

# 1. Hypothesis Testing

## Setup:

Suppose we have  $n$  observations  $(\mathbf{X}_i, Y_i)$ , where  $Y_i \in \mathbb{R}$  is the dependent variable,  $\mathbf{X}_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$  is the **column**  $p \times 1$  vector of deterministic explanatory variables, and the relation between  $Y_i$  and  $\mathbf{X}_i$  is given by

$$Y_i = \mathbf{X}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta} + \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

where  $\epsilon_i$  are i.i.d.  $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ . As usual, let  $\mathbb{X}$  denote the  $n \times p$  matrix whose rows are  $\mathbf{X}_i^T$ .

Unless otherwise stated, assume  $\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X} = \tau \mathbf{I}$  and that  $\tau, \sigma^2$  are known constants.

(a)

2.0/2 points (graded)

Recall that under reasonable assumptions (which is certainly satisfied in linear regression with Gaussian noise), the Fisher Information of a parameter  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  given a family of distributions  $\mathbf{P}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$  can be computed via the following formula:

$$I(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = - \sum_{i=1}^n H_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \ln f(Y_i; \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

where  $H_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$  denotes the Hessian differentiation operator with respect to  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ . (Recall the definition in [lecture 9](#)).

In terms of  $\mathbb{X}, \sigma^2$ , compute the Fisher  $I(\boldsymbol{\beta})$  information of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ .

(Type **X** for  $\mathbb{X}$ , **trans(X)** for the transpose  $\mathbf{X}^T$  of a matrix  $\mathbb{X}$ , and **X^-1** for the inverse  $\mathbb{X}^{-1}$  of a matrix  $\mathbb{X}$ .)

$I(\boldsymbol{\beta}) =$   ✓ Answer: trans(X)\*X/sigma^2

Plugging in  $\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X} = \tau \mathbf{I}$ , then the Fisher Information simplifies to a scalar multiple of  $\mathbf{I}$ , so that it is a matrix of the form  $\lambda \mathbf{I}$ . Find the multiplicative constant  $\lambda$ , in terms of  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$ .

$\lambda =$   ✓ Answer: tau/sigma^2

STANDARD NOTATION

## Solution:

Notice that  $Y$  is a Gaussian random variable, so plug the Gaussian pdf directly into the suggested formula. Let  $\ell(y|\boldsymbol{\beta})$  denote the log-likelihood function for a single observation  $\mathbf{x}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(y|\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= \ln \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y - \mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\beta})^2 \\ &= \ln \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y^2 - 2y\mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}) \\ \implies \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \ell(y|\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (-2y\mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (y\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{xx}^T \beta)$$

$$\implies H_\beta \ell(y|\beta) = \frac{-\mathbf{xx}^T}{\sigma^2}$$

Therefore,  $I(\beta)$  is the sum

$$\begin{aligned} I(\beta) &= -\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_Y \left[ -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^T \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^T \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X} \end{aligned}$$

The outer product  $\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^T$  is a matrix, and summing over them gives the matrix  $\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X}$ . The transposes are switched due to the convention that the rows of  $\mathbb{X}$  are  $\mathbf{x}_i^T$ , since  $\mathbf{x}_i$  are column vectors.

Submit

You have used 1 of 3 attempts

**i** Answers are displayed within the problem

(b)

3/3 points (graded)

**Instructions:** Fill in the blank in terms of  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ .

Based on the calculation of the Fisher Information (or by other means), we can conclude that the Maximum Likelihood Estimator  $\hat{\beta}$  has entries  $\hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_p$  that are independent Gaussians, with variance:

$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_i) =$

sigma^2/tau

✓ Answer: sigma^2/tau

$\frac{\sigma^2}{\tau}$

Suppose we wish to test the hypotheses

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2, \quad H_1 : \beta_1 \neq \beta_2.$$

Based on the observation made above, a suitable test statistic is  $T_n = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2)}}$ .

Find the denominator  $\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2)}$  (including the square root) in terms of  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ .

$\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2)} =$

sqrt(2\*sigma^2/tau)

✓ Answer: sqrt(2\*sigma^2/tau)

What is the appropriate test at significance level  $\alpha = 0.01$ ?

(Let  $q_\alpha$  denote the standard normal  $\alpha$ -quantile for each respective choice below. )

☐  $\psi = \mathbf{1}(T_n > q_{0.01})$

☐  $\psi = \mathbf{1}(T_n > q_{0.005})$

☐  $\psi = \mathbf{1}(|T_n| > q_{0.01})$

☒  $\psi = \mathbf{1}(|T_n| > q_{0.005})$  ✓

STANDARD NOTATION

**Solution:**

Recall that the Fisher Information matrix is the inverse of the Covariance of the Maximum likelihood estimator,  $\hat{\beta}$ . This tells us that the  $\hat{\beta}$  has covariance  $\frac{\sigma^2}{\tau} \mathbf{I}$ , which also means that the coordinates of  $\hat{\beta}$  are i.i.d.

To design the test, observe that the statement  $\beta_1 = \beta_2$  should be re-written as  $\beta_1 - \beta_2 = 0$ . The difference of two i.i.d. Gaussians is a Gaussian, with twice the variance. Thus, the correct answer for the denominator in the test statistic  $T_n$  is  $\sqrt{2\sigma^2/\tau}$ .

Finally, the test  $T_n$  suggests that we are trying to determine whether  $\beta_1 - \beta_2 = 0$ , by calculating/re-scaling  $\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2$ . Intuitively, the null hypothesis should be rejected if  $\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2$  happens to be large (far away from zero). Therefore, we ought to apply the two-sided test  $\psi = \mathbf{1}(|T_n| > q_{0.005})$ .

Submit

You have used 3 of 3 attempts

Answers are displayed within the problem

(C)

1/2 points (graded)

Suppose we instead wish to test the hypotheses  $H_0 : (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) = (0, 0, 0)$ ,  $H_1 : (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ .

Let  $\gamma$  be some appropriate value corresponding to the significance level, to be determined later. Choose all  $\psi$  that correctly represents the Bonferroni Test of  $H_0$  against  $H_1$ .

☐  $\psi = \mathbf{1} \left\{ \frac{|\hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 + \hat{\beta}_3|}{3} > q_\gamma \right\}$

☒  $\psi = \mathbf{1} \left\{ \frac{\max(|\hat{\beta}_1|, |\hat{\beta}_2|, |\hat{\beta}_3|)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/\tau}} > q_\gamma \right\}$  ✓

☐  $\psi = \prod_{i=1}^3 \mathbf{1} \left\{ \frac{|\beta_i|}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/\tau}} > q_\gamma \right\}$

☐  $\psi = \mathbf{1} \left\{ |\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2 - \hat{\beta}_3| > q_\gamma \right\}$

☒  $\psi = \mathbf{1} \left\{ \left( |\hat{\beta}_1/\sqrt{\sigma^2/\tau}| > q_\gamma \right) \text{ and } \left( |\hat{\beta}_2/\sqrt{\sigma^2/\tau}| > q_\gamma \right) \text{ and } \left( |\hat{\beta}_3/\sqrt{\sigma^2/\tau}| > q_\gamma \right) \right\}$

☐  $\psi = \mathbf{1} \left\{ \left( |\hat{\beta}_1/\sqrt{\sigma^2/\tau}| > q_\gamma \right) \text{ or } \left( |\hat{\beta}_2/\sqrt{\sigma^2/\tau}| > q_\gamma \right) \text{ or } \left( |\hat{\beta}_3/\sqrt{\sigma^2/\tau}| > q_\gamma \right) \right\}$  ✓

In the Bonferroni test of significance level  $\alpha = 0.01$  for testing this particular  $H_0$  against  $H_1$ , what is the numerical value of  $\gamma$ ? Input a fraction or round to the nearest  $10^{-5}$ , if necessary.

