Lecture 6

We can give an alternative characterization of one-to-one and onto:

Proposition 0.1. Let $T: V \to W$ be linear.

- 1. T is injective if and only if it maps linearly independent sets of V to linearly independent sets of W.
- 2. T is surjective if and only if it maps spanning sets of V to spanning sets of W.
- 3. T is bijective if and only if it maps bases of V to bases of W.

Proof. The third part follows from the first two. For the first, assume that T is injective and let $S \subset V$ be linearly independent. We will show that $T(S) = \{T(v) : v \in S\}$ is linearly independent. So let

$$a_1T(v_1) + \dots + a_nT(v_n) = \vec{0}.$$

This implies that $T(a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n) = \vec{0}$, implying that $a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n = \vec{0}$ by injectivity. But this is a linear combination of vectors in S, a linearly independent set, giving $a_i = 0$ for all i. Thus T(S) is linearly independent.

Conversely suppose that T maps linearly independent sets to linearly independent sets and let $v \in N(T)$. If $v \neq \vec{0}$ then $\{v\}$ is linearly independent, so $\{T(v)\}$ is linearly independent. But if $T(v) = \vec{0}$ this is impossible, since $\{\vec{0}\}$ is linearly dependent. Thus $v \neq \vec{0}$ and $N(T) = \{\vec{0}\}$, implying T is injective.

For item two, suppose that T is surjective and let S be a spanning set for V. Then if $w \in W$ we can find $v \in V$ such that T(v) = w and a linear combination of vectors of S equal to v: $v = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n$ for $v_i \in S$. Therefore

$$w = T(v) = a_1 T(v_1) + \dots + a_n T(v_n) ,$$

meaning that we have $w \in \text{Span}(T(S))$, so T(S) spans W. Conversely if T maps spanning sets to spanning sets, then T(V) = R(T) must span W. But since R(T) is a subspace of W, this means R(T) = W and T is onto.

ISOMORPHISMS

Definition 0.2. A linear transformation $T: V \to W$ that is bijective (that is, injective and surjective) is called an isomorphism.

Generally speaking, we can view a bijection between sets X and Y as a relabeling of the elements of X (to get those of Y). In the case of an isomorphism, this labeling also respects the vector space structure, being linear.

Proposition 0.3. Let $T: V \to W$ be an isomorphism. Then $T^{-1}: W \to V$ is an isomorphism. Here, as always, the inverse function is defined by

$$T^{-1}(w) = v$$
 if and only if $T(v) = w$.

Proof. It is an exercise to see that any bijection has a well-defined inverse function and that this inverse function is a bijection. (This was done, for example, in the 215 notes in the first chapter.) So we must only show that T^{-1} is linear. To this end, let $w_1, w_2 \in W$ and $c \in \mathbb{F}$. Then

$$T(T^{-1}(cw_1 + w_2)) = cw_1 + w_2$$
,

whereas

$$T(cT^{-1}(w_1) + T^{-1}(w_2)) = cT(T^{-1}(w_1)) + T(T^{-1}(w_2)) = cw_1 + w_2$$
.

Since T is injective, this implies that $T^{-1}(cw_1 + w_2) = cT^{-1}(w_1) + T^{-1}(w_2)$.

Using the notion of isomorphism, we can see that any n dimensional vector space V over \mathbb{F} "is just" \mathbb{F}^n .

Theorem 0.4. Let V be an n-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F} . Then V is isomorphic to \mathbb{F}^n .

Proof. Let $B = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ be a basis for V. We will think of B as being ordered. Define the coordinate map $T_B : V \to \mathbb{F}^n$ as before as follows. Each $v \in V$ has a unique representation $v = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n$. So set $T_B(v) = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$. This was shown before to be a linear transformation. So we must just show it is an isomorphism.

Since the dimension of V is equal to that of \mathbb{F}^n , we need only show that T_B is onto. Then by the rank-nullity theorem, we will find

$$\dim N(T_B) = \dim(V) - \dim(R(T_B)) = \dim(V) - \dim(\mathbb{F}^n) = 0 ,$$

implying that $N(T_B) = \{\vec{0}\}$, and that T_B is one-to-one. So to show onto, let $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$. The element $v = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n$ maps to it:

$$T_B(v) = T_B(a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n) = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$$
,

so T_B is an isomorphism.

MATRICES AND COORDINATES

We will now see that, just as V with dimension n "looks just like" \mathbb{F}^n , all linear maps from V to W look just like matrices with entries from \mathbb{F} .

Suppose that $T: V \to W$ is linear and these are finite dimensional vector spaces with dimension n and m respectively. Fix $B = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ and $C = \{w_1, \ldots, w_m\}$ to be bases of

V and W respectively. We know that T is completely determined by its values on B, and each of these values lies in W, so we can write

$$T(v_1) = a_{1,1}w_1 + \dots + a_{m,1}w_m$$

$$T(v_2) = a_{1,2}w_1 + \dots + a_{m,2}w_m$$

and so on, up to

$$T(v_n) = a_{1,n}w_1 + \dots + a_{m,n}w_m .$$

Now we take some arbitrary $v \in V$ and express it in terms of coordinates using B. This time we write it as a column vector and use the notation $[v]_B$:

$$[v]_B = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \cdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix}$$
, where $v = a_1 v_1 + \cdots + a_n v_n$.

