

# 1 Yoneda

## 2 Prorelations

**Definiton 2.1.** A prorelation is a partially ordered, down-directed, upper set of relations  $X \rightarrow Y$ , i.e a set,  $P \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X \times Y)$  such that

- (i) (Partial Order) Containment of relations,  $r \subseteq s$  only if for any  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ ,  $(x, y) \in r \implies (x, y) \in s$
- (ii) (Down-directed), For any  $r, s \in P$ ,  $\exists t \in P$  such that  $t \subseteq r$  and  $t \subseteq s$
- (iii) (Up-set) for any relation  $u : X \rightarrow Y$ , if  $\exists p \in P$  such that  $p \leq u$  then  $u \in P$

**Definiton 2.2.** Prorelations are composed by taking compositions of the relations belonging to them.

$$\text{For prorelations } P : X \rightarrow Y \text{ and } Q : Y \rightarrow Z, \quad Q.P := \{q \circ p : p \in P \text{ and } q \in Q\}$$

**Lemma 2.2.1.** Prorelations are closed under composition.

*Proof.* For prorelations  $P : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $Q : Y \rightarrow Z$ , need to show that  $Q.P$  is a prorelation.

- (i) (Partial Order) Inclusion of relations gives a partial order.
- (ii) (Down-Directed) If  $k, k' \in Q.P$  then,  $k = q p$  and  $k' = q' p'$  for some  $q, q' \in Q$  and  $p, p' \in P$ . Because  $Q$  and  $P$  are prorelations, and hence down-directed sets, there exists  $a \in Q$  such that  $a \subseteq q, q'$  and  $b \in P$  such that  $b \subseteq p, p'$ . Thus,  $a \circ b \subseteq k, k'$ .
- (iii) (Up-Set) Let  $l : X \rightarrow Z$  be a relation, and  $k \in Q.P$  such that  $l \supseteq k$ . Define relations  $a : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $b : Y \rightarrow Z$  as  $a := \{(x, y) : x \in \text{domain}(l) \text{ and } y \in Y\}$  and  $b := \{(y, z) : z \in \text{range}(l) \text{ and } y \in Y\}$ . Because there exist  $a' \in A$  and  $b' \in B$  such that  $l \supseteq k = b' \circ a'$ , by definition of  $a$  and  $b$ , we get that  $b \supseteq b'$  and  $a \supseteq a'$ . As  $Q$  and  $P$  are up-sets, we get that  $b \in B$  and  $a \in A$ , and hence  $b \circ a \in Q.P$ . Finally, for  $(x, z) \in X \times Z$ , if  $(x, z) \in l$ , then  $\forall y \in Y$ ,  $(x, y) \in a$  and  $(y, z) \in b$  gives that  $(x, z) \in b \circ a$ , giving that  $l = b \circ a \in Q.P$ .

□

**Definiton 2.3.** Prorelations with same domain and co-domain are said to be comparable when one of them contains all the relations of the other one.

$$\text{For prorelations } P, Q : X \rightarrow Y, \quad P \leq Q \text{ if } \forall q \in Q, \exists p \in P \text{ such that } p \subseteq q$$

**Definiton 2.4.** For a relation  $r : X \rightarrow Y$ , it's opposite relation  $r^o : Y \rightarrow X$  is defined as

$$\forall (x, y) \in X \times Y, \quad (x, y) \in r \text{ if and only if } (y, x) \in r^o$$

**Lemma 2.4.1.** For any function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $f^o \circ f \supseteq \Delta_X$

*Proof.* As  $f$  is a function, it must be defined on every element of it's domain. So, for every  $x \in X$ , there exists some  $(x, y) \in r$ , and by definition of  $f^o$  this also gives that  $(y, x) \in f^o$ . Hence, by definition of composition, for every  $x \in X$ ,  $(x, x) \in f^o f$ . □

**Lemma 2.4.2.** For any relation  $r : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $r \circ r^o \subseteq \Delta_Y$

*Proof.* Suppose there exist  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$  such that  $x r y$ . By definition of  $r^o$ , this gives us that  $y r^o x$ . Now, using the definition of composition,  $y r^o x r y$  gives that  $y (r \circ r^o) y$ . □

**Lemma 2.4.3.** For relations  $r, s : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $t : Y \rightarrow Z$ ,  $r \subseteq s \implies (t \circ r) \subseteq (t \circ s)$

*Proof.* Suppose relations  $r, s$  and  $t$  are as given above, and let  $x \in X, z \in Z$  such that  $x (t r) z$ . By definition of composition, there exists some element,  $y$  of  $Y$  such that  $x r y$  and  $y t z$ . Using the hypothesis, as  $r \subseteq s$ ,  $x r y$  gives  $x s y$ . And via composition with  $y t z$  we get  $x (t s) z$ . We started with any element of  $(t \circ r)$  and showed that it must also be in  $t \circ s$ . Thus,  $(t \circ r) \subseteq (t \circ s)$ . □

**Lemma 2.4.4.** For relations  $r : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $s, t : Y \rightarrow Z$ ,  $s \subseteq t \implies (s \circ r) \subseteq (t \circ r)$

*Proof.* Suppose relations  $r, s$  and  $t$  are as given above, and let  $x \in X, z \in Z$  such that  $x (s r) z$ . By definition of composition of relations, we get that there exists some  $y \in Y$  such that  $x r y$  and  $y s z$ . Because  $s \subseteq t$ ,  $y s z$  implies that  $y t z$ . Using composition again yields  $x (t r) z$ . □

### 3 Propositions

**Definiton 3.1.** A function,  $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  is said to be uniformly continuous if  $f.A \leq B.f$  i.e.

$$\forall b \in B, \exists a \in A \text{ such that } f \circ a \subseteq b \circ f \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ A \downarrow & \leq & \downarrow B \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

**Definiton 3.2.** A prorelation on a set,  $P : X \rightarrow X$  is a quasi-uniformity if it satisfies :

- (i)  $\forall p \in P$ ,  $p$  is reflexive i.e. for any  $x \in X$ ,  $(x, x) \in p$
- (ii)  $\forall p \in P, \exists p' \in P$  such that  $p' \circ p' \subseteq p$

And in that case,  $(X, A)$  is called a *quasi-uniform space*.

**Lemma 3.2.1.** If  $A$  is a quasi-uniformity on a set  $X$ , then  $A.A = A$

*Proof.* Fix any  $a \in A$ , as  $A$  is a quasi-uniformity,  $\exists b \in A : bb \subseteq a$ , we get that  $A.A \leq A$ . And as  $A$  is a prorelation, and is hence down-directed,  $\exists c \in A : a.a \supseteq c$ , giving that  $A.A \geq A$   $\square$

**Proposition 3.1.** QUnif is a category defined as having quasi-uniform spaces as objects, and uniformly continuous maps between them as morphisms, with composition defined as that of functions.

*Proof.* (i) (Associativity) The composition of functions is associative by definition.

- (ii) (Identity) For each object  $(X, A)$ , define it's identity to be the identity function  $\Delta_X : (X, A) \rightarrow (X, A)$ . This function is uniformly continuous as  $\Delta_X.A = A \leq A = A.\Delta_X$ .  $\square$

**Definiton 3.3.** A prorelation,  $\phi : X \multimap Y$  is called a promodule  $\phi : (X, A) \multimap (Y, B)$  if it satisfies:

$$\phi.A \leq \phi \text{ and } B.\phi \leq \phi$$

**Definiton 3.4.** Comparison of promodules is defined as that of prorelations.

**Definiton 3.5.** Promodules are composed as prorelations.

**Proposition 3.2.** ProMod is a 2-category defined as having quasi-uniform spaces as its 0-cells, promodules as 1-cells and containment of promodules as 2-cells.

*Proof.* In order to show that ProMod is a 2-category, need the following:

- (a) (1-Identities) For each quasi-uniform space  $(X, A)$ , define  $A : (X, A) \multimap (X, A)$  to be the identity 1-cell for  $(X, A)$ .  $A$  is a promodule because  $A.A = A$  (Lemma 2.2.1)
- (b) (1-Composition) Need promodules to be closed under composition.  
Let  $\phi : (X, A) \multimap (Y, B)$  and  $\psi : (Y, B) \multimap (Z, C)$  be promodules. To show that  $\psi.\phi : (X, A) \multimap (Z, C)$  is a promodule, need it to be a prorelation that satisfies the two conditions required to be a promodule:
  - (i) By Lemma 1.2.1, prorelations are closed under composition. Hence,  $\psi.\phi$  is a prorelation
  - (ii) Need to show that  $\psi.\phi.A \leq \psi.\phi$ . So, Fix  $p \in \psi$  and  $q \in \phi$ . As  $\phi$  is a promodule,  $\phi.A \leq \phi$  gives that there exists  $q' \in \phi$  and  $a \in A$  such that  $q'a \subseteq q$ . Thus,  $pq'a \subseteq pq$ .
  - (iii) Need to show that  $C.\psi.\phi \leq \psi.\phi$ . Fix  $p \in \psi$  and  $q \in \phi$ . Because  $\psi$  is a promodule,  $C.\psi \leq \psi$  gives that there exists  $c \in C$  and  $p' \in \psi$  such that  $cp' \subseteq p$ . Thus,  $cp'q \subseteq pq$
- (c) (2-Identities) As every promodule is contained in itself, always have  $\psi \leq \psi$ . Define this comparison to be the identity 2-cell for  $\psi$  and denote it by  $\leq_\psi$
- (d) (Vertical 2-composition) For promodules  $\psi, \phi, \delta : (X, A) \multimap (Y, B)$ , if there is a 2-cell from  $\psi$  to  $\phi$  and another one from  $\phi$  to  $\delta$  i.e.  $\psi \leq \phi \leq \delta$ , then by transitivity of the partial order,  $\psi \leq \delta$  i.e. there's a 2-cell from  $\psi$  to  $\delta$ .
- (e) (Horizontal 2-composition) If there are promodules  $\psi, \psi' : (X, A) \multimap (Y, B)$  and  $\phi, \phi' : (Y, B) \multimap (Z, C)$  such that  $\psi \leq \psi'$  and  $\phi \leq \phi'$ , need to show that  $\psi.\phi \leq \psi'.\phi'$ . Fix  $p' \in \psi'$  and  $q' \in \phi'$ . As  $\psi \leq \psi'$ ,  $\exists p \in \psi : p \subseteq p'$  and as  $\phi \leq \phi'$ ,  $\exists q \in \phi : q \subseteq q'$ . Thus,  $pq \subseteq p'q'$

- (f) (1-Identity) Need to show that for any promodule  $\phi : (X, A) \multimap (Y, B)$ ,  $\phi.A = \phi = B.\phi$ . By quasi-uniformity of  $A$ , every  $a \in A$ , is reflexive. Thus, for any  $p \in \phi$  and  $a \in A$ ,  $p = p.\Delta_X \subseteq p.a$  giving that  $\phi \leq \phi.A$ . And as  $\phi$  is a promodule,  $\phi \geq \phi.A$ . Hence, by anti-symmetry of the partial order,  $\phi = \phi.A$ .
- Similarly, By quasi-uniformity of  $B$ , every  $b \in B$ , is reflexive. Thus, for any  $p \in \phi$  and  $b \in B$ ,  $p = \Delta_Y.p \subseteq b.p$  giving that  $\phi \leq B.\phi$ . And as  $\phi$  is a promodule,  $\phi \geq B.\phi$ . Hence,  $\phi = B.\phi$ .
- (g) (1-Associativity) As composition of relations is associative, so too is the composition of prorelations directly giving that composition of promodules i.e. 1-cells is associative.
- (h) (Vertical 2-Identity) Let  $\leq : \psi \rightarrow \phi$  be a 2-cell i.e.  $\psi \leq \phi$ . By our definition of identity 2-cell,  $\leq_\psi . \leq_1$  means precisely that  $\psi \leq \psi \leq \phi$ , and by transitivity, this is equivalent to  $\psi \leq \phi$ . Similarly,  $\leq_1 . \leq_\phi$  means exactly that  $\psi \leq \phi \leq \phi$ , and this is equivalent to  $\psi \leq \phi$ .
- (i) (Vertical 2-Associativity) Associativity of the partial order on promodules directly gives the associativity of composition of 2-cells in ProMod.
- (j) (Horizontal 2-Identity) Let  $\psi, \phi : (X, A) \multimap (Y, B)$  be promodules. For any 2-cell  $\leq : \psi \rightarrow \phi$ , need to show that the 2-cell given by the horizontal composition,  $\leq * \leq_A$  is equal to  $\leq$ , as well as equal to  $\leq_B * \leq$ . So, it's required that  $\psi.A \leq \phi.A \iff \psi \leq \phi \iff B.\psi \leq B.\phi$ . And this holds as a direct consequence of (f).
- (k) (Horizontal 2-Associativity) As there's a unique 2-cell between any two promodules, and composition of promodules is associative, horizontal composition of 2-cells is associative.
- (l) (2-Identity) For promodules  $\psi : (X, A) \multimap (Y, B)$  and  $\phi : (Y, B) \multimap (Z, C)$  need  $(\leq_\psi * \leq_\phi) = \leq_{\psi.\phi}$ . Both sides of the required equality are 2-cells  $\leq : \psi.\phi \rightarrow \psi.\phi$ . Thus, they are equal by the uniqueness of 2-cells between any two 1-cells.
- (m) (2-Interchange) Let  $\psi, \phi, \delta : (X, A) \multimap (Y, B)$  and  $\psi', \phi', \delta' : (Y, B) \multimap (Z, C)$  be promodules. For 2-cells  $\leq_1 : \psi \rightarrow \phi, \leq_2 : \phi \rightarrow \delta, \leq_a : \psi' \rightarrow \phi'$  and  $\leq_b : \phi' \rightarrow \delta'$ , need to show  $(\leq_b . \leq_a) * (\leq_2 . \leq_1) = (\leq_b * \leq_2) . (\leq_a * \leq_1)$ . Both RHS and LHS are 2-cells from  $\psi.\psi'$  to  $\delta.\delta'$  and are hence equal.

