

Problem 4

Setup:

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$, define

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \begin{cases} \theta^2 & \text{if } -1 \leq x < 0 \\ 1 - \theta^2 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. random variables with density f_{θ} , for some unknown $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

(a)

1/1 point (graded)

To prepare, sketch the pdf $f_{\theta}(x)$ for different values of $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

Which of the following properties of $f_{\theta}(x)$ guarantee that it is a probability density? (Check all that apply)

Note (added May 3): Note that you are **not** asked which of the following are properties of $f_{\theta}(x)$, but rather, which properties ensure that $f_{\theta}(x)$ is a density.

Note (added May 4): To be precise, select the smallest subset of choices below that would guarantee that $f_{\theta}(x)$ is a probability density.

☒ $f_{\theta}(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ✓

☐ $f_{\theta}(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$

☒ $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{\theta}(x) dx = 1$ ✓

☐ $f_{\theta}(x) = 0$ for $|x| > 1$



Grading note: Partial credit are given.

Solution:

In order for $f_{\theta}(x)$ to be a probability density we need the function to be **non-negative and the function to integrate to 1**. Therefore, the first and third choices are the correct choices.

The remaining choices are true properties of f_{θ} that do not guarantee f_{θ} to be a density.

Submit

You have used 2 of 3 attempts

i Answers are displayed within the problem

(b)

2.0/2 points (graded)

Let a be the number of X_i which are **negative** ($X_i < 0$) and b be the number of X_i which are **non-negative** ($X_i \geq 0$). (Note that the total number of samples is $n = a + b$ and be careful not to mix up the roles of a and b .)

What is the maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}}$ of θ ?

Note (added May 3): Different correct forms of the answer will be graded as correct.

$\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}} =$

sqrt(a/(a+b))

✔ Answer: sqrt(a/n)

Is $\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}}$ asymptotically normal (in this example)?

☒ yes ✔

☐ no

☐ not enough information to determine

Correction Note: An earlier version of the problem statement contains minor errors and was “Let a be the number of X_i which are **negative** ($X_i < 0$) and b be the number of X_i which are **non-negative** ($X_i \geq 0$)”.

STANDARD NOTATION

Solution:

Observe that $Y_i = \mathbf{1}(X_i < 0)$ are i.i.d. $\text{Ber}(\theta^2)$, so we can write down the likelihood for Bernoulli random variables and obtain:

$$L(X_1, \dots, X_n; \theta) = (\theta^2)^a (1 - \theta^2)^b.$$

(Alternatively, work out the likelihood directly from definition.)
Therefore the log-likelihood is

$$\ell(\theta) = 2a \log(\theta) + b \log(1 - \theta^2).$$

Taking the derivative:

$$\ell'(\theta) = +\frac{2a}{\theta} + \frac{-2b\theta}{1 - \theta^2},$$

and setting $\ell'(\theta) = 0$ gives

$$\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}} = \left(\frac{a}{a + b}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Note that

$$\ell''(\theta) = \frac{-2a}{\theta^2} - \frac{2b(\theta^2 + 1)}{(1 - \theta^2)^2} < 0$$

and therefore this maximum is unique.

This MLE $\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}}$ is asymptotically normal because the conditions 1-4 on [the slide on this page](#) holds:

1. θ is identifiable

2. For all $\theta \in (0, 1)$, the support of f_θ does not depend on θ

3. θ^* is not on the boundary of $(0, 1)$, i.e. $\theta^* \notin \{0, 1\}$;

4. $I(\theta)$ is invertible

(In this course, we generally do not need to worry about the few more technical conditions listed on this slide.)

i Answers are displayed within the problem

(c)

2.0/2.0 points (graded)

What is the asymptotic variance $V(\theta)$ for $\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}}$?

$V(\theta) =$

(1-theta^2)/4

$\frac{1-\theta^2}{4}$

✓ Answer: (1-theta^2)/4

STANDARD NOTATION

Solution:

Again, note that random variable $Y_i = \mathbf{1}_{X_i < 0}$ is a **Ber** (θ^2). Therefore the variance $\text{Var}(Y_i) = \theta^2 (1 - \theta^2)$. Thus by the Central Limit Theorem it follows that

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{Y}_n - \theta^2) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} N(0, \theta^2 (1 - \theta^2)).$$

However, our estimator $\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}}$ is not the above but is instead

$$\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}} = \sqrt{\bar{Y}_n} = \sqrt{\frac{a}{n}}.$$

