

3. Separation in Logistic Regression

(a)

3/3 points (graded)

We consider a 1-dimensional logistic regression problem, i.e., assume that data $X_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, n$ is given and that get independent observations of

$$Y_i | X_i \sim \text{Ber} \left(\frac{e^{\beta X_i}}{1 + e^{\beta X_i}} \right),$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Moreover, recall that the associated log likelihood for β is then given by

$$\ell(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i X_i \beta - \ln(1 + \exp(X_i \beta)))$$

Calculate the first and second derivate of ℓ . Instructions: The summation $\sum_{i=1}^n$ is already placed to the left of the answer box. Enter the summands in terms of β , X_i (enter " X_i ") and Y_i (enter " Y_i ").

$$\ell'(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[X_i (Y_i - \frac{\exp(\beta X_i)}{1 + \exp(\beta X_i)}) \right]$$

✓ Answer: $(Y_i * X_i) - (X_i / (1 + \exp(-X_i * \beta)))$

$$\ell''(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[-\frac{\exp(\beta X_i) X_i^2}{(1 + \exp(\beta X_i))^2} \right]$$

✓ Answer: $-X_i^2 * \exp(-X_i * \beta) / (1 + \exp(-X_i * \beta))^2$

What can you conclude about $\ell'(\beta)$?

☐ ℓ' is neither increasing nor decreasing on the whole of \mathbb{R} .

☒ ℓ' is strictly decreasing. ✓

☐ ℓ' is strictly increasing.

Solution:

The first derivative is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \ell'(\beta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(Y_i X_i - X_i \frac{e^{X_i \beta}}{1 + e^{X_i \beta}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(Y_i X_i - X_i \frac{1}{1 + e^{-X_i \beta}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The second derivative is

$$\ell''(\beta) = -\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \frac{e^{-X_i\beta}}{(1 + e^{-X_i\beta})^2}.$$

Since $X_i^2 > 0$ and $e^{-X_i\beta} > 0$ for all β , $\ell'(\beta)$ is strictly decreasing. Note that this also means that $\ell(\beta)$ is strictly concave.

Submit

You have used 1 of 3 attempts

i Answers are displayed within the problem

(b)

3/3 points (graded)
Imagine we are given the following data ($n = 2$):

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= 0 & Y_1 &= 0 \\ X_2 &= 1 & Y_2 &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

In order to give the maximum likelihood estimator, we want to solve

$$\ell'(\beta) = 0$$

for the given data.

First, we rewrite this as

$$\ell'(\beta) = f(\beta) + g,$$

where

$$f(\beta) = -\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \frac{1}{1 + e^{-X_i\beta}}.$$

and g is some appropriate value.

What is the range of $f(\beta)$?

- ☐ \mathbb{R}
- ☐ $\mathbb{R}_{<0} = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r < 0\}$
- ☒ $(-1, 0)$, the unit open interval ✓
- ☐ $\{-1, 0\}$, the set containing two values, -1 and 0

What is g ?

1

✓ Answer: 1

What can you conclude about the solution β ?

- ☐ $\beta = 1$.
- ☐ $\beta = 0$.

☒ There is no β that solves $\ell'(\beta) = 0$. ✓

☐ All $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ solve $\ell'(\beta) = 0$.

Solution:

Given $X_1 = 0$, $X_2 = 1$, we can plug these values into the expression for ℓ' :

$$\ell'(\beta) = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\beta}}$$

$$f(\beta) = -\frac{1}{1 + e^{-\beta}},$$

which has range $(-1, 0)$.

