DO-MPC

A TOOLBOX FOR THE EASY, EFFICIENT AND MODULAR IMPLEMENTATION OF NMPC

USER'S GUIDE

Authors:
Sergio Lucia
Alexandru Tatulea-Codrean
Sebastian Engell



Last updated: May 25, 2015

Contents

1	Intr	oducti	ion	2
	1.1	Capab	pilities and Limitations	2
			; DO-MPC	
	1.3			
2	Inst	allatio	on Instructions	4
3	You	ır Effic	eient NMPC in 60 Minutes	5
4	A S	imple	Example	6
	4.1	Descri	ption of the Problem	6
	4.2	Imple	mentation using DO-MPC	8
		4.2.1	Model Template	8
		4.2.2	Optimizer Template	10
		4.2.3	Observer Template	10
		4.2.4	Simulator Template	11

Introduction

DO-MPC is a toolbox for the efficient, easy and modular implementation of nonlinear model predictive control (multi-stage NMPC). Multi-stage NMPC is a recenlty proposed approach that considers explicitly the presence of uncertainty in the model of a system. The main idea of DO-MPC is to divide any NMPC implementation into four main *modules*: model, optimizer, observer and simulator. This modularization makes the design, deployment and maintenance of an NMPC implementation easy and transparent. We provide in this simplified version of DO-MPC a set of python templates for each module that the user can modify to adapt to each specific problem.

1.1 Capabilities and Limitations

There are several tools for nonlinear model predictive control which are already available, as e.g. the ACADO Toolkit ¹. The main features that differentiate DO-MPC from other tools are outlined in the following. DO-MPC provides a modularized implementation of NMPC separated in four modules: model, optimizer, observer and simulator. In this manner, if a model is updated only the model module has to be modified and this information is automatically used by the other parts of the implementation. Additionally, DO-MPC provides an automatic design of a scenario tree for multi-stage NMPC based on the possible values of the uncertain parameters or disturbances given by the user. We also provide an efficient implementation of the approach using orthogonal collocation on finite elements (or multiple shooting) which is solved via IPOPT² using exact first and second order derivatives which are computed using CasADi³. The current version of DO-MPC has been successfully tested both in Windows and in Linux.

DO-MPC could be especially useful for you if:

¹Houska, B.; Ferreau, H. and Diehl, M. ACADO Toolkit – An Open Source Framework for Automatic Control and Dynamic Optimization Optimal Control Applications and Methods, 2011, 32, 298-312

²Wächter, A. and Biegler, L. On the implementation of a Primal-Dual Interior Point Filter Line Search Algorithm for Large-Scale Nonlinear Programming Mathematical Programming, 2006, 106, 25-5

³Andersson, J.; Åkesson, J. and Diehl, M. CasADi – A symbolic package for automatic differentiation and optimal control Recent Advances in Algorithmic Differentiation, Springer, 2012, 297-307

- You want an easy an efficient implementation of robust NMPC
- You want an efficient but very flexible implementation of NMPC
- You need a modular implementation of NMPC for future developments and transparent comparisons of different approaches

DO-MPC does not provide a code-generation tool that can be directly deployed on an embedded platform as self-contained C-code. In order to use DO-MPC it is necessary to install third-party software such as IPOPT, SUNDIALS⁴ and CasADi.

1.2 Citing DO-MPC

If you use DO-MPC for published work please cite it as:

S. Lucia, A. Tatulea-Codrean, C. Schoppmeyer, and S. Engell. Fast, Efficient and Sustainable Development of Robust NMPC Solutions. Submitted to *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, 2015.

1.3 License

The MIT License (MIT)

Copyright (c) 2014-2015 Sergio Lucia, Alexandru Tatulea-Codrean, Sebastian Engell. TU Dortmund. All rights reserved

Permission is hereby granted, free of charge, to any person obtaining a copy of this software and associated documentation files (the "Software"), to deal in the Software without restriction, including without limitation the rights to use, copy, modify, merge, publish, distribute, sublicense, and/or sell copies of the Software, and to permit persons to whom the Software is furnished to do so, subject to the following conditions: The above copyright notice and this permission notice shall be included in all copies or substantial portions of the Software.

