

Ampersand Event-Condition-Action Rules

Software Requirement Specification

[**JG:** insert: Version 0 Revised]

Yuriy Toporovskyy, Yash Sapra, Jaeden Guo

We acknowledge that this document uses material from the Volere Requirements Specification Template, copyright 1995 - 2012 the Atlantic Systems Guild Limited.

CS 4ZP6
October 9th, 2015
Fall 2015 / Winter 2016

Table 1: Revision History

Author	Date	Comment
Yuriy Toporovskyy	26 / 09 / 2015	Initial skeleton version
Yuriy Toporovskyy	30 / 09 / 2015	Project drivers, description and added project diagram and project flow chart
J Guo	09 / 10 / 2015	Update: Non-Functional first half 4.1-4.3, added to 1.2.2, completed 2.2
J Guo	13 / 10 / 2015	Update: Figures added for Non-Functional 4.1-4.7, Non-Functional second half 4.4-4.7 half, added Functional 3.3 - System requirements and diagram figure, & Section 5.8
Yash Sapra	12/ 09 / 2015	Non-Functional - legal requirements, Functional - User Requirements, tasks, risks and chapter 5.
Yuriy Toporovskyy	13 / 10 / 2015	Initial round of editing
J Guo	04/ 02/ 2016	Revision 0

Contents

1	Ampersand As A System	2
1.1	The Ampersand Environment	3
1.1.1	Project Purpose	4
1.1.2	Project Goals	4
1.2	The Stakeholders	4
1.2.1	Ampersand Designers	4
1.2.2	End-Users	5
2	Project Constraints	6
2.1	Mandated Constraints	6
2.1.1	Implementation Environment of the Current System	6
2.1.2	Partner of Collaborative Applications	7
2.2	Naming Conventions and Terminology	11
2.3	Relevant Facts and Assumptions	12
2.3.1	Error Detection	12
3	Functional Requirements	14
3.1	The Scope of the Work	16
3.1.1	The Current Situation	16
3.2	The Scope of the Product	16
3.3	Functional Requirements	17
3.3.1	System Requirements	17
3.3.2	Project Requirements	20
3.3.3	Non-Functional Requirements	22
4	Non-Functional Requirements	23
4.1	Performance Requirements	24
4.2	Maintainability and Support Requirements	24
4.3	Validation and Verification Requirements	24
4.4	Module Decomposition	26
5	Project Issues	29
5.1	Tasks	29
5.2	Migration to the New Product	29

5.3	Risks	30
5.4	Costs	30
5.5	User Documentation and Training	30

List of Figures

1.1	Components of Ampersand System	3
3.1	Business process diagram representing the role of Ampersand in the software design cycle	15
4.1	Tree of non-functional requirements as it relates to EFA	23
4.2	Hierarchy of EFA Modules EFA	28

List of Tables

1	Revision History	i
---	----------------------------	---

Project Time Table			
Projected Date	Finish	Milestone	Actual Finish Date
09/10/2015		EFA SRS version 0	13/10/2015
19/10/2015		EFA SRS version 1	22/10/2015
23/10/2015		Proof of Concept Demonstation	19/01/2016
11/02/2016		Software Demonstration	11/02/2016
01/04/2016		EFA Complete	—

Chapter 1

Ampersand As A System

[**YT:** Overall comments: Do not use random words in places just to pad the line or whatever. Use deliberate, consistent language.

GRAMMAR PLEASE GOD GRAMMAR. Proofread your work!

Do not make things up! I'm a little horrified at how many things I found that were simply made up and can be disproved in less than a minute of google search

“Writing much, saying nothing” - I see what our graders meant now. When you write a sentence you should be able to justify why each and every single word is absolutely necessary. If it is not, it should be removed. This goes back to being deliberate and not just writing random crap.

