

3. Hoeffding's Inequality

Small sample size of bounded random variables: Hoeffding's Inequality

Start of transcript. Skip to the end.



<u>Course</u> > <u>Unit 1 Introduction to statistics</u> > <u>Lecture 2: Probability Redux</u> > 3. Hoeffding's Inequality

(Caption will be displayed when you start playing the video.)

► 0:00 / 0:00 ► 1.0x ► 1.0x ► 66 So when n is not large enough, there is still something

that we can say.

There's something that we can say for any n Even when n is equal to 2, we can actually say something.

Of course, it's not going to be a very strong statement,

but we can say something.

So there's this result called Hoeffding's

Video

<u>Download video file</u>

Transcripts

<u>Download SubRip (.srt) file</u>

<u>Download Text (.txt) file</u>



Recall from the video the Hoeffding's Inequality:

Given n (n>0) i.i.d. random variables $X_1,X_2,\ldots,X_n\stackrel{iid}{\sim}X$ that are almost surely **bounded** – meaning ${f P}(X
ot\in [a,b])=0$ –

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\left|\overline{X}_n - \mathbb{E}\left[X
ight]
ight| \geq \epsilon
ight) \leq 2\exp\left(-rac{2n\epsilon^2}{\left(b-a
ight)^2}
ight) \qquad ext{for all $\epsilon > 0$.}$$

Unlike for the central limit theorem, here the sample size n does not need to be large.

Hoeffding's Inequality practice

0/1 point (graded)

Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \overset{iid}{\sim} \mathsf{Unif}(0, b)$ be n i.i.d. uniform random variables on the interval [0, b] for some positive b.

Using Hoeffding's inequality, which of the following can you conclude to be true? (Choose all that apply.)

$$\left| oldsymbol{P} \left(\left| \overline{X}_n - rac{b}{2}
ight| \geq rac{c}{n}
ight) \leq 2e^{rac{-2c^2}{b^2}} ext{ for } n = 3
ight|$$

这两个的bound更窄,在bound之外的概率就更大,所以这个不等式不成立。

$$oxed{oldsymbol{arPsi}} \left. \mathbf{P}\left(\left| \overline{X}_n - rac{b}{2}
ight| \geq rac{c}{n}
ight) \leq 2e^{rac{-2c^2}{b^2}} ext{ for } n = 300$$

$$oxed{P}\left(\left|\overline{X}_n-rac{b}{2}
ight|\geq rac{c}{\sqrt{n}}
ight)\leq 2e^{rac{-2c^2}{b^2}}$$
 for $n=5$ 🗸

$$oxed{P}\left(\left|\overline{X}_n-rac{b}{2}
ight|\geq rac{c}{\sqrt{n}}
ight)\leq 2e^{rac{-2c^2}{b^2}}$$
 for $n=10$ 🗸

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\left(\left|\overline{X}_n-rac{b}{2}
ight|\geq c
ight)\leq 2e^{rac{-2c^2}{b^2}} ext{ for } n=10$$
 🗸

这两个的bound更宽,在bound之外的概率就更小,所以这个不等式成立。

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\left(\left|\overline{X}_n-rac{b}{2}
ight|\geq c
ight)\leq 2e^{rac{-2c^2}{b^2}} ext{ for } n=10000$$
 🗸



Solution:

Given that the X_i 's are uniform and hence bounded, Hoeffding inequality holds, with mean $\mathbb{E}\left[X
ight]=rac{b}{2}$, and for any positive sample size n

$$\left|\mathbf{P}\left(\left|\overline{X}_n-rac{b}{2}
ight|\geq\epsilon
ight)\leq 2e^{-rac{2n\epsilon^2}{b^2}}\qquad ext{for all }\epsilon>0.$$

The different answer choices involve different expressions for ϵ and different values of n, but since n>0 in all choices, we only need to consider the effects of the ϵ .

In all choices, $\epsilon=rac{c}{n^k}$: k=1 in the first two choices, k=1/2 in the third and fourth choices, and k=0 in the last two choices.

Plugging the expression for ϵ into Hoeffding's inequality, we have

$$egin{align} \mathbf{P}\left(\left|\overline{X}_n-rac{b}{2}
ight|\geq rac{c}{n^k}
ight) &\leq & 2e^{-rac{2n}{b^2}rac{c^2}{n^{2k}}}\ &=& 2e^{-rac{2c^2}{b^2n^{2k-1}}}\leq & 2e^{-rac{2c^2}{b^2}} & ext{for } 2k-1\leq 0. \end{split}$$

Since $2k-1 \le 0$ in the last four choices, that is, $\epsilon=\frac{c}{n^k}$ for $k \le 1/2$, the probabilities in these choices are bounded above by the given quantity $2e^{-\frac{2c^2}{b^2}}$.

