

# Ethics activity

## Summer Institute in Computational Social Science\*

Matthew J. Salganik and Yo-Yo Chen

June 19, 2017

In August 2006, about 10 days prior to the primary election, 20,000 people living in Michigan received a mailing that showed their voting behavior and the voting behavior of their neighbors (Fig. 1). One piece mailings typically increase voter turnout by about one percentage point, but this one increased turnout by 8.1 percentage points, the largest effect seen up to that point (Gerber et al., 2008). The effect was so large that a political operative named Hal Malchow offered Donald Green \$100,000 not to publish the result of the experiment, presumably so that Malchow could make use of this information himself (Issenberg, 2012, p. 304). But, Alan Gerber, Donald Green, and Christopher Larimer did publish the paper in 2008 in the *American Political Science Review*.

When you carefully inspect the mailer in Gerber et al. (2008) you may notice that the researchers' names do not appear on it. Rather, the return address is to Practical Political Consulting. In the acknowledgment to the paper the authors explain: "Special thanks go to Mark Grebner of Practical Political Consulting, who designed and administered the mail program studied here."

In addition to these 20,000 mailers, the researchers also sent 60,000 other potentially less sensitive mailers (Fig. 2, 3, and 4). Then, there was a backlash from participants. In fact, Issenberg (2012, p. 198) reports that "Grebner [the director of Practical Political Consulting] was never able to calculate how many people took the trouble to complain by phone, because his office answering machine filled so quickly that new callers were unable to leave a message." In fact, Grebner noted that the backlash could have been even larger if they had scaled up the treatment. He said to Alan Gerber, one of the researchers, "Alan if we had spent five hundred thousand dollars and covered the whole state you and I would be living with Salman Rushdie." (Issenberg, 2012, p. 200).

This particular case is great for a discussion because it is interesting and important research, and it touches on many of the ethical issues that arise in computational social science. But it touches on these issues in unexpected ways, and so it can help us move beyond some stale debates that have been happening for a while. It is also a great case because there are no easy answers. Please discuss these questions in your group:

1. Assess the ethical issues raised by this study. Please draw on any framework, principles, or ideas that you think are appropriate.
2. Given your assessment, what approaches would you take to address the ethical issues related to this study? These approaches could be related to the design, testing, or publishing of the study.
3. Would it impact your answer to the questions above if Mark Grebner was already sending out similar mailings at this time? More generally, how should researchers think about evaluating existing interventions created by practitioners?
4. How would your decisions in (2) impact the research objective (the ability to understand the cause of a difference in voting rates between the treatment and control group)?

---

\*We thank Don Green for advice that helped improve this activity. This activity is based on a similar activity in Chapter 6 of Salganik (2017).

Prepare a 5 minute presentation for us (people who are already familiar with this case and the ethical concepts that we have learned). All groups will present and then we will have a group discussion about similarities and differences in people’s approaches.

If you have extra time: Ethical response surveys are a technique for collecting reactions to proposed study designs (Schechter and Bravo-Lillo, 2014). Building on what you did above, design an ethical response survey to compare three approaches to this style of experiment.

If you have even more extra time: Actually conduct the ethical response survey using participants on Amazon Mechanical Turk.

## Schedule

- About 1:30: Begin
- 2:30 - 3:30: Presentation
- 3:30 - 4:00: Discussion and wrap-up

## References

- Gerber, A. S., Green, D. P., and Larimer, C. W. (2008). Social Pressure and Voter Turnout: Evidence from a Large-Scale Field Experiment. *American Political Science Review*, 102(01):33–48.
- Issenberg, S. (2012). *The Victory Lab: The Secret Science of Winning Campaigns*. Broadway Books, New York.
- Salganik, M. J. (2017). *Bit by Bit: Social Research in the Digital Age*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- Schechter, S. and Bravo-Lillo, C. (2014). Using Ethical-Response Surveys to Identify Sources of Disapproval and Concern with Facebook’s Emotional Contagion Experiment and Other Controversial Studies. *Microsoft Research Technical Report*, MSR-TR-2014-97.

**Neighbors mailing**

3 0 4 2 3 - 3 ||| ||| |||

For more information: (517) 351-1975  
 email: [etov@grebner.com](mailto:etov@grebner.com)  
 Practical Political Consulting  
 P. O. Box 6249  
 East Lansing, MI 48826

PRSRT STD  
 U.S. Postage  
**PAID**  
 Lansing, MI  
 Permit # 444

ECRL0T \*\*C050  
 THE JACKSON FAMILY  
 9999 MAPLE DR  
 FLINT MI 48507

Dear Registered Voter:

**WHAT IF YOUR NEIGHBORS KNEW WHETHER YOU VOTED?**

Why do so many people fail to vote? We've been talking about the problem for years, but it only seems to get worse. This year, we're taking a new approach. We're sending this mailing to you and your neighbors to publicize who does and does not vote.