Formatting is extremely important! If your document looks good but is really crap, you won't have a hard time tricking your reader into thinking it is actually good. Conversely, if your document looks like crap but is actually good, then noone will even pay attention to the content and will focus on the crap formatting. In practice, the second thing DOES NOT HAPPEN. This is because very poor formatting is usually indicative of being in a rush or a lack of effort, which also certainly will reflect on the content of the document as well. Also, do not assume that you can just “do the formatting later” or something. Refactoring all the formatting of even a 1kloc tex document is non-trivial at best and a huge pain in the ass at worst.

MOVING FORWARD: The biggest issue is the fact that the document has lost most semblance of coherence and organization. There is no way to recover from this. You can't really just keep throwing things in and hoping things will make sense at the end. Take the template and create a skeleton (no content) for it. Include every single section, without exception. Do NOT delete any sections from now on! Feel free to add whatever you like, or rename existing things, but do not reorganize or delete what is in the template. If you do not know what to write for something, just skip it. Once everything else is done, we can decide which sections actually must be removed. Now start copying things from the current version of the document to the skeleton, placing

each thing in the appropriate section. If you end up changing the ordering of things, be careful that you do not place an explanation which depends on another before its dependency. Once you are done, you should have the current document, but brought back closer to a state of organization. 1




Figure 1.1: Components of Ampersand System

Ampersand is an open-source project that produces design artifacts based on business rules. One of the essential functions of Ampersand is to maintain all the business rules that keep transactions valid during the course of each cycle, where multiple transactions could take place. Figure 1.1 breaks down the major components of Ampersand ignoring specification details. [YT: What are specification details?] This project focuses mainly on one component of Ampersand, which is the F-spec which contains ECA (Event-Condition-Action Rules) that each process must obey. These rules are essential [YT: Essential how? This is far too little on the topic. I wrote quite a detailed explanation of how ECA fits into Ampersand for the original SRS, but it was deleted? Please fit it back in - as it stands nobody reading this document can have any idea as to what ECA does] to the functionality of Ampersand, and purpose of this project is to correctly translate ECA Rules into type safe SQL queries.

1.1 The Ampersand Environment

Ampersand is a on-going project with an increasing number of modules being added

to it on a weekly basis. [YT: *Is this really true? Will it always be true? I know you are going for dramatic effect, but a technical document isn't really the place*] Since this project focuses on a component of Ampersand, it must be built to fit within the Ampersand environment and co-exist with other modules. Due to this restriction, many design decisions are predetermined such as types of data that are used and programming language used to build them. [YT: *The last sentence is quite awkward, it can probably just be removed or reworded to not include the examples which are obviously mentioned further on*]

1.1.1 Project Purpose

The purpose of this project is to correctly translate ECA rules into type-safe SQL queries using Haskell. These ECA rules are used to maintain business constraints. [YT: *Again, this says almost nothing. See previous comment about detailed explanation of ECA*]

1.1.2 Project Goals

The goals of this project can be divided into two components. The first component consists of satisfying the condition necessary for the completion of an undergraduate capstone project. [YT: *We are designing part of a real software system for a real software tool. Anything "capstone" is unrelated and should be removed*] The second component is to design and implement maintainable code that can be absorbed to the Ampersand open-source project. [YT: *Same thing about far too little information. Provable correctness? You do not design code, you write code based on a design. We are not "designing" anything, unless you call implementation details a "design".*]

1.2 The Stakeholders

The stakeholders are separated into two sections, those that directly benefit from this projects contribution and those that indirectly benefit.

1.2.1 Ampersand Designers

Ampersand designers are our client, and they directly benefit from this project as it bring Ampersand one step closer to completion.

[**YT: delete:** This project EFA (ECA for Ampersand) delivers a maintainable component for Ampersand that produces type safe SQL queries, which will be used to maintain the consistency of the data in the back-end Database.] [**YT:** *A whole bunch of words which say nothing. Please reword*]

1.2.2 End-Users

Ampersand users indirectly benefit from this project's contribution because it drastically decreases the time spent manually restoring system invariants, which are the rules that maintain the validity of each business process. [**YT:** *The time spent restoring invariants manually is always 0. It is the time spent writing ugly PHP code in order to restore invariants.*] As EFA is executed during compile time, the user will not suffer any noticeable delays and can rest assured that the artifacts they received are correct according to specification. [**YT:** *What???? How can we execute SQL on the database at compile time? This is just a complete lie!*]

Chapter 2

Project Constraints

The current Ampersand system is the main limitation of this project; [YT: *“lim-itation” is not the right word here.*] everything that is built, must be built to fit within its current constraints. These constraints include the language used to build Ampersand (i.e. Haskell).

[YT: delete:] Anything incorporated into Ampersand must be implemented in the Haskell language. [] [YT: *This was JUST said. DNRYYYYYYYYY*] An additional constraint placed on this project by our clients is to produce maintainable code; this includes the use of dependable libraries and any support modules generated by this project to help the translation of ECA rules to SQL queries. [YT: *I’m not sure what this is trying to say. “support modules” - what about them? You are saying “this includes” ... “support modules” - which doesn’t even make sense*]

2.1 Mandated Constraints

All code must be well documented, backwards compatible and fit seamlessly into the current Ampersand project. Due to the long-term nature of this project, we must minimize the number of external dependencies.

2.1.1 Implementation Environment of the Current System

Haskell

The Ampersand code base is written almost entirely in Haskell ([Joo]) with the exception of user interfaces for the generated prototypes written in PHP and Javascript.

Haskell is the only programming language we can use to build modules for Ampersand.

[YT: *Mention that we may have to write code for the prototype as well , but it probably won't be much.*]

The Glasgow Haskell Compiler & Cabal Build System

As most of Ampersand's base code is written in Haskell, a compiler must be used to compile it. [YT: *GRAMMAR! This sentence is BAD. A lot of the sentences lack the basic essential component of grammatical correctness. I can't correct each one so please proofread for grammar!*]

The Glasgow Haskell Compiler ([GHC]) with the Cabal build system (see `ampersand.cabal` must be used to compile Ampersand [Joo]). Ampersand is not designed to used with other Haskell compilers. [YT: *Mention versions*]

GitHub Repository

Ampersand's main repository is hosted on Github, we must also host our project on Github to be able to maintain consistency with the Ampersand source code. [YT: *GRAMMAR*]

Graphviz

Graphviz is an open source graph visualization software, which can visually represent information in the form of charts and graphs. Graphviz is used to create visuals in Ampersand artifacts and is essential to running Ampersand.

2.1.2 Partner of Collaborative Applications

[YT: *"Partner of Collaborative Applications" - what*]

[JG: *3c. of voltere template, applications that are not part of product but with which the product will collaborate, can be external applications, commercial packages or pre-existing in-house applications*]

[YT: *This entire table is no good. A table is not the right format for a module heirarchy. See email*]

Name	Type	Description
AbstractSyntaxTree	Ampersand module	A module designed specifically for Ampersand, data from this module is manipulated in EFA.
Control.Applicative	Library module	An interface that provides an intermediate structure between a monad and a functor. [YT: <i>NONONONO never write the words “monad” or “functor” or “applicative” in this document unless you are willing to write a section for the appendix explaining category theory!</i>] This interface is used to embed pure expressions, sequence computations and combine their results.
Control.Exception	Library module	An interface that provides support for raising and catching build-in and user-defined exceptions.
Control.DeepSeq	Library module	[YT: replace: This module is used to fully evaluate data structure and is used to prevent resource leaks in lazy IO programs. with: This module provides a type class for evaluating data to normal form.]
Data.Proxy	Library module	A concrete proxy type, [YT: replace: used to represent the value of something else. with: used to explicitly manipulate type parameters.] [YT: <i>“represent the value of something else” is literally one of the vaguest things I’ve ever heard</i>]

Data.Type.Equality	Library module	<code>[YT: replace:]</code> This module offers pattern-matching on types and provides a proof, it is used as a definition of propositional equality. <code>[with:]</code> This modules defines a proposotional equality type <code>[]</code> <code>[YT:]</code> <i>This doesn't make much sense. Please don't write things that don't make sense just to write something</i> <code>[]</code>
Data.List	Library module	A module that provides support for operations on list structures.
Data.Char	Library module	A module that provides support for characters and operations on characters.
Data.Coerce	Library module	Provides safe coercions between data types; allows user to safely convert between values of type that have the same representation with no run-time overhead.
Debug.Trace	Library module	Interface for tracing and monitoring execution, used for investigating bugs and other performance issues.
GHC.TypeLits	Library module	Internal GHC module that declares the constants used in type-level implementation of natural numbers.

GHC.Exts	Library module	<p>[YT: replace:] This modules allows the use of pointers to an object or array of objects. [with:] This module provides access to types, classes, and functions necessary to use GHC extensions. [] [YT:] <i>Again with the writing random stuff.... if you had googled “GHC.Exts” you could discover precisely what it does in 10 second, so this is just plain lazy []</i></p>
Language.SQL.SimpleSQL	Library module	<p>Syntax: provides the AST for SQL queries.</p> <p>Pretty: provides pretty printing functions that formates output for human reading.</p>
Numeric.Natural	Library module	Natural number type
Prelude	Library module	A standard module that is imported by default and provides support for basic data types, comparison functions, and methods used for data manipulation.
System.IO.Unsafe	Library module	<p>This module allows IO computation to be performed at any time. [YT: delete:] , the IO computation must be free of side effecets and independent of its environment to be considered safe. Any I/O computation that is wrapped in unsafePerformIO performs side effects. [] [YT:] <i>This is not the place for this detail, and the 2nd part is simply untrue again []</i></p>

Text.PrettyPrint.Leijen	Library module	A pretty printer module based off of Philip Wadler’s 1997 ”A prettier printer”, used to show SQL queries in a readable manner to humans. [YT: If you are going to cite ANYTHING do it properly! This is no good]
Unsafe.Coerce	Library module	A helper module that converts a value from any type to any other type. The user must assure that the old data type and the new data type have identical runtime representations, else undefined behaviour occurs. [YT: delete: This is used in the translation of ECA rules to SQL using unique data types.] [YT: This doesn’t mean anything. What is a unique datatype?]

2.2 Naming Conventions and Terminology

[YT: This section is in desperate need of being revised. Please collect all the definitions you can find in this doc which should be here (anything even vaguely math or Haskell related should be here!). If you think the actual definition is too hard to write, just leave it blank (I’ll fill it in)]

ECA Stands for Event-Condition Action. The rule structure used for data bases and commonly used in market ready business rule engines. ECA rules are used in Ampersand to describe how a database should be modified in response to a system constraint becoming untrue.

ADL Stands for “Abstract Data Language” ([Joo07, 13]). From a given set of formally defined business requirements, Ampersand generates a functional specification consisting of a data model, a service catalog, a formal specification of the services, and a function point analysis. An ADL script acts as an input for Ampersand. An ADL file consists of a plain ASCII text file.

Ampersand Ampersand is a method and the name of the open source project.

⇒ The Ampersand method is used to generate functional specification from formalized business requirements.

⇒ The Ampersand software is a tool that implements this method.

Business rules Rules that exist to represent real world constraints that the virtual world does not naturally possess, such as resource and social limitations. Examples of constraints include but are not limited to financial, logistic, physical or legal constraints.

EFA Stands for “ECA (see above) for Ampersand”. This term is used to refer to this project.

Functional specification A *formal* document which details the operation, capabilities, and appearance of a software system.

Natural language Language written in a manner similar to that of human communication; language intended to be interpreted and understood by humans, as opposed to machines.

Requirements engineering The process of translating business requirements into a functional specification.

2.3 Relevant Facts and Assumptions

This project makes the assumption that Ampersand users are using it according to its intended purposes and have all the necessary software dependencies installed for it properly function. This project is designed with the assumption that no direct interaction is necessary between the design component and the user. [YT: *I’m not sure what a “design component” is exactly, but if you mean the software or the prototype, then this is no longer true (if it ever was).*] All interactions that could take place is buffered by Ampersand. [YT: *Makes no sense*] Furthermore, we assume that Ampersand users are industry professionals that are capable of tracing error messages and fixing them. [YT: *“Industry professional” is oddly specific. A non-industry professional with sufficient experience in software requirements engineering and perhaps a little maths should be able to use Ampersand.*]

2.3.1 Error Detection

EFA provides traceable error messages for the developer, however on a user level these trace error messages would be absorbed into Ampersand and its various error detection mechanisms situated on every level of compilation. [YT: *Lots of works to say little*] Ampersand is equipped with friendly error detection [YT: *Ampersand has error detection?*] for the user beginning with syntax detection for ADL scripts to assure

that there are no missing or out of place components. To logical error detections that the user might have missed during the creation of their information system. [Y T:

GRAMMAR] The error messages informs the user what line the error has been found, what the error pertains to, and what is expected typically in the script structure. The script structure provides the user clues for how they may wish to fix the error by adjust their script to fit the appropriate format.

[Y T: *None of this section addresses error detection as it pertains our work, only in other components of Ampersand. This should be a minor focus - the majour focus is on our work. I'm also not sure what "error detection" has to do with "relevant facts or assumptions" - this subsection is clearly out of place.*]

Chapter 3

Functional Requirements




Figure 3.1: Business process diagram representing the role of Ampersand in the software design cycle

The diagram is a simplified view of the software design cycle, intended to highlight the role of Ampersand in this cycle. This view omits many of the uses of the design artifacts generated by Ampersand; instead it focuses mainly on the primary purpose, which is to help create a finished software system.

The contribution of this project is denoted with dashed lines. Note that it is isolated to a process completely internal to Ampersand.

3.1 The Scope of the Work

The following sections focuses specifically on EFA and how it will function in the Ampersand environment. EFA is an automated process internal to Ampersand, and as a part of the Ampersand system it works in collaboration with other internal components such as the F-spec. The purpose of EFA is to replace the current exec-engine,

[YT: *No explanation of “exec-engine” yet. It must be explained. Also, for the sake of consistency, it should be written “ExecEngine”.*] creating a permanent solution for the implementation of ECA rules. EFA also provides extensive functionalities that the exec-engine is missing, such as the ability to manipulate data in a database beyond the basic level of creating and dropping tables, and basic select queries. It provides the fundamental datatypes that are crucial for the expansion and maintenance of Ampersand as it grows. Due to the way that queries are generated in its present state, large number of projects will bog down the system until it becomes unmanageable, and if Ampersand is used in practice.

3.1.1 The Current Situation

Ampersand currently has an exec-engine

[YT: *“an” ExecEngine? ExecEngine is a proper noun. What is “the” ExecEngine?*] that passes SQL queries which are triggered by the prototype user interface implemented in PHP. Though the exec-engine functions, it is a temporary solution for translating ECA rules into SQL queries. Any changes made to the information system after its initial generation require manual maintenance. This project will create a permanent solution that is provably correct and will automate the correction of system invariants so that manual maintenance of system invariants is no longer necessary once EFA has been successfully incorporated into Ampersand.

3.2 The Scope of the Product

[YT: delete: The translation of ECA rules into SQL queries require unique data types that preserves the semantics the user provides in the ADL script. ECA rules are generated from the conditions the user specifies in the ADL script. The SQL queries generated from ECA rules can be thought of as a sequence of changes made to the data. This sequence of actions are made through specific event triggers, and the actions only take place if all conditions are satisfied and are valid. An example of this, would be attempting to delete a person who does not exist. This actions cannot be completed because the person does not exist, this action would be invalid.]

