Model / Task	Average	Sentences	Words	UPOS	XPOS	UFeats	AllTags	Lemmas	Time CPU	Time GPU
concraft	91.61    98.56	71.33	99.64	95.88	90.04	90.59	90.04	96.79	3504.6	
udpipe + fastText	94.43    99.75	90.51	99.73	97.36	90.64	90.97	90.64	95.86	43.6	45.2
combo + fastText	95.75    99.12	93.33	99.04	97.25	93.82	93.61	92.98	96.90	468.9	145.8
combo + HerBERT	<b>96.67</b>    99.12	93.33	99.04	97.80	95.66	95.75	95.20	97.42	827.8	166.6
stanza + fastText	95.89    <b>99.76</b>	92.70	99.45	97.43	93.57	93.90	93.36	96.94	163.1	52.1
spacy + pl-core-news-lg	75.38    99.56	61.85	98.46	96.30	90.97	31.03	30.14	94.77	62.6	26.9
spacy + fastText	75.15    99.56	61.85	98.46	95.89	89.93	31.03	30.08	94.43	61.9	27.1
spacy + BERT-pl	76.12    99.56	61.85	98.46	97.02	94.60	31.03	30.46	95.98	160.9	42.3
trankit + xlm-Roberta-base	92.59    98.37	89.39	97.84	95.36	89.74	90.05	88.73	91.19	480.3	192.3

Table 2: Results (F1 scores) and inference time (in seconds) of benchmarking morphosyntactic analyzers on the Morfeusz tagset averaged by the datasets (*byName* and *byType*). The evaluated systems are divided into two categories: non-neural and systems based on neural networks.

Model / Task	Average	Sentences	Words	XPOS	UFeats	AllTags	Lemmas	Time GPU
udpipe + fastText	92.30    <b>99.79</b>	92.44	<b>99.78</b>   97.33	89.97	90.37	89.35	95.23    51.0	51.5
combo + fastText	94.04    99.18	94.29	98.77   96.64	93.30	93.48	91.97	96.53    415.7	135.2
combo + HerBert	<b>95.51</b>    99.21	94.29	98.77   <b>97.57</b>	95.33	95.61	94.54	<b>97.13</b>    826.8	152.6
stanza + fastText	94.25    99.77	93.92	99.43   97.33	92.88	92.90	91.63	96.60    178.6	48.2
spacy + pl-core-news-lg	88.39    99.58	65.05	98.47   96.36	90.95	91.22	89.65	93.62    40.1	39.9
spacy + fastText	87.68    99.58	65.05	98.47   95.79	89.77	90.05	88.37	93.37    <b>40.0</b>	29.6
spacy + BERT-pl	90.70    99.58	65.05	98.47   97.26	94.68	94.84	94.09	94.89    173.5	48.4
trankit + xlm-Roberta-base	92.91    98.88	92.44	98.52   96.50	91.74	91.91	90.21	90.47    439.8	172.6

Table 3: Results (F1 scores) and inference time (in seconds) of benchmarking morphosyntactic analyzers on UD tagset averaged by the datasets (*byName*, *byType*, and *PDB-UD*). Similarly as in Table 2 the evaluated systems are divided into two categories. (Concraft is not included because it does not allow data in the UD tagset.)