

## 12. Interpretation of the frequentist Confidence Interval

### Frequentist Interpretation of a Confidence Interval

[Start of transcript. Skip to the end.](#)

#### 95% asymptotic CI for the T example

Assume that  $n = 64$  and  $\bar{T}_n = 6.23$  and  $\alpha = 5\%$ .

We get the following conf of asymptotic level 95%:

- ▶  $\mathcal{I}_{\text{solve}} = [0.13, 0.21]$
- ▶  $\mathcal{I}_{\text{plug-in}} = [0.12, 0.20]$

(Caption will be displayed when you start playing the video.)

OK, so in the T, if I start plugging in numbers--

now here let's say that I waited for 64 Ts.

And I saw an average waiting time of 6.23 minutes,

and I'm asking you alpha is equal to 5%.

Then, you can get a confidence interval for lambda, all right?

Lambda is the reciprocal of the expected waiting time

#### 视频

[下载视频文件](#)

#### 字幕

[下载 SubRip \(.srt\) file](#)

[下载 Text \(.txt\) file](#)

### Frequentist Interpretation of a Confidence Interval

1/1 point (graded)

In a particular experiment, you gather data in the form of a sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} P_\theta$ , and construct a confidence interval  $\mathcal{I}$  with level **90%** for the true (unknown) parameter  $\theta$ .

After conducting the experiment, there are two possibilities:

- $\mathcal{I}$  contains  $\theta$  (We refer to this as a **success**.)
- $\mathcal{I}$  does not contain  $\theta$  (We refer to this as a **failure**.)

Suppose you repeat the experiment above  $T$  total times, and assume that the experiments are jointly independent. Moreover, the value of the unknown parameter  $\theta$ , is always assumed to be the same. After conducting these  $T$  experiments, you will have constructed  $T$  confidence intervals  $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2, \dots, \mathcal{I}_T$ .

As  $T$  grows very large, what percentage of experiments do you expect to be successes?

✓ Answer: 90 %

#### Solution:

By the definition of confidence interval, we know that for the  $j$ -th experiment ( $1 \leq j \leq T$ ) that

$$P(\mathcal{I}_j \ni \theta) = 90\%.$$

Consider the indicator random variables  $\mathbf{1}(\theta \in \mathcal{I}_1), \mathbf{1}(\theta \in \mathcal{I}_2), \dots, \mathbf{1}(\theta \in \mathcal{I}_T)$ . Since the experiments are jointly independent, this means that  $\mathbf{1}(\theta \in \mathcal{I}_1), \mathbf{1}(\theta \in \mathcal{I}_2), \dots, \mathbf{1}(\theta \in \mathcal{I}_T)$  are independent. Moreover, for all  $j$ , the random variable  $\mathbf{1}(\theta \in \mathcal{I}_T)$  is Bernoulli because it can only take value 0 or 1. It follows that  $\mathbf{1}(\theta \in \mathcal{I}_1), \mathbf{1}(\theta \in \mathcal{I}_2), \dots, \mathbf{1}(\theta \in \mathcal{I}_T)$  are identically distributed, because for all  $j$ ,

$$P(\mathbf{1}(\theta \in \mathcal{I}_j) = 1) = P(\mathcal{I}_j \ni \theta) = 90\%.$$

In summary,  $\mathbf{1}(\theta \in \mathcal{I}_1), \mathbf{1}(\theta \in \mathcal{I}_2), \dots, \mathbf{1}(\theta \in \mathcal{I}_T) \overset{iid}{\sim} \text{Ber}(0.9)$ . By the strong law of large numbers,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^T \mathbf{1}(\theta \in \mathcal{I}_j)}{T} = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}(\theta \in \mathcal{I}_j)] = 0.9$$

almost surely. Since

$$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^T \mathbf{1}(\theta \in \mathcal{I}_j)}{T} = \frac{\text{Number of successes}}{\text{Total number of experiments}},$$

the correct response is **90%**.

提交

你已经尝试了1次（总共可以尝试1次）

**i** Answers are displayed within the problem

讨论

显示讨论

主题： Unit 2 Foundation of Inference:Lecture 5: Delta Method and Confidence Intervals / 12.  
Interpretation of the frequentist Confidence Interval

认证证书是什么？