

所有主题 我关注的讨论帖

添加一个讨论帖

搜索所有帖子

搜索

显示所有帖子

近期活动

P-value is not the same as the probabily of type 1 error, why??  
I argue that alpha is th...  
关注

Q2-1, confused with p-value and alpha  
Rather than p-value, i ...  
关注

Q2, WHY can beta\_psi(theta) be bigger than 1?  
I considered beta\_psi(...  
关注

Technical problem with course videos [Staff]  
Hello, I am unable to ...  
关注

[STAFF] can't load the video on edx-video.net  
关注

[staff] Should there be a solution for question II?  
When I click "show an...  
关注

P-value is not the same as the probabily of type 1 error, why??

question posted 4 days ago by sakimarquis

I argue that alpha is the **maximum** of the probabily of type 1 error before you perform your test, and p-value is the **actual** probability of type 1 error in this test.

此帖对所有人可见。

SergK (Community TA)  
4 days ago - 4 days ago 前被 sakimarquis 标记为答案

$\alpha(\theta)$  is probability of type 1 error **given** unknown parameter have value  $\theta$ . **Given** does not mean conditional probability, because we do not consider unknown parameter as random variable here;  $\alpha$  is maximal value of  $\alpha(\theta)$  obtained at some  $\theta^*$ :

$$\alpha = \max \alpha(\theta) = \alpha(\theta^*)$$

I don't understand what you mean by *before you perform your test*; it is just maximal probability of type 1 error. If you repeat your test infinitely many times, the frequency of type 1 errors will be at most  $\alpha$ , so  $\alpha$  is indeed a probability in frequentist sense.

*p*-value is not a probability in frequentist sense because it depends on a particular observation; it is just a value in interval  $[0, 1]$ . If *p*-value of an observation is less than or equal to  $\alpha$ , we reject null hypothesis; otherwise we fail to reject.

@SergK Thanks a lot, i've been confused by this question for many years.

Can you explain more on "given does not mean conditional probability"?

And, i don't understand why p-value is not a probability .I considered that p-value is probability i can't repeat the same result if i repeat the test infinite times.

sakimarquis 在4 days ago前发表

W.r.t. to your last paragraph. It seems quite natural to interpret/define the *p*-value as a probability. The *p* in *p*-value even stands for "probability", if I'm not mistaken. I.e. it is the probability, assuming the null hypothesis is true, to observe an outcome that is greater than or equal to the actual outcome of the experiment. Frequentist interpretation: if the outcome of my experiment has *p*-value *a*, then I would expect, assuming that the null hypothesis is true and if I would repeat the same experiment another *n* times, to see *na* outcomes that are greater than or equal to the outcome of the original experiment.

mrBB (Community TA) 在4 days ago前发表

I don't think you can define a probability, in frequentist sense, "assuming null hypothesis is true", you can define only probabilities "assuming true value of  $\theta$  is equal to (something)". IMHO. Or else you can go Bayesian and think that  $\theta$  is a random variable, but right away I don't want to go Bayesian.

"given does not mean conditional probability" because we can't condition on a constant unknown parameter. Better to say "assuming" instead of "given", to avoid misleading associations with conditional probabilities, my fault.

PS: if null hypothesis is a single parameter value, you can define a probability "assuming null hypothesis is true"; but not generally, IMHO.

SergK (Community TA) 在3 days ago前发表

I get your point. Still, if the null hypothesis is not a single point, the *p*-value would be the supremum over a range of probabilities, i.e.  $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(T_n > x)$ .

mrBB (Community TA) 在3 days ago前发表

"p-value is not a probability in frequentist sense because it depends on a particular observation"

"I don't think you can define a probability, in frequentist sense, "assuming null hypothesis is true", you can define only probabilities"

"assuming true value  $\theta$  of is equal to (something)""

I would disagree.

"The (asymptotic) p-value of a test  $\psi_\alpha$  is the smallest (asymptotic) level  $\alpha$  at which  $\psi_\alpha$  rejects  $H_0$ . It is random, it depends on the sample."

It is a function of the test and therefore r.v. even in a frequentist sence and its *realization* depends on *realization* of the test (aka sample).

Mark\_B2 在3 days ago前发表

添加评论

Preview

提交



edX

[关于我们](#)  
[企业edX](#)

Legal

[服务条款 & 荣誉准则](#)  
[隐私政策](#)  
[可访问策略](#)

Connect

[博客](#)  
[联系我们](#)  
[帮助中心](#)



© 2012–2019 edX股份有限公司  
EdX、Open edX以及MicroMaster是edX股份有限公司的商标。 | 粤ICP备17044299号-2