□

**Proposition 3.3.** Functor,  $(-)_* : \text{QUnif} \rightarrow \text{ProMod}$  is defined as fixing quasi-uniform spaces (objects) and taking uniformly continuous functions (morphisms) to the it's pre-composition with it's domain space's identity(promodule) i.e.

- (a) for  $(X, A) \in \text{QUnif}$ ,  $(X, A)_* := (X, A) \in \text{ProMod}$
- (b) for  $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  in  $\text{QUnif}$ ,  $f_* := B.f$

*Proof.* We will first show that  $B.f = b \circ f : b \in B$  is a promodule, and then that  $(-)_*$  defines a functor.

- (i) (Partial-Order) Inclusion of relations acts as the partial order.
- (ii) (Down-Directed) Fix any  $k, k'$  belonging to  $B.f$ . Thus, there exist  $b, b'$  in  $B$  such that  $k = b.f$  and  $k' = b'.f$ . Using down-directedness of  $B$ , there exists a  $c \in B$  such that  $c \subseteq b, b'$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.4.4,  $c.f \subseteq k, k'$ .
- (iii) (Up-set) Let  $k$  belong to  $B.f$  and  $l : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  be a uniformly continuous function such that  $l \supseteq k$ . Define a relation  $b' := \{(x, y) : \text{for } d \in \text{domain}(l), x = f(d) \text{ and } y = l(d)\}$ . Fix any  $x \in X$  and  $z \in Z$ . If  $(x, z) \in l$ , then, definition of  $b'$  gives  $(f(x), z) \in b'$  and  $l \supseteq k$  gives that  $(x, f(x)) \in f$ . Thus, by definition of composition,  $(x, z) \in b'.f$ . Conversely, suppose  $(x, z) \in b'.f$ . By definition of composition, there exists  $y \in Y$  such that  $(y, z) \in b'.f$  where  $y = f(x)$ . Again using the definition of  $b'$  from  $(f(x), z) \in b'$ , we get that  $z = l(x)$  i.e.  $(x, z) \in l$ .

Now we will show that  $b' \supseteq b$  so that we get  $b' \in B$  which would imply  $b'.f \in B.f$ . BUT HOW?

- (iv) Need to show that  $(B.f).A \leq B.f$ . So, fix any  $b \in B$ , we will find  $b' \in B$  and  $a \in A$  such that  $b'.f.a \subseteq b.f$ . By quasi-uniformity of  $B$ , there exists  $b' \in B$  such that  $b'.b' \subseteq b$ . Using Lemma 2.4.3, we get that  $b'.b'.f \subseteq b.f$ . As  $f$  is uniformly continuous,  $f.A \leq B.f$  gives that there is some  $a \in A$  such that  $f.a \subseteq b'.f$ . Using this in the previous inequality, we get  $b'.f.a \subseteq b'.b'.f \subseteq b.f$ .
- (v) Need to show that  $B.B.f \leq B.f$ . Fix any  $b \in B$ , we will find  $b' \in B$  such that  $b'.b'.f \subseteq b.f$ . By quasi-uniformity of  $B$ , there exists  $b \in B$  such that  $b'.b' \subseteq b$ . Using Lemma 2.4.4, we get  $b'.b'.f \subseteq b.f$ .

Thus,  $B.f$  is a promodule. Going to show that  $(-)_*$  defines a functor:

(i) (Composition) Need to show that  $(g \circ f)_* = g_* f_*$  i.e.  $C.g.f = C.g.B.f$ .

In order to show  $C.g.f \leq C.g.B.f$ , fix any  $b \in B, c \in C$ . We will show that  $c g f \subseteq c g b f$ . As  $f$  is uniformly continuous,  $f.A \leq B.f$  gives that there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $f a \subseteq b f$ . Using Lemma 2.4.3, we get  $(c g) f a \subseteq (c g) b f$ . Now, using reflexivity of  $a$ , we get  $c g f \subseteq c g b f$ .

Now, to show that  $C.g.f \geq C.g.B.f$ .

□

**Proposition 3.4.** Functor,  $(-)^* : \text{QUnif}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{ProMod}$  is defined as fixing quasi-uniform spaces and taking uniformly continuous functions to the composition of their opposite relation with it's domain space's identity i.e.

- (a) for  $(X, A) \in \text{QUnif}^{\text{op}}$ ,  $(X, A)^* := (X, A) \in \text{ProMod}$
- (b) for  $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  in  $\text{QUnif}$ ,  $f^* := f^{\circ}.B$

*Proof.* Showing that  $f^{\circ}.B : (Y, B) \rightharpoonup (X, A)$  is a promodule.

So, need to show  $f^{\circ}.B$  a prerelation  $Y \rightarrow X$  and that  $(f^{\circ}.B).B \subseteq f^{\circ}.B$  and  $A.(f^{\circ}.B) \subseteq f^{\circ}.B$

To show prerelation,

- (i) (Partial-order) Inclusion of relations i.e. for  $k = f^{\circ} \circ b$  and  $k' = f^{\circ} \circ b'$  in  $f^{\circ}.B$ ,  $k \subseteq k' \iff b \subseteq b'$

- (ii) (Down directed) for  $k, k' \in f^{\circ}.B$ , need that  $\exists l \in f^{\circ}.B$  such that  $l \subseteq k, k'$

Fix  $k, k' \in f^{\circ}.B \implies \exists b, b' \in B : k = f^{\circ} \circ b$  and  $k' = f^{\circ} \circ b'$

By down-directedness of  $B$ , there exists  $c \in B$  such that  $c \subseteq b, b'$ , define  $l = f^{\circ} \circ c$ . Now, using Lemma 2.4.3 gives  $l = f^{\circ} \circ c \subseteq k, k'$ .

