

Federal = nationwide

Antifederalist = weak national government:

- Believed that it did not do a good job.
- Wanted power to remain at the state level.
- Believed that the best decisions are local.
- Liked the AOC squo.
- All of the states had to agree, a key part. They did not centralise themselves into one body; and they had to fight for the Bill of Rights at every state convention.
- In favor of the Bill of Rights because if a national government exists then rights are at stake without some additional action. I was told that this Bill of Rights is also an example of compromise between Federalists and anti-Federalists.
- Lead by small and decentralized leaders, who had to rally at each individual state.

Federalist = stronger national government:

- In favor of the U.S. constitution
- Played key parts in writing it.
- The U.S. constitution does not need a bill of rights because we wrote it well enough.
- Believed that it would maintain rights while also fixing national issues.
- The Constitution is what the national government can and cannot do.
- This side does eventually win out.
- Lead by bigger leaders and centralized.

Both sides:

- Big national issues
- Like a Twitter war but over news and media (their words not mine)
- We will be having a debate soon, within the APGOV class.

Debate flow: