

4. Contingency tables

(a)

2/2 points (graded)

Even though logistic regression is formulated with continuous input data in mind, one can also try to apply it to categorical inputs. For example, consider the following set-up: We observe n samples $Y_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and covariates $X_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Moreover, assume that given X_i , the Y_i are independent.

First, let us apply regular maximal likelihood estimation. To this end, write

$$\begin{aligned} f_{00} &= \frac{1}{n} \#\{i : X_i = 0 \text{ and } Y_i = 0\} \\ f_{01} &= \frac{1}{n} \#\{i : X_i = 0 \text{ and } Y_i = 1\} \\ f_{10} &= \frac{1}{n} \#\{i : X_i = 1 \text{ and } Y_i = 0\} \\ f_{11} &= \frac{1}{n} \#\{i : X_i = 1 \text{ and } Y_i = 1\} \end{aligned}$$

and assume that $f_{00}, f_{01}, f_{10}, f_{11} > 0$. We can parametrize this model in terms of

$$\begin{aligned} p_{01} &= \mathbf{P}(Y_i = 1 | X_i = 0) \\ p_{11} &= \mathbf{P}(Y_i = 1 | X_i = 1) \end{aligned}$$

Compute the maximum likelihood estimators \hat{p}_{01} and \hat{p}_{11} for p_{01} and p_{11} , respectively. Express your answer in terms of f_{00} (enter "A"), f_{01} (enter "B"), f_{10} (enter "C"), f_{11} (enter "D") and n .

\hat{p}_{01} ✓ Answer: B/(A+B)

$$\frac{B}{A+B}$$

\hat{p}_{11} ✓ Answer: D/(C+D)

$$\frac{D}{C+D}$$

Solution:

The likelihood for the model can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{P}(Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_n = y_n | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left[p_{01} \mathbf{1}(x_i = 0, y_i = 1) + (1 - p_{01}) \mathbf{1}(x_i = 0, y_i = 0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + p_{11} \mathbf{1}(x_i = 1, y_i = 1) + (1 - p_{11}) \mathbf{1}(x_i = 1, y_i = 0) \right] \\ &= p_{01}^{n f_{01}} (1 - p_{01})^{n f_{00}} p_{11}^{n f_{11}} (1 - p_{11})^{n f_{10}}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking logarithms yields

$$\ln \mathbf{P}(Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_n = y_n | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) = n [f_{01} p_{01} + f_{00} (1 - p_{01}) + f_{11} p_{11} + f_{10} (1 - p_{11})].$$

Differentiating and setting the derivative to zero then leads to the maximum likelihood estimators

$$\hat{p}_{01} = \frac{f_{01}}{f_{01} + f_{00}}$$

$$\hat{p}_{11} = \frac{f_{11}}{f_{11} + f_{10}}.$$

Submit

You have used 3 of 3 attempts

i Answers are displayed within the problem

(b)

2/2 points (graded)

Although the X_i are discrete, we can also use a logistic regression model to analyze the data. That is, now we assume

$$Y_i|X_i \sim \text{Ber}\left(\frac{1}{1 + \mathbf{e}^{-(X_i\beta_1+\beta_0)}}\right),$$

for $\beta_0, \beta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, and that given X_i , the Y_i are independent.

Calculate the maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1$ for β_0 and β_1 , where we again assume that all $f_{kl} > 0$. Express your answer in terms of f_{00} (enter "A"), f_{01} (enter "B"), f_{10} (enter "C"), f_{11} (enter "D") and n .

