Smart Waiter Test Report

Meraj Patel #1137491 Pavneet Jauhal #1149311 Shan Perera #1150394

 $March\ 21,\ 2016$

Contents

1	Intr	oducti	on	2
	1.1	Purpo	se of the Report	2
	1.2	Scope	of Testing	2
	1.3	Organ	ization	2
2	Sys	tem Te	esting	3
	2.1	Datab	ase Testing	3
		2.1.1	Test Factors	3
		2.1.2	Correctness	3
		2.1.3	Database Correctness Tests	4
		2.1.4	Performance	4
		2.1.5	Database Performance Tests	5
		2.1.6	Security	5
		2.1.7	Database Security Tests	5
		2.1.8	Reliability	6
		2.1.9	Database Reliability Automated Tests	6
	2.2	_	de Scanning	6
		2.2.1	Purpose	6
		2.2.2	Functional Unit Test	6
		2.2.3	Test Results	7
	2.3	_	nts	7
		2.3.1	Functional Dynamic Test	7
		2.3.2	Purpose	7
		2.3.3	Test Results	8
	2.4		Transactions	8
	2.1	2.4.1	Functional Dynamic Test	8
		2.4.2	Purpose	9
		2.4.3		10
3		bility '		0
	3.1			10
	3.2	Metho	92	11
		3.2.1		11
		3.2.2	Questionnaire	11
	3.3	Testin	g Results	12
		991	Questionnaire Pagulta	เก

0.4	3.3.2 U													
3.4	Conclusio	on		 	•		 •	•	 •	•	 •	•	•	13
${f List}$	of Tab	les												
1	Revision	History 7	Гable	 	•									2
Revi	sion H	istory	J											

Date	Comments					
March 20, 2016	Test results added					
March 20, 2016	Account Tests added					
March 21, 2016	Order Transactions added					
March 21, 2016	Introduction Created					

Table 1: Revision History Table

Introduction 1

Purpose of the Report 1.1

This section will provide an introduction and general outline of the Smart-Waiter test report.

1.2Scope of Testing

The test report primarily focuses on the overall correctness of the software with regard to the test cases provided. Many of the test cases provided are in the form of functional dynamic tests. Automatic testing for modules in Smart-Waiter were not feasible, as mentioned in the Test Plan. This plan is fairly exhaustive, further testing will be performed for the final revision to ensure a completely smooth and intuitive user experience.

1.3 **Organization**

In section 1 we provide the introduction to the test report. In section 2 we outline and provide the results of the system testing, including but not limited to: Database security testing, order transactions testing, account testing. Section 3 describes the Usability tests that were conducted and carried out by the Smart-Waiter team.

2 System Testing

2.1 Database Testing

Database testing was exclusively performed from structural testing point of view. The goal of this component of testing was to verify that the database queries always return valid and correct data, under all circumstances. Specifically, a separate database was created for testing purposes, because any failed test case could affect the state of the original database.

Note: A mix of scripts and manual commands were required for database testing. The tests cannot be fully automated because it is not feasible, as mentioned in test plan.

2.1.1 Test Factors

As per test plan, the following test factors will be considered for database testing;

- Correctness
- Performance
- Security
- Reliability

2.1.2 Correctness

In this section of database testing, tester had to verify that the application receives complete and correct data from the couchbase server.

2.1.3 Database Correctness Tests

			Database Correctne	ess Tests			
Test ID	Initial State	Input	Output	Severity of Defect	Summary of Defect	Comments	Result
Test 1- Correct retrieval of database	Empty Database	Add menu items to database	queryAllRestaurant() returns all data which matches input	NA	NA	NA	Pass
Test 2- Correct retrieval of updated database	Empty Database	1) Add menu items to database 2) Query Database 3) Update the database	queryAllRestaurant() returns all data which matches input	NA	NA	NA	Pass
Test 3 - Retreive Empty Database	Empty Database	None	queryAllRestaurant() results in a crash	Gating Release	Need try & catch statement to handle this issue	Easy Fix - Implement try & catch and mark this issue closed	Fail
Test 4 - Support backwards compatibility	Empty Database	Add menu items to the database,with attributes that the application does not support (added review comments section)	queryAllRestaurant() returns all data which matches input	NA	NA	NA	Pass

2.1.4 Performance

In this section of database testing, tester had to verify that the data query was performed in a reasonable amount of time.

2.1.5 Database Performance Tests

	Database Performance Testing										
Test ID	Initial State	Input	Output	Severity of Defect	Summary of Defect	Comments	Result				
Test 1 - Test database query with 10 menu items	Empty database	IAdd 10 menu	getRestaurantByBarco de() function returns all menu in less than 1.5 seconds	NA	NA	NA	Pass				
Test 2 - Test database query with 50 menu items	Empty database	Add 50 menu items to Database	getRestaurantByBarco de() function returns all menu in less than 1.5 seconds	NA	NA	NA	Pass				
Test 3 - Test database query with menu items	Empty database	Add 100 menu items to Database	getRestaurantByBarco de() function returns all menu in less than 1.5 seconds	NA	NA	NA	Pass				

2.1.6 Security

In this section of database query testing, tester had to verify that database allows correct access control. Database penetration testing was not required because we will leverage couchbase security implementation, testing and certifications.

