

CS4ZP6 Test Plan

Ampersand Tarski Event-Condition-Action Rules

Yuriy Toporovsky, Yash Sapra, Jaeden Guo

October 22, 2015

The focus of the test plan will be to demonstrate the current state of Ampersand model prior to the addition of our project and to provide an overview of where our project fits into the Ampersand system. Ampersand will be presented as a system with patches for its unfinished pieces and one of those missing pieces is the purpose of our project, EFA. Although Ampersand is functional it is limited on the number of finished products and complete services it can provide for/to its user. An example script will be compiled using Ampersand and multiple switches (i.e. compilation options) which generates different user feedback and creates various Ampersand artifacts.

This demonstration will be a black box test of the current Ampersand system, the example will produce various kinds of errors and violations. The errors produced are handled by the Ampersand system; the error outputs the user receives are meant to inform the user of syntax and context or environmental errors such as a missing definition for a variable. Violations inform the user when the process they are attempting to simulate violates the rules they have set in place in system. An example of this is if a theatre is hiring actors/actresses to play a role, the rule is that only actors/actresses who have a sufficient amount of experience can play lead. The user can define a sufficient amount of experience to be anything they wish, from the number of plays the individual has been casted in, to the years that actor(ess) has worked in the industry. A violation of the rule would be attempting to cast an actor into a leading role who has not met the conditions of a sufficient amount of experience.

The purpose of the EFA project is to automate the correction of a particular class of violations that restores invariants within the Ampersand system. These violations come from the misuse of PAClause (Process Algebra Clause); PAClause are datatypes formed from ECA (Event-Condition Action) rules used to represent the structure of an active database system. In Ampersand, these ECA rules are decomposed to their most basic forms which we call, Atoms. Atoms are the simplest possible form a rule can take before losing its meaning. From here, a Haskell program (i.e., EFA) will automate the correction of violations by detecting contradictions between Atoms imposed on datasets. For example, one Atom can state that all entities in its set must be blue, another Atom states that all entities in its set must be red. The intersection between these two Atoms is red AND blue, if the user inserts something in the intersection of red and blue that is not red and blue, it is a violation of both

atoms. A quick fix to restore both rules would be to remove the entity that is not red or blue from the intersection of the red and blue set.

EFA is in its initial stages of development, and at this time it is difficult to provide a rigorous guide as to how this project will proceed. The first step was to figure out the particular classes of violations and the most conventional ways they are triggered. From there the developers of Ampersand have provided a partial algorithm for how individual violations can be restored. Foreseeable issues include not having a complete algorithm to implement on complex system such as Ampersand, in addition to figuring out how to fix violations that may cycle within itself.