Let us compute T(v) and write it in terms of C:

$$T(v) = a_1 T(v_1) + \dots + a_n T(v_n)$$

$$= a_1 (a_{1,1} w_1 + \dots + a_{m,1} w_m) + \dots + a_n (a_{1,n} w_1 + \dots + a_{m,n} w_m)$$

$$= (a_1 a_{1,1} + \dots + a_n a_{1,n}) w_1 + \dots + (a_1 a_{m,1} + \dots + a_n a_{m,n}) w_m.$$

Therefore we can write T(v) in coordinates using C as

$$[T(v)]_C = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 a_{1,1} + \dots + a_n a_{1,n} \\ \dots \\ a_1 a_{m,1} + \dots + a_n a_{m,n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & \dots & a_{1,n} \\ \dots & \dots \\ a_{m,1} & \dots & a_{m,n} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \dots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore we have found on half of:

Theorem 0.5 (Matrix representation). Let $T: V \to W$ be linear and $B = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ and $C = \{w_1, \ldots, w_m\}$ be (ordered) bases of V and W respectively. There exists a unique matrix, written $[T]_C^B$ such that for all $v \in V$,

$$[T(v)]_C = [T]_C^B [v]_B$$
.

Proof. We have already shown existence. To show uniqueness, suppose that A is any $m \times n$ matrix with entries from $\mathbb F$ such that for all $v \in V$, $A[v]_B = [T(v)]_C$. Choose $v = v_i$ for some $i = 1, \ldots, n$ (one of the basis vectors in B). Then the coordinate representation of v is $[v]_B = e_i$, the vector with all 0's but a 1 in the i-th spot. Now the product of matrices $A[v]_B$ actually gives the i-th column of A. We can see this by using the matrix multiplication formula: if M is an $m \times n$ matrix and N is an $n \times p$ matrix then the matrix MN is $m \times p$ and its (i,j)-th coordinate is given by

$$(MN)_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} M_{i,k} N_{k,j}$$
.

Therefore as A is $m \times n$ and $[v]_B$ is $n \times 1$, the matrix $A[v]_B$ is $m \times 1$ and its (j, 1)-th coordinate is

$$(A[v]_B)_{j,1} = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{j,k} ([v]_B)_{k,1} = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{j,k} (e_i)_{k,1} = A_{j,i}$$
.

This means the entries of $A[v]_B$ are $A_{1,i}, A_{2,i}, \ldots, A_{m,i}$, the *i*-th column of A. However, this also equals $[T(e_i)]_C$, which is the *i*-th column of $[T]_C^B$ by construction. Thus A and $[T]_C^B$ have the same columns and are thus equal.

In fact much more is true. What we have done so far is defined a mapping $\Phi: L(V, W) \to M_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$ in the following manner. Given fixed bases B and C of sizes n and m respectively, we set

$$\Phi(T) = [T]_C^B .$$

This function is actually an isomorphism, meaning that the space of linear transformations is just a relabeling of the space of matrices (after choosing "coordinates" B and C):

Theorem 0.6. Given bases B and C of V and W of sizes n and m, the spaces L(V, W) and $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$ are isomorphic via the mapping Φ .

Proof. We must show that Φ is a bijection and linear. First off, if $\Phi(T) = \Phi(U)$ then for all $v \in V$, we have

$$[T(v)]_C = \Phi(T)[v]_B = \Phi(U)[v]_B = [U(v)]_C$$
.

But the map sending vectors in W to their coordinates relative to C is also a bijection, so T(v) = U(v). Since this is true for all v, we get T = U, meaning Φ is injective. To show surjective, let A be any $m \times n$ matrix with (i, j)-th entry $A_{i,j}$. Then we can define a linear transformation $T: V \to W$ by its action on the basis B: set

$$T(v_i) = A_{1,i}w_1 + \dots + A_{m,i}w_m .$$

By the slogan, there is a unique linear transformation satisfying this and you can then check that $[T]_C^B = A$, meaning Φ is surjective and therefore a bijection.

To see that Φ is linear, let $T, U \in L(V, W)$ and $c \in \mathbb{F}$. Then the *i*-th column of $[cT + U]_C^B$ is simply the coefficients of $(cT + U)(v_i)$ expressed relative to the basis C. This coordinate map is linear, so

$$[(cT + U)(v_i)]_C = [cT(v_i) + U(v_i)]_C = c[T(v_i)]_C + [U(v_i)]_C,$$

which is c times the i-th column of $\Phi(T)$ plus the i-th column of $\Phi(U)$. Thus

$$[cT + U]_C^B = c[T]_C^B + [U]_C^B$$
.