5/11/2020

CS303 Tutorial 8

Name of Student: M. Mahueth Reddy Roll No: 1801 CS31

Ans 1:

Given: {abiln < j²} is language denoted by L

To porove & CFL

Broof: Assume L is CFL, then pumping lemma must hold on L.

Consider mas critical length.

Now, and lamem > m

:. J u, v, x, y, 2 s.t uvxy2 = am26m

1xxy/sm

141 > 1

∀i≥o, uv'xy'zeL

Consider u, v, x, y, z as follows

Case (i):

aaa...aaabbb...bbb

Consider $V = a^{k_1}$ } s.t. $|V \times Y| \le m$ $Y = a^{k_2}$ } $|Y = k_1 + k_2 \le m$ $|Y = a^{k_2}|$ $|X = k_1 + k_2 \le m$

For i=2, am²ekiekepmel, but m²ekieke > m² .. Pumping lemma doesnit hold Calecti): aaa...aaabbb...bbb Luxyz $K_1, K_2 \ge 1$ $V = b^{K_1}$ $Y \circ b^{K_2}$ KI + K2 = 1 KI + K2 + [x] < M If i=0, and bm-k1-k2 e L, but m2 (m-k1-k2) .. Pumping lemma doesnit hold Case ciii).

aaa...aaabbb...bbb.

uxy 2 Subcase (1): If v spans both a and b, then ef pumping is done the resultant string has a's and bis mixed up. So, it doesn't belong to L. Same holds for y. Subcase 2: 1/2 al. y= b2 $t \le k_1 + k_2 \le m$ $k_1, k_2 \ge 1$ For i=0, and b-12 el But, $(m-k_2)^2 \le (m-1)^2$ (" $k_2 \ge 1$) = $(m^2-2m+1) < (m^2-k_1)$ (" $k_1 < m$) => m²-k, > (m-k2) .. Pumping lemma doesn't hold Hence Lis not CFL.

Ans 2:

Given: $L = \{ w : r_b(w) < r_b(w) < r_b(w) \}$ is a language

To prove: L il not CFC

Parof:

Assume Lis CFL, then Pumping Lemma must holden it. Consider in as critical length.

Now, $a^m b^m e^n c^m e^2 \in L \Rightarrow |a^m b^m e^m e^2| \ge m$ $\exists u, v, x, y, z \in \{a, b, c\}^* \text{ s.t. } uvxyz > a^m b^m e^m e^2,$ $|vxy| \ge m, |vy| \le l. \quad uv^i xy^i z \in L \cdot \forall i \ge 0$ Consider u, v, π, γ, z as follows:

Case (1):

aa...aa...aabb...bb...bbcc....cc

where $v=a^{k_1}$ $y=a^{k_2}$ $k_1,k_2 \ge 1$ $k_1+k_2 \ge 1$ $k_1+k_2+|x| \le m$

For i=2, amfkifk2 bmfl cme2 E L

but m+k++k2 ≥ m+1 (:: k++k2 ≥ 1)

... Pumping lemma doesn't hold in this case.

aa-aa-.aabb.-bb--.bbcc.--cc $k_1, k_2 \ge 1$ $1 \le k_1 + k_2 \le 8n$ V = 6k1 Y = 6k2 For i=2, am 6 melekt kg mez _ L but, m+1+4+k2 = m+2 (·: k,+k2 = 1) i. Pumping lemma doesn't hold in this case Case (3): aa--aa..aabb...bb...bbcc...cc...cc VXY 2 k, k2 >1 (VY) >1 (VXY) < m V= CK1 · Y = CK2 =) 1 < k1 + k2 < m For i=0 ambnfl cm+2-(k1+k2) EL

but (m+1) > (m+2)-(Kitk2) [: Kitk2 >1]

.". Pumping lemma doesn't hold in this case.

aa...aa...aabb....bb...bbcc...cc...cc Subcase (i):

Now, if either vol y corrtain both a and b, then, on pumping, the resultant storing will have a'l & b's mixed up. le pumping lemma won't hold.

Subcase (ii):

$$k_1, k_2 \ge 1$$
 $| vxy| \le m$
 $| vxy| \ge 1$
 $| vxy| \ge 1$
 $| vxy| \ge 1$

Hence pumping lemma doesnit hold

Case (5):

This can be explained in a way similar to care (2)
Pumping lemma won't hold.

Case 6 2

This also can be explained using some previous cases. Pumping lemma worst hold.

is not context free. Hence Broved!

```
Ans 3:
```

L= & a'b'c' | 0 < i < j' = k} is a language}

To parove: Lis not a CFL

Proof: Assume L'is CFL, then pumping lemma meust hold

Assume critical length is m

Consider a string ambmcm EL => lambmcm > m

: Lis CFL, 7 unv, x, y, z e {a,b,c}* such that

uvxyz = abom [vxyl>m, |vy|\leq1, uvxyzelti>o

Consider u, v, x, y, z as follows

0a-aa-aabb.-bb.-bbcc.-cc...cc

ruxy | 5 m and | vy | >1 K1. K2 >1 12 a 4 - a 2

=) \(\le \k_1 + \k_2 \le m

For 1=2

mekitk2 bmcme L

m +k, + k2 > m, es pumping lemma doesn't hold in this case.

Case 2:

aa...aa...aabb....bb.cc...cc

V=60 y=62

K, K2 >1 /VXY/ < m and /VY/>1

=> 1 \le k_1 + k_2 \le m

Fol i=2, ambmekrekeme L

but mekitke > m, so pumping lemma doesn't hold in this case.

Case 3:

aa.-aa--aabb--bb--bbcc.-cc.-cc u

vxy
z

v= ck1 y=ck2 k., k2 > 1

1 < | vxy | < m & | vy > 1

 \rightarrow 15 $k_1 + k_2 \leq m$

For i=0, ampm m-k1-k2

but m-k,-k2 < m, so pumping lemma doesn't hold in this case.

Case (4):

aa...aa...aabb...bb...bbcc...cc

Subcase (i): if either vor y contains both a & b, then
the storing obtained by pumping will have a & b mixed up.
So, pumping lemma worit hold.

Subcase (ii) $V = a^{k_1} y = b^{k_2} $
for i=2, anth bmtk2 cm e [
but mike > m, so pamping lemma doesnit h
Case 6:
aaaaaabbbbbb.cccccc L Vxy Z
Pumping lemma won't hold in this case and can
explained similarly as case(4).
Case 6:
aaaaaa.bbbbbbcccccc
VXY VXY
Pumping lumma won't hold in this case and can be
explained similarly as previous cases.

.. The given danguage L= {a'b'ck | 0 < i < j < k} is not a CFL. Hence Proved!