

We will now consider an example that illustrates the difference between the notion of independence of a collection of events and the notion of pairwise independence within that collection.

The model is simple. We have a fair coin which we flip twice. So at each flip, there is probability  $1/2$  of obtaining heads.

Furthermore, we assume that the two flips are independent of each other. Let  $H_1$  be the event that the first coin toss resulted in heads, which corresponds to this event in this diagram. Let  $H_2$  be the event that the second toss resulted in heads, which is this event in the diagram-- the two ways that we can have the second toss being heads.

Now, we're assuming that the tosses are independent. So the event heads-heads has a probability which is equal to the probability that the first toss resulted in heads-- that's  $1/2$ -- times the probability that the second toss resulted in heads, which is  $1/2$ . So the product is  $1/4$ . We have probability  $1/4$  for this outcome.

Now, the total probability of event  $H_1$  is  $1/2$ , which means that the probability of what remains should be  $1/4$ , so that the sum of these two numbers is  $1/2$ . By the same argument, the probability of this outcome, tails-heads, should be  $1/4$ . We have a total of  $3/4$ . So what's left is  $1/4$ . And that's going to be the probability of the outcome tails-tails.

Let us now introduce a new event, namely the event that the two tosses had the same result. So this is the event that we obtain either heads heads or tails-tails. Schematically, event  $C$  corresponds to this blue region in the diagram.

Is this event  $C$  independent from the events  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ ? Let us first look for pairwise independence. Let's look at the probability that  $H_1$  occurs and  $C$  occurs as well.

So the first toss resulted in heads. And the two tosses had the same result. So this is the same as the probability of obtaining heads followed by heads. And this corresponds to just this outcome that has probability  $1/4$ .

How about the product of the probabilities of  $H_1$  and of  $C$ ? Is it the same? Well, the probability of  $H_1$  is

$1/2$ . And the probability of C-- what is it? Event C consists of two outcomes.

Each one of these outcomes has probability  $1/4$ . So the total is, again,  $1/2$ . And therefore, the product of these probabilities is  $1/4$ . So we notice that the probability of the two events happening is the same as the product of their individual probabilities, and therefore,  $H_1$  and C are independent events.

By the same argument,  $H_2$  and C are going to be independent. It's a symmetrical situation.  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are also independent from each other. So we have all of the conditions for pairwise independence.

Let us now check whether we have independence. To check for independence, we need to also look into the probability of all three events happening and see whether it is equal to the product of the individual probabilities.

So the probability of all three events happening-- this is the probability that  $H_1$  occurs and  $H_2$  occurs and C occurs. What is this event?

Heads in the first toss, heads in the second toss, and the two tosses are the same-- this happens if and only if the outcome is heads followed by heads. And this has probability  $1/4$ .

On the other hand, if we calculate the probability of  $H_1$  times the probability of  $H_2$  times the probability of C, we get  $1/2$  times  $1/2$  times  $1/2$ , which is  $1/8$ . These two numbers are different. And therefore, one of the conditions that we had for independence is violated.

So in this example,  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$ , and C are pairwise independent, but they're not independent in the sense of an independent collection of events. How are we to understand this intuitively?

If I tell you that event  $H_1$  occurred and I ask you for the conditional probability of C given that  $H_1$  [occurred], what is this? This is the probability that we obtain, given that the first event is heads, the first result is heads, the only way that you can have the two tosses having the same result is going to be in the second toss also resulting in heads.

And since  $H_2$  and  $H_1$  are independent, this is just the probability that we have heads in the second toss. And this number is  $1/2$ . And  $1/2$  is also the same as the probability of C. That's another way of understanding the independence of  $H_1$  and C.

Given that the first toss resulted in heads, this does not help you in any way in guessing whether the

two tosses will have the same result or not. The first one was heads, but the second one could be either heads or tails with equal probability.

So event  $H_1$  does not carry any useful information about the occurrence or non-occurrence of event  $C$ . On the other hand, if I were to tell you that both events,  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , happened, what would the conditional probability of  $C$  be?

If both  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  occurred, then the results of the two coin tosses were identical, so you know that  $C$  also occurred. So this probability is equal to 1. And this number, 1, is different from the unconditional probability of  $C$ , which is  $1/2$ .

So we have here a situation where knowledge of  $H_1$  having occurred does not help you in making a better guess on whether  $C$  is going to occur.  $H_1$  by itself does not carry any useful information. But the two events together,  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , do carry useful information about  $C$ .

Once you know that  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  occurred, then  $C$  is certain to occur. So your original probability for  $C$ , which was  $1/2$ , now gets revised to a value of 1. So  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  carry information relevant to  $C$ . And therefore,  $C$  is not independent from these two events collectively.

And we say that events  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$ , and  $C$  are not independent.