- (iii) (Up-set) for a relation  $l : Y \rightarrow X$  and  $k \in f^{\circ}.B$  such that  $l \supseteq k$ , need  $l \in f^{\circ}.B$

Let  $b \in B$  be such that  $k = f^{\circ} \circ b$  and define  $b' := \{(y, y') : y \in \text{domain}(l) \text{ and } y' \in (f^{\circ})^{-1}(l(y))\}$

As  $l \supseteq k = f^{\circ} \circ b$ ,  $\text{domain}(b') = \text{domain}(l) \supseteq \text{domain}(b)$

and  $\text{range}(l) \supseteq \text{range}(f^{\circ} \circ b) \implies \forall y \in \text{domain}(b), \text{range}(b') = (f^{\circ})^{-1}(l(y)) \supseteq (f^{\circ})^{-1}(f^{\circ} \circ b) = \text{range}(b)$

Now, by definition of  $b'$ ,  $f^{\circ} \circ b' \supseteq l$ . To show  $f^{\circ} \circ b' \subseteq l$ ,

$(x, y) \in f^{\circ} \circ b' \implies \exists z \in Y : (x, z) \in b'$  and  $(z, y) \in f^{\circ} \implies x \in \text{domain}(l)$  and  $z \in l(x)$  i.e.  $(x, z) \in l$

To show  $(f^{\circ}.B).B \leq f^{\circ}.B$ , need that  $\forall b \in B, \exists b' \in B : f^{\circ} \circ b' \circ b' \subseteq f^{\circ} \circ b$ ,

Fix any  $b \in B$ , as  $B$  is a quasi-uniformity,  $\exists b' \in B : b' \circ b' \subseteq b \implies f^{\circ} \circ b' \circ b' \subseteq f^{\circ} \circ b$

To show  $A.(f^{\circ}.B) \leq f^{\circ}.B$ , need that  $\forall b \in B, \exists b' \in B, a \in A : a \circ f^{\circ} \circ b' \subseteq f^{\circ} \circ b$ ,

As  $f$  is uniformly continuous,  $f.A \leq B.f$  i.e.  $\forall b \in B, \exists a \in A : f \circ a \subseteq b \circ f \implies a = f^{\circ} \circ f \circ a \subseteq f^{\circ} \circ b \circ f$

Fix any  $b \in B$ , so,  $\exists b' \in B : b'b' \subseteq b$  (for brevity, omitting  $\circ$  to explicitly denote composition)

And, for this  $b'$ ,  $\exists a : a \subseteq f^{\circ}b'f \implies af^{\circ}b' \subseteq f^{\circ}b'ff^{\circ}b' \subseteq f^{\circ}b'b' \subseteq f^{\circ}b \implies af^{\circ}b' \subseteq f^{\circ}b$

Now, need to show that  $(-)^*$  respects composition and identity.

- (i) (Composition) let  $f, g$  be uniformly continuous,  $(X, A) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, B) \xrightarrow{g} (Z, C)$  need that  $(g \circ f)^* = f^*.g^*$

LHS= $(g \circ f)^* = (g \circ f)^{\circ}.C = (f^{\circ} \circ g^{\circ}).C$  and RHS= $f^*.g^* = (f^{\circ}.B).(g^{\circ}.C)$

For equality, showing that LHS $\geq$ RHS and LHS $\leq$ RHS:

To show  $(f^{\circ} \circ g^{\circ}).C \geq (f^{\circ}.B).(g^{\circ}.C)$ , need that  $\forall c \in C, \exists b \in B, c' \in C : f^{\circ}g^{\circ}c \supseteq f^{\circ}bg^{\circ}c'$

Fix any  $c \in C$ , so,  $\exists c' \in C : c' \circ c' \subseteq c \implies f^{\circ}g^{\circ}c \supseteq f^{\circ}g^{\circ}(c'c') = f^{\circ}g^{\circ}(c'\Delta_Z c') \supseteq f^{\circ}g^{\circ}c'(gg^{\circ})c'$

By uniform continuity of  $g$ , for  $c' \in C, \exists b \in B : gb \subseteq c'g$

Thus,  $f^{\circ}g^{\circ}c \supseteq f^{\circ}g^{\circ}(c'g)g^{\circ}c' \supseteq f^{\circ}(g^{\circ}g)bg^{\circ}c' = f^{\circ}bg^{\circ}c'$ .

To show  $(f^{\circ} \circ g^{\circ}).C \leq (f^{\circ}.B).(g^{\circ}.C)$ , need that  $\forall b \in B, c \in C, \exists c' \in C : f^{\circ}g^{\circ}c \subseteq f^{\circ}bg^{\circ}c'$

Fix any  $c \in C, b \in B$  will show that  $c' := c$  works:

As  $B$  is a quasi-uniformity,  $\Delta_Y \subseteq b \implies f^{\circ}\Delta_Y g^{\circ}c = f^{\circ}g^{\circ}c \subseteq f^{\circ}bg^{\circ}c = f^{\circ}bg^{\circ}c'$

- (ii) (Identity) let  $(X, A) \in \text{QUnif}^{\text{op}}$ , and  $1_{(X,A)} : (X, A) \rightarrow (X, A)$  as  $x \mapsto x$  need that  $(1_{(X,A)})^* = 1_{(X,A)^*}$

LHS= $(1_{(X,A)})^* = (1_{(X,A)})^{\circ}.A = 1_{(X,A)}.A = A$ .

Now, it's required that  $A$  is the identity of  $(X, A)$  in  $\text{ProMod}$ .