[YT: This says *NOTHING* about the scope of the product! See “writing random shit”]

3.3 Functional Requirements

[What about error handling on the new contributions? Where’s the functional requirement related to: “be a pure function; it should not have side effects.” and “provide diagnostic information about the algorithm to the user, if the user asks for such information.”? —DS]

3.3.1 System Requirements

Requirement	S1
Description	Create pure functions with no unintended side effects
Rationale	The use of a functional programming languages requires that this program be a pure function and does not have side effects, however certain portions of the code requires the execution of side effects to match the behaviour presented by external programs. In these specific instances, the side effects are an intended behaviour.
Originator	Stakeholder/Developer
Fit Criterion	This behaviour is necessary to produce the results the stakeholders desire
Test Case	Desired results can be confirmed as they will be reflected in changes that take place in the Ampersand database.
Customer Satisfaction	5 - Highest
Priority	5 - Highest

Requirement	S1
Supporting Materials	(Rule Based Design [Mic10])
Requirement	S2
Description	The use of Haskell to implement EFA modules
Rationale	The source code of Ampersand is written completely in Haskell, and thus Haskell must be used for any modules created by this project to be absorbed into the pre-existing source code.
Originator	Ampersand Creators (i.e. our client)
Fit Criterion	Primary ability to write code compatible with Ampersand as it is.
Test case	Added modules are tested with cabal build inside of Ampersand
Customer Satisfaction	5 - Highest
Priority	5 - Highest
Supporting Materials	Dr. Joosten, Joosten and Kahl
Requirement	S3
Description	Added modules must fit within Ampersand's current framework

Requirement	S3
Rationale	As Ampersand is a huge system that has weekly additions to prevent conflict and breaking of existing packages/modules, an effort should be made to minimize external dependencies. As EFA will be an internal component of Ampersand, if a package that EFA depends on to function properly is no longer maintained and breaks, it will in turn break Ampersand.
Originator	Ampersand Creators (i.e. our client)
Fit Criterion	Functionality of EFA as an Ampersand internal component.
Test case	Added modules are tested with cabal build inside of the Ampersand system as an internal component (i.e. System testing)
Customer Satisfaction	4 - High
Priority	4 - High
Supporting Materials	Hackage, Dr. Kahl
Requirement	S4
Description	Machine-checked proofs
Rationale	EVA data-types are indexed on Haskell types, and indices are selected in a way that impossible values are eliminated by Haskell's strong type system.
Originator	Stakeholder/Developer
Fit Criterion	Program compiles and provides an inductive proof that the SQL generated by ECA2SQL is correct

Requirement	S4
Test Case	Verified by examination
Customer Satisfaction	5 - Highest
Priority	5 - Highest
Supporting Materials	Requirement solicitation.

3.3.2 Project Requirements

Requirement	P1
Description	Provable Correctness: Haskell like other functional programming languages have a strong type system which can be used for machine-checked proofs.
Rationale	Curry-Howard correspondence which states that the return type of the function is analogous to a logical theorem, that is subject to the hypothesis corresponding to the types of the argument values that are passed to the function and thus the program used to compute that function is analogous to a proof of that theorem.
Fit Criterion	Provable correctness of the program that is generated.
Test Cases	Internal structure of ECA rules can be compared to SQL queries through a series of datatype tests, each of which will result in a traceable result or error message
Priority	4 - High
Supporting Materials	Programming language theory, Dr. Kahl

Requirement	P2
Description	Generated SQL queries must preserve the semantics of ECA rules.
Rationale	The translation would otherwise not be correct, as the rules would be meaningless if their semantics are lost.
Originator	Ampersand Developers
Fit Criterion	Generated queries must be provably correct as per client's request.
Test Cases	Internal structure of ECA rules can be compared to SQL queries through a series of datatype tests, each of which will result in a traceable result or error message
Priority	4 - High
Supporting Materials	Hackage, Dr. Kahl
Requirement	P3
Description	Generating traceable results and error messages for handling new contributions from this project
Rationale	Saves time by allowing the program to inform the programmer where the errors are located.
Originator	Ampersand Developers
Fit Criterion	Errors must be traceable and have a standard format that can be easily followed.
Test Cases	Error message will print to screen.
Priority	4 - High
Supporting Materials	Hackage, Dr. Kahl

3.3.3 Non-Functional Requirements

Requirement	N1
Description	EFA must be available at anytime Ampersand is running.
Rationale	To provide the user with unlimited access to EFA within Ampersand.
Originator	Ampersand Developers
Fit Criterion	Ampersand can detect when internal components are non-responsive
Test cases	Ampersand is subject to sentential tests on a daily basis as part of its maintenance.
Priority	4 - High
Supporting Materials	Useful feedback in Ampersand parser [DSS15]

