# **CS564 ML**

# **Assignment-4**

Name: M Maheeth Reddy	Roll No.: <b>1801CS31</b>	Date: <b>21-Nov-2021</b>

<u>Task</u>: Implementation of a Feed Forward Neural Network for the document classification of the BBC News Dataset into five categories, business, entertainment, politics, sport and tech.

#### For **Pre-processing of data**, I have:

- 1. removed all stopwords,
- 2. considered 50 words/article,
- 3. converted text to lower case,
- 4. removed punctuation, and finally
- 5. performed stemming on each word

The BBC News Dataset was split in the ratio of 70:10:20 for training, validation and testing

#### **Variation in Activation Functions**:

					Accuracy on fold number 1 is: 47.25848563968668						
				47.25848563968	precision	recall	f1-score	support			
						•					
Scenario 1:					business	0.57	0.30				
Activation Fur	oction - Re	111			entertainment	0.73	0.43				
Activation Function = <b>ReLU</b>				politics	0.50	0.61					
Optimizer = A	dam				sport	0.26	0.62				
•					tech	0.53	0.43	0.48	95		
Fralestian.					accuracy			0.47	383		
<b>Evaluation</b> :					macro avg	0.52	0.48	0.47	383		
Overall Accura	acv = <b>54.8</b> 3	3%			weighted avg	0.54	0.47	0.48	383		
Accuracy on fo		is:			Accuracy on fo		S:				
37.30344047313.	precision	recall	f1-score	support		precision	recall	f1-score	support		
business	0.71	0.41	0.52	79	business	0.77	0.42	0.54	79		
entertainment	0.76	0.57	0.65	69	entertainment	0.83	0.43	0.57	69		
politics	0.50	0.87	0.64	93	politics	0.53	0.86	0.66	93		
sport	0.61	0.30	0.40	47	sport tech	0.57 0.54	0.53 0.62	0.55 0.58	47 95		
tech	0.54	0.59	0.57	95	tecii	0.54	0.02	0.56	95		
accuracy			0.58	383	accuracy			0.59	383		
macro avg	0.63	0.55	0.55	383	macro avg	0.65	0.57	0.58	383		
weighted avg	0.62	0.58	0.57	383	weighted avg	0.64	0.59	0.58	383		
	-					Overall Accuracy is: 54.83028720626632					

					Accuracy on fold number 1 is: 48.825065274151434						
						recision	recall	f1-score	support		
Scenario 2:					business	0.39	0.59	0.47	79		
					entertainment	0.38	0.43	0.41	69		
Activation Fu	inction = <b>ta</b>	ınn			politics	0.57	0.49	0.53	93		
Optimizer = A	Δdam				sport	0.87	0.28	0.42	47		
Optimizer – F	-aaiii				tech	0.59	0.54	0.56	95		
					accuracy			0.49	383		
<b>Evaluation</b> :					macro avg	0.56	0.47	0.48	383		
Overall Accuracy = <b>57.01</b> %					weighted avg	0.54	0.49	0.49	383		
Accuracy on fo		is:			Accuracy on fol		is:				
60.31331592689					61.879895561357						
	precision	recall	f1-score	support		recision	recall	f1-score	support		
business	0.62	0.62	0.62	79	business	0.64	0.61	0.62	79		
entertainment	0.54	0.62	0.58	69	entertainment	0.56	0.65	0.60	69		
politics	0.60	0.65	0.62	93	politics	0.63	0.69	0.66	93		
sport	0.47	0.64	0.54	47	sport	0.46	0.68	0.55	47		
tech	0.82	0.52	0.63	95	tech	0.86	0.51	0.64	95		
accuracy			0.60	383	accuracy			0.62	383		
macro ava	0.61	0.61	0.60	383	macro avg	0.63	0.63	0.61	383		
macro avg	0.63	0.60	0.61	383	weighted avg	0.65	0.62	0.62	383		
weighted avg											

## $\underline{\textbf{Conclusion}}:$

tanh is a better activation function than ReLU. Reason: In Scenarios 1 and 2, we can notice that tanh achieves an accuracy of 57.01% which is better than ReLU's (54.83%), with the same optimizer.

## **Variation in Optimizers**:

					Accuracy on fold number 1 is: 20.626631853785902					
						precision	recall	f1-score	support	
Scenario 3:					business	0.21	1.00	0.34	79	
					entertainment	0.00	0.00	0.00	69	
Activation Fu	inction = R	eLU			politics	0.00	0.00	0.00	93 47	
Optimizer = 3	SGD				sport	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Optimizer –					tech	0.00	0.00	0.00	95	
Frankratia:					accuracy			0.21	383	
<b>Evaluation</b> :					macro avg	0.04	0.20	0.07	383	
Overall Accu	racv = <b>20.</b> 6	53%			weighted avg	0.04	0.21	0.07	383	
Accuracy on fo		is:			Accuracy on fo		is:			
	precision	recall	f1-score	support		precision	recall	f1-score	support	
business	0.21	1.00	0.34	79	business	0.21	1.00	0.34	79	
entertainment	0.00	0.00	0.00	69	entertainment	0.00	0.00	0.00	69	
politics	0.00	0.00	0.00	93	politics	0.00	0.00	0.00	93	
sport	0.00	0.00	0.00	47	sport	0.00	0.00	0.00	47	
tech	0.00	0.00	0.00	95	tech	0.00	0.00	0.00	95	
accuracy			0.21	383	accuracy			0.21	383	
macro avg	0.04	0.20	0.07	383	macro avg	0.04	0.20	0.07	383	
weighted avg	0.04	0.21	0.07	383	weighted avg	0.04	0.21	0.07	383	
					Overall Accura	cy is: 20.62	663185378	5902		

#### **Conclusion**:

Adam is a better optimizer than SGD. Reason: In Scenarios 2 and 3, we can notice that Adam achieves an accuracy of 54.83% which is better than SGD's (20.63%), with the same activation function.