So, fix  $\phi : (X, A) \rightharpoonup (Y, B)$ , need to show  $\phi.A = \phi$

As  $\phi$  is a promodule,  $\phi.A \leq \phi$  and as  $A$  is quasi-uniformity on  $X$ ,

$\forall a \in A, \Delta_X \subseteq a \implies \forall a \in A, \forall p \in \phi, p = p\Delta_X \subseteq pa \implies \phi \leq \phi.A$

Also, fix  $\psi : (Y, B) \rightharpoonup (X, A)$ , need to show  $A.\psi = \psi$

As  $\psi$  is a promodule,  $A.\psi \leq \psi$  and as  $A$  is quasi-uniformity on  $X$ ,

$\forall a \in A, \Delta_X \subseteq a \implies \forall a \in A, \forall q \in \psi, q = \Delta_X q \subseteq aq \implies \psi \leq \psi.A$

□

**Definiton 3.6.** Let  $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  be a uniformly continuous function.

I  $f$  is said to be fully faithful if  $f^*.f_* = A$

II  $f$  is said to be fully dense if  $f_*f^* = B$

**Proposition 3.5.** Fix a uniformly continuous map,  $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$

- (a)  $f$  is fully faithful if and only if  $A \geq f^o.B.f$
- (b)  $f$  is fully dense if and only if for any  $b \in B$ ,  $\exists b' \in B$  such that  $b' \subseteq b f f^o b$
- (c)  $f$  is topologically dense if and only if for any  $b \in B$ ,  $b f f^o b$  is reflexive
- (d)  $f$  is fully dense if and only if  $f$  is topologically dense

*Proof.*

- (a) (i) ( $\implies$ ) Let  $f$  be fully faithful i.e.  $f^*.f_* = A \implies f^o.B.B.f = A$   
 Need to show that  $A = f^o.B.f$  i.e.  $A \leq f^o.B.f$  and  $A \geq f^o.B.f$   
 By hypothesis and quasi-uniformity of  $B$ ,  $A \geq f^o.B.B.f \geq f^o.B.f$   
 To show  $A \leq f^o.B.f$ , need that  $\forall b \in B, \exists a \in A : a \subseteq f^o b f$   
 Fix  $b \in B$ , hypothesis gives that  $f^o.B.B.f \leq A$  so,  
 $\exists a \in A : a \subseteq f^o b b f$  and also, by quasi-uniformity of  $B$ , for  $b, \exists b' \in B : b' b' \subseteq b \implies f^o b' b' f \subseteq f^o b f$   
 Combining the above two inequalities,  $a \subseteq f^o b b f \subseteq f^o b f$
- (ii) ( $\impliedby$ ) Let  $A = f^o.B.f$  need to show  $A = f^o.B.B.f$  i.e.  $A \geq f^o.B.B.f$  and  $A \leq f^o.B.B.f$   
 To show  $A \geq f^o.B.B.f$ , need to show that  $\forall a \in A, \exists b, b' \in B : a \supseteq f^o b b' f$   
 Have that  $A \geq f^o.B.f$  and  $B.B \leq B$   
 So, fix  $a \in A$ , now  $\exists b \in B : a \subseteq f^o b f$  and for this  $b$ ,  $\exists b' \in B : b' b' \subseteq b$ . Therefore,  $a \supseteq f^o b f \supseteq f^o b' b' f$   
 To show  $A \leq f^o.B.B.f$ , need  $\forall b, b' \in B, \exists a \in A : a \subseteq f^o b b' f$   
 Before that, uniform continuity of  $f$  along with Lemma 2.1.1 gives that  
 $f.A \leq B.f \implies A = f^o f.A \leq f^o.B.f$   
 So, fix  $b, b' \in B$ , now, as ,  
 $A \leq f^o.B.f$  giving  
 $\exists a \in A : a \subseteq f^o b f$  and  $\exists a' \in A : a' \subseteq f^o b' f \implies \Delta_X \subseteq f^o b' f$ .  
 Therefore  $a = a \Delta_X \subseteq (f^o b f)(f^o b' f) \subseteq f^o b b' f$
- (b) (i) ( $\implies$ ) Let  $f$  be fully dense i.e.  $B = f_* f^* = B.f.f^o.B$ . showing that  $\forall b \in B, \exists b' \in B : b' \subseteq b f f^o b$ :  
 So, fix  $b \in B$ , as  $B \leq B.f.f^o.B$ , there exists  $b' \in B$  such that  $b' \subseteq b f f^o b$ .
- (ii) ( $\impliedby$ ) Suppose  $\forall b \in B, \exists b' \in B : b' \subseteq b f f^o b$ . This gives  $B \leq B.f.f^o.B$ , in order to show equality, also need  $B \geq B.f.f^o.B$ . By quasi-uniformity of  $B$ , for any  $b \in B$ ,  $\exists b' \in B : b' b' \subseteq b$ . Now, by Lemma 2.4.2,

$$f f^o \subseteq \Delta_Y \implies b' f f^o b' \subseteq b' \Delta_Y b' = b' b' \subseteq b$$

- (c) (i) ( $\implies$ ) Let  $f$  be topologically dense. We will show that for any  $b \in B, y \in Y$ ,  $(y, y) \in b f f^o b$ . Fix any  $b \in B$  and  $y \in Y$ . As  $f$  is topologically dense,  $\overline{f(X)} = Y$ , implying that  $y \in \overline{f(X)}$ , by definition giving that

$$\exists x \in X \text{ such that } (f(x), y) \in b \text{ and } (y, f(x)) \in b$$

Re-writing the above statement in terms of relations, and considering  $f$  as a relation:

$$(f(x), y) \in b \text{ gives } x(b \circ f)y \text{ i.e. } y \in (b \circ f)(x) \quad (1)$$

$$(y, f(x)) \in b \text{ gives } f(x) \subseteq b(y) \quad (2)$$

Repeatedly applying Lemma 2.4.3 to (2),

$$f(x) \subseteq b(y) \implies (f \circ f^o)(f(x)) \subseteq (f \circ f^o)b(y) \implies (f \circ f^o \circ f)(x) \subseteq (f \circ f^o \circ b)(y)$$

Applying Lemma 2.4.1 to the final inequality in the above statement gives that

$$f(x) = (f \circ \Delta_X)(x) \subseteq (f \circ f^o \circ f)(x) \subseteq (f \circ f^o \circ b)(y)$$

Applying Lemma 2.4.3 and then using (1) on the above inequality completes the result:

$$f(x) \subseteq (f f^o b)(y) \implies (b \circ f)(x) \subseteq (b f f^o b)(y) \implies y \in (b f f^o b)(y) \text{ i.e. } y(b f f^o b)y$$

- (ii) ( $\impliedby$ ) Fix any  $y \in Y$  and  $b \in B$ . Also, suppose that  $\Delta_Y \leq b f f^o b$ . As  $f$  is a function with domain as  $X$ ,  $f^o : Y \rightarrow X$ ,  $\phi \neq (f^o \circ b)(y) \subseteq X$ . So, fix  $x \in (f^o \circ b)(y)$ , going to show that  $(f(x), y) \in b$  and  $(y, f(x)) \in b$ . Again, while viewing  $f$  as a relation.

$$\Delta_Y \leq b f f^o b \implies \Delta_Y(y) \subseteq b f f^o b(y) = (b f)(f^o b(y))$$

Last inequality of the above statement gives  $y \in (b f)(x)$  i.e.  $(f(x), y) \in b$ .

