

Methods

Sample collection and preparation

RNA isolation, library preparation and sequencing

- > RNA isolation
- > RNA quantification and qualification (Novogene Experimental Department)
 - ♦ RNA degradation and contamination was monitored on 1% agarose gels.
 - ♦ RNA purity was checked using the NanoPhotometer® spectrophotometer (IMPLEN, CA, USA).
 - ♦ RNA concentration was measured using Qubit® RNA Assay Kit in Qubit®
 2.0 Flurometer (Life Technologies, CA, USA).
 - ♦ RNA integrity was assessed using the RNA Nano 6000 Assay Kit of the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA).

➤ Library preparation for Small RNA sequencing (Novogene Experimental Department)

A total amount of 3 µg total RNA per sample was used as input material for the small RNA library. Sequencing libraries were generated using NEBNext® Multiplex Small RNA Library Prep Set for Illumina® (NEB, USA.) following manufacturer's recommendations and index codes were added to attribute sequences to each sample. Briefly, NEB 3' SR Adaptor was directly, and specifically ligated to 3' end of miRNA, siRNA and piRNA. After the 3' ligation reaction, the SR RT Primer hybridized to the excess of 3' SR Adaptor (that remained free after the 3' ligation reaction) and transformed the single-stranded DNA adaptor into a double-stranded DNA molecule. This step is important to prevent adaptor-dimer formation, besides, dsDNAs are not substrates for ligation mediated by T4 RNA Ligase 1 and therefore do not ligate to the 5' SR Adaptor in the subsequent ligation step. 5' ends adapter was ligated to 5' ends of miRNAs, siRNA and piRNA. Then first strand cDNA was synthesized using M-MuLV Reverse Transcriptase (RNase H⁻). PCR amplification was performed using LongAmp Taq 2X Master Mix, SR Primer for illumina and index (X) primer. PCR products were purified on a 8% polyacrylamide gel (100V, 80 min). DNA fragments corresponding to 140~160 bp (the length of small noncoding RNA plus the 3' and 5' adaptors) were recovered and dissolved in 8 µL elution buffer. At last, library quality was assessed on the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system using DNA High Sensitivity Chips.

> Clustering and sequencing (Novogene Experimental Department)

The clustering of the index-coded samples was performed on a cBot Cluster Generation System using TruSeq SR Cluster Kit v3-cBot-HS (Illumia) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After cluster generation, the library preparations were sequenced on an Illumina Hiseq 2500/2000 platform and 50bp



single-end reads were generated.

Data analysis (Novogene Gene Regulation Department)

Quality control

Raw data (raw reads) of fastq format were firstly processed through custom perl and python scripts. In this step, clean datas(clean reads) were obtained by removing reads containing ploy-N, with 5' adapter contaminants, without 3' adapter or the insert tag, containing ploy A or T or G or C and low quality reads from raw data. At the same time, Q20, Q30, and GC-content of the raw datas were calculated. Then, chose a certain range of length from clean reads to do all the downstream analyses.

> Reads mapping to the reference sequence

The small RNA tags were mapped to reference sequence by Bowtie (Langmead et al., 2009) without mismatch to analyze their expression and distribution on the reference.

> Known miRNA alignment

Mapped small RNA tags were used to looking for known miRNA. miRBase20.0 was used as reference, modified software mirdeep2(Friedlander et al., 2011) and srna-tools-cli were used to obtain the potential miRNA and draw the secondary structures. Custom scripts were used to obtain the miRNA counts as well as base bias on the first position of identified miRNA with certain length and on each position of all identified miRNA respectively.

Remove tags from these sources

To remove tags originating from protein-coding genes, repeat sequences, rRNA, tRNA, snRNA, and snoRNA, small RNA tags were mapped to RepeatMasker, Rfam database or those types of datas from the specified species itself.

> Novel miRNA prediction

The characteristics of hairpin structure of miRNA precursor can be used to predict novel miRNA. The available software miREvo (Wen et al., 2012) and mirdeep2 (Friedlander et al., 2011) were integrated to predict novel miRNA through exploring the secondary structure, the Dicer cleavage site and the minimum free energy of the small RNA tags unannotated in the former steps. At the same time, custom scripts were used to obtain the identified miRNA counts as well as base bias on the first position with certain length and on each position of all identified miRNA respectively.

> Small RNA annotation summary

Summarizing all alignments and annotations obtained before. In the alignment



and annotation before, some small RNA tags may be mapped to more than one category. To make every unique small RNA mapped to only one annotation, we follow the following priority rule: known miRNA > rRNA > tRNA > snRNA > snoRNA > repeat > gene > NAT-siRNA > gene > novel miRNA > ta-siRNA. The total rRNA proportion was used a marker as sample quality indicator. Usually it should be less than 60% in plant samples and 40% in animal samples as high quality.