$\hat{\beta}_0$

-ln(A/B)

-ln ($\frac{A}{B}$)

✔ Answer: ln(B/A)

$\hat{\beta}_1$

-ln(C/D) + ln(A/B)

-ln ($\frac{C}{D}$) +ln ($\frac{A}{B}$)

✔ Answer: ln((A*D)/(B*C))

Solution:

The gradient equations that determines the maximum likelihood estimator the one calculated for logistic regression in class and can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + \mathbf{e}^{-x_i\hat{\beta}_1-\hat{\beta}_0}} \tag{11.1}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{1}{1 + \mathbf{e}^{-x_i\hat{\beta}_1-\hat{\beta}_0}} \tag{11.2}$$

We note that by counting the elements where $y_i = 1$ and $x_i = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i &= n(f_{01} + f_{11}) \\ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i &= n f_{11} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{1}{1 + \mathbf{e}^{-x_i\hat{\beta}_1-\hat{\beta}_0}} &= n(f_{10} + f_{11}) \frac{1}{1 + \mathbf{e}^{-\hat{\beta}_1-\hat{\beta}_0}} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + \mathbf{e}^{-x_i\hat{\beta}_1-\hat{\beta}_0}} &= n(f_{01} + f_{00}) \frac{1}{1 + \mathbf{e}^{-\hat{\beta}_0}} + n(f_{10} + f_{11}) \frac{1}{1 + \mathbf{e}^{-\hat{\beta}_1-\hat{\beta}_0}}. \end{aligned}$$

This means we can rewrite the second gradient equation to

$$f_{11} = (f_{10} + f_{11}) \frac{1}{1 + \mathbf{e}^{-\hat{\beta}_1-\hat{\beta}_0}} \iff \mathbf{e}^{-\hat{\beta}_1-\hat{\beta}_0} = \frac{f_{10}}{f_{11}}.$$

Plugging this into the first gradient equation then leads to

$$(f_{01} + f_{00}) \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\hat{\beta}_0}} + f_{11} = f_{01} + f_{11} \iff e^{-\hat{\beta}_0} = \frac{f_{00}}{f_{01}}.$$

Inserted back into the previous equation, we arrive at

$$e^{-\hat{\beta}_1} = \frac{f_{10}f_{01}}{f_{00}f_{11}}.$$

Taking logarithms then finally yields

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_0 &= \ln\left(\frac{f_{01}}{f_{00}}\right) \\ \hat{\beta}_1 &= \ln\left(\frac{f_{00}f_{11}}{f_{01}f_{10}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Submit

You have used 2 of 3 attempts

 Answers are displayed within the problem

(c)

2/2 points (graded)

Given the maximum likelihood estimators $\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1$, what are the associated predicted probabilities

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{p}_{01} &= \mathbf{P}(Y_i = 1|X_i = 0, \hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1) \\ \widetilde{p}_{11} &= \mathbf{P}(Y_i = 1|X_i = 1, \hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1)\end{aligned}$$

in terms of f_{kl} , for $k, l \in \{0, 1\}$?

Express your answer in terms of f_{00} (enter “A”), f_{01} (enter “B”), f_{10} (enter “C”), f_{11} (enter “D”) and n .

\widetilde{p}_{01}

B/(A+B)


$\frac{B}{A+B}$

 Answer: B/(A+B)

\widetilde{p}_{11}

D/(C+D)

$\frac{D}{C+D}$

 Answer: D/(C+D)

Solution:

We plug the solutions $\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1$ back into the associated likelihoods:

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{p}_{01} &= \mathbf{P}(Y_i = 1|X_i = 0, \hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\hat{\beta}_0}} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{f_{00}}{f_{01}}} = \frac{f_{01}}{f_{00} + f_{01}}. \\ \widetilde{p}_{11} &= \mathbf{P}(Y_i = 1|X_i = 1, \hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1}} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{f_{01}f_{10}f_{00}}{f_{00}f_{11}f_{01}}} = \frac{f_{11}}{f_{10} + f_{11}}.\end{aligned}$$

In fact, this coincides with the result we obtained in (a), so we can conclude that this is merely a re-parametrization of the original Bernoulli model. In this case, the logistic regression model only excludes zeros in the frequencies f_{kl} and otherwise does not pose any restriction.

Submit

You have used 1 of 3 attempts