Applying Lemma 2.4.2 to  $f$ , and then using Lemma 2.4.4,

$$f f^o \subseteq \Delta_Y \implies f f^o b \subseteq \Delta_Y b = b$$

Thus  $f f^o b(y) \subseteq b(y)$  and hence  $f(x) \subseteq b(y) \implies (y, f(x)) \in b$

(d) (i) ( $\implies$ ) Let  $f$  be topologically dense. As  $B$  is a quasi-uniformity, for any  $b \in B$ ,

$$\exists b' \in B : b'b' \subseteq b \text{ and } \Delta_Y \subseteq b' \implies b' = b'\Delta_Y \subseteq b'b' \subseteq b \quad (3)$$

By the characterisation of topologically dense in (c), have that  $\Delta_Y \subseteq b'ff^ob'$ . Now, using the (3) and Lemma 2.4.3,

$$\Delta_Y \subseteq b'ff^ob' \implies b' = b'\Delta_Y \subseteq b'b'ff^ob' \subseteq b'ff^ob' \subseteq b'ff^ob$$

Hence, we have  $b' \in B : b' \subseteq b'ff^ob$  giving us that  $f$  is fully dense (from (b)).

(ii) ( $\impliedby$ ) From (b), we have for  $b \in B$ , the existstence of  $b' \in B$  such that  $b' \subseteq b'ff^ob$ . As  $B$  is a quasi-uniformity,  $\Delta_Y \subseteq b'$ . So,  $\Delta_Y \subseteq b'ff^ob$ , and from (c), this gives us that  $f$  is topologically dense.  $\square$

**Definiton 3.7.** The set  $PX$  is defined to be the collection of all promodules from the quasi-uniform space  $(X, A)$  to the quasi-uniform space 1.

$$PX := \{\psi : \psi : (X, A) \multimap 1 \text{ is a promodule}\}$$

**Proposition 3.6.** For any  $a \in A$ ,  $\tilde{a}$  is defined to be a relation  $PX \rightarrow PX$  as

$$\text{for } \phi, \psi \in PX, \phi \tilde{a} \psi \text{ only if } \phi \leq \psi.a$$

The set,  $\tilde{A} := \{\tilde{a} : a \in A\}$  defines a quasi-uniformity on  $PX$ .

*Proof.* First need to show that  $\tilde{A}$  is a prorelation,

- (i) (Partial order) For any two relations  $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} : PX \rightarrow PX$ , define  $\tilde{a} \leq \tilde{b}$  to be true only if  $a \subseteq b$ .
- (ii) (Down-Directed) Need for any  $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \tilde{A}$ , the existstence of some  $\tilde{c} \in \tilde{A}$  such that  $\tilde{c} \subseteq \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}$ .  
If  $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \tilde{A}$  then there exist  $a, b \in A$ . By down-directedness of  $A$ , there exists a  $c \in A$  such that  $c \subseteq a, b$ . Now the definition of  $\tilde{A}$  gives that  $\tilde{c} \in \tilde{A}$ . And the definition of the partial order on  $\tilde{A}$  ensures  $\tilde{c} \leq \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}$ .
- (iii) (Upset) For any relation  $l : PX \rightarrow PX$ , need that if  $\tilde{k}$  belongs to  $\tilde{A}$  such that  $l \geq \tilde{k}$ , then  $l \in \tilde{A}$ .  
Fix any  $k : PX \rightarrow PX$ , and  $\tilde{k} \in \tilde{A}$  such that  $l \geq \tilde{k}$ . As  $k$  is a relation between promodules  $X \multimap 1$ , it can be thought of as a relation  $a$  on  $X$ , defined as:

$$a := \{(x, y) : x \in \text{domain}(\psi) \text{ and } y \in \text{domain}(\phi) \text{ whenever } \exists \psi, \phi \in PX : \psi l \phi\}$$

So,  $l \geq \tilde{k}$  gives that  $\tilde{a} \geq \tilde{k}$  i.e.  $a \supseteq k$ . And as  $A$  is an upper-set, we get  $a \in A$ . Now, by definition of  $\tilde{A}$ ,  $l \in \tilde{A}$ .

Secondly, need show that the other two conditions hold for  $\tilde{A}$ ,

- (i) For all  $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{A}$ , need  $\tilde{a}$  to be reflexive i.e if  $\psi \in PX$  then  $\psi \tilde{a} \psi$ .  
By definition of  $\tilde{a}$ , need to show that  $\psi \leq \psi.a$ . So, fix a  $p \in \psi$ , we will show that  $p \subseteq p.a$ . Quasi-uniformity of  $A$  gives that  $\Delta_X \subseteq a$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.4.3,  $p = p\Delta_X \subseteq p.a$ .
- (ii) For all  $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{A}$ , need to find  $\tilde{b} \in \tilde{A}$  such that  $\tilde{b}\tilde{b} \leq \tilde{a}$   
Before showing the result, proving that for any  $x, y \in A$ ,  $\tilde{x}\tilde{y} \leq \widetilde{xy}$  i.e.  $\forall \psi, \phi \in PX, \psi(\tilde{x}\tilde{y})\phi \implies \psi\widetilde{xy}\phi$ . If  $\psi_1(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})\psi_3$ , then, the definition of composition gives that  $\exists \psi_2$  such that  $\psi_1\tilde{b}\psi_2\tilde{a}\psi_3$ . Now, the definition of  $\tilde{b}$  gives  $\psi_1 \leq \psi_2.b$  and that of  $\tilde{a}$  gives  $\psi_2 \leq \psi_3.a$ . Combining these inequalities,  $\psi_1 \leq \psi_2.b \leq \psi_3.ab$ . Hence, by definition of  $\tilde{ab}$ ,  $\psi_1(\tilde{ab})\psi_3$ . Now, to show the result, fix any  $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{A}$ . Therefore,  $a \in A$ , and by quasi-uniformity of  $A$ ,  $\exists b \in A : b \circ b \subseteq a$ . Thus, by the partial-order defined on  $\tilde{A}$ ,  $\tilde{b}\tilde{b} \leq \tilde{a}$ . Now, transitivity of the partial order gives us the required result,  $\tilde{b}\tilde{b} \leq \tilde{b}\tilde{b} \leq \tilde{a}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.7** (Yoneda Embedding).

