1. Chi-squared Goodness of Fit

<u>Course</u> > <u>Unit 4 Hypothesis testing</u> > <u>Homework 8</u> > Testing for a Gaussian Distribution

1. Chi-squared Goodness of Fit Testing for a Gaussian Distribution

Recall that so far, we have applied the χ^2 to test for discrete distributions only. In the problems on this page, we will further extend the χ^2 goodness of fit test to determine whether or not a sample has a continuous distribution, and will use the family of Gaussian distribution as an example (which one of the most common).

Chi-squared Goodness of Fit Testing for a Gaussian Distribution I

3.0/3 points (graded)

Note: The solution to this part along with remarks will be available to you once you answer correctly or used all your attempts.

Let $X_1,\ldots,X_n\stackrel{iid}{\sim} X\sim {f P}$ for some unknown distribution ${f P}$ with continuous cdf F. Below we describe a χ^2 test for the null and alternative hypotheses

$$egin{aligned} H_0: \mathbf{P} &\in \left\{N\left(\mu, \sigma^2
ight)
ight\}_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma^2 > 0} \ H_1: \mathbf{P} &
otin \left\{N\left(\mu, \sigma^2
ight)
ight\}_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma^2 > 0}. \end{aligned}$$

We divide the sample space into 5 disjoint subsets referred to as **bins**:

$$egin{array}{ll} A_1 &= (-\infty, -2)\,, & A_2 &= (-2, -0.5)\,, \ A_3 &= (-0.5, 0.5)\,, & A_4 &= (0.5, 2) \ A_5 &= (2, \infty)\,. \end{array}$$

Now, define **discrete** random variables Y_i as functions of X_i by

$$Y_i = k \quad ext{if } X_i \in A_k.$$

For example, if $X_i=0.1$, then $X_i\in A_3$ and so $Y_i=3$. In other words, Y_i is the label of the bin that contains X_i .

By the definition above,

$$Y_1,\ldots,Y_n\stackrel{iid}{\sim} Y$$

and Y follows the multinomial distribution on $\{1,2,3,4,5\}$ with (vector) parameter $\mathbf{p}=(p_1 \quad p_2 \quad p_3 \quad p_4 \quad p_5) \in \Delta_5$ where p_j denote the probability that Y = j.

Assume the following special case of the null hypothesis holds:

$$X_1,\ldots,X_n\stackrel{iid}{\sim}\mathcal{N}\left(0,1
ight).$$

What is the vector parameter $\mathbf{p} \in \Delta_5$ of the multinomial distribution followed by Y_i ? Fill in the first three entries p_1, p_2, p_3 below.

(Enter Phi(x) for the cdf $\Phi(x)$ of a standard normal distribution, e.g. type Phi(1) for $\Phi(1)$, or enter your answers accurate to 3 decimal places)

$$\mathbf{p}_1 =$$
Phi(-2) \checkmark Answer: Phi(-2)

(What is p_4 and p_5 in terms of p_1, p_2, p_3 ?)

STANDARD NOTATION

Solution:

By the assumption in the problem statement, we have $X_1 \sim N\left(0,1
ight)$. Therefore,

$$P(Y_1 = A_1) = P(X_1 \in (-\infty, -2)) = \Phi(-2) \approx 0.0228.$$

Hence $\mathbf{p}_1 = 0.0228$. Similarly,

$$P(Y_1 = A_2) = P(X_1 \in (-2, -0.5)) = \Phi(-0.5) - \Phi(-2) \approx 0.2858$$

and

$$P(Y_1 = A_3) = P(X_1 \in (-0.5, 0.5)) = \Phi(0.5) - \Phi(-0.5) \approx 0.3829,$$

so $\mathbf{p_2} = 0.2858$ and $\mathbf{p_3} = 0.3829$.

Remark 1: By symmetry, under the assumption that $X_1,\ldots,X_n\stackrel{iid}{\sim}N\left(0,1\right)$, we have that $Y_1,\ldots,Y_n\stackrel{iid}{\sim}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{p}}$ where

$$\mathbf{p} = (0.0228, 0.2858, 0.3829, 0.2858, 0.0228).$$

Remark 2: In general, if the null hypothesis holds, we will not know the distribution of X_1, \ldots, X_n , but we will know that it is Gaussian with some unknown mean μ and unknown variance $\sigma^2 > 0$. Then we see that, for example,

$$egin{aligned} P\left(X_{1} \in A_{1}
ight) &= P\left(X_{1} \in A_{5}
ight) = \Phi_{\mu,\sigma^{2}}\left(-2
ight) \ P\left(X_{1} \in A_{2}
ight) &= P\left(X_{1} \in A_{4}
ight) = \Phi_{\mu,\sigma^{2}}\left(-0.5
ight) - \Phi_{\mu,\sigma^{2}}\left(-2
ight) \ P\left(X_{1} \in A_{3}
ight) &= \Phi_{\mu,\sigma^{2}}\left(0.5
ight) - \Phi_{\mu,\sigma^{2}}\left(-0.5
ight). \end{aligned}$$