2.1.7 Database Security Tests

	<u>Database Security Tests</u>											
Test ID	Initial State	Input	Output	Severity of Defect	Summary of Defect	Comments	Result					
Test 1 -Verify incorrect access to menu catalog database is denied		client permission	Query for a menu item. The access to database was denied		NA	NA	Pass					
Test 2 - Verify that correct access to menu catalog databse is accepted	Empty database	Add menu items to database Add correct client and matching key to	(client	NA	NA	NA	Pass					

2.1.8 Reliability

In this section of database testing, we tested the robustness and reliability of the database.

2.1.9 Database Reliability Automated Tests

	Database Reliability Tests										
Test ID	Initial State	Input	Output	Severity of Defect	Summary of Defect	Comments	Results				
Test 1 - Dependability tests	This Test runs on original database populated with 30 items	Wrote script to fire HTTP get request every 2 minutes	The Query successfully returns the results	NA	NA	NA	Pass				
Test 2 - Verify lockout during catalog updates	Empty Database	Add menu items to database Create a write/block on server side to simulate update	Query for menu item should have been denied	Low - Behaviour does not affect functionality	The user device can still pull previous revision of the menu item	Need to investigate further, and check if behaviour is acceptable for restaurant owners	Fail				
Test 3 - Verify database does not malfunction with stress	Empty Database	Add menu items to database Query Databse simultaneously from 30 devices	All Queries returned menus successfully	NA	NA	NA	Pass				

2.2 Barcode Scanning

2.2.1 Purpose

Barcode scanning tests were conducted to make sure users are able to scan a barcode with minimal attempts. Also, to check if appropriate messages are displayed according to each test case.

2.2.2 Functional Unit Test

As per our test plan, functional unit tests were conducted to assess test cases. Doing so replicates real world usage.

2.2.3 Test Results

No	Test Case	Initial State	Input	Expected Output	Actual Output	Result
1	Scan working	Barcode	Eligible	Restaurant menu	Restaurant menu	PASS
_	barcode	scanning page	barcode			
2	Scan corrupt	Barcode	Corrupt	Barcode scanning	Barcode scanning page	PASS
	barcode	scanning page	barcode	page with message		
				reading, "Invalid	Message:	
				barcode, please try	"Invalid barcode, please	
				again"	try again"	
3	Scan random	Barcode	Random	Barcode scanning	Barcode scanning page	PASS
	picture	scanning page	picture	page with message		
				reading, "Invalid	Message:	
				barcode, please try	"Invalid barcode, please	
				again"	try again"	
4	Scan corrupt	Barcode	Corrupt	Barcode scanning	Barcode scanning page	PASS
	barcode -	scanning page	barcode	page with message		
	third attempt			reading, "Please	Message:	
				contact waiter"	"Please contact waiter"	
5	Scan random	Barcode	Random	Barcode scanning	Barcode scanning page	PASS
	picture - third	scanning page	picture	page with message		
	attempt			reading, "Please	Message:	
				contact waiter"	"Please contact waiter"	

2.3 Accounts

2.3.1 Functional Dynamic Test

As per our test plan, manual functional dynamic tests we performed to assess the following test cases. This allows the system to be exhaustively tested which will minimize or completely erase errors in real world usage.

2.3.2 Purpose

Account creation and login tests were performed to ensure Smart-Waiter users are able to create an account quickly while still adhering to the account constraints set by Smart-Waiter. This is also to ensure proper error checking is implemented in the applications account related modules.

2.3.3 Test Results

Test Case	Initial State	Input	Output	Result
6.1.1	Create account menu, empty	All fields empty / left blank	Message reading: "Password too short"	PASS
6.1.2	Create account menu, empty	Password, first name, last name, home address, postal code, phone number	Barcode scanner menu	PASS
6.1.3	Create account menu, empty	Password, first name, last name, home address, phone number, incorrect postal code	Message reading: "Postal Code Invalid"	PASS
6.1.4	Create account menu, empty	Phone number consisting of 6 digits	Message reading: "Phone Number Invalid"	PASS
6.1.5	Create account menu, empty	Empty First Name Field	Message reading: "First Name Invalid"	PASS
6.1.6	Login menu, empty	Correct password	Barcode Scanner Menu	PASS
6.1.7	Login menu, empty	Empty password field	Message reading: "Invalid Password"	PASS
6.1.8	Login menu, empty	Incorrect password	Message reading: "Invalid Password"	PASS

2.4 Order Transactions

2.4.1 Functional Dynamic Test

As per our test plan, manual functional dynamic tests we performed to assess the following test cases. In a few of these test cases, manual testing for unexpected behaviour was performed by passing unexpected input. This is so any unexpected errors that may come up from unusual input is identified and fixed so that this does not add any extra work or effect the day-to-day operations of our restaurant partners. The two test cases that are performed to identify any unexpected behaviour is 6.2.1 and 6.2.7 in the table below. The 6.2.1 test is performed by rapidly clicking different sides that are available in the sides menu before the application transitions to the next activity.