Chapter 4

Non-Functional Requirements




Figure 4.1: Tree of non-functional requirements as it relates to EFA

Each Non-functional requirement must be traceable in the Ampersand system with the addition of EFA. [**YT:** *If we make this claim we better provide data and facts to back up the traceability. Have we done this? No. Will we be able to do it? Probably not easily*] *Note: Missing sections in this chapter are not applicable for this project* [**YT:** *Not necessary. A document about any topic is assumed to not include information not relevant to that topic. On the subject of missing sections, please put them all back in. The flow and organization of this document has degraded to essentially zero with all of the removals and renmaings and mysterious changes.*]

4.1 Performance Requirements

EFA is designed to optimize [YT: “optimize” is not the right word here] the Ampersand system by automating the tedious task of restoring system invariants when broken using ECA rules. Ampersand will perform as it use to with less maintenance required on behalf of the user. [YT: Is this even a sentence?]

4.2 Maintainability and Support Requirements

EFA must make sure that each specification/error is traceable ([Joo07, 2]). EFA will be upgrade and tested as a part of Ampersand does not require additional maintenance or support to perform optimally.

[YT: Both the grammar and content of this section is bad]

4.3 Validation and Verification Requirements

[YT: This table is very poorly formatted. If you use tables, they must look good. Firstly your things need to fit into the table properly. Secondly, please do not place so many rules everywhere and do not put a box around the table for no reason. You should strive to MINIMIZE your use of rules, not maximize. Please fix ALL of the hideous tables. Thirdly, DO put a title and caption on EVERY SINGLE TABLE. To be clear, THIS IS ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY. In general, tables are a terrible organization structure for English prose, so this table should just be removed and the information organized into a logical format, like paragraphs. Next onto the content. Every explanation that is a few words is correct. Every single one which is longer is either simply WRONG or is just completely nonsensical. Secondly, most, if all, of these things do NOT need to be introduced. Thirdly, the organization of the information is just poor - there is a definition for “ $X : Y$ where $Y \in \text{Type, Kind}$ ” however the given definition only holds in the context of a declaration and only when explicitly giving things which are definitional equal to themselves. The entry as written implies that the syntax “ $X : Y$ ” has this meaning, which is clearly untrue. ‘where $Y \in \dots$ ’ is not part of the syntax, so it shouldn’t be written so simply beside the part which IS real syntax, because it misleads the reader into thinking that the entire thing is real syntax. If you do write this, there should be a logical seperator between the two, for example writing one of them in a different font. Fourthly, if this information is placed anywhere, it certainly should NOT be in the functional requirements section. It should be in the same section, or adject to, as the part where we describe terminology.]

Notation	Description
$X : Y$	X has type Y
<i>‘Types‘</i>	Type of types
<i>‘Kind‘</i>	Type of Kinds
$_ \rightarrow _ \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$	This function requires 2 Type inputs and produces a Type output. Where $_ \rightarrow _$ can be seen as for each element x of type A defines a function F(x) where x does not exist in set Type,Kind for every x that defines F(x)
$_ \rightarrow _ \text{Kind} \rightarrow \text{Kind} \rightarrow \text{Kind}$	This function requires 2 of type Kinds and produces an output of Type Kinds . Where $_ \rightarrow _$ can be seen as for each element x of type A defines a function F(x) where x does not exist in set Type,Kind for every x that defines F(x). This a dependent type, an example of this would be $\text{SingT } (x :: a) \rightarrow \text{SingT } (F \ x)$
$\mathbb{N}, \text{Symbol} : \text{Kind}$	Left of the : are the elements that represent type Kinds (right of the :)
$0, 1, 2, \dots \mathbb{N}$	These are natural numbers, elements left of the : belong to the set N of natural numbers
$\{"" , "a" , "aa" , \dots , "b" , "bb" \} : \text{Symbol}$	Elements left of : are elements that belong to the set Symbol
$(x : A) \rightarrow F \ x$ where $x \notin \{\text{Type}, \text{Kind}\}$	This can also be seen as $\forall x \rightarrow F \ x$; where as
$\text{SingT } x :: a \rightarrow \text{SingT } Fx$	SingT is the type of singleton, a dependent type created for EFA datatypes.

