ZebraPack: Fast, friendly serialization

GolangDFW Meetup, 2017 February 16

Jason E. Aten, Ph.D. Computer Scientist/Gopher

ZebraPack

- a data description language and serialization format.
 Like Gobs version 2.0.
- ZebraPack is a data definition language and serialization format. It removes gray areas from msgpack2 serialized data, and provides for declared schemas, sane data evolution, and more compact encoding.
- It does all this while maintaining the possibility of easy compatibility with all the dynamic languages that already have msgpack2 support.
- a day's work to adapt an existing language binding to read zebrapack: the schema are in msgpack2, and then one simply keeps a hashmap to translate between small integer <-> field names/type.

motivation Why start with [msgpack2] (http://msgpack.org)?

- msgpack2 is simple, fast, and extremely portable.
- It has an implementation in every language you've heard of, and some you haven't (some 50 libraries are available).
- It has a well defined and short spec.
- msgpack2 is dynamic-language friendly because it is largely self-describing.

Problems with msgpack2

- poorly defined language binding
- weak support for data evolution
- insufficiently strong typing.

Problem example

- the widely emulated C-encoder for msgpack chooses to encode signed positive integers as unsigned integers.
- This causes crashes in readers who were expected a signed integer
- which they may have originated themselves in the original struct.
- the existing practice for msgpack2 language bindings allows the data types to change as they are read and re-serialized.
- Simple copying of a serialized struct can change the types of data from signed to unsigned.
- This is horrible.

Addressing the problems

- for language binding: strongly define the types of fields
- for efficiency and data evolution: adopt a new convention about how to encode the field names of structs.

Addressing the problems II

- for language binding: strongly define the types of fields
- for efficiency and data evolution: adopt a new convention about how to encode the field names of structs.
- Structs are encoded in msgpack2 using maps, as usual.
- maps that represent structs are now keyed by integers.
- Rather than have string keys
- these integers are associated with a field name and type in a (separable) schema.
- The schema is also defined and encoded in msgpack2.

zebrapack: the main idea

```
//given this definition, defined in Go:
type A struct {
  Name
           string
                        `zid:"0"`
           time.Time
                        `zid:"1"`
  Bday
                        `zid:"2"`
  Phone
           string
  Sibs
                        `zid:"3"`
           int
                        `zid:"4" msg:",deprecated"` // a deprecated
  GPA
           float64
                        `zid:"5"`
  Friend
           bool
}
```

zebrapack: the main idea 2

```
original(msgpack2) ->
                             schema(msgpack2)
                                                         each instance
                                                    +
a := A{
                             zebra.StructT{
                                                         map{
                               0: {"Name", String},
  "Name":
           "Atlanta",
                                                           0: "Atlan
                             1: {"Bday", Timestamp},
           tm("1990-12-20"),
  "Bday":
                                                           1: "1990-
  "Phone": "650-555-1212",
                               2: {"Phone", String},
                                                           2: "650-5!
                               3: {"Sibs", Int64},
  "Sibs":
                                                           3: 3,
           3,
  "GPA" : 3.95,
                               4: {"GPA", Float64},
                                                           4: 3.95,
  "Friend": true,
                               5: {"Friend", Bool},
                                                           5: true,
}
                             }
                                                         }
```

Result

- resulting binary encoding is very similar in style to protobufs/Thrift/Capn'Proto.
- However it is much more friendly to other (dynamic) languages.
- Also it is screaming fast.

Benchmarking Reads

benchmark	iter	time.
BenchmarkZebraPackUnmarshal-4	10000000	227
BenchmarkGencodeUnmarshal-4	1000000	229
BenchmarkFlatBuffersUnmarshal-4	10000000	232
BenchmarkGogoprotobufUnmarshal-4	1000000	232
BenchmarkCapNProtoUnmarshal-4	10000000	258
BenchmarkMsgpUnmarshal-4	5000000	296
BenchmarkGoprotobufUnmarshal-4	2000000	688
BenchmarkProtobufUnmarshal-4	2000000	707
BenchmarkGobUnmarshal-4	2000000	886
BenchmarkHproseUnmarshal-4	1000000	1045
BenchmarkCapNProto2Unmarshal-4	1000000	1359
BenchmarkXdrUnmarshal-4	1000000	1659
BenchmarkBinaryUnmarshal-4	1000000	1907
BenchmarkVmihailencoMsgpackUnmarshal-4	1000000	2085
BenchmarkUgorjiCodecMsgpackUnmarshal-4	500000	2620
BenchmarkUgorjiCodecBincUnmarshal-4	500000	2795
BenchmarkSerealUnmarshal-4	500000	3271
BenchmarkJsonUnmarshal-4	200000	5576