The 6.2.7 test is performed by selecting toppings for an entree menu item. Then editing the toppings using the function available in the order summary page, the toppings are changed and the side is changed and added to cart multiple times. These tests yielded no strange behaviour, and the application performed as expected.

2.4.2 Purpose

Order transactions are a critical part of Smart-Waiter, any unforeseen bugs or errors could effect the operations of the restaurant. Therefore, these modules of Smart-Waiter were rigorously tested to ensure no unexpected behaviour and a smooth and intuitive experience. Tests were performed to ensure Smart-Waiter users are able to order from the restaurants menu quickly without having to wait for a server to arrive. This is also to ensure proper error checking is implemented in the applications transaction related modules.

2.4.3 Test Results

Test Case	Initial State	Input	Output	Result
6.2.1	Restaurant Menu, Sides Module	Select multiple sides quick- ly by pressing on the item multiple times	Add the first side selected to the cart, along with the regular entree item	PASS
6.2.2	Restaurant menu module	Valid order	Order summary menu	PASS
6.2.3	Payment confirmation menu	Valid credit card	Barcode Scanner Menu	PASS
6.2.4	Payment confirmation menu	Expired credit card 4000-0000-0000-0069	sarpe sapasa_sara socie	
6.2.5	Payment confirmation menu	Fake credit card 4000-0000-0000-0002	Stripe card_declined code	PASS
6.2.6	Payment confirmation menu	VISA debit card	Barcode Scanner Menu	PASS
6.2.7	Restaurant Menu, Top- pings Module	Change current toppings selection	Order summary menu, with new toppings	PASS

3 Usability Test

Usability tests are conducted to assess the user's ability to complete routine tasks, and acquire their impression of the application.

3.1 Summary

To retrieve insightful results, participants were asked to complete a series of tasks and answer a brief questionnaire afterwards.

The first usability test has already been conducted on February 4, 2016. A total of six participants were gathered to conduct this test. To replicate an adequate demographic, three participants chosen are experienced using android applications, while the remaining three have little to no experience.

The proceeding sections provide insight and results of the usability test conducted.

3.2 Methodology

3.2.1 Tasks conducted

Participants were given a list of tasks to complete including:

- Task 1: Create and login to account
- Task 2: Scan barcode to retrieve menu
- Task 3: Customize and add items to cart
- Task 4: View cart
- Task 5: Delete item
- Task 6: Modify item
- Task 7: Confirm and pay for order

3.2.2 Questionnaire

Participants were asked to rate from 1 to 5 (1 - strongly disagree, 5 - strongly agree), provided the following statements:

- 1. I was able to complete the task quickly using the system
- 2. It was easy to learn how to use the system
- 3. I prefer using Smart-Waiter over ordering in a traditional sense
- 4. The interface of the system was pleasant
- 5. The system has all the functions and capabilities I expect it to have

- 6. Whenever I made a mistake using the system, I could recover easily and quickly
- 7. Overall I was happy using the system

3.3 Testing Results

3.3.1 Questionnaire Results

Case	Strongly	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly	Average
	Disagree				Agree	
Complete task quickly	1	2	0	3	0	Neutral
Easy to learn	0	2	1	1	2	Agree
Prefer using Smart-Waiter over traditional	0	0	2	4	0	Agree
menu						
Interface of system is pleasant	2	3	1	0	0	Disagree
System has all functionalities and	1	4	1	0	0	Disagree
capabilities						
I could recover easily and quickly	0	0	2	3	1	Agree
Overall, I was happy with the system	0	0	2	4	0	Agree

3.3.2 User Feedback

After completing the usability test, we asked participants for feedback in terms of their experience. Specifically we asked for their; likes, dislikes and recommendations.

Likes

- Convenient for ordering take out at restaurant
- Ability to customize items and send special instructions
- Ease of use (according to experienced android application users)

Dislikes

- Look of GUI
- Unable to modify account settings
- Unable to save receipt

Recommendations

- Add settings page
- Offer ability to email receipt

3.4 Conclusion

Conducting this usability test definitely helps our team in terms of adjusting requirements to meet user recommendations. Specifically the following changes will be implemented:

- Create settings page
- Improve GUI
- Allow users to view order history

After implementation of these additions, a second usability test will be conducted. New participants will be gathered in order to provide unbiased results.