\rightarrow : Constraint \rightarrow Type \rightarrow Type and $_ \Rightarrow _$: Type \rightarrow Constraint \rightarrow Type	where $\forall x : S \Rightarrow T$ corresponds to $\mathbf{G} S (\lambda x.T)$ in Illative Combinatory Logic where G is the combinator $[?]$. The \Rightarrow represents restraints for what on the right side of the $:$.
$X : Y$ where $Y \in \text{Type, Kind}$	X is equal in definition to 'X : 'Y and only X' : Y'.
elim-Y: (r:Type) \rightarrow Y \rightarrow ($A_0 \rightarrow r$) \rightarrow ($A_1 \rightarrow r$) \rightarrow $\rightarrow \dots \rightarrow$ ($A_n \rightarrow r$) \rightarrow r	An eliminator that corresponds to pattern matching
'P : Y \rightarrow Z'	This represents 'P (Ctr x)', where 'Ctr is used for type casting
$\exists (x : A) (P x)$	This indicates that (r:Type) \rightarrow ((x:A) . (P x \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r)
$X : Y$	X has type Y

4.4 Module Decomposition

*Note: * represents the top level module*

[YT: Full page figures go in the appendix at the end, Preferably fit it into the page width]

[**YT:** *I understand this is unfinished but the same comments about table formatting apply. Also this table goes *far* outside of the margins of the page. This is very bad. Finally, this data is not a good fit for a table either (diagrams are your friend)*]

Module	Functions	Function Types	Description
ECA2SQL	eca2SQL	Options → FSpec → ECArule → SQLMethod '[]' SQLBool	d
ECA2SQL	eca2PrettySQL	Options → FSpec → ECArule → Doc	d
a	b	c	d
a	b	c	d
a	b	c	d
a	b	c	d
a	b	c	d
a	b	c	d
a	b	c	d
a	b	c	d
a	b	c	d
a	b	c	d




Figure 4.2: Hierarchy of EFA Modules EFA

Chapter 5

Project Issues

Sections not applicable to this project have been removed

[**YT:** *Put them back*]

5.1 Tasks

- Translate ECA rules to SQL commands
- Create supporting data structures for sustainable translation and future maintenance
- Implement the solution and provide the annotated source code to the supervisor and the product owner for a review.
- Incorporate changes to project as suggested by our client and supervisor
- Provide provable correctness for program

[**YS:** *Add in any significant task you feel is worth mentioning*]

5.2 Migration to the New Product

Upon final review by the client and intensive testing, if the client is satisfied by the quality of code and its maintainability, the implementation will be made part of the production stream hosted on Github.

5.3 Risks

- The new code must not introduce any errors or performance regressions into Ampersand.
- The code must satisfy existing tests and additional tests written for the new algorithm being implemented.

5.4 Costs

The cost is eight months of time.

5.5 User Documentation and Training

User documentation is not necessary, as EFA provides traceable error messages for developers.

Bibliography

- [DSS15] Maarten Baertsoen Daniel S. Schiavini. Useful feedback in the ampersand parser. *Undergrad Thesis*, 2015.
- [GHC] Glasgow Haskell Compiler. <https://www.haskell.org/ghc/>. Accessed: 2015-10-13.
- [Joo] Stef Joosten. Ampersand software tool. <https://github.com/AmpersandTarski/ampersand.git>.
- [Joo07] Stef Joosten. Deriving Functional Specifications from Business Requirements with Ampersand. *CiteSeer*, 2007.
- [Mic10] Stef Joosten; Lex Wedemeijer; Gerard Michels. *Rule Based Design*. Open Universiteit Nederland, December 2010.