamma'(0) \rangle + \langle V(a), \gamma'(a) \rangle.$$

Note however that since  $\gamma$  is  $C^1$  the left and right derivatives are equal. Choose a vector field  $V$  so that  $V(0) = 0$ , and  $V(a) \in T_{q_0}N$ . Then by prop. 2.2, there exists a variation  $f$  of  $\gamma$  so that  $V$  is the variational field of  $f$ . First we must have that  $E'(0) = 0$  since  $\gamma$  is a geodesic, and  $\frac{D}{dt}\gamma' = 0$ . We get that

$$0 = -\langle V(0), \gamma'(0) \rangle + \langle V(a), \gamma'(a) \rangle \implies \langle V(a), \gamma'(a) \rangle = 0.$$

Therefore  $\gamma$  is orthogonal to  $T_{q_0}N$ .

- (b) We compute  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\nabla u|^2$ . Take a moving orthonormal frame  $\{e_i\}$ , so that  $\nabla_{e_i}e_j(x) = 0$  for all  $x$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\Delta|\nabla u|^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i(e_i(\langle \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle)) && \text{(using the definitions of the operators)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n e_i(\langle \nabla_{e_i} \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle) && \text{(applying } e_i \text{ to the inner product)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n e_i H(u)(e_i, \nabla u) && \text{(by definition of hessian)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n e_i H(u)(\nabla u, e_i) && \text{(by symmetry)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \langle \nabla_{\nabla u} \nabla u, e_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{\nabla u} \nabla u, e_i \rangle && \text{(applying } \nabla_{e_i} \text{)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \nabla_{\nabla u} \nabla_{e_i} \nabla u, e_i \rangle + \langle \nabla_{[e_i, \nabla u]} \nabla u, e_i \rangle + \langle R(e_i, \nabla u) \nabla u, e_i \rangle && \text{(by definition of curvature)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n [\nabla u \langle \nabla_{e_i} \nabla u, e_i \rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_i} \nabla u, \nabla_{\nabla u} e_i \rangle] + \sum_{i=1}^n H(u)([e_i, \nabla u], e_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \langle R(e_i, \nabla u) \nabla u, e_i \rangle \\ &\quad \text{(expanding out first and second term in sum,)} \\ &= \nabla u(\Delta u) + \sum_{i=1}^n H(u)(e_i, \nabla_{e_i} \nabla u) + \text{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u) && \text{(simplifying)} \\ &= \langle \nabla u, \nabla(\Delta u) \rangle + |H(u)|^2 + \text{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u). \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 5.**

First take a set of points  $\{p_i\} \subset B_{r-\varepsilon/2}(p)$  so that  $d(p_i, p_j) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  when  $i \neq j$ . We must then have that the  $\frac{\varepsilon}{4}$  balls at each  $p_i$  are disjoint from one another. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 N &\leq \frac{\text{Vol}(B_r(p))}{\min_i \text{Vol}(B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}(p_i))} \\
 &= \frac{\text{Vol}(B_r(p))}{\text{Vol}(B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}(p'))} && \text{(since we have a finite set of points, min attained at some } p') \\
 &\leq \frac{\text{Vol}(B_{2r}(p'))}{\text{Vol}(B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}(p'))} && \text{(since } B_{2r}(p') \supset B_r(p)) \\
 &\leq \frac{\text{Vol}(B_{2r}(H))}{\text{Vol}(B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}(H))} && \text{(Do Carmo Rmk 2.7 pg 220)} \\
 &= C_1(n, Hr^2, \frac{r}{\varepsilon})
 \end{aligned}$$

We have that  $d(p_i, p') \leq 2\varepsilon$ , so by disjointness we have that the multiplicity satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{mult.} &\leq \frac{\text{Vol}(B_{3\varepsilon}(p'))}{\min_i \text{Vol}(B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}(p_i))} \\
 &\leq \frac{\text{Vol}(B_{3\varepsilon}(p'))}{\text{Vol}(B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}(p''))} && \text{(min attained at some } p'') \\
 &\leq \frac{\text{Vol}(B_{5\varepsilon}(p''))}{\text{Vol}(B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}(p''))} && \text{(since } B_{5\varepsilon}(p'') \supset B_{3\varepsilon}(p')) \\
 &\leq \frac{\text{Vol}(B_{5\varepsilon}(H))}{\text{Vol}(B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}(H))} && \text{(Do Carmo rmk 2.7 pg 220)} \\
 &= C_2(n, H\varepsilon^2)
 \end{aligned}$$