Benchmarking Writes

benchmark	iter	time
BenchmarkZebraPackMarshal-4	1000000	115
BenchmarkGogoprotobufMarshal-4	1000000	148
BenchmarkMsgpMarshal-4	1000000	161
BenchmarkGencodeMarshal-4	1000000	176
BenchmarkFlatBufferMarshal-4	5000000	347
BenchmarkCapNProtoMarshal-4	3000000	506
BenchmarkGoprotobufMarshal-4	3000000	617
BenchmarkGobMarshal-4	2000000	887
BenchmarkProtobufMarshal-4	2000000	912
BenchmarkHproseMarshal-4	1000000	1052
BenchmarkCapNProto2Marshal-4	1000000	1214
BenchmarkBinaryMarshal-4	1000000	1427
BenchmarkVmihailencoMsgpackMarshal-4	1000000	1772
BenchmarkXdrMarshal-4	1000000	1802
BenchmarkJsonMarshal-4	1000000	2500
BenchmarkUgorjiCodecBincMarshal-4	500000	2514
BenchmarkSerealMarshal-4	500000	2729
BenchmarkUgorjiCodecMsgpackMarshal-4	500000	3274

Advantages and advances: pulling the best ideas from other formats

- Once we have a schema, we can be very strongly typed, and be very efficient.
- We borrow the idea of field deprecation from FlatBuffers
- For conflicting update detection, we use CapnProto's field numbering discipline
- support for the omitempty tag
- in ZebraPack, all fields are omitempty
- If they are empty they won't be serialized on the wire.
 Like FlatBuffers and Protobufs, this enables one to define a very large schema of possibilities, and then only transmit a very small (efficient) portion that is currently relevant over the wire.

Credit to Philip Hofer

Full credit: the ZebraPack code descends from the fantastic msgpack2 code generator https://github.com/tinylib/msgp by Philip Hofer.

deprecating fields

```
type A struct {
 Name
           string
                        `zid:"0"`
           time.Time
 Bday
                        `zid:"1"`
                        `zid:"2"`
 Phone
           string
                        `zid:"3"`
 Sibs
           int
 GPA
           float64
                        `zid:"4" msg:",deprecated"` // a deprecated
                        `zid:"5"`
 Friend
           bool
}
```

deprecating fields II

```
type A struct {
 Name
           string
                        `zid:"0"`
           time.Time
                        `zid:"1"`
 Bday
                        `zid:"2"`
 Phone
           string
                        `zid:"3"`
 Sibs
           int
 GPA
           struct{}
                        `zid:"4" msg:",deprecated"` // a deprecated
                        `zid:"5"`
  Friend
           bool
}
```

Safety rules during data evolution

- Rules for safe data changes: To preserve forwards/backwards compatible changes, you must *never remove a field* from a struct, once that field has been defined and used.
- In the example above, the zid: "4" tag must stay in place, to prevent someone else from ever using 4 again.
- This allows sane data forward evolution, without tears, fears, or crashing of servers.
- The fact that struct{} fields take up no space also means that there is no need to worry about loss of performance when deprecating.
- We retain all fields ever used for their zebra ids, and the compiled Go code wastes no extra space for the deprecated fields.

schema details

- Precisely defined format
- see the repo for examples and details.
- https://github.com/glycerine/zebrapack

`zebrapack -msgp` as a msgpack2 code-generator

`msg:",omitempty"` tags on struct fields

If you're using `zebrapack -msgp` to generate msgpack2 serialization code, then you can use the omitempty tag on your struct fields.

```
In the following example,
type Hedgehog struct {
Furriness string msg: ", omitempty"
}
```

If Furriness is the empty string, the field will not be serialized, thus saving the space of the field name on the wire.

It is safe to re-use structs even with `omitempty`

`addzid` utility

The addzid utility (in the cmd/addzid subdir) can help you get started. Running `addzid mysource.go` on a .go source file

will add the zid: "0"... fields automatically. This makes adding ZebraPack serialization to existing Go projects easy.

See https://github.com/glycerine/zebrapack/blob/master/cmd/addzid/README.md for more detail.

What's next. New ideas.

- microschema
- declare how many follow-on objects a schema is good for

Thank you

Jason E. Aten, Ph.D. Computer Scientist/Gopher

j.e.aten@gmail.com (mailto:j.e.aten@gmail.com)