THE SOFTWARE IS PROVIDED "AS IS", WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND NONINFRINGEMENT. IN NO EVENT SHALL THE AUTHORS OR COPYRIGHT HOLDERS BE LIABLE FOR ANY CLAIM, DAMAGES OR OTHER LIABILITY, WHETHER IN AN ACTION OF CONTRACT, TORT OR OTHERWISE, ARISING FROM, OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE SOFTWARE OR THE USE OR OTHER DEALINGS IN THE SOFTWARE.

⁴Hindmarsh, A. C.; Brown, P. N.; Grant, K. E.; Lee, S. L.; Serban, R.; Shumaker, D. E. and Woodward, C. S. SUNDIALS: Suite of Nonlinear and Differential/Algebraic Equation Solvers ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, 2005, 31, 363-396

Installation Instructions

DO-MPC is a set of Python modules and scripts that is based on third party software. DO-MPC is strongly based on the use of CasADi (http://www.casadi.org). The easiest way to install all the third party software that is necessary for DO-MPC to work is to install one of the precompiled versions of CasADi, which are available for Windows and for Linux, from (https://github.com/casadi/casadi/wiki/InstallationInstructions). Depending on your operating system you need some additional software (mainly a Python distribution). For detailed description follow carefully the installation instructions for CasADi. The precompiled versions of CasADi include a version of IPOPT.

Once CasADi with IPOPT is running, the examples for DO-MPC should also run. You can then run the code. In Linux and Mac you can use:

git clone https//github.com/do-mpc/do-mpc.git do-mpc: and then you can test the code running the main script using:

cd do-mpc/code/examples/CSTR; python do-mpc.py

In Windows you can you can download git for windows from (https://git-scm.com/downloads) and follow the same procedure as for Linux or download the code directly from https://github.com/do-mpc/do-mpc/archive/master.zip

It is highly recommended that you use a better linear solver than the one that comes with the precompiled version of IPOPT (mumps). You can do this by downloading the precompiled libraries from http://www.hsl.rl.ac.uk/ipopt/, which are available for Windows and for Linux. Once downloaded, add the path of the directory where the library has been store to your environment variable PATH on Windows or to LD_LIBRARY_PATH on Linux. After restarting your Python session the linear solver MA27 should be available.

The current version of DO-MPC has been successfully tested on Windows 7 and 8, and on Linux 12.04 and 14.04 using Python 2.7x and versions of CasADi 2.2 and 2.3. Note that for earlier versions of CasADi the code will not work due to changes in the syntax.

Your Efficient NMPC in 60 Minutes

The spirit of DO-MPC is that any user with just a moderate knowledge of model predictive control and of a scripting language like Python (or Matlab), should be able to get results of an efficient implementation of robust (or standard) nonlinear model predictive control in less than 60 minutes, just by looking at the examples and modifying the code accordingly in a very intuitive way. To achieve this, we provide templates for the problem specific information (model equations, constraints, cost function, definition of the simulator, observer) so that the user does not have to get into the tedious task of coding the discretization and formulation of the NLP, getting derivatives (thanks to CasaADi) or even plotting the results.

Although everything is programmed using Python, the code runs in C/C++ and therefore an excellent computational performance is achieved, but with a fast and easy development phase. To get your efficient implementation of a robust NMPC in 60 minutes follow the steps enumerated below:

- 1. Write the model equations in the file template_model.py
- 2. Describe the Optimal Control Problem (OCP) in the file template_model.py. The OCP description contains:
 - (a) Initial Condition
 - (b) Cost function
 - (c) Constraints
- 3. Describe the controller parameters in the file template_optimizer.py
- 4. Describe the simulator parameters, the plotting options and the real value of the uncertain parameters in the file template_simulator.py
- 5. Describe the observer in the file template_observer.py
- 6. Run DO-MPC using python do-mpc.py

A Simple Example

We illustrate the implementation of a robust NMPC using DO-MPC using a nonlinear CSTR benchmark problem adapted from ¹. The dynamics of the CSTR are described by the following set of differential equations:

4.1 Description of the Problem

$$\dot{c}_{A} = F(c_{A0} - c_{A}) - k_{1}c_{A} - k_{3}c_{A}^{2}, \tag{4.1a}$$

$$\dot{c}_{\rm B} = -Fc_{\rm B} + k_1 c_{\rm A} - k_2 c_{\rm B},\tag{4.1b}$$

$$\dot{T}_{\rm R} = F(T_{\rm in} - T_{\rm R}) + \frac{k_{\rm W}A}{\rho c_{\rm p}V_{\rm R}}(T_{\rm K} - T_{\rm R})$$

$$-\frac{k_1 c_{\mathcal{A}} \Delta H_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} + k_2 c_{\mathcal{B}} \Delta H_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}} + k_3 c_{\mathcal{A}}^2 \Delta H_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{D}}}{\rho c_{\mathcal{p}}},\tag{4.1c}$$

$$\dot{T}_{K} = \frac{1}{m_{K}c_{pK}}(\dot{Q}_{K} + k_{W}A(T_{R} - T_{K})),$$
(4.1d)

where the reaction rates k_i follow the Arrhenius law:

$$k_i = k_{0,i} e^{\frac{-E_{A,i}}{R(T_R + 273.15)}}. (4.2)$$

The ODEs are derived from component balances for the concentration of component A $(c_{\rm A})$ and for the the concentration of component B $(c_{\rm B})$. The energy balances for the temperature of the reactor $T_{\rm R}$ and for the coolant temperature $(T_{\rm K})$ form the last two differential equations. The control inputs are the inflow $(F = \dot{V}_{\rm in}/V_{\rm R})$ normalized by the volume of the reactor and the heat removed by the coolant $(\dot{Q}_{\rm K})$.

The initial conditions of the states together with the constraints on the states are described in Table 4.2. The constraints for the control inputs are shown in Table 4.3. It is considered that the activation energy $E_{A,3}$ and the reaction rate $k_{0,1}$ are uncertain

¹Klatt, K. and Engell, S. Gain-scheduling trajectory control of a continuous stirred tank reactor Computers & Chemical Engineering, 1998, 22, 491-502

Table 4.1: Parameter values of the CSTR.

Parameter	Value	Unit
	$1.287 \ 10^{12}$	h^{-1}
$k_{0,2}$	$1.287 \ 10^{12}$	h^{-1}
$k_{0,3}$	$9.043 \ 10^9$	$1\mathrm{mol^{-1}h^{-1}}$
$E_{A,1}/R$	9758.3	K
$E_{A,2}/R$	9758.3	K
$E_{A,3}/R$	8560.0	K
$\Delta H_{ m AB}$	4.2	$\mathrm{KJ}\ \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta H_{ m BC}$	-11.0	${\rm KJ~mol^{-1}}$
$\Delta H_{ m AD}$	-41.85	$\mathrm{KJ}\ \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$
ho	0.9342	$ m kgl^{-1}$
$c_{ m p}$	3.01	${ m kJkg^{-1}K^{-1}}$
$c_{ m pK}$	2.0	${ m kJkg^{-1}K^{-1}}$
A	0.215	m^2
$V_{ m R}$	10.01	1
$m_{ m k}$	5.0	kg
$T_{ m in}$	130.0	$^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$
$k_{\rm W}$	4032	$KJ h^{-1} m^{-2} K^{-1}$

Table 4.2: Initial conditions and state constraints.

State	Init. cond.	Min.	Max.	Unit
c_{A}	0.8	0.1	2.0	$\text{mol } l^{-1}$
c_{B}	0.5	0.1	2.0	$\text{mol } l^{-1}$
$T_{ m R}$	134.14	50.0	180	$^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$
$T_{ m J}$	134.0	50.0	180.0	$^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$

Table 4.3: Bounds on the manipulated variables.

Control	Min.	Max.	\mathbf{Unit}
\overline{F}	5	100	h^{-1}
$\dot{Q}_{ m K}$	0	-8500	$\mathrm{kJ}\mathrm{h}^{-1}$

and it is assumed that they vary by $\pm 10\%$ with respect to its nominal value. The uncertainties will be addressed using multi-stage NMPC². The stage cost minimized at each time stage for each scenario is chosen as:

$$L = 10^{4}(c_{\rm B} - 0.9) + 10^{4}(c_{\rm A} - 1.2) \tag{4.3}$$

The prediction horizon is $N_p = 20$ steps and the sampling time of the controller is $t_{\text{step}} = 0.005 \text{ h}$.

4.2 Implementation using DO-MPC

Within DO-MPC, any multi-stage NMPC implementation is based on the definition of four different modules: model, optimizer, observer and simulator. In the following subsections the full code necessary to solve standard and multi-stage NMPC for the nonlinear CSTR is presented.

