Proof of Lagrange Multipliers

Here we will give two arguments, one geometric and one analytic for why Lagrange multipliers work.

Critical points

For the function w = f(x, y, z) constrained by g(x, y, z) = c (c a constant) the critical points are defined as those points, which satisfy the constraint and where ∇f is parallel to ∇g . In equations:

$$\nabla f(x, y, z) = \lambda \nabla g(x, y, z)$$
 and $g(x, y, z) = c$.

Statement of Lagrange multipliers

For the constrained system local maxima and minima (collectively extrema) occur at the critical points.

Geometric proof for Lagrange


(We only consider the two dimensional case, w = f(x, y) with constraint g(x, y) = c.)

For concreteness, we've drawn the constraint curve, g(x,y) = c, as a circle and some level curves for w = f(x,y) = c with explicit (made up) values. Geometrically, we are looking for the point on the circle where w takes its maximum or minimum values.

Now, start at the level curve with w = 17, which has no points on the circle. So, clearly, the maximum value of w on the constraint circle is less than 17. Move down the level curves until they first touch the circle when w = 14. Call the point where the first touch P. It is clear that P gives a local maximum for w on g = c, because if you move away from P in either direction on the circle you'll be on a level curve with a smaller value.

Since the circle is a level curve for g, we know ∇g is perpendicular to it. We also know ∇f is perpendicular to the level curve w = 14, since the curves themselves are tangent, these two gradients must be parallel.

Likewise, if you keep moving down the level curves, the last one to touch the circle will give a local minimum and the same argument will apply.



Analytic proof for Lagrange (in three dimensions)

Suppose f has a local maximum at P on the constraint surface.

Let $\mathbf{r}(t) = \langle x(t), y(t), z(t) \rangle$ be an arbitrary parametrized curve which lies on the constraint surface and has (x(0), y(0), z(0)) = P. Finally, let h(t) = f(x(t), y(t), z(t)). The setup guarantees that h(t) has a maximum at t = 0.

Taking a derivative using the chain rule in vector form gives

$$h'(t) = \left. \nabla f \right|_{\mathbf{r}(t)} \cdot \mathbf{r}'(t).$$

Since t = 0 is a local maximum, we have

$$h'(0) = \nabla f|_P \cdot \mathbf{r}'(0) = 0.$$

Thus, $\nabla f|_P$ is perpendicular to any curve on the constraint surface through P.

This implies $\nabla f|_P$ is perpendicular to the surface. Since $\nabla g|_P$ is also perpendicular to the surface we have proved $\nabla f|_P$ is parallel to $\nabla g|_P$. QED

MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

18.02SC Multivariable Calculus Fall 2010

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.