## Warning about units.

Previously, we calculated the volume of a parabolic "cauldron" to be  $\frac{\pi}{2}a^2$ . There's something fishy about this expression — it looks as if it has units of area, but it's describing a volume. In general, we must be very aware of what units we're using.

Suppose the height of the cauldron is a = 100cm. Then:

$$V = \frac{\pi}{2} (100)^2 \,\text{cm}^3$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{2} 10^4 \,\text{cm}^3$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{2} 10 \sim 16 \,\text{liters}$$

Next, suppose that the height of the cauldron is a=1m. Then:

$$V = \frac{\pi}{2} (1)^2 \,\mathrm{m}^3$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{2} 10^6 \,\mathrm{cm}^3$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{2} 1000 \sim 1600 \,\mathrm{liters}$$

But 100cm = 1m. Why are the answers different?

The problem is that we don't know the units in the equation  $y = x^2$ . If the units are centimeters, then  $100 \text{cm} = 10^2 \text{cm}$ . If the units are meters then  $1 \text{m} = 1^2 \text{m}$ . When we use centimeters as units, the cauldron is five times as tall as it is wide, so it looks like:

Figure 1: Cauldron cross section for units of centimeters.

When we interpret  $y = x^2$  in meters, we find that the cauldron is twice as wide as it is tall, which seems more likely in the context of the problem.

This confusion about units arose because the equation  $y = x^2$  is not scale-invariant.

MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

18.01SC Single Variable Calculus Fall 2010

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.