Therefore we need the **delta method** to derive its asymptotic variance: Let $g(x) = x^{1/2}$, so $g'(x) = \frac{1}{2x^{1/2}}$. The delta method gives

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} \mathcal{N}(0, \theta^2 (1 - \theta^2) g'(\theta^2)^2) = \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{(1 - \theta^2)}{4})$$

Therefore, the asymptotic variance for $\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}}$ is $\frac{(1-\theta^2)}{4}$. **Alternatively**, use $V(\theta) = I(\theta)^{-1}$ to obtain the same answer.

i Answers are displayed within the problem

(d)

5.0/5 points (graded)

Recall from the setup that $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim X$ are i.i.d. random variables with density f_θ , for some unknown $\theta \in (0, 1)$:

$$f_\theta(x) = \begin{cases} \theta^2 & \text{if } -1 \leq x < 0 \\ 1 - \theta^2 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the following hypotheses:

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &: X \sim \text{Unif}(-1, 1) \\ H_1 &: X \text{ not distributed as } \text{Unif}(-1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Write down the test statistic T_n^{Wald} for Wald's test for the above hypothesis. Use the value of θ that defines H_0 as the argument of the asymptotic variance $V(\theta)$.

Hint: Rewrite the hypothesis in terms of the parameter θ .

(Enter **hattheta** for $\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}}$.)

(To avoid double jeopardy, you may use **V** for the asymptotic variance $V(\theta)$ under H_0 .)

$T_n^{\text{Wald}} =$

$(n*(\text{hattheta} - \text{sqrt}(1/2))^2)/V$

✔ Answer: $n/V*(\text{hattheta}-1/\text{sqrt}(2))^2$

What is the form of this Wald's test?

- ☒ $\mathbf{1}(T_n^{\text{Wald}} > C)$ for some $C > 0$ ✔
- ☐ $\mathbf{1}(T_n^{\text{Wald}} > C)$ for some $C < 0$
- ☐ $\mathbf{1}(T_n^{\text{Wald}} < C)$ for some $C > 0$
- ☐ $\mathbf{1}(T_n^{\text{Wald}} < C)$ for some $C < 0$

Find C such that Wald's test has asymptotic level 5%.

(Enter a numerical value accurate to at least 2 decimal places.)

$C =$

3.841

✔ Answer: 3.84

You obtain a sample of size $n = 100$, of which 40 of the X_i are **negative** ($X_i < 0$) and 60 of the X_i are **non-negative** ($X_i \geq 0$).

Do we reject H_0 at asymptotic level 5%?

- ☒ We reject H_0 . ✔
- ☐ We fail to reject H_0 ✔
- ☐ Cannot be determined without more information.

What is the p -value for this test? (Again, use the value of θ that defines H_0 as the argument of the asymptotic variance $V(\theta)$.)

(Enter a numerical value accurate to at least 2 decimal place)

$p\text{-value:}$

0.03473

✔ Answer: 0.035

STANDARD NOTATION

Solution:

First, rewrite the hypothesis in terms of the parameter θ : X_1 is **Unif**($-1, 1$) is equivalent to $\theta^2 = \frac{1}{2}$. Hence the null and alternative hypotheses are

$H_0 = \theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $H_1 = \theta \neq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

Then, Wald's Theorem gives, under the null hypothesis:

$$T_n = nI(\theta_0) \left(\hat{\theta} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} \chi_1^2.$$

where the Fisher information, or equivalently inverse asymptotic variance, is $I(\theta_0) = \frac{4}{1-\theta_0^2}$). Thus our Wald's test with asymptotic level 5% is

$$\psi = \mathbf{1}_{T_n > q_{0.05}} \qquad \text{where } q_{0.05} = q_{0.05}(\chi_1^2) \approx 3.84.$$

For $n = 100$, $a = 40$, $b = 60$,

$$T_{100}^{\text{Wald}} = 800(\sqrt{0.4} - \sqrt{0.5})^2 \approx 4.46 > 3.84;$$

hence we reject H_0 . The p -value is

$$\begin{aligned} p\text{-value} &= \mathbf{P}_{\chi_1^2} \left(y > \frac{4n}{1-\theta_0^2} \left(\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}} - \sqrt{\theta_0} \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \mathbf{P}_{\chi_1^2} \left(y > 800(\sqrt{0.4} - \sqrt{0.5})^2 \right) \approx 0.035. \end{aligned}$$

Remark Because the null hypothesis consists of only 1 value of θ , we had chosen to implement Wald's test with θ_0 (as opposed to $\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}}$) as the argument of the asymptotic variance.


