Variance Sensitivity Proofs

March 18, 2020

Definition 1. Let sample variance be defined as

$$s^{2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2},$$

where \bar{x} refers to the sample mean of x.

1 NEIGHBORING DEFINITION: CHANGE ONE

1.1 ℓ_1 -sensitivity

Lemma 1. For arbitrary a,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - a)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + n(a - \bar{x})^2.$$

Proof.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - a)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} ((x_i - \bar{x}) - (a - \bar{x}))^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} ((x_i - \bar{x})^2 - 2(x_i - \bar{x})(a - \bar{x}) + (a - \bar{x})^2)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i a - x_i \bar{x} - \bar{x}a + \bar{x}^2) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a^2 - 2a\bar{x} + \bar{x}^2)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 - 2a\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + 2\bar{x}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + 2\bar{x}an - 2\bar{x}^2n + a^2n - 2a\bar{x}n + \bar{x}^2n$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + a^2n - 2a\bar{x}n + \bar{x}^2n$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + n(a - \bar{x})^2$$

Theorem 1. Let

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2.$$

Then for x bounded between m and M, f has sensitivity bounded above by

$$\frac{n-1}{n}(M-m)^2.$$

Proof. Consider databases \mathbf{x}' and \mathbf{x}'' which differ in a single point. For notational ease, call \mathbf{x} the part of \mathbf{x}' and \mathbf{x}'' that is the same, and say that \mathbf{x} contains n points. WLOG say that the last data point in the database is the one that differs. I.e., $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} \cup \{x_{n+1}\}$, and $\mathbf{x}'' = \mathbf{x} \cup \{x'_{n+1}\}$. This proof assumes that a "neighboring database" is one that differs in a single data-point, so we will ultimately be comparing $f(\mathbf{x}')$ and $f(\mathbf{x}'')$. However, it is useful to first write $f(\mathbf{x}')$ in terms of $f(\mathbf{x})$. Note that

$$\bar{x}' = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i$$

$$= \frac{n\bar{x} + x_{n+1}}{n+1}.$$
(1.1)

Then,

$$f(\mathbf{x}') = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x}')^2 + (x_{n+1} - \bar{x}')^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + n(\bar{x}' - \bar{x})^2 + (x_{n+1} - \bar{x}')^2 \qquad \text{(By Lemma 1)}$$

$$= f(\mathbf{x}) + n \left(\frac{n\bar{x} + x_{n+1}}{n+1} - \bar{x}\right)^2 + \left(x_{n+1} - \frac{n\bar{x} + x_{n+1}}{n+1}\right)^2 \qquad \text{(By Equation 1.1)}$$

$$= f(\mathbf{x}) + n \left(\frac{x_{n+1} - \bar{x}}{n+1}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{nx_{n+1} - n\bar{x}}{n+1}\right)^2$$

$$= f(\mathbf{x}) + (x_{n+1} - \bar{x})^2 \frac{n + n^2}{(n+1)^2}$$

$$= f(\mathbf{x}) + (x_{n+1} - \bar{x})^2 \frac{n}{n+1}$$

$$(1.2)$$

Now, to bound the sensitivity of f, note that

$$\left| f(\mathbf{x}') - f(\mathbf{x}'') \right| = \left| (x_{n+1} - \bar{x})^2 \frac{n}{n+1} - (x'_{n+1} - \bar{x})^2 \frac{n}{n+1} \right|$$

$$\leq (M - m)^2 \frac{n}{n+1}.$$
(1.3)

The bound in the final line follows from the case where $x_{n+1} = M$ (resp. m) and $\bar{x} = x'_{n+1} = m$ (resp. M).

