Survey research in the digital age

Matthew J. Salganik Department of Sociology Princeton University

Summer Institutes in Computational Social Science June 20, 2019

The Summer Institutes in Computational Social Science is supported by grants from the Russell Sage Foundation and the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation.













readymades



custommades

Schedule for today:

► Anti-status quo bias

- ► Anti-status quo bias
- ► Anti-formality bias (formality is important, but just not right now)

- ► Anti-status quo bias
- ► Anti-formality bias (formality is important, but just not right now)
- ▶ Very brief to leave time for the activity

- Anti-status quo bias
- Anti-formality bias (formality is important, but just not right now)
- Very brief to leave time for the activity
- ► More information in Chapter 3 of Bit by Bit: Social Research in the Digital Age: https://www.bitbybitbook.com/en/1st-ed/asking-questions/

Why should I care about surveys?

Why should I care about surveys in the age of big data?

▶ limitations of big data (fubu vs. nufu-nubu)

- ▶ limitations of big data (fubu vs. nufu-nubu)
- ▶ internal states vs. external states

- ▶ limitations of big data (fubu vs. nufu-nubu)
- ▶ internal states vs. external states
- ▶ inaccessibility of big data

- limitations of big data (fubu vs. nufu-nubu)
- internal states vs. external states
- ▶ inaccessibility of big data

But how we are going to ask is going to change

	Sampling	Interviews
1st era	Area probability	Face-to-face

	Sampling	Interviews
1st era	Area probability	Face-to-face
2nd era	Random digital dial probability	Telephone

	Sampling	Interviews	
1st era	Area probability	Face-to-face	_
2nd era	Random digital dial probability	Telephone	
3rd era			

	Sampling	Interviews
1st era	Area probability	Face-to-face
2nd era	Random digital dial probability	Telephone
3rd era	Non-probability	Computer-administered

	Sampling	Interviews	Data environment
1st era	Area probability	Face-to-face	Stand-alone
2nd era	Random digital dial	Telephone	Stand-alone
3rd era	probability Non-probability	Computer-administered	Linked

	Sampling	Interviews	Data environment
1st era	Area probability	Face-to-face	Stand-alone
2nd era	Random digital dial	Telephone	Stand-alone
3rd era	probability Non-probability	Computer-administered	Linked

Total survey error framework

Total survey error framework

Who we ask (representation)

- sampling error
- coverage errors
- non-response error

How we ask (measurement)

- question wording
- question ordering
- social desirability bias



https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Donald_Trump_taking_his_Oath_of_Office.png

An Evaluation of 2016 Election Polls in the U.S.

Ad Hoc Committee on 2016 Election Polling

Courtney Kennedy, Pew Research Center Mark Blumenthal, SurveyMonkey Scott Clement, Washington Post JoshUA d. Clinton, Vanderbilt University Claire Durand, University of Montreal Charles Franklin, Marquette University Kyley McGeeney, Pew Research Center[1]

Lee Miringoff, Marist College Kristen Olson, University of Nebraska-Lincoln Doug Rivers, Stanford University, YouGov Lydia Saad, Gallup Evans Witt, Princeton Survey Research Associates Chris Wlezien, University of Texas at Austin

http://www.aapor.org/Education-Resources/Reports/An-Evaluation-of-2016-Election-Polls-in-the-U-S.aspx

Who we ask (representation)

- sampling error
- coverage errors
- non-response error

How we ask (measurement)

- question wording
- question ordering
- social desirability bias

Who we ask (representation)

- sampling error
- coverage errors
- non-response error

How we ask (measurement)

- question wording
- question ordering
- social desirability bias

An Evaluation of 2016 Election Polls in the U.S.

Ad Hoc Committee on 2016 Election Polling

Courtney Kennedy, Pew Research Center Mark Blumenthal, SurveyMonkey Scott Clement, Washington Post JoshUA d. Clinton, Vanderbilt University Claire Durand, University of Montreal Charles Franklin, Marquette University Kyley McGeeney, Pew Research Center[1]

Lee Miringoff, Marist College
Kristen Olson, University of Nebraska-Lincoln
Doug Rivers, Stanford University, YouGov
Lydia Saad, Gallup
Evans Witt, Princeton Survey Research Associates
Chris Wlezien, University of Texas at Austin

http://www.aapor.org/Education-Resources/Reports/An-Evaluation-of-2016-Election-Polls-in-the-U-S.aspx

▶ National polls were generally correct and accurate by historical standards.

- ▶ National polls were generally correct and accurate by historical standards.
- ▶ State-level polls showed a competitive, uncertain contest . . .

- ▶ National polls were generally correct and accurate by historical standards.
- ► State-level polls showed a competitive, uncertain contest . . .
- ▶ . . . but clearly under-estimated Trump's support in the Upper Midwest.

"There are a number of reasons as to why polls under-estimated support for Trump. The explanations for which we found the most evidence are:"

▶ "Real change in vote preference during the final week or so of the campaign"

"There are a number of reasons as to why polls under-estimated support for Trump. The explanations for which we found the most evidence are:"

- ▶ "Real change in vote preference during the final week or so of the campaign"
- ► "Adjusting for over-representation of college graduates was critical, but many polls did not do it"

"There are a number of reasons as to why polls under-estimated support for Trump. The explanations for which we found the most evidence are:"

- "Real change in vote preference during the final week or so of the campaign"
- "Adjusting for over-representation of college graduates was critical, but many polls did not do it"
- "Some Trump voters who participated in pre-election polls did not reveal themselves as Trump voters until after the election, and they outnumbered late-revealing Clinton voters"

Full report: http://www.aapor.org/Education-Resources/Reports/An-Evaluation-of-2016-Election-Polls-in-the-U-S.aspx



▶ Total survey error framework helps us organize all the things that can go wrong

- ▶ Total survey error framework helps us organize all the things that can go wrong
- ► Total survey error framework also helps us think about how digital age can create new opportunities (who to ask and how to ask)

- ▶ Total survey error framework helps us organize all the things that can go wrong
- ► Total survey error framework also helps us think about how digital age can create new opportunities (who to ask and how to ask)
- ► To learn more: Groves et al (2009)

Questions