# Deriving MLE estimates for a general multinomial distribution

1/1 point (graded)

In this problem, we will derive the maximum likelihood estimates for a multinomial distribution with more than 2 parameters. For this we employ a powerful optimization strategy called method of lagrange multipliers.

First let  $P(D|\theta)$  denote the probability of a multinomial model M with parameters  $\theta=\{\theta_1,\theta_2\dots\theta_N\}$  generating a document D.

Which of the following option lists the correct expression for  $P(D|\theta)$ . Choose from options below.

$$^{igodot} \ P(D| heta) = \sum_{w \in W} heta_w^{count(w)}$$

$$^{ullet} \ P\left(D| heta
ight) = \Pi_{w \in W} heta_w^{count(w)}$$
 🗸

$$igcap P(D| heta) = \Pi_{w \in W} count\left(w
ight)_w^{ heta}$$

$$\bigcirc P(D|\theta) = \prod_{w \in W} \theta_w + count(w)$$

### **Solution:**

Recall from the lecture that

$$P\left(D| heta
ight) = \Pi_{i=1}^n heta_{w_i} = \Pi_{w \in W} heta_w^{count(w)}$$

Submit

You have used 1 of 2 attempts

**1** Answers are displayed within the problem

### **Constraints**

1/1 point (graded)

Which of the following options lists the right set of constraints on the parameters  $heta_w$  of the multinomial model.

$$ullet \; \; heta_w \geq 0, \sum_{w \in W} heta_w = 1$$

$$ullet \; \; heta_w \geq 0, \sum_{w \in W} heta_w < 1$$

$$ullet \; \; heta_w < 0, \sum_{w \in W} heta_w > -1$$

$$ullet \; \; heta_w \geq 0, \sum_{w \in W} heta_w \geq 1$$

#### **Solution:**

Note that  $\theta_w$  denotes the probability of model M choosing the word w. Since it's a probability, its value must lie between 0 and 1. Therefore,  $0 \le \theta_w \le 1$ .

Further, all the above probability values must also sum up to 1. That is,  $\sum_{w \in W} heta_w = 1$ .

Submit

You have used 1 of 2 attempts

• Answers are displayed within the problem

## Stationary points for lagrange function

2/2 points (graded)

Let's recall the function that we're trying to optimize:

$$max_{ heta}P\left(D| heta
ight)=max_{ heta}\Pi_{w\in W} heta_{w}^{count\left(w
ight)}$$

Let us take natural logarithm on both sides of the equation in order to bring down the exponent

$$max_{ heta}\log P\left(D| heta
ight)=max_{ heta}\sum_{w\in W}count\left(w
ight)\log heta_{w}$$

subject to the following constraints,

$$\sum_{w \in W} \theta_w = 1$$

Let's define a function L called the lagrange function for the sake of the above defined constrained optimization problem:

$$L = \log P\left(D| heta
ight) + \lambda \left(\sum_{w \in W} heta_w - 1
ight)$$

where  $\lambda$  is a constant.

Consider finding stationary points for L by solving for equation obtained by setting its derivative to zero. That is,

$$rac{\partial}{\partial heta_w} (\log P\left(D | heta
ight) + \lambda \left( \sum_{w \in W} heta_w - 1 
ight)) = 0$$

Solve for  $heta_w$  from the above equation. Choose the right answer for  $heta_w$  from options below.

- $\Theta_w = rac{-\lambda}{count(w)}$
- $\bigcirc \; \; heta_w = \lambda imes count\left(w
  ight)$
- $igcup heta_w = -\lambda imes count\left(w
  ight)$
- $^{ullet}$   $heta_w = rac{-count(w)}{\lambda}$  🗸

Now, apply the constraint that  $\sum_{w \in W} heta_w = 1$ , we get that  $\lambda$  is:

- ullet  $\lambda = -\sum_{w \in W} count\left(w
  ight)$  🗸
- $\circ$   $\lambda = \sum_{w \in W} count\left(w
  ight)$
- ullet  $\lambda = -\sum_{w \in W} count\left(w
  ight) imes heta_w$
- ullet  $\lambda = \sum_{w \in W} count\left(w
  ight) imes heta_w$

**Solution:** 

$$rac{\partial}{\partial heta_w} (\log P\left(D | heta
ight) + \lambda \left(\sum_{w \in W} heta_w - 1
ight)) = 0$$

$$rac{\partial \log P\left(D | heta_w
ight)}{\partial heta_w} + \lambda = 0$$

$$rac{\partial \log \Pi_{w \in W} heta_w^{count(w)}}{\partial heta_w} + \lambda = 0$$

$$rac{\partial \sum_{w \in W} \log heta_w imes count\left(w
ight)}{\partial heta_w} + \lambda = 0$$

$$rac{count\left(w
ight)}{ heta_{w}}+\lambda=0$$

$$heta_{w}=-rac{count\left(w
ight)}{\lambda}$$

If we apply the constraint that  $\sum_{w \in W} heta_w = 1$  we get

$$\sum_{w \in W} \theta_w = 1$$

$$\sum_{w \in W} -\frac{count\left(w\right)}{\lambda} = 1$$

$$\sum_{w\in W}count\left( w
ight) =-\lambda$$

$$\lambda = -\sum_{w \in W} count\left(w
ight)$$

Substituting this expression for  $\lambda$  back into our previous expression for  $\theta_w$  we get

$$heta_{w}=-rac{count\left(w
ight)}{\lambda}$$

$$heta_{w} = rac{count\left(w
ight)}{\sum_{w \in W} count\left(w
ight)}$$

Note that  $\theta_w>0$  and  $\sum_{w\in W}\theta_w=1$  satisfying all the constraints. These set of  $\theta_w$  parameters are the maximum likelihood estimates for this multinomial generative distribution.

Submit

You have used 1 of 2 attempts

• Answers are displayed within the problem

Discussion

Show Discussion

**Topic:** Unit 4 Unsupervised Learning (2 weeks) :Lecture 15. Generative Models / 6. MLEs for general multinomial distribution