## COM S 6810 Theory of Computing

April 23, 2009

Lecture 25: PCP 'Light' Theorem

Instructor: Rafael Pass Scribe: Shuang Zhao

## 1 Recall from Last Lecture

Theorem 1 (PCP 'light' theorem).

$$NP \subseteq PCP(poly(n), O(1)).$$

This theorem can be proved by showing that the NP-complete problem QUADEQ has a (poly(n), O(1))-PCP verifier.

Let  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \{0, 1\}$  be a satisfying assignment. The prover is supposed to write down

$$\Pi(\mathbf{v}) := \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a} \rangle$$

for all  $\mathbf{v} \in \{0,1\}^{n^2}$  where  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  and  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \sum_i \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{y}_i$ .

The verifier checks the proof in three steps:

- 1. Linearity Test. Check that  $\Pi$  is a linear function.
- 2. Consistency Test. Verify that  $\Pi$  encodes  $\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}$  for some  $\mathbf{u} \in \{0,1\}^n$ .
- 3. Subset Sum Test. Verify that **u** is a satisfying assignment.

We showed in the last lecture that if  $\Pi$  is at distance  $\epsilon$  from linear, it holds that

$$\Pr_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}[\Pi(\mathbf{x}) + \Pi(\mathbf{y}) \neq \Pi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})] \geq \epsilon.$$

For all  $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$ , we can obtain a linearity test rejecting with probability at least 1/2 every function that is at distance  $\geq \epsilon$  from linear (by repeating this test independently). We call such a test a  $\epsilon$ -linearity test.

$$\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} := (\mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{y}_n, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{x}_n \mathbf{y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \mathbf{y}_n).$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>If  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  are two *n*-dimensional vectors, then  $\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y}$  is defined by

## 2 Today's Lecture

First we prove the following fact which will be repeatedly used in this lecture:

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{0, 1\}^n$ . If  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , then  $\Pr_{\mathbf{y}}[\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y} \neq 0] = 1/2$ .

**Proof.** Assume without loss of generality that  $x_1 = 1$ . Then

$$\Pr_{\mathbf{y}}[\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{y} \neq 0] = \frac{1}{2}\Pr_{\mathbf{y}}\left[\sum_{i=2}^n x_i y_i = 0\right] + \frac{1}{2}\Pr_{\mathbf{y}}\left[\sum_{i=2}^n x_i y_i \neq 0\right] = \frac{1}{2}.$$

**Linearity Test.** Perform a 0.001-linearity test on  $\Pi(\mathbf{v})$ . We assume that in the next two steps,  $\Pi$  is at distance 0.001 from a (unique) linear function l. For querying  $l(\mathbf{t})$ , the verifier picks  $\mathbf{r}$  at random and computes  $\Pi(\mathbf{r}) + \Pi(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{t})$ . Since only a small number of queries will be used in those steps, according to union bound, it holds that with high probability (at least 0.9 in our proof)  $\Pi(\mathbf{r}) + \Pi(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{t}) = l(\mathbf{t})$  on all these queries.

Consistency Test. If l encodes  $\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}$ , it holds that  $\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{M}$  where

$$\mathbf{u} = (w_{11}, w_{22}, \dots, w_{nn}) \text{ and } \mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} w_{11} & w_{12} & \dots & w_{1n} \\ w_{21} & w_{22} & \dots & w_{2n} \\ & & & \dots & \\ w_{n1} & w_{n2} & \dots & w_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Lemma 3.** If A, B are  $n \times n$  matrices over GF(2) with  $A \neq B$ , then

$$\Pr_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{0,1\}^n} \left[ \mathbf{x} A \mathbf{y}^T = \mathbf{x} B \mathbf{y}^T \right] \le \frac{3}{4}.$$

**Proof.** Let A' = A - B. According to Lemma 2, it holds that if  $A' \neq 0$ ,

$$\Pr_{\mathbf{y}}[\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{y}^T \neq \mathbf{0}] \ge 1/2 \text{ and } \Pr_{\mathbf{y}}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{y}^T \neq \mathbf{0} \mid \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{y}^T \neq \mathbf{0}] \ge 1/2.$$

Therefore,  $\Pr_{\mathbf{y}}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{y}^T \neq \mathbf{0}] \geq 1/4$ , namely  $\Pr_{\mathbf{y}}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}^T = \mathbf{x}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}^T] \leq 3/4$ .

To check that  $\mathbf{u}^T\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{M}$ , we pick  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \{0, 1\}^n$  randomly. Then

$$\mathbf{x}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{y}^T = \sum_{i,j\in[n]} x_i y_j w_{ij} = \langle \mathbf{x}\otimes\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = l(\mathbf{x}\otimes\mathbf{y})$$

and

$$\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}^T\mathbf{u})\mathbf{y}^T = (\mathbf{x}\mathbf{u}^T)(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{y}^T) = \langle \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{w} \rangle \langle \mathbf{y}', \mathbf{w} \rangle = l(\mathbf{x}') \ l(\mathbf{y}')$$

where  $\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}'$  can be derived from  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ . By Lemma 3, if  $\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{M}$ , the consistency test will catch it with probability at least 1/4.

Subset Sum Test. Assume that l encodes  $\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}$ , namely  $l(\mathbf{v}) = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} \rangle$ . Next we need to check that  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}^{(2)} = \mathbf{b}$  where  $\mathbf{A}$  is an  $m \times n^2$  matrix and  $\mathbf{u}^{(2)} = \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}$ .

Pick random subset  $S \subseteq [m]$  and compute

$$f(S) = \hat{\mathbf{S}}^T (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}^{(2)} - \mathbf{b}) \text{ where } \hat{S}_i = \begin{cases} 1 & i \in S \\ 0 & i \notin S \end{cases}.$$

By Lemma 2,  $\Pr_S[f(S) \neq 0] = 1/2$  if  $\mathbf{Au}^{(2)} \neq \mathbf{b}$ . And f(S) can be computed by

$$f(S) = \hat{\mathbf{S}}^T (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}^{(2)} - \mathbf{b}) = l(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^T \mathbf{A}) - \hat{\mathbf{S}}^T \mathbf{b}.$$

**Conclusion.** The verifier always accepts a correct proof and accepts any incorrect proof with probability at most 0.8. This probability can be reduced to 0.5 by independently repeating this algorithm.