On the other hand,

$$g = 1.$$

This means that the equation $\ell'(\beta) = 0$ will not have a solution on \mathbb{R} . In fact, if we were to run an iterative maximization algorithm, β would converge to $+\infty$, which is also what would achieve

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \ell'(\beta) = 0.$$

Submit

You have used 1 of 3 attempts

❗ Answers are displayed within the problem

(C)

5/5 points (graded)

The problem you encountered in part (b) is called **separation**. It occurs when the Y_i can be perfectly recovered by a linear classifier, i.e., when there is a β such that

$$\begin{aligned} X_i \beta > 0 &\implies Y_i = 1, \\ X_i \beta < 0 &\implies Y_i = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In order to avoid this behavior, one option is to use a prior on β . Let us investigate what happens if we assume that β is drawn from a $N(0, 1)$ distribution, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{P}(\beta, Y|X) = \mathbf{P}(\beta) \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{P}(Y_i|X_i, \beta)$$

What is the joint log likelihood $\tilde{\ell}(\beta)$ of this Bayesian model? Again, for simplicity, let's plug in $(X_1, Y_1) = (0, 0)$ and $(X_2, Y_2) = (1, 1)$. (Try to work out the general formula on your own. It will also be provided in the solution.)

$$\tilde{\ell}(\beta) =$$

$$\ln(1/\sqrt{2\pi}) - \beta^2/2 - \ln(2) + (\beta - \ln(1 + \exp(\beta)))$$



Answer: $\ln(1/\sqrt{2\pi}) - (\beta^2)/2 - \ln(2) + \beta - \ln(1 + \exp(\beta))$

$$\ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right) - \frac{\beta^2}{2} - \ln(2) + (\beta - \ln(1 + \exp(\beta)))$$

Now, we want to find the maximum a posteriori probability estimate, which is obtained by finding β such that $\tilde{\ell}'(\beta) = 0$. To this end, calculate the first and second derivative $\tilde{\ell}'(\beta)$ and $\tilde{\ell}''(\beta)$.

$$\ell'(\beta) =$$

$$-(-1 + \beta + \exp(\beta) \cdot \beta) / (1 + \exp(\beta))$$

✓ **Answer:** $-\beta + 1 - (1/(1 + \exp(-\beta)))$

$$-\frac{-1 + \beta + \exp(\beta) \cdot \beta}{1 + \exp(\beta)}$$

$$\ell''(\beta) =$$

$$-(1 + 3 \cdot \exp(\beta) + \exp(2\beta)) / (1 + \exp(\beta))^2$$

✓ **Answer:** $-1 - (\exp(-\beta) / (1 + \exp(-\beta))^2)$

$$-\frac{1 + 3 \cdot \exp(\beta) + \exp(2\beta)}{(1 + \exp(\beta))^2}$$

What can you conclude about $\tilde{\ell}'(\beta)$?

☐ $\tilde{\ell}'$ is neither increasing nor decreasing on the whole of \mathbb{R} .

☒ $\tilde{\ell}'$ is strictly decreasing. ✓

☐ $\tilde{\ell}'$ is strictly increasing.

Given the same data as in (b), what can you say about the existence of a solution?

☐ Applying the same arguments as in (b), we see that there is no optimal β .

☒ Modifying the notation of f in (b) accordingly, we see that f now ranges over all of \mathbb{R} , hence there is a solution. ✓

Solution:

The joint log likelihood is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\ell}(\beta) &= \ln(\mathbf{P}(\beta)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(\mathbf{P}(Y_i | X_i, \beta)) \\ &= \ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right) - \frac{\beta^2}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i X_i \beta - \ln(1 + \exp(X_i \beta)))\end{aligned}$$

We obtain the first and second derivatives as before,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\ell}'(\beta) &= -\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(Y_i X_i - X_i \frac{1}{1 + e^{-X_i \beta}} \right) \\ \tilde{\ell}''(\beta) &= -1 - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \frac{e^{-X_i \beta}}{(1 + e^{-X_i \beta})^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the same notation as before, if we define

$$f(\beta) = -\beta - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \frac{1}{1 + e^{-X_i \beta}},$$

plugging in the data yields

$$f(\beta) = -\beta - \frac{1}{1 + e^{-X_i \beta}},$$

which is a strictly decreasing function with

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow -\infty} f(\beta) = +\infty$$

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow +\infty} f(\beta) = -\infty,$$

so its range is \mathbb{R} . Hence, $f(\beta) = g$ can always be solved.

Submit

You have used 2 of 3 attempts

i Answers are displayed within the problem

Discussion

Topic: Unit 7 Generalized Linear Models:Homework 11 / 3. Separation in Logistic Regression

Show Discussion