NLP Эмбединги слов







Outline

- NLP: introduction
- Text Preprocessing
- Feature Extraction: classical approach
 - Bag-of-Words
 - Bag-of-Ngramms
 - TF-IDF
- Word Embeddings


Natural Language Processing: Introduction

Popular NLP tasks

- Sentiment analysis
- Spam filtering
- Fake news detection
- Topic prediction
- #hashtag prediction

Text classification tasks

Example: sentiment analysis




5


Text label kinds

- Discrete labels:
 - Binary
 - spam filtering, sentiment analysis
 - Multi-class
 - categorization of items by its description
 - Multi-label
 - #hashtag prediction
- Continuous labels:
 - Predict product price by its description


Text classification in general



Text classification in general




Text classification in general



Feature extraction

Tokenization: split the input into tokens



the dog is on the table




Problems:


- No information about words order
- Word vectors are huge and very sparse
- Word vectors are not normalized
- Same words can take different forms

Text Preprocessing


Token normalization



- Token normalization:
 - Stemming: removing and replacing suffixes to get to the root of the word (stem)



- Token normalization:
 - Stemming: removing and replacing suffixes to get to the root of the word (stem)
 - Lemmatization: to get base or dictionary form of a word (lemma)



Stemming: Porter vs Lancaster

Porter stemmer

- Published in 1979
- Base starting option

Snowball stemmer (Porter 2)

- Based on Porter
- More aggressive
- Most popular option now

Lancaster stemmer

- Published in 1990
- The most aggressive
- Easy adding of your own rules

Stemming example

- Porter's stemmer:
 - Heuristics, applied one-by-one:
 - SSES SS (dresses dress)
 - IES I (ponies poni)
 - S <empty> (dogs dog)
 - - Overstemming and understemming

Overstemming

- University
- Universal
- Universities
- Universe

Univers

Understemming


Data → dat


Datum → datu

Lemmatization

- Lemmatizer from NLTK:
 - Tries to resolve word to its dictionary form
 - Based on WordNet database
 - For the best results feed part-of-speech tagger

BTW, what is WordNet?





21

Handful tools for preprocessing

NLTK

- nltk.stem.SnowballStemmer
- nltk.stem.PorterStemmer
- nltk.stem.WordNetLemmatizer
- nltk.corpus.stopwords
- <u>BeautifulSoup</u> (for parsing HTML)
- Regular Expressions (import re)
- Pymorphy2

What's left?

- Capital Letters
- Punctuation
- Contractions (e.g, etc.)
- Numbers (dates, ids, page numbers)
- Stop-words ("the", "is", etc.)
- Tags


- How to improve BOW?
 - Use n-gramms instead of words!

The brown dog plays with a little cat


The brown dog

a little cat

plays with



Do we need all this bigramms?



Meaningful n-gramms are often called collocations

How to detect meaningful n-gramms?

26

Collocations: first step

- Delete:
 - High-frequency n-gramms
 - Articles, prepositions
 - Auxiliary verbs (to be, to have, etc.)
 - General vocabulary
 - Low-frequency n-gramms
 - Typos
 - Combinations that occur 1-2 times in a text

 Term Frequency (tf): gives us the frequency of the word in each document in the corpus.

$$tf(t,d) = f_{t,d}$$

 Inverse Document Frequency (idf): used to calculate the weight of rare words across all documents in the corpus. The words that occur rarely in the corpus have a high IDF score.

$$\operatorname{idf}(t,D) = \log rac{N}{|\{d \in D: t \in d\}|}$$

N: total number of documents in the corpus N=|D|

 $|\{d \in D: t \in d\}|$: number of documents where the term t appears

- Sentence A: The car is driven on the road.
- Sentence B: The truck is driven on the highway.

(each sentence is a separate document)

Word	TF		IDF	TF * IDF	
	Α	В		Α	В
The	1/7	1/7			
Car	1/7	0			
Truck	0	1/7			
Is	1/7	1/7			
Driven	1/7	1/7			
On	1/7	1/7			
The	1/7	1/7			
Road	1/7	0			
Highway	0	1/7			

Word	TF		IDF	TF * IDF	
	Α	В		Α	В
The	1/7	1/7	log(2/2)=0		
Car	1/7	0	log(2/1)=0.3		
Truck	0	1/7	log(2/1)=0.3		
Is	1/7	1/7	log(2/2)=0		
Driven	1/7	1/7	log(2/2)=0		
On	1/7	1/7	log(2/2)=0		
The	1/7	1/7	log(2/2)=0		
Road	1/7	0	log(2/1)=0.3		
Highway	0	1/7	log(2/1)=0.3		

Word	Word TF		IDF	TF * IDF	
	A	В		Α	В
The	1/7	1/7	log(2/2)=0	0	0
Car	1/7	0	log(2/1)=0.3	0.043	0
Truck	0	1/7	log(2/1)=0.3	0	0.043
Is	1/7	1/7	log(2/2)=0	0	0
Driven	1/7	1/7	log(2/2)=0	0	0
On	1/7	1/7	log(2/2)=0	0	0
The	1/7	1/7	log(2/2)=0	0	0
Road	1/7	0	log(2/1)=0.3	0.043	0
Highway	0	1/7	log(2/1)=0.3	0	0.043


from sklearn.feature_extraction.text
import TfidfVectorizer



Word Embeddings

One-hot vectors

One-hot vectors:




Problems:

- Huge vectors
- VERY sparse
- No semantics or word similarity information included

Distributional semantics


Does vector similarity imply semantic similarity?