0.01/6

✓ Answer: 0.01/6

**Solution:**

The Bonferroni test is used in this setting, because we are simultaneously testing three hypotheses:  $\beta_1 = 0$ ,  $\beta_2 = 0$ ,  $\beta_3 = 0$ . If even one of these is false, then we would hope that  $H_0$  is rejected. The correct choice here is  $\psi = \mathbf{1} \left\{ \frac{\max(|\hat{\beta}_1|, |\hat{\beta}_2|, |\hat{\beta}_3|)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/\tau}} > q_\gamma \right\}$ , which is

equal to the logical **or** ( $\vee$ ) of the three conditions  $\beta_i / \sqrt{\sigma^2 / \tau} > q_\gamma$ . In contrast, the product formula is the same as the logical and (**and**), which rejects only if all three mini-tests reject simultaneously. That is not what we want from our test.

When testing at significance level  $\alpha = 0.01$ , notice that all three tests on  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$  must be performed individually at level  $\alpha/3$ . Since each of these three tests is a two-sided test (e.g.  $|\hat{\beta}_1| > q_\gamma$ ), the quartile we are looking for is the  $\alpha/6$ -quartile, or  $\gamma = \frac{0.01}{6} \approx 0.00167$ .

Submit

You have used 3 of 3 attempts

Answers are displayed within the problem

(d)

5.0/5 points (graded)

**Instructions:** For an arbitrary significance level  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , compute an order  $(1 - \alpha)$  confidence interval for  $\beta_1 = 0$  by filling in the blanks. (In other words, find a confidence interval with confidence level  $1 - \alpha$ .) Unless otherwise specified, express your answers in terms of  $\sigma^2, \tau, \alpha$  and the quantile  $q$ .

(Type  $q(\alpha)$  to denote  $q_\alpha$ , the  $1 - \alpha$ -th quantile of the standard Gaussian.)

- The random variable  $\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1$  is a Gaussian RV, with a variance that we computed earlier. Find the value of  $C$  such that  $\mathbf{P}(-C \leq \hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1 \leq C) = 1 - \alpha$ .

$C =$   ✓ Answer: sqrt(sigma^2/tau)\*q(alpha/2)

This gives us the confidence interval  $I = [\hat{\beta}_1 - C, \hat{\beta}_1 + C]$ .

- Revisit part (b). If  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$  were not diagonal, then in terms of  $\sigma$  and  $\mathbf{X}$ , the covariance matrix of  $\hat{\beta}$  is

$\Sigma_{\hat{\beta}} =$   ✓ Answer: sigma^2 \* (trans(X)\*X)^(-1)

- The variance of  $\hat{\beta}_1$  can be expressed in terms of a particular  $(i, j)$  entry of this matrix (the answer to the previous part), where the row-column ordered pair  $(i, j)$  is:

$i =$  , ✓ Answer: 1  $j =$   ✓ Answer: 1

Let  $\delta^2 = \mathbf{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1)$  be this matrix entry. The new value of  $C$  becomes (in terms of  $\delta$ , and  $q$ ):

New value of  $C$ :  ✓ Answer: delta\*q(alpha/2)

STANDARD NOTATION

Solution:

- Since  $\hat{\beta}_1$  is gaussian with mean  $\beta_1$  and variance  $\sigma^2 / \tau$ , we will take (as suggested by the provided inequality) a quantile corresponding to a two-sided test. The quantile is  $q_{\alpha/2}$ , scaled by the variance, so that  $C = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{\tau}} q_{\alpha/2}$ .
- If  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$  were not diagonal, then the covariance matrix of  $\hat{\beta}$  is  $\sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}$ .
- By definition,  $\mathbf{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1)$  is the first diagonal entry of the covariance matrix,  $\sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}$ . The entry that should be entered is the ordered pair **"(1,1)"**.
- Instead of the answer from the first part of this problem, we scale by the new standard deviation  $\delta$ :  $C = \delta q_{\alpha/2}$ .

Submit

You have used 2 of 4 attempts

Answers are displayed within the problem