For a quasi-uniform space  $(X, A)$ , function  $y_X : X \rightarrow PX$  is defined by  $x \mapsto x^*$  for  $x \in X$ .

- (a)  $y_X : (X, A) \rightarrow (PX, \tilde{A})$  is a uniformly continuous map
- (b)  $y_X : (X, A) \rightarrow (PX, \tilde{A})$  is fully faithful

*Proof.*

- (a) In order to show  $y_X$  is uniformly continuous, need to show that  $y_X.A \leq \tilde{A}.y_X$ . By definition of  $\leq$ , need  $\forall a \in A, \exists b \in A : y_X \circ b \subseteq \tilde{a} \circ y_X$ . Applying the relations to some element,  $x$  of the set  $X$ :

$$(y_X \circ b)(x) \subseteq (\tilde{a} \circ y_X)(x) \implies y_X(b(x)) \subseteq \tilde{a}(x^*) \quad (4)$$

So, for the condition given by (4) to hold, if  $y \in b(x)$ , then it's required that  $y^* = y_X(y) \in \tilde{a}(x^*)$  i.e.  $x^* \tilde{a} y^*$ . Using the definition of  $x^*, y^*$  and  $\tilde{a}$ ,

$$x^* \tilde{a} y^* \iff x^o.A \leq y^o.A.a \iff \forall a' \in A, \exists a'' \in A : x^o a'' \subseteq y^o a' a \quad (5)$$

Now, fix any  $a \in A, x \in X$ . Thus, quasi-uniformity of  $A$ , gives  $a'' \in A$  such that  $a'' a'' \subseteq a$ . Also, choose some  $y \in a''(x)$ . Hence, in order to show that the condition from (5) holds, need that  $\forall b \in A, x^o a'' \subseteq y^o b a$ , and by applying the relations to an element  $z$  gives the following condition

$$\forall b \in B, \forall x \in X, (x^o a'')(z) \subseteq (y^o b a)(z) \quad (6)$$

Examining the left side of (6),

$$(x^o a'')(z) = x^o(a''(z)) = \begin{cases} \phi & \text{if } x \notin a''(z) \\ \star & \text{if } x \in a''(z) \end{cases}$$

Thus, to show that (6) holds, need to show that (for any  $b \in A$  and  $z \in X$ ):

$$x \in a''(z) \implies z(y^o b a) \star \text{ i.e. } y \in (b a)(z) \quad (7)$$

To show that (7) holds, fix any  $z \in X : x \in a''(z)$ . Also, by our choice of  $y$ , have that  $y \in a''(x)$ . And as  $b \in A$ , it's reflexive, giving that  $y \in b(y)$ . So, by composition of relations, we get:

$$z a'' x, x a'' y \text{ and } y b y \implies z(a'' a'' b) y \implies z(a b) y \text{ i.e. } y \in (b a)(z)$$

- (b) By using Proposition 2.3(a), need to show that  $A \geq y_X^o.\tilde{A}.y_X$  i.e.  $\forall a \in A, \exists \tilde{b} \in \tilde{A} : a \supseteq y_X^o \tilde{b} y_X$ . Applying to an element,  $x \in X$  gives the condition

$$(y_X^o \tilde{b} y_X)(x) \subseteq a(x) \implies (y_X^o \tilde{b})(x^*) = y_x^o(\tilde{b}(x^*)) \subseteq a(x) \quad (8)$$

Thus, if  $y^* \in PX$  such that  $x^* \tilde{b} y^*$ , then  $y \in y_x^o(\tilde{b}(x^*))$ . Now, for (8) to hold,  $y \in a(x)$  i.e.  $x a y$ . Thus, need only to show that for any  $a \in A, \exists b \in A$  such that  $\forall x, y \in X, x^* \tilde{b} y^* \implies x a y$ . So, fix  $a \in A$ , and take  $b \in A : b b \subseteq a$ . Now, let  $x^* \tilde{b} y^*$  i.e.  $x^o.A \leq y^o.A.b$ . Hence,  $\exists c \in A : x^o c \subseteq y^o b b$ . And as  $c$  is reflexive,

$$x c x \implies x(c x^o) \star \implies x(b b y^o) \star \implies x(b b) y \implies x a y$$

□

**Theorem 3.1** (Yoneda Lemma). *For every  $\psi \in PX$ , in the following digram,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{(y_X)^*} & PX \\ & \searrow \psi & \downarrow \psi^* \\ & & 1 \end{array}$$

$$(a) \psi \geq \psi^*.(y_X)^*$$

$$(b) \psi \in \overline{y_X(X)} \implies \psi \leq \psi^*.(y_X)^*$$

*Proof.* (a) By definition,  $(y_X)^* = \tilde{A}.y_X$ , and  $\psi^* = \psi^o.\tilde{A}$ . Need that  $\psi \geq (y_X)^*.\psi^* = \psi^o.\tilde{A}.\tilde{A}.y_X$ . And applying Lemma 2.2.1 to  $\tilde{A}$ , the required condition becomes  $\psi \geq \psi^o.\tilde{A}.y_X$ . Fix  $p \in \psi$ , we will find  $a \in A : p \supseteq \psi^o a y_X$ . Examining the right side of the condition, (for any  $a \in A, x \in X$ )

$$(\psi^o.\tilde{a}.y_X)(x) = \psi^o.\tilde{a}(x^*) = \psi^o(\tilde{a}(x^*)) = \begin{cases} \phi & \text{if } \psi \notin \tilde{a}(x^*) \\ \star & \text{if } \psi \in \tilde{a}(x^*) \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

In case  $\psi \notin \tilde{a}(x^*)$ , the condition holds trivially. As  $\psi$  is a promodule,  $\psi.A \leq \psi$  gives  $\exists q \in \psi, a \in A : q a \subseteq p$ . Thus, fix  $x \in X$  and  $\psi \in PX$  such that  $x^* \tilde{a} \psi$ . We will now show that  $x p \star$ . Using the definition of  $\tilde{a}$ ,

$$x^* \tilde{a} \psi \implies x^o.A \leq \psi.a \implies \exists b \in A : x^o b \subseteq q a \implies \forall z \in X, (x^o b)(z) \subseteq (q a)(z) \quad (10)$$

Thus, in particular for  $z = x$ , as  $b$  is reflexive,  $x b x$ , which gives:

$$(x^o b)(x) \subseteq (q a)(x) \implies x^o x \subseteq (q a)(x) \implies \star \in (q a)(x) \quad (11)$$

But, as  $q a \subseteq p$ , (11) gives that  $x p \star$ .



