

1D Helmholtz Equation Coarsening: Next Steps

August 13, 2021

1 Context

As we've observed in experiments, defining species of variables at level 1 (level 0 being the finest level, where all variables are of a single species) could be important to defining further coarsening levels.

In fact, it is essential to *align* the coarse variables of different blocks so that they correspond to each other (after which we can define species as the first coarse variables of all blocks, the second coarse variables from all blocks, etc.). This creates higher correlations between variables, which might improve relaxation smoothing and the next-coarsening accuracy.

Listed here are notes from recent discussions, how we see the setup algorithm, and the next steps of development that need to be performed.

1.1 Repetitiveness

For simplicity, work on a fixed-size domain with $h = 1$ and n points, constant k , and within the *repetitive* framework first, i.e., use windows (sampling) from the same (or few) Test Vectors (TVs) to build R and P on an aggregate and tile them over all aggregates in the domain.

P will have to be different at the end of the domain if the aggregate size a does not divide n . R can be made almost uniform by overlapping the last two aggregates.

2 Level 0 \rightarrow 1: No Species

2.1 Smoothing

- Use Kaczmarz as a smoother.
- Calculate the relaxation shrinkage factor. Determine the number of sweeps ν of the Point of Diminishing Returns (PODR). If the residual reduction after i sweeps is μ_i (averaged over 5 random-start experiments), let $f_i := \mu_i^{1/i}$. f_i is likely to be an increasing sequence; pick the smallest ν such that $f_\nu < 1.2f_1$.

- If the RER at the PODR is much smaller than the initial RER (of a random vector), no need to coarsen. This is in fact true at any coarsening level: determining whether further coarsening is justified, and if not, terminating the setup process.

2.2 Coarsening

Use the SVD to calculate principal components. Optimize a and n_c (the number of components = number of coarse variables per aggregate) to minimize the

2.3 Bootstrap

Alignment shows better results when the vectors are smooth enough, thus bootstrap may be needed to smooth the level 0 TVs beyond relaxation.

The goal of P here is to provide more efficient smoothing in a two-level relaxation cycle. Check shrinkage of cycle, compare to relaxation.

We have good control over P 's quality by observing the TV's residuals (to make sure they decrease after the cycles), and the cycle's shrinkage factor.

- Use the largest interpolatory set that does not increase the density of the coarse grid operator (**question: what increase is tolerable? After all we know the first stage of coarsening typically increases density a bit, but further coarsenings should not**).

3 Alignment

The description assumes two species ($n_c = 2$ in the level $0 \rightarrow 1$ coarsening). If there are more, the problem can be recast as a quadratically constrained quadratic program and solved using QCQP solvers, but the ideas and results should be the same.

3.1 Step 1: Find Neighbor Rotations $\phi_i := \theta_{i,i+1}$

For each pair of neighboring aggregator $(i, j = i + 1)$, with test matrices X and Y of size $2 \times s$, respectively, where s is the number of test vectors, we can define an optimal neighbor rotation angle $\theta_{i,j}$ that minimizes

$$\theta_{i,j} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} f(\theta)$$

$$f(\theta) := \frac{1}{2} \{ \|\cos(\theta)X_0 + \sin(\theta)X_1 - Y_0\|_2^2 + \|\sin(\theta)X_0 + \cos(\theta)X_1 - Y_1\|_2^2 \}.$$

Here Z_j is the j th row of Z , $Z = X, Y, j = 0, 1$.

The minimization function f seems to have a unique minimum in $[0, 2\pi)$.

We see a large reduction in f value only when TVs are smooth enough. Note that $\sum_i \phi_i \bmod(2\pi)$ is close to 0, but not very close, so the next step (global rotations) can only be solved approximately, not exactly.

3.2 Step 2: Find Aggregate Rotations θ_i (Global Solve)

Solve

$$\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (\theta_i - \theta_{i+1} - \phi_i)^2$$

which is equivalent to the Poisson linear system

$$-\theta_{i-1} + 2\theta_i - \theta_{i+1} = \phi_i - \phi_{i-1}, \quad i = 0, \dots, N-1,$$

where N = number of aggregates. i is the (cyclic/periodic) aggregate index.

If $\sum_i \phi_i \approx 0$, this can be solved almost exactly (i.e., the minimum is 0) with $\theta_{i+1} = \theta_i - \phi_i$. Only the last equation (for $i = n-1$) is not satisfied in this case. Generally, one can solve the minimization problem in 1D using integration / stepping: $\theta_0 = 0$, $\theta_{i+1} = \theta_i + \phi_i$, then correcting $\theta_i \leftarrow \theta_i + ci$ where c is determined to satisfy the Poisson equation at the last point $i = N-1$.

3.3 Perform the Alignment

This amounts to defining a sparse block-diagonal matrix of 2×2 aggregate rotations, and updating $R \leftarrow UR$, $P \leftarrow PUT$, $A^c \leftarrow UA^cU^T$.

4 Level 1 \rightarrow 2: Species

Before we even design an interpolation stencil that takes advantage of species separation, we check relaxation. See below. This is one way to see the species separation leads to stronger correlations among neighboring variables and perhaps promotes better smoothing, not just the ability to interpolate from fewer points here.

5 Next Steps / Tasks

- Complete coarsen level $0 \rightarrow 1$.
- Align the coarse variables.
- Check the stencil of A^1 : do the equations for each species (e.g., u_i) mostly involve the same species in other aggregates (e.g., u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}) with only small cross-weights to v -variables?
- Compare the smoothing (shrinkage) and convergence of Gauss-Seidel and Kaczmarz at level 1. Maybe we can get away with GS and in fact get better smoothing there as a result of alignment.