"You shall know a word by the company it keeps"



Word representations via matrix factorization

- Input: PMI, word coocurrences, etc.
- Method: dimensionality reduction (SVD)
- Output: word similarities



Collocations: first step

- Delete:
 - High-frequency n-gramms
 - Articles, prepositions
 - Auxiliary verbs (to be, to have, etc.)
 - General vocabulary
 - Low-frequency n-gramms
 - Typos
 - Combinations that occur 1-2 times in a text

Collocations: context is all you need

- Coocurrence counters in a window of fixed size
 - \circ n_{uv} states for the number of times we've seen word u and word v together in the window
- Better solution: Pointwise Mutual Information (PMI)

$$PMI = log \frac{p(u, v)}{p(u)p(v)} = log \frac{n_{uv}n}{n_{u}n_{v}}$$


Much better solution: Positive PMI (pPMI)

$$pPMI = \max(0, PMI)$$


Frequency With Filter	PMI	T-test With Filter	Chi-Sq Test
(front, desk)	(universal, studios)	(front, desk)	(wi, fi)
(great, location)	(howard, johnson)	(great, location)	(cracker, barrel)
(friendly, staff)	(cracker, barrel)	(friendly, staff)	(howard, johnson)
(hot, tub)	(santa, barbara)	(hot, tub)	(la, quinta)
(clean, room)	(sub, par)	(continental, breakfast)	(front, desk)
(hotel, staff)	(santana, row)	(free, breakfast)	(universal, studios)
(continental, breakfast)	(e, g)	(great, place)	(santa, barbara)
(nice, hotel)	(elk, springs)	(parking, lot)	(santana, row)
(free, breakfast)	(times, square)	(customer, service)	(, more)
(great, place)	(ear, plug)	(desk, staff)	(flat, screen)
(desk, staff)	(la, quinta)	(walk, distance)	(french, quarter)
(parking, lot)	(fire, pit)	(comfortable, bed)	(elk, springs)
(customer, service)	(san, clemente)	(nice, hotel)	(walking, distance)

Why not to learn word vectors?

Embeddings: intuition





Embeddings: intuition



Word2vec

 Word2vec (Mikolov et al. 2013) - a framework for learning word embeddings








Male-Female


Verb tense


Country-Capital











- Word vectors with 300 components
- Vocabulary of 10,000 words.
- Weight matrix with 300 x 10,000 = 3 million weights each!

Training is too long and computationally expensive


How to fix this?

Basic approaches:

- 1. Treating common word pairs or phrases as single "words" in their model.
- 2. Subsampling frequent words to decrease the number of training examples.
- Modifying the optimization objective with a technique they called "Negative Sampling", which causes each training sample to update only a small percentage of the model's weights.

Subsampling frequent words.

 w_i is the word, $z(w_i)$ is the fraction of this word in the whole text



Source: http://mccormickml.com/2017/01/11/word2vec-tutorial-part-2-negative-sampling/

Embeddings: negative sampling

Negative Sampling idea: only few words error is computed. All other words have zero error, so no updates by the backprop mechanism.

More frequent words are selected to be negative samples more often. The probability for a selecting a word is just it's weight divided by the sum of weights for all words.

$$P(w_i) = \frac{f(w_i)^{3/4}}{\sum_{i=0}^{n} (f(w_i)^{3/4})}$$

Word2vec: two models

Continuous BOW (CBOW)

$$p(w_i|w_{i-h},...,w_{i+h})$$

Predict center word from (bag of) context words

- Predicting one word each time
- Relatively fast


Skip-gram

$$p(w_{i-h}, \ldots w_{i+h}|w_i)$$


Predict context ("outside") words (position independent) given center word

- Predicting context by one word
- Much slower
- Better with infrequent words


CBOW




Skip-gram



GloVe Visualizations



GloVe Visualizations: Company - CEO





Word2vec: word analogies

King - man + woman = queen
$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$x \qquad y \qquad y' \qquad target$$

$$\cos(x-y+y',target) \rightarrow \max_{target}$$





Conclusion

Word vectors are simply vectors of numbers that represent the meaning of a word

Approaches:

- One-hot encoding
- Bag-of-words models
- Counts of word / context co-occurrences
- TF-IDF
- Predictions of context given word (skip-gram neural network models, e.g. word2vec)