4.2.1 Model Template

The model template (template_model.py) contains the definition of the model equations and the definition of the optimal control problem (OCP). The states, inputs, and uncertainties are defined as CasADi symbols as:

```
# Define the uncertainties as CasADi symbols
            = SX.svm("alpha")
3
    alpha
    beta
            = SX.sym("beta")
    # Define the differential states as CasADi symbols
           = SX.sym("C_a") # Concentration A
           = SX.sym("C_b") # Concentration B
8
           = SX.sym("T_R") # Reactor Temprature
9
    T_R
10
           = SX.sym("T_K") # Jacket Temprature
11
    # Define the algebraic states as CasADi symbols
12
13
    # Define the control inputs as CasADi symbols
14
           = SX.sym("F") # Vdot/V_R [h^-1]
16
    Q_dot = SX.sym("Q_dot") #Q_dot second control input
17
```

The parameters are defined:

```
1
2  KO_ab = 1.287e12 # KO [h^-1]
3  KO_bc = 1.287e12 # KO [h^-1]
4  KO_ad = 9.043e9 # KO [l/mol.h]
5  R_gas = 8.3144621e-3 # Universal Gas constant [kj.K^1.mol^1]
6  E_A_ab = 9758.3*1.00 #* R_gas# [kj/mol]
7  E_A_bc = 9758.3*1.00 #* R_gas# [kj/mol]
8  E_A_ad = 8560.0*1.0 #* R_gas# [kj/mol]
9  H_R_ab = 4.2 # [kj/mol A]
10  H_R_bc = -11.0 # [kj/mol B] Exothermic
11  H_R_ad = -41.85 # [kj/mol A] Exothermic
12  Rou = 0.9342 # Density [kg/l]
13  Cp = 3.01 # Specific Heat capacity [kj/Kg.K]
```

²Lucia, S.; Finkler, T. and Engell, S. Multi-stage Nonlinear Model Predictive Control Applied to a Semi-batch Polymerization Reactor under Uncertainty Journal of Process Control, 2013, 23, 1306-1319

The algebraic and differential equations are defined as:

```
1  K_1 = beta * KO_ab * exp((-E_A_ab)/((T_R+273.15)))
2  K_2 = KO_bc * exp((-E_A_bc)/((T_R+273.15)))
3  K_3 = KO_ad * exp((-alpha*E_A_ad)/((T_R+273.15)))
4
5  # Define the differential equations
6
7  dC_a = F*(C_AO - C_a) -K_1*C_a - K_3*(C_a**2)
8  dC_b = -F*C_b + K_1*C_a - K_2*C_b
9  dT_R = ((K_1*C_a*H_R_ab + K_2*C_b*H_R_bc + K_3*(C_a**2)*H_R_ad)/(-Rou*Cp)) + F*(T_in-T_R) +(((K_w*A_R)*(T_K-T_R))/(Rou*Cp))
10  dT_K = (Q_dot + K_w*A_R*(T_R-T_K))/(m_k*Cp_k)
```

To define the OCP first the initial condition is defined as:

```
1  # Initial condition for the states
2  C_a_0 = 0.8 # [mol/l]
3  C_b_0 = 0.5 # [mol/l]
4  T_R_0 = 134.14 #[C]
5  T_K_0 = 130.0 #[C]
6  x0 = NP.array([C_a_0, C_b_0, T_R_0, T_K_0])
```

Then the constraints on the states and on the control inputs are written

```
Bounds on the states. Use "inf" for unconstrained states
1
                                          C_a_ub = 2.0
    C_a_{b} = 0.1;
2
   C_b_{1b} = 0.1;
                                          C_b_ub = 2.0
    T_R_{1b} = 50.0;
                                           T R ub = 180
4
    T_K_1b = 50.0;
                                           T_K_ub = 180
5
   x_1b = NP.array([C_a_1b, C_b_1b, T_R_1b, T_K_1b])
    x_ub = NP.array([C_a_ub, C_b_ub, T_R_ub, T_K_ub])
   # Bounds on the control inputs. Use "inf" for unconstrained inputs
   F_{lb} = 5.0;
                                 F_ub = +100.0;
10
    Q_{dot_1b} = -8500.0;
                                 Q_dot_ub = 0.0;
11
12  u_lb = NP.array([F_lb, Q_dot_lb])
    u_ub = NP.array([F_ub, Q_dot_ub])
13
14
    u0 = NP.array([30.0,-6000.0])
15
   # Scaling factors for the states and control inputs. Important if the system is ill-conditioned
    x_scaling = NP.array([1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0])
17
    u_scaling = NP.array([1.0, 1.0])
18
```