The chart shows the names of some of your neighbors, showing which have voted in the past. After the August 8 election, we intend to mail an updated chart. You and your neighbors will all know who voted and who did not.

**DO YOUR CIVIC DUTY — VOTE!**

MAPLE DR	Aug 04	Nov 04	Aug 06
9995 JOSEPH JAMES SMITH	Voted	Voted	_____
9995 JENNIFER KAY SMITH		Voted	_____
9997 RICHARD B JACKSON		Voted	_____
9999 KATHY MARIE JACKSON		Voted	_____
9999 BRIAN JOSEPH JACKSON		Voted	_____
9991 JENNIFER KAY THOMPSON		Voted	_____
9991 BOB R THOMPSON		Voted	_____
9993 BILL S SMITH			_____
9989 WILLIAM LUKE CASPER		Voted	_____
9989 JENNIFER SUE CASPER		Voted	_____
9987 MARIA S JOHNSON	Voted	Voted	_____
9987 TOM JACK JOHNSON	Voted	Voted	_____
9987 RICHARD TOM JOHNSON		Voted	_____
9985 ROSEMARY S SUE		Voted	_____
9985 KATHRYN L SUE		Voted	_____
9985 HOWARD BEN SUE		Voted	_____
9983 NATHAN CHAD BERG		Voted	_____
9983 CARRIE ANN BERG		Voted	_____
9981 EARL JOEL SMITH			_____
9979 DEBORAH KAY WAYNE		Voted	_____
9979 JOEL R WAYNE		Voted	_____

Figure 1: Neighbor mailer from Gerber et al. (2008).

**APPENDIX A: MAILINGS****Civic Duty mailing**

3 0 4 2 6 - 2    ||| || || || |    XXX

For more information: (517) 351-1975  
email: [etov@grebner.com](mailto:etov@grebner.com)  
Practical Political Consulting  
P. O. Box 6249  
East Lansing, MI 48826

PRSRTSTD  
U.S. Postage  
**PAID**  
Lansing, MI  
Permit # 444

ECRL0T \*\*C002  
THE JONES FAMILY  
9999 WILLIAMS RD  
FLINT MI 48507

Dear Registered Voter:

DO YOUR CIVIC DUTY AND VOTE!

Why do so many people fail to vote? We've been talking about this problem for years, but it only seems to get worse.

The whole point of democracy is that citizens are active participants in government; that we have a voice in government. Your voice starts with your vote. On August 8, remember your rights and responsibilities as a citizen. Remember to vote.

DO YOUR CIVIC DUTY — VOTE!

Figure 2: Civic duty mailer from Gerber et al. (2008).

**Hawthorne mailing**

3 0 4 2 4 - 1 ||| ||| |||

For more information: (517) 351-1975  
email: etov@grebner.com  
Practical Political Consulting  
P. O. Box 6249  
East Lansing, MI 48826

PRSRT STD  
U.S. Postage  
**PAID**  
Lansing, MI  
Permit # 444

ECRL0T \*\*C001  
THE SMITH FAMILY  
9999 PARK LANE  
FLINT MI 48507

Dear Registered Voter:

YOU ARE BEING STUDIED!

Why do so many people fail to vote? We've been talking about this problem for years, but it only seems to get worse.

This year, we're trying to figure out why people do or do not vote. We'll be studying voter turnout in the August 8 primary election.

Our analysis will be based on public records, so you will not be contacted again or disturbed in any way. Anything we learn about your voting or not voting will remain confidential and will not be disclosed to anyone else.

DO YOUR CIVIC DUTY — VOTE!

Figure 3: Hawthorne mailer from Gerber et al. (2008).

**Self mailing**

3 0 4 2 2 - 4 ||| ||| |||

For more information: (517) 351-1975  
 email: etov@grebner.com  
 Practical Political Consulting  
 P. O. Box 6249  
 East Lansing, MI 48826

PRSRT STD  
 U.S. Postage  
**PAID**  
 Lansing, MI  
 Permit # 444

ECRLT \*\*C050  
 THE WAYNE FAMILY  
 9999 OAK ST  
 FLINT MI 48507

Dear Registered Voter:

WHO VOTES IS PUBLIC INFORMATION!

Why do so many people fail to vote? We've been talking about the problem for years, but it only seems to get worse.

This year, we're taking a different approach. We are reminding people that who votes is a matter of public record.

The chart shows your name from the list of registered voters, showing past votes, as well as an empty box which we will fill in to show whether you vote in the August 8 primary election. We intend to mail you an updated chart when we have that information.

We will leave the box blank if you do not vote.

DO YOUR CIVIC DUTY—VOTE!

OAK ST	Aug 04	Nov 04	Aug 06
9999 ROBERT WAYNE		Voted	_____
9999 LAURA WAYNE	Voted	Voted	_____

Figure 4: Self mailer from Gerber et al. (2008).