> miRNA editing analysis

Position 2~8 of a mature miRNA were called seed region which were highly conserved. The target of a miRNA might be different with the changing of nucleotides in this region. In our analysis pipeline, miRNA which might have base edit could be detected by aligning all the sRNA tags to mature miRNA, allowing one mismatch.

> miRNA family analysis

Exploring the occurrence of miRNA families identified from the samples in other species. In our analysis pipeline, known miRNA used miFam.dat (http://www.mirbase.org/ftp.shtml) to look for families; novel miRNA precursor was submitted to Rfam (http://rfam.sanger.ac.uk/search/) to look for Rfam families.

> Target gene prediction

Predicting the target gene of miRNA was performed by psRobot_tar in psRobot (Wu et al, 2012) for plants or miRanda (Enright et al, 2003) for animals.

Quantification of miRNA

miRNA expression levels were estimated by TPM (transcript per million) through the following criteria (Zhou et al., 2010):

 $Normalization \ formula: \ Normalized \ expression = mapped \ readcount/Total \\ reads*1000000$

> Differential expression of miRNA

For the samples with biological replicates:

Differential expression analysis of two conditions/groups was performed using the DESeq R package (1.8.3). The P-values was adjusted using the Benjamini& Hochberg method. Corrected P-value of 0.05 was set as the threshold for significantly differential expression by default.

For the samples without biological replicates:

Differential expression analysis of two samples was performed using the DEGseq (2010) R package. P-value was adjusted using qualue (Storey et al, 2003). qvalue<0.01 and |log2(foldchange)|>1 was set as the threshold for significantly differential expression by default.



➢ GO and KEGG enrichment analysis

Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was used on the target gene candidates of differentially expressed miRNAs ("target gene candidates" in the following). GOseq based Wallenius non-central hyper-geometric distribution (Young et al, 2010), which could adjust for gene length bias, was implemented for GO enrichment analysis.

KEGG (Kanehisa et al., 2008) is a database resource for understanding high-level functions and utilities of the biological system, such as the cell, the organism and the ecosystem, from molecular-level information, especially large-scale molecular datasets generated by genome sequencing and other high-throughput experimental technologies (http://www.genome.jp/kegg/). We used KOBAS (Mao et al., 2005) software to test the statistical enrichment of the target gene candidates in KEGG pathways.



References

Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M., & Salzberg, S. L. (2009). Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biol, 10(3), R25. (Bowtie)

Friedlander M.R., Mackowiak S.D., Li N., Chen W., Rajewsky N. (2011). miRDeep2 accurately identifies known and hundreds of novel microRNA genes in seven animal clades. Nucleic Acids Res 40:37-52. (miRDeep2)

Wen M., Shen Y., Shi S., and Tang T. (2010). miREvo: An Integrative microRNA Evolutionary Analysis Platform for Next-generation Sequencing Experiments. BMC Bioinformatics 13:140. (miREvo)

Wu HJ, Ma YK, Chen T, Wang M, Wang XJ (2012) PsRobot: a web-based plant small RNA meta-analysis toolbox. Nucleic Acids Res 40:W22–W28.(psRobot)

Enright AJ, John B, Gaul U, Tuschl T, Sander C, et al. (2003) MicroRNA targets in Drosophila. Genome Biol 5: R1.(miRanda)

Zhou L., Chen J., Li Z., Li X., Hu X., et al. (2010). Integrated profiling of microRNAs and mRNAs: microRNAs located on Xq27.3 associate with clear cell renal cell carcinoma. PLoS One 5: e15224. (TPM)

Storey, J. D. (2003). The positive false discovery rate: A Bayesian interpretation and the q-value, Annals of Statistics. 31: 2013-2035.(qvalue)

Young, M.D., Wakefield, M.J., Smyth, G.K., and Oshlack, A(2010). goseq: Gene Ontology testing for RNA-seq datasets.(goseq)

Kanehisa M, Araki M, Goto S, Hattori M, Hirakawa M, et al. (2008). KEGG for linking genomes to life and the environment. Nucleic Acids research36:D480–484. (KEGG)

Mao, X., Cai, T., Olyarchuk, J.G., and Wei, L. (2005). Automated genome annotation and pathway identification using the KEGG orthology (KO) as a controlled vocabulary. Bioinformatics 21, 3787–3793.(KOBAS)

Wang L., Feng Z., Wang X., Wang X., Zhang X. (2010). DEGseq: an R package for identifying differentially expressed genes from RNA-seq data. Bioinformatics 26, 136-8.(DEGseq)

Anders, S., Huber, W. (2010). Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. Genome Biology, doi:10.1186/gb-2010-11-10-r106. (DESeq)