Other nonlinear constraints can be defined here, including terminal constraints (they are not used in this example):

```
# Other possibly nonlinear constraints in the form cons(x,u,p) <= cons_ub
# Define the expression of the constraint (leave it empty if not necessary)
cons = vertcat([])
# Define the lower and upper bounds of the constraint (leave it empty if not necessary)
cons_ub = NP.array([])
# Define the terminal constraint (leave it empty if not necessary)
cons_terminal = vertcat([])
# Define the lower and upper bounds of the constraint (leave it empty if not necessary)
cons_terminal_lb = NP.array([])
cons_terminal_ub = NP.array([])</pre>
```

The nonlinear constraints can be formulated as soft constraints automatically if it is desired (set soft_constraint to 1). Finally the cost function is defined by writting the Lagrange and Mayer terms as a function of the previously defined symbolic expressions. Additionally a penalty term for the control movements can be also defined:

```
1  # Define the cost function
2  # Mayer term
3  mterm = 1e4*(C_b - 0.9)**2 + 1e4*(C_a - 1.2)**2
4  # Lagrange term
5  lterm = 0
6  # Penalty term for the control movements
7  rterm = NP.array([0, 0])
```

4.2.2 Optimizer Template

In the optimizer template (template_optimizer.py) the tuning parameters of the controller are chosen: the prediction horizon, the discretization method, the number of finite elements and degree of the orthogonal polynomials, the simulation time and the sampling time of the controller.

```
# Prediction horizon
1
    nk = 20
3
    # Sampling time
4
    t_step = 0.005
5
6
    # Robust horizon, set to 0 for standard NMPC
    n_robust = 1
8
9
    # State discretization scheme: 'multiple-shooting' or 'collocation'
10
    state_discretization = 'collocation'
11
12
   # Collocation-specific options
13
    # Degree of interpolating polynomials: 1 to 5
14
15
    deg = 2
    # Collocation points: 'legendre' or 'radau'
16
    coll = 'radau'
17
18
    # Number of finite elements per control interval
19
20
    ni = 2
21
    # Simulation Time
22
    end_time = 0.2
23
24
    # NLP Solver and linear solver
25
   nlp_solver = 'ipopt'
27
28
    # It is highly recommended that you use a more efficient linear solver
    # such as the hsl linear solver MA27, which can be downloaded as a precompiled
    # library and can be used by IPOPT on run time
30
31
    linear_solver = 'mumps'
```

Here also the possible values of the uncertainties are given. This information is used to build a scenario tree that branches up the stage n_robust. If n_robust is chosen to be 0 then standard NMPC is used, and the value chosen in the model used to predict the behavior of the system is the first element of the possible values given in the vector uncertainty_values

```
# Define the different possible values of the uncertain parameters in the scenario tree
alpha_values = NP.array([1.0, 1.1, 0.9])
beta_values = NP.array([1.0, 1.1, 0.9])
uncertainty_values = NP.array([alpha_values,beta_values])
```

4.2.3 Observer Template

We consider in this case full state feedback defined in the script template_observer

```
1  # Full state feedback
2  x = y
```

4.2.4 Simulator Template

Define the model that will be used for the simulation of the real system in template_simulator.py. Note that here a more complex model can be used than the one used for the design of the controller. Also the simulation parameters can be chosen.

```
# Choose the integrator (CVODES, IDAS)
integrator = Integrator('cvodes',f_sim)
# Choose the integrator parameters
integrator.setOption("abstol",1e-10) # tolerance
integrator.setOption("reltol",1e-10) # tolerance
integrator.setOption("steps_per_checkpoint",100)
```

Choose the states and control inputs that you want to plot at the end of the simulation. In addition, an especial feature of DO-MPC is that you can activate an *animation* that shows the prediction of the controller (including all scenarios) at each sampling time. Especially in the case of multi-stage NMPC, looking at such predictions is very important to understand the behavior of the controller

```
1 Choose the indices of the states to plot

2 plot_states = [0, 1, 2]

3 Choose the indices of the controls to plot

4 plot_control = [0, 1]

5 Plot animation (False or True)

6 plot_anim = False
```

Choose the real value of the uncertainty. They can be constant, random, or time-varying.

```
p_real = NP.array([1.0,1.0])
```

After defining all the modules the NMPC problem can be run by executing the script do-mpc.py in the folder of the corresponding example.