- (b) Suppose  $\psi \in \overline{y_X(X)}$ , need to show  $\psi \leq \psi^*.(y_X)_* = \psi^o.\tilde{A}.y_X$  i.e. for  $a \in A$ ,  $\exists p \in \psi : p \subseteq \psi^o.\tilde{a}.y_X$ . For any  $x \in \text{domain}(p)$ , the condition requires:

$$p(x) \subseteq \psi^o.\tilde{a}.y_X(x) = \psi^o(\tilde{a}(x^*)) \quad (12)$$

By definition of  $p$ , for (12) to hold, need that  $x p \star \implies \psi \in \tilde{a}(x^*)$ . Fix any  $a \in A$ , we will find  $p \in \psi$  such that (12) holds. By quasi-uniformity of  $A$ ,  $\exists b \in A : b b \subseteq a$ . From Proposition 2.5(a),  $y_X$  is uniformly continuous,  $y_X.A \leq \tilde{A}.y_X$  giving that  $\exists c \in A : y_X c \subseteq \tilde{b}y_X$ . Thus, for any  $z, w \in X$  such that  $z c w$ ,

$$(y_X c)(z) \subseteq (\tilde{b}y_X)(z) \implies y_X(c(z)) \subseteq \tilde{b}(z^*) \implies w^* \in \tilde{b}(z^*) \text{ i.e. } z^* \tilde{b} w^* \quad (13)$$

As  $A$  is a quasi-uniformity,  $\exists d \in A : d d \subseteq c$ . Also, because  $A$  is a down-directed set,  $\exists a' \in A : a' \subseteq b, d$ . This along with (13) gives that for any  $x, y \in X$

$$x(a'a')y \implies x(dd)y \implies xcy \implies x^* \tilde{b} y^* \quad (14)$$

Now, because  $\psi \in \overline{y_X(X)}$ , we get  $\exists x^* \in y_X(X)$  such that  $\psi \tilde{a}' x^*$  and  $x^* \tilde{a}' \psi$ . By definition of  $\tilde{a}$ ,  $\psi \tilde{a}' x^*$  gives

$$\psi \leq x^o.A.a' \implies \exists p \in \psi : p \subseteq x^o a' a' \quad (15)$$

Fix any  $z \in X : z p \star$ , using (15) and (14) gives:

$$z p \star \xrightarrow{\cong} (x^o a' a') \star \xrightarrow{(15)} z(a' a') x \xrightarrow{(14)} z^* \tilde{b} x^* \quad (16)$$

Finally, by definition of the partial order on  $\tilde{A}, a' \subseteq b \implies \tilde{a}' \subseteq \tilde{b}$ . Therefore,  $x^* \tilde{a}' \psi \implies x^* \tilde{b} \psi$ . Now, using (16),  $z^* \tilde{b} x^*$  and  $x^* \tilde{b} \psi$  gives the desired result  $z^* \tilde{b} x^*$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.** For  $\psi \in PX$ ,  $\psi \in \overline{y_X(X)}$  if and only if  $\psi$  is a right-adjoint.

*Proof.* (i) ( $\implies$ )

- (ii) ( $\impliedby$ ) Suppose  $\psi$  is a right adjoint. Need to show that for any  $a \in A$ ,  $\exists x^* \in y_X(X)$  such that  $\psi \tilde{a} x^* \tilde{a} \psi$ . Fix  $a \in A$ . Because  $\psi$  is a right-adjoint, there exists a promodule  $\phi : 1 \dashv \multimap X$  such that  $\phi.\psi \leq A$  and  $1 \leq \psi.\phi$ . From  $\phi.\psi \leq A$ , we get that

$$\exists p \in \phi, q \in \psi \text{ such that } a \supseteq p.q \quad (1)$$

Because  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are promodules,

$$A.\phi \leq \phi \text{ gives the existence of } p' \in \phi \text{ such that } p \supseteq a' p' \quad (2)$$

$$A.\psi \leq \psi \text{ gives the existence of } q' \in \psi \text{ and } a'' \in A \text{ such that } q \supseteq a'' q' \quad (3)$$

Now, from  $1 \leq \psi.\phi$ , we get that  $q' p'$  is reflexive i.e.  $\star(q' p')\star$ . By the definition of composition we get the existence of an  $x \in X$  such that  $\star p' x q' \star$ . Now, considering  $x$  as a map,  $x : 1 \rightarrow X$  defined as  $\star \mapsto x$ ,

$$x q' \star \text{ i.e. } \star \in q'(x) \text{ gives that } q' \supseteq x^o \quad (4)$$

$$\star p' x \text{ i.e. } x \in p'(\star) \text{ gives that } p' \supseteq x \quad (5)$$

Thus, by using inequalities (1),(2) and (3), we get that

$$a \supseteq p q \supseteq a' p' q' a'' \quad (6)$$

By definition of  $\tilde{a}$ , to show  $\psi \tilde{a} x^*$ , we need that  $\psi \leq x^* a = x^o.A.a$ . Showing for any  $b \in A$ ,  $x^o b a \supseteq q'$ :

$$x^o b a \supseteq x^o b a' p' q' \supseteq x^o b a' x q' \supseteq x^o x q' \subseteq q'$$

Where the first inequality comes from (6) by using reflexivity of  $a''$  and then left-multiplying by  $x^o$ . The second inequality comes from (5), third one from reflexivity of  $b$  and  $a'$ , and the last one is given by Lemma 2.4.1.

In order to show  $x^* \tilde{a} \psi$ , by definition of  $\tilde{a}$ , need that  $x^o.A = x^* \leq \psi a$ . Fix  $k \in \psi$ . We will show  $k a \supseteq x^o a''$ .

$$a \supseteq a' p' q' a'' \supseteq p' q' a'' \supseteq p' x^o a'' \quad (7)$$

Where the first inequality is given by (6), second one is due to reflexivity of  $a'$  and the third inequality comes by using (4). Left-multiplying (7) with  $k$  gives

$$k a \supseteq k p' x^o a'' \quad (8)$$

FINAL STEP LEFT !!  $\square$