If we have used $V(\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}})$ in T_n , then

$$T_{100}^{\text{Wald}} = 100 \left(\frac{4}{60/100} \right) (\sqrt{0.4} - \sqrt{0.5})^2 \approx 3.715 < 3.84.$$

This would lead to a p -value of **0.054** which is larger than **0.05**and a failure to reject H_0 .

Submit

You have used 1 of 3 attempts

 Answers are displayed within the problem

(e)

5.0/5 points (graded)

As above, $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim X$ are i.i.d. random variables with density f_θ , for some unknown $\theta \in (0, 1)$:

$$f_\theta(x) = \begin{cases} \theta^2 & \text{if } -1 \leq x < 0 \\ 1 - \theta^2 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As in part (b), let a denote the number of X_i which are **negative** ($X_i < 0$) and b be the number of X_i which are **non-negative** ($X_i \geq 0$).

(Be careful not to mix up the roles of a and b).

Again, we consider the hypotheses:

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &: X \sim \text{Unif}(-1, 1) \\ H_1 &: X \text{ not distributed as } \text{Unif}(-1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Write down the test statistic T_n^{LR} for the likelihood ratio test.

(To avoid double jeopardy, you may use **hattheta** for $\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}}$, or directly enter your answer for $\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}}$).

$T_n^{\text{LR}} =$

2*(2*a*ln(hattheta)+b*ln(1 - hattheta^2) - 2*a*ln(sqrt(1/2)) - b*ln(1/2))

✓

Answer: 2*(a*ln(hattheta^2)+b*ln(1-hattheta^2)-n*ln(1/2))

What is the form of the likelihood ratio test?

- ☒ $\mathbf{1} (T_n^{\text{LR}} > C)$ for some $C > 0$ ✓
- ☐ $\mathbf{1} (T_n^{\text{LR}} > C)$ for some $C < 0$
- ☐ $\mathbf{1} (T_n^{\text{LR}} < C)$ for some $C > 0$
- ☐ $\mathbf{1} (T_n^{\text{LR}} < C)$ for some $C < 0$

Find C such that the Likelihood ratio test has asymptotic level 5%.

(Enter a numerical value accurate to at least 2 decimal places.)

$C =$

✓ Answer: 3.84

For the same sample as in the previous part, i.e. a sample of size $n = 100$, of which 40 of the X_i are **negative** ($X_i < 0$) and 60 of the X_i are **non-negative** ($X_i \geq 0$).

Do we reject H_0 at asymptotic level 5%?

- ☒ We reject H_0 . ✓
- ☐ We fail to reject H_0
- ☐ Cannot be determined without more information.

What is the p -value for the likelihood ratio test? (Enter a numerical value accurate to at least 3 decimal places.)

p -value:

✓ Answer: 0.045

Correction Note: An earlier version (before April 27 9pm EST) of the problem statement contains an error and was “As in part (b), let a denote the number of X_i which are **non-negative** ($X_i \leq 0$) and b be the number of X_i which are **negative** ($X_i > 0$)”.

STANDARD NOTATION

Solution:

We are again testing the hypotheses

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= \theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ H_1 &= \theta \neq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$

The test statistics for the likelihood ratio test is

$$T_n^{\text{LR}} = 2 \left(a \ln ((\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}})^2) + b \ln (1 - (\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}})^2) - n \ln (0.5) \right)$$

Since $T_n^{\text{LR}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} \chi_1^2$, the likelihood ratio test of asymptotic level 5% is

$$\psi_n = \mathbf{1} (T_n^{\text{LR}} > q_{0.05} (\chi_1^2)) .$$

where $q_{0.05} (\chi_1^2) \approx 3.84$ is the quantile of the χ^2 distribution with degrees of freedom 1.
For $n = 100$, $a/n = 0.4$, $b/n = 0.6$,

$$T_{100}^{\text{LR}} = 2 \left(40 \ln(0.4) + 60 \ln(0.6) - 100 \ln(0.5) \right) \approx 4.04 > q_{0.05}(\chi_1^2)$$

Hence, we can reject H_0 . The p -value for this test is

$$p\text{-value} = \mathbf{P}_{\chi_1^2} \left(y > T_{100}^{\text{LR}} \right) = \mathbf{P}_{\chi_1^2} \left(y > 4.04 \right) \approx 0.045.$$

Submit

You have used 1 of 3 attempts

i Answers are displayed within the problem

Error and Bug Reports/Technical Issues

Show Discussion

Topic: Midterm Exam 2:Midterm Exam 2 / Problem 4