So we have a bound on the sensitivity of f for a data set of size n+1, but traditionally we consider sensitivities on a data set of size n. Redefining n+1 as n in the above equation gives

$$(M-m)^2 \frac{n-1}{n}.$$

Corollary 1. Sample variance has sensitivity bounded above by

$$\frac{(M-m)^2}{n}.$$

1.2 ℓ_2 -sensitivity

Theorem 2. Let X be a data set with n elements, x_1, \ldots, x_n and

$$f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

be the sample variance. For X bounded between m and M, f has a global sensitivity of

$$\left(\frac{n-1}{n}(M-m)^2\right)^2$$

Proof. We can pick up from statement 1.3, switching from ℓ_1 to ℓ_2 norm and interpreting the data sets in question to be of size n rather than n+1.

$$(f(x') - f(x''))^2 = \left((x_n - \bar{x})^2 \frac{n-1}{n} - (x'_n - \bar{x})^2 \frac{n-1}{n} \right)^2$$

$$= \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \right)^2 \left((x_n - \bar{x})^2 - (x'_n - \bar{x})^2 \right)^2$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \right)^2 \left((M-m)^2 \right)^2$$

$$= \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \right)^2 (M-m)^4$$

$$= \left(\frac{n-1}{n} (M-m)^2 \right)^2.$$

2 NEIGHBORING DEFINITION: ADD/DROP ONE

2.1 ℓ_1 -sensitivity

Theorem 3. Let X be a data set with n elements, x_1, \ldots, x_n and

$$f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{X})^2.$$

For X bounded between m and M, f has a global sensitivity of

$$\frac{n}{n+1}(M-m)^2$$

Proof. We must consider both adding and removing an element from X.

Adding an element:

Let $X' = X \cup x'_{n+1}$. Recall from Eq. 1.2 that for

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2,$$

$$f(x') = f(x) + (x_{n+1} - \bar{x})^2 \frac{n}{n+1}.$$

So,

$$|f(x') - f(x)| = |f(x) + (x_{n+1} - \bar{x})^2 \frac{n}{n+1} - f(x)|$$

$$= |(x_{n+1} - \bar{x})^2 \frac{n}{n+1}|$$

$$\leq (M-m)^2 \frac{n}{n+1}$$
(2.1)

Removing an element:

Let $X' = X \setminus \{x_n\}$. Then, rewriting Eq. 1.2 with n set to n+1 since "x" in this case is the greater set,

$$f(x) = f(x') + (x_n - \bar{x}')^2 \frac{n-1}{n}.$$

Then,

$$|f(x) - f(x')| = \left| f(x') + (x_n - \bar{x}')^2 \frac{n-1}{n} - f(x') \right|$$

$$\leq (M-m)^2 \frac{n-1}{n},$$
(2.2)

Note that for any $n \geq 1$,

$$\frac{n}{n+1} > \frac{n-1}{n}.\tag{2.4}$$

So, the worst-case bound always occurs in the "add-one" case, and the ℓ_1 -sensitivity of $f(\cdot)$ is in general bounded by

$$(M-m)^2 \frac{n}{n+1}.$$
 (2.5)

Corollary 2. Sample variance has ℓ_1 sensitivity bounded above by

$$(M-m)^2 \frac{n}{n^2-1}.$$

Proof. Define f as in the statement of Theorem 3. Note that sample variance is equal to

$$\frac{f(x)}{n-1}$$

. Then, from the bound in Equation 2.5 it follows that the sensitivity of the sample variance is bounded from above by

$$(M-m)^2 \frac{n}{(n-1)(n+1)} = (M-m)^2 \frac{n}{n^2-1}.$$

2.2 ℓ_2 -sensitivity

Theorem 4. Sample variance has ℓ_2 sensitivity bounded above by

$$\left((M-m)^2 \frac{n}{n^2-1} \right)^2.$$

Proof. From the bounds in Equations 2.1 and 2.2 and the inequality for $n \ge 1$ in Equation 2.4, because the bounds in Equations 2.1 and 2.2 are positive it follows that the ℓ_2 sensitivity of the variance is bounded by

$$\left((M-m)^2 \frac{n}{n^2-1} \right)^2,$$

where the change in constant from Equations 2.1 to $n/(n^2-1)$ comes from the 1/(n-1) in the definition of sample variance, as in the proof of Corollary 2.