|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **The comment** | **The document the comment came from** | **How the comment was addressed.** |
|  | | |
| \ds{This doesn't sound like a constraint} – The section relating to XAMMP as a project constraint | SRS | This section was removed. |
| Clearly Identify Stakeholders | Problem Statement | The new document deals with this issue. |
| Remove verbosity | All documents | Done. |
| Grammar Check | All documents | Done. |
| General Issues in most of the document | All documents | Most of information taken from other documents has been heavily revised. |
| \ds{What about error handling on the new contributions?  +Where's the functional requirement related to:  + ``be a pure function; it should not have side effects." and  + ``provide diagnostic information about the algorithm to  +the user, if the user asks for such information."?} | SRS | Changes made in the new document. Layout of these requirements made simpler. |
| Comments for the Instructor and the TA | All | All documents include setupComments.sty which define commands for comments. |

**Addressing Dan’s comments**

A lot of changes suggested by Dr. Kahl as \edcomm{WK} have also been addressed directly in the document. Those changes have not been explicitly documented here.