Remark: The Hoeffding equality holds for any positive n, even when n is small, including the extreme case n=1.

Submit

You have used 2 of 2 attempts

Answers are displayed within the problem

Probability review: Markov and Chebyshev inequalities

Recall that in Unit 8 of the course 6.431x Probability–the Science of Uncertainty and Data, we have seen two other inequalities which are upper bounds on $\mathbf{P}(X \ge t)$ based on the mean and variance of X.

Markov inequality

For a random variable $X \geq 0$ with mean $\mu > 0$, and any number t > 0:

$$\mathbf{P}\left(X\geq t\right)\leq\frac{\mu}{t}.$$

Note that the Markov inequality is restricted to **non-negative** random variables.

Chebyshev inequality

For a random variable X with (finite) mean μ and variance σ^2 , and for any number $t\geq 0$,

$$\mathbf{P}\left(|X-\mu|\geq t\right)\leq \frac{\sigma^2}{t^2}.$$

Remark:

When Markov inequality is applied to $(X - \mu)^2$, we obtain Chebyshev's inequality. Markov inequality is also used in the proof of Hoeffding's inequality.

Hoeffding versus Chebyshev

4/4 points (graded)

Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \overset{iid}{\sim} \mathsf{Unif}(0, b)$ be n i.i.d. uniform random variables on the interval [0, b] for some positive b. Suppose n is small (i.e. n < 30) so that the central limit theorem is not justified.

Find an upper bound on the probability that the sample mean is "far away" from the expectation (the true mean) of X. More specifically, find the respective upper bounds given by the Chebyshev and Hoeffding inequalities on the following probability:

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\left|\overline{X}_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left[X
ight]
ight|\geq crac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}
ight)\qquad ext{where }\sigma^{2}=\mathsf{Var}X_{i}$$

for c=2 and c=6. Each answer is numerical.

Using Chebyshev inequality:

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\left|\overline{X}_n-\mathbb{E}\left[X
ight]
ight|\geq 2rac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}
ight)\leq \boxed{1/4}$$
 $lacksquare$ Answer: 1/4

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\left|\overline{X}_n - \mathbb{E}\left[X\right]\right| \geq 6\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \leq \boxed{1/36}$$
 \checkmark Answer: 1/36

Using **Hoeffding** inequality:

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\left|\overline{X}_n-\mathbb{E}\left[X
ight]
ight|\geq 2rac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}
ight)\leq \boxed{2 ext{*exp(-2/3)}}$$
 $lacksquare$ Answer: 2*e^(-2/3)

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\left|\overline{X}_n-\mathbb{E}\left[X
ight]
ight|\geq 6rac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}
ight)\leq \boxed{2^* ext{exp(-6)}}$$
 w Answer: 2*e^(-6)

Solution:

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\left|\overline{X}_n - \mathbb{E}\left[X
ight]
ight| \geq t
ight) \ \leq rac{\sigma^2/n}{t^2}$$

Substitute $t=crac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$, we have

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\left|\overline{X}_n - \mathbb{E}\left[X
ight]
ight| \geq crac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}
ight) \leq rac{1}{c^2}.$$

Hoeffding: On the other hand, substituting $\epsilon=crac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ in Hoeffding's inequality, we have

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\left(\left|\overline{X}_n-\mathbb{E}\left[X
ight]
ight| \geq crac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}
ight) & \leq 2\exp\left(-2c^2rac{\sigma^2}{b^2}
ight) \ & \leq 2\exp\left(-2c^2rac{1}{12}
ight) = 2\exp\left(-rac{c^2}{6}
ight) \qquad ext{since} \;\; \sigma^2 = rac{b^2}{12} \; ext{for} \;\; X_i \sim \mathsf{Unif}\left(0,b
ight). \end{aligned}$$

Numerical bounds: Finally, plug in c=2,6 to get the following numerical upper bounds:

$$c=2$$
 $c=6$

Chebyshev: 1/4=0.25 1/36=0.0278

Hoeffding: $2e^{-4/6}=1.027$ $2e^{-36/6}=0.00496$

Remark: When c is small, Chebyshev may give a better bound. But as c increases, the bound given by Hoeffding decays exponentially in c^2 while the bound given by Chebysheve decays only by $\frac{1}{c^2}$.