If n is very large, then we may approximate these unknown quantities with the consistent estimators

$$egin{aligned} \Phi_{\widehat{\mu},\widehat{\sigma}^2}\left(-2
ight) &pprox \Phi_{\mu,\sigma^2}\left(-2
ight) \ \Phi_{\widehat{\mu},\widehat{\sigma}^2}\left(-0.5
ight) - \Phi_{\widehat{\mu},\widehat{\sigma}^2}\left(-2
ight) &pprox \Phi_{\mu,\sigma^2}\left(-0.5
ight) - \Phi_{\mu,\sigma^2}\left(-2
ight) \ \Phi_{\widehat{\mu},\widehat{\sigma}^2}\left(0.5
ight) - \Phi_{\widehat{\mu},\widehat{\sigma}^2}\left(-0.5
ight) &pprox \Phi_{\mu,\sigma^2}\left(0.5
ight) - \Phi_{\mu,\sigma^2}\left(-0.5
ight) \end{aligned}$$

where $(\widehat{\mu}, \widehat{\sigma}^2)$ is the MLE for the statistical model $(\mathbb{R}, \{N(\mu, \sigma^2)\}_{\mu, \sigma^2})$, Gaussian with unknown mean and unknown variance. These estimators will be used to design our χ^2 test statistic in the next problem.

Submit

You have used 2 of 3 attempts

Answers are displayed within the problem

Chi-squared Goodness of Fit Testing for a Gaussian Distribution II

1/1 point (graded)

Recall the statistical set-up above. Recall that $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathbf{P}$ are iid from an unknown distribution \mathbf{P} . For all $1 \leq i \leq n$, Y_i is a discrete random variable supported on $\{1, \ldots, 5\}$ that denotes which bin contains the realization of X_i .

Let $\mathbf{P}_{\mu,\sigma^2} = \mathcal{N}\left(\mu,\sigma^2\right)$ and let $(\widehat{\mu},\widehat{\sigma}^2)$ denote the MLE for the statistical model $(\mathbb{R},\{P_{\mu,\sigma^2}\}_{\mu\in\mathbb{R},\sigma^2\in(0,\infty)})$, i.e. Gaussian with unknown mean and unknown variance. For $1\leq j\leq 5$, let N_j denote the **frequency** of j (i.e. number of times that j appears) in the data set Y_1,\ldots,Y_n .

Define the χ^2 test statistic

$$T_n = n \sum_{j=1}^5 rac{\left(rac{N_j}{n} - P_{\widehat{\mu},\widehat{\sigma}^2}\left(Z \in A_j
ight)
ight)^2}{P_{\widehat{\mu},\widehat{\sigma}^2}\left(Z \in A_j
ight)}.$$

where $Z \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\widehat{\mu}, \widehat{\sigma}^2\right)$. Then it holds that

$$T_n extstyle rac{(d)}{n o \infty} \chi_\ell^2$$

for some constant $\ell > 0$.

What is ℓ ?

Hint: Use the result on the very last page of Lecture 15.

Solution:

Consider the finite case of the χ^2 goodness of fit test. In this case, we are trying to figure out if an iid sample $Z_1,\ldots,Z_n \overset{iid}{\sim} Q$ is generated from some member of a family of distributions $\{Q_\theta\}_{\theta\in\mathbb{R}^d}$ with support $\{1,\ldots,K\}$ and pmf f_θ . If indeed $Q\in\{Q_\theta\}_{\theta\in\mathbb{R}^d}$ and some additional technical assumptions hold, then

$$T_n := n \sum_{j=1}^K rac{\left(rac{N_i}{n} - f_{\hat{ heta}}\left(j
ight)
ight)^2}{f_{\hat{ heta}}\left(j
ight)} \stackrel{(d)}{\longrightarrow} \chi^2_{\overline{K-d-1}},$$

where $\hat{\theta}$ is the MLE under the statistical model $(\{1,\ldots,N\},\{Q_{\theta}\}_{\theta\in\mathbb{R}^d})$ and for $1\leq j\leq K$, N_j denotes the number of times that j appears in the data set Z_1,\ldots,Z_n .

We apply this convergence result to the discrete random variables Y_1, \ldots, Y_n . Under the null hypothesis that X_1, \ldots, X_n have a Gaussian distribution $N\left(\mu, \sigma^2\right)$ for some unknown mean μ and variance $\sigma^2 > 0$, then from the remark in the solution to the previous problem, we know that

$$Y_1,\ldots,Y_n\stackrel{iid}{\sim}\mathbf{P_p}$$

where for $1 \leq j \leq 5$,

$$\mathbf{p}_j = P_{\mu,\sigma^2} \left(X_1 \in A_j
ight).$$

Then we have that

$$\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{j}:=P_{\widehat{\mu},\widehat{\sigma}^{2}}\left(W\in A_{j}
ight),\quad W\sim N\left(\widehat{\mu},\widehat{\sigma}^{2}
ight)$$

plays the role of $f_{\hat{\theta}}$ (j) above, N_j denotes the number of times A_j appears in the data set Y_1,\ldots,Y_n , the support size is K=5, and the dimension of the MLE is d=2. Therefore, we have that

$$n\sum_{j=1}^{5}rac{\left(rac{N_{j}}{n}-P_{\widehat{\mu},\widehat{\sigma}^{2}}\left(Z\in A_{j}
ight)
ight)^{2}}{P_{\widehat{\mu},\widehat{\sigma}^{2}}\left(Z\in A_{j}
ight)}\stackrel{(d)}{\longrightarrow}\chi_{\ell}^{2}$$

where

$$\ell = K - d - 1 = 5 - 2 - 1 = 2$$

.

Remark: As in the other χ^2 tests we have seen, the distribution χ^2_{K-d-1} is **pivotal**, so its quantiles can be consulted using a table. We can use this to design a test of asymptotic level η of the form

$$\psi_n = \mathbf{1} \left(T_n > q_n
ight)$$

where q_{η} is the η quantile of χ^2_{K-d-1} . Note however, for any fixed n, the distribution of T_n is **not pivotal**. Hence, a test designed in this form is inherently **non-asymptotic**.

Submit

You have used 3 of 3 attempts

1 Answers are displayed within the problem

Asymptotic versus Non-asymptotic Normality Tests

0/1 point (graded)

Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n \overset{iid}{\sim} \mathbf{P}$ for some distribution with continuous cdf. A **normality test** is a hypothesis test where the null and alternative hypothesis are specified by

 $H_0:P\in\mathcal{F}$

 $H_1: P
otin \mathcal{F}$

where $\mathcal{F}\subset\{N\left(\mu,\sigma^2\right)\}_{\mu\in\mathbb{R},\sigma^2>0}$, i.e. \mathcal{F} is a **subset** of the family of all Gaussian distributions.

For example, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test is a normality test for $\mathcal{F}=\{\mathcal{N}\,(0,1)\}$ - that is, when \mathcal{F} consists of a single Gaussian distribution. The Kolmogorov-Lilliefors test is a normality test with $\mathcal{F}=\{\mathcal{N}\,(\mu,\sigma^2)\}_{\mu\in\mathbb{R},\sigma^2>0}$ - that is, when \mathcal{F} consists of all Gaussian distributions. The χ^2 test studied on this page is also a normality test with $\mathcal{F}=\{\mathcal{N}\,(\mu,\sigma^2)\}_{\mu\in\mathbb{R},\sigma^2>0}$.

Which of these tests mentioned above are non-asymptotic in the sense that, for any fixed n, the distribution of the test statistic under the null can be consulted via tables? (Hence, it is possible to specify the non-asymptotic level of the test and not just the asymptotic level.)

(Choose all that apply.)

Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

Kolmogorov-Lilliefors Test

 $ule{\chi}^2$ test

v

Solution:

We examine the choices in order.

• The first choice "Kolmogorov-Smirnov test" is correct. In Lecture 4.4, we discussed that the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test statistic

$$\sqrt{n}\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\leftert F_{n}\left(t
ight) -\Phi
ightert$$

is pivotal for all $n \in \mathbb{R}$ under the null hypothesis that the cdf of our data is given by Φ , the cdf of a standard normal. We also saw tables of the distribution of the test statistic for several values of n.

• The second choice "Kolmogorov-Lilliefors test" is correct. Previously in lecture 16, we discussed that the Kolmogorov-Lilliefors test statistic

$$\sqrt{n}\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\left|F_{n}\left(t
ight)-\Phi_{\widehat{\mu},\widehat{\sigma}^{2}}
ight|$$

is pivotal for all $n \in \mathbb{R}$ under the null hypothesis that the cdf of our data is the cdf of some Gaussian distribution. The distribution of this test statistic is different form that of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (in particular, the Kolmogorov-Lilliefors test statistic has smaller quantiles). However, its distribution may still be referred to in tables.

• The third choice " χ^2 test" is incorrect. As emphasized in both the finite and infinite cases, the χ^2 test is only asymptotic. For small n, the test statistic

$$n\sum_{j=1}^{K}rac{\left(rac{N_{i}}{n}-p_{j}\left(\hat{ heta}
ight)
ight)^{2}}{p_{j}\left(\hat{ heta}
ight)}$$

can depend heavily on the distribution of X_1, \ldots, X_n (even under the null hypothesis). Therefore, this test is **not** asymptotic, because we do not know the distribution of the test statistic.

this means, we do not know the exact distribution when n is small

Submit

You have used 2 of 2 attempts

Answers are displayed within the problem

Discussion

Show Discussion

Topic: Unit 4 Hypothesis testing:Homework 8 / 1. Chi-squared Goodness of Fit Testing for